

# **DOE Catalysis Working Group Meeting**

**Arlington, Virginia**

**Crystal Gateway Marriott Hotel – Monday, June 8, 2015**

## DOE CATALYSIS WORKING GROUP MEETING

Monday, June 8, 2015

Crystal Gateway Marriott Hotel, 1700 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia  
Grand Ballroom Salon A

8:30 - 9:00 *Continental breakfast*

---

- 9:00 - 9:05 Welcome - Nancy Garland (DOE) and Piotr Zelenay (LANL),  
9:05 - 9:20 *Non-PGM Catalyst Targets: Summary* – Piotr Zelenay (LANL)  
9:20 - 9:45 *Designing for High Current Density for Low-PGM Electrode*  
– Anu Kongkanand (General Motors)  
9:45 - 10:10 *Alternative Metal Oxide Supports for Cathode Catalyst  
Powders in Automotive PEM Fuel Cells* – Jim Waldecker  
(Ford)  
10:00 - 10:35 *Microscopy Studies of Novel Catalyst Structures*  
– Karren More (ORNL)

### **Introduction of FCTO-funded Fuel Cell Technologies Incubator and SBIR-STTR Projects**

- 10:35 - 10:50 *Advanced Catalysts and MEAs for Reversible Alkaline  
Membrane Fuel Cells* – Hui Xu (Giner)  
10:50 - 11:05 *Development of Non-PGM Catalysts for Hydrogen Oxidation  
Reaction in Alkaline Media* – Alexey Serov (University of New  
Mexico)  
11:05 - 11:20 *Innovative Non-PGM Catalysts for CHP Relevant Proton  
Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells* – Sanjeev Mukerjee  
(Northeastern University)  
11:20 - 11:35 *Proton Energy Systems: Non-Platinum Group Metal  
OER/ORR Catalysts for Alkaline Membrane Fuel Cells and  
Electrolyzers* – Nemanja Danilovic (Proton OnSite)  
11:35 - 11:50 *Non-Precious Metal Bi-Functional Catalysts* – Paul Matter  
(PH Matter)  
11:50 - 12:00 Wrap-up, action items, discussion of the date, location and  
topic of the next CWG meeting - Piotr Zelenay (LANL) and  
Nancy Garland (DOE)  
12:00 Adjourn

# **Non-PGM Catalyst Performance Targets – Summary**

- **Non-PGM ORR catalyst targets and test protocols discussed extensively at four CWG meetings:**
  - Arlington, VA on May 15<sup>th</sup> , 2013 (open CWG meeting at AMR)
  - Golden, CO on December 18<sup>th</sup> , 2013 (joint CWG-DWG meeting; contractors only)
  - Washington, D.C. on June 16<sup>th</sup> , 2014 (CWG meeting at AMR)
  - Los Alamos, January 21<sup>st</sup> , 2015 (CWG meeting; contractors only)
- **Comments received from Fuel Cell Tech Team throughout the process**
- **A four-member subcommittee appointed at the Los Alamos meeting to complete targets**
- **Non-PGM targets summarized and provided to FCTO on April 8<sup>th</sup> , 2015**
- **In general, targets and test protocols aligned with those established for PGM catalysts and PGM-based MEAs**

# Non-PGM ORR Electrocatalyst Targets

Characteristic	Unit	2020 Target	Ultimate Target
Voltage at 0.044 A/cm <sup>2</sup> <sup>a</sup>	V	0.87	0.90
Current density loss at target voltage after 30,000 cycles <sup>a, b, c</sup>	%	≤ 40	≤ 40
Loss in high-current-density performance <sup>c, d</sup>	mV	≤ 60 (at 0.8 A/cm <sup>2</sup> )	≤ 30 (at 0.8 A/cm <sup>2</sup> )
Loss in high-current-density performance <sup>d, e</sup>	mV	≤ 60 (at 0.8 A/cm <sup>2</sup> )	≤ 30 (at 1.5 A/cm <sup>2</sup> )

<sup>a</sup> Test at 80°C H<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> in MEA; fully humidified with total outlet pressure of 150 kPa (abs); anode stoichiometry 2; cathode stoichiometry 9.5 (Gasteiger *et al.*, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, **56**, 2005, 9-35.)

<sup>b</sup> Target voltage defined as voltage at which 0.044 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> is measured at the beginning of cycling.

<sup>c</sup> For durability cycling conditions, see U.S. DRIVE Fuel Cell Technical Team Roadmap, June 2013; Appendix A, Table A-1 (Electrocatalyst Cycle and Metrics).

[http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2014/02/f8/fctt\\_roadmap\\_june2013.pdf](http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2014/02/f8/fctt_roadmap_june2013.pdf)

<sup>d</sup> For polarization protocol, see U.S. DRIVE Fuel Cell Technical Team Roadmap, June 2013; Appendix A, Table A-5. [http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2014/02/f8/fctt\\_roadmap\\_june2013.pdf](http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2014/02/f8/fctt_roadmap_june2013.pdf)

<sup>e</sup> For durability cycling conditions, see U.S. DRIVE Fuel Cell Technical Team Roadmap, June 2013; Appendix A, Table A-2 (Catalyst Support Cycle and Metrics).

[http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2014/02/f8/fctt\\_roadmap\\_june2013.pdf](http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2014/02/f8/fctt_roadmap_june2013.pdf)

# FCTT Roadmap: Electrocatalyst Testing (Table A-1)

**Table A-1. Electrocatalyst Cycle and Metrics**  
**Table Revised March 2, 2010**

Cycle	Triangle sweep cycle: 50 mV/s between 0.6 V and 1.0 V. Single cell 25-50 cm <sup>2</sup>	
Number	30,000 cycles	
Cycle time	16 seconds	
Temperature	80°C	
Relative humidity	Anode/cathode 100/100%	
Fuel/oxidant	Hydrogen/N <sub>2</sub> (H <sub>2</sub> at 200 sccm and N <sub>2</sub> at 75 sccm for a 50 cm <sup>2</sup> cell)	
Pressure	Atmospheric pressure	
<b>Metric</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Target</b>
Catalytic mass activity*	At beginning and end of test minimum	≤40% loss of initial catalytic activity
Polarization curve from 0 to ≥1.5 A/cm <sup>2</sup> **	After 0, 1k, 5k, 10k, and 30k cycles	≤30 mV loss at 0.8 A/cm <sup>2</sup>
ECSA/cyclic voltammetry***	After 10, 100, 1k, 3k, 10k, 20k, and 30k cycles	≤40% loss of initial area

\* Mass activity in A/mg @ 150 kPa abs, backpressure at 857 mV iR-corrected on 6% H<sub>2</sub> (bal N<sub>2</sub>)/O<sub>2</sub> (or equivalent thermodynamic potential), 100% RH, 80°C normalized to initial mass of catalyst and measured before and after test.

\*\* Polarization curve per Fuel Cell Tech Team Polarization Protocol in Table A-5.

\*\*\* Sweep from 0.05 to 0.60 V at 20 mV/s, 80°C, and 100% RH.

## FCTT Roadmap: Support Testing (Table A-2)

**Table A-2. Catalyst Support Cycle and Metrics**  
**Table Revised January 14, 2013**

<b>Cycle</b>	Triangle sweep cycle: 500 mV/s between 1.0 V and 1.5 V; run polarization curve and ECSA; repeat for total 400 h. Single cell 25-50 cm <sup>2</sup>	
<b>Number</b>	5,000 cycles	
<b>Cycle time</b>	2 seconds	
<b>Temperature</b>	80°C	
<b>Relative humidity</b>	Anode/cathode 100/100%	
<b>Fuel/oxidant</b>	Hydrogen/nitrogen	
<b>Pressure</b>	Atmospheric	
<b>Metric</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Target</b>
<b>Catalytic activity*</b>	At beginning and end of test, minimum	≤40% loss of initial catalytic activity
<b>Polarization curve from 0 to ≥1.5 A/cm<sup>2</sup>**</b>	After 0, 10, 100, 200, 500, 1k, 2k, and 5k cycles	≤30 mV loss at 1.5 A/cm <sup>2</sup> or rated power
<b>ECSA/cyclic voltammetry***</b>	After 0, 10, 100, 200, 500, 1k, 2k, and 5k cycles	≤40% loss of initial area

\* Mass activity in A/mg @ 150 kPa abs, backpressure at 857 mV iR-corrected on 6% H<sub>2</sub> (bal N<sub>2</sub>)/O<sub>2</sub> (or equivalent thermodynamic potential), 100% RH, 80°C normalized to initial mass of catalyst and measured before and after test.

\*\* Polarization curve per Fuel Cell Tech Team Polarization Protocol in Table A-5.

\*\*\* Sweep from 0.05 to 0.6 V at 20 mV/s, 80°C, and 100% RH.

# FCTT Roadmap: Polarization Plot Protocol (Table A-5)

Table A-5. Fuel Cell Tech Team Polarization Protocol

Test Point #	Current Density [A/cm <sup>2</sup> ]	Anode Inlet H <sub>2</sub> % (balance N <sub>2</sub> ) inlet/dry	Anode H <sub>2</sub> Stoich [-]	Anode Dewpoint Temp [°C]	Anode Inlet Temp [°C]	Anode Pressure Outlet [kPaabs]	Cathode Inlet O <sub>2</sub> % inlet/dry	Cathode Inlet N <sub>2</sub> % inlet/dry	Cathode O <sub>2</sub> Stoich [-]	Cathode Dewpoint Temp [°C]	Cathode Inlet Temp [°C]	Cathode Pressure Outlet [kPaabs]	Cell/ Stack Control Temp [°C]	Temp pt. Run Time min	Set Point Transit Time s
Break-in															
B1	0.6	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	56	80	150	80	20	0
Reduction															
R1	0	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	1	0
R2	0	100%	1.5	59	80	150	0%	100%	1.8	59	80	150	80	Until V>0.1V	0
Polarization curve															
P1	0.2	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P2	0.4	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P3	0.6	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P4	0.8	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P5	1	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P6	1.2	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P7	1.4	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P7	1.6	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P8	1.8	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P9	2	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P10	1.8	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P11	1.6	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P12	1.4	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P13	1.2	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P14	1	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P15	0.8	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P16	0.6	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P17	0.4	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P18	0.2	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P19	0.1	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P20	0.05	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P21	0.02	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P22	0.05	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P23	0.1	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0
P24	0.2	100%	1.5	59	80	150	21%	79%	1.8	59	80	150	80	3	0

Stoichs for points below 0.2A/cm<sup>2</sup> at 0.2A/cm<sup>2</sup> equivalent flow

## Non-PGM MEA/Stack Targets

Characteristic	Unit	2020 Target	Ultimate Target
Voltage at high power <sup>f</sup>	V	0.66 (at 0.75 A/cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.66 (at 1.5 A/cm <sup>2</sup> )
Cost (guideline)	\$/kW <sub>e</sub>	14	10
Durability <sup>g, h</sup>	hours	5,000	5,000

<sup>f</sup> For polarization protocol, see U.S. DRIVE Fuel Cell Technical Team Roadmap, June 2013; Appendix A, Table A-5. [http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2014/02/f8/fctt\\_roadmap\\_june2013.pdf](http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2014/02/f8/fctt_roadmap_june2013.pdf). Ultimate target for high power is calculated to achieve Q/ΔTi of 1.45 assuming 90 kW stack gross power operating at 95°C stack temperature and 40°C ambient temperature.

<sup>g</sup> Based on the protocol for determining cell/stack durability in U.S. DRIVE Fuel Cell Technical Team Roadmap, June 2013; Appendix A, Table A-6 (≤ 10% drop in rated power after test).  
[http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2014/02/f8/fctt\\_roadmap\\_june2013.pdf](http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2014/02/f8/fctt_roadmap_june2013.pdf)

<sup>h</sup> Need to meet or exceed at temperatures of 80°C up to peak temperature, measured using the polarization curve protocol found in Table A-5 of Appendix A.

# FCTT Roadmap: Cell/Stack Durability Protocol (Table A-6)

**Table A-6. Protocol for Determining Cell/Stack Durability**

Test Point #	Current Density [A/cm <sup>2</sup> ]	Anode Inlet H <sub>2</sub> % (balance N <sub>2</sub> ) inlet/dry	Anode H <sub>2</sub> Stoich [-]	Anode Dew point Temp [°C]	Anode Inlet Temp [°C]	Anode Pressure outlet [kPaabs]	Cathode Inlet O <sub>2</sub> % inlet/dry	Cathode Inlet N <sub>2</sub> % inlet/dry	Cathode O <sub>2</sub> Stoich [-]	Cathode Dew point Temp [°C]	Cathode Inlet Temp [°C]	Cathode Pressure Outlet [kPaabs]	Cell/ Stack control Temp [°C]	Test pt. Run Time min	Set Point Transition time s	Worst-Case Response Transition Time s
<b>Wet w/load cycling</b>																
RH1	0.02	80%	96	83	85	101.3	21%	79%	108	83	85	101.3	80	0.5	0	2
RH2	1.2	80%	1.6	83	85	101.3	21%	79%	1.8	83	85	101.3	80	0.5	0	2
RH3	0.02	80%	96	83	85	101.3	21%	79%	108	83	85	101.3	80	0.5	0	2
RH4	1.2	80%	1.6	83	85	101.3	21%	79%	1.8	83	85	101.3	80	0.5	0	2
RH5	0.02	80%	96	83	85	101.3	21%	79%	108	83	85	101.3	80	0.5	0	2
RH6	1.2	80%	1.6	83	85	101.3	21%	79%	1.8	83	85	101.3	80	0.5	0	2
RH7	0.02	80%	96	83	85	101.3	21%	79%	108	83	85	101.3	80	0.5	0	2
RH8	1.2	80%	1.6	83	85	101.3	21%	79%	1.8	83	85	101.3	80	0.5	0	2
RH9	0.02	80%	96	83	85	101.3	21%	79%	108	83	85	101.3	80	0.5	0	2
RH10	1.2	80%	1.6	83	85	101.3	21%	79%	1.8	83	85	101.3	80	0.5	0	2
Trans1	0.6	80%	2	70	80	101.3	21%	79%	2	70	80	101.3	80	2	0	30 (dew point)
<b>Dry w/load cycling</b>																
RH11	0.1	80%	5	53	80	101.3	21%	79%	5	53	80	101.3	80	0.5	0	30 (dew point)
RH12	0.02	80%	25	53	80	101.3	21%	79%	25	53	80	101.3	80	0.5	0	2
RH13	0.1	80%	5	53	80	101.3	21%	79%	5	53	80	101.3	80	0.5	0	2
RH14	0.02	80%	25	53	80	101.3	21%	79%	25	53	80	101.3	80	0.5	0	2
RH15	0.1	80%	5	53	80	101.3	21%	79%	5	53	80	101.3	80	0.5	0	2
RH16	0.02	80%	25	53	80	101.3	21%	79%	25	53	80	101.3	80	0.5	0	2
RH17	0.1	80%	5	53	80	101.3	21%	79%	5	53	80	101.3	80	0.5	0	2
RH18	0.02	80%	25	53	80	101.3	21%	79%	25	53	80	101.3	80	0.5	0	2
RH19	0.1	80%	5	53	80	101.3	21%	79%	5	53	80	101.3	80	0.5	0	2
RH20	0.02	80%	25	53	80	101.3	21%	79%	25	53	80	101.3	80	5	0	2

## DOE CATALYSIS WORKING GROUP MEETING

Monday, June 8, 2015

Crystal Gateway Marriott Hotel, 1700 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia  
Grand Ballroom Salon A

8:30 - 9:00 *Continental breakfast*

---

- 9:00 - 9:05 Welcome - Nancy Garland (DOE) and Piotr Zelenay (LANL),  
9:05 - 9:20 *Non-PGM Catalyst Targets: Summary* – Piotr Zelenay (LANL)  
9:20 - 9:45 *Designing for High Current Density for Low-PGM Electrode*  
– Anu Kongkanand (General Motors)  
9:45 - 10:10 *Alternative Metal Oxide Supports for Cathode Catalyst  
Powders in Automotive PEM Fuel Cells* – Jim Waldecker  
(Ford)  
10:00 - 10:35 *Microscopy Studies of Novel Catalyst Structures*  
– Karren More (ORNL)

### **Introduction of FCTO-funded Fuel Cell Technologies Incubator and SBIR-STTR Projects**

- 10:35 - 10:50 *Advanced Catalysts and MEAs for Reversible Alkaline  
Membrane Fuel Cells* – Hui Xu (Giner)  
10:50 - 11:05 *Development of Non-PGM Catalysts for Hydrogen Oxidation  
Reaction in Alkaline Media* – Alexey Serov (University of New  
Mexico)  
11:05 - 11:20 *Innovative Non-PGM Catalysts for CHP Relevant Proton  
Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells* – Sanjeev Mukerjee  
(Northeastern University)  
11:20 - 11:35 *Proton Energy Systems: Non-Platinum Group Metal  
OER/ORR Catalysts for Alkaline Membrane Fuel Cells and  
Electrolyzers* – Nemanja Danilovic (Proton OnSite)  
11:35 - 11:50 *Non-Precious Metal Bi-Functional Catalysts* – Paul Matter  
(PH Matter)  
11:50 - 12:00 Wrap-up, action items, discussion of the date, location and  
topic of the next CWG meeting - Piotr Zelenay (LANL) and  
Nancy Garland (DOE)  
12:00 Adjourn