

Participant Evaluation, July 31, 2012 DEDI Collaborative Meeting

Please take a few minutes to fill out this survey on the content and layout of DEDI's collaborative meeting series as a whole, and the third meeting held on July 31, 2012. Your feedback is essential to ensure this process is productive and meets the needs of participants. The information you provide on this form will be kept confidential. Thank you for your participation!

1. Which of the following best represents your company or organization?

- Academic/Research Institution
- Membership organization
- Manufacturer/Retailer
- Non-profit
- State or Local Government
- Utility
- Energy Service Company/Contractor/Consultant
- Business Chamber
- Other

Other (please specify)

2. What were your primary reasons for attending the July 31, 2012 collaborative meeting? Please check all that apply.

- Interest in subject matter
- Designing or coordinating new programs and/or policies related to energy efficiency
- Improving existing programs and/or policies related to energy efficiency
- Learning about model programs and/or policies for energy efficiency in other States
- Promoting Policy and Program Best Practices in Kentucky as they relate to energy efficiency
- Learning about DEDI's recommended Action Items for realizing the Governor's energy efficiency goals
- General support of energy efficiency in Kentucky
- Access to network of information and contacts
- Access to forum for informal discussion amongst diverse participants
- Other (please specify)

Participant Evaluation, July 31, 2012 DEDI Collaborative Meeting

3. Which of the following objectives were met during the course of the meeting? Please check all that apply.

- Reviewed the progression of Kentucky's energy efficiency efforts over the last two decades, and the role this initiative plays in furthering those efforts to date.
- Reviewed the key items to be addressed in the Action Plan resulting from stakeholder input throughout this process.
- Revisited opportunities and barriers to efficiency across Kentucky's energy sectors (identified during individual stakeholder meetings).
- Provided all stakeholders with an opportunity for continued involvement in this initiative, including review and comment on the Action Plan and other related analyses.
- Other (please specify)

4. How organized was the meeting?

- Extremely organized
- Organized
- Not organized
- Other (please specify)

5. Please rate the meeting's diversity of attendees.

- Excellent
- Good
- Fair
- Poor
- Other (please specify)

6. What additional stakeholders do you believe should be invited to participate as this process continues, if any?

Participant Evaluation, July 31, 2012 DEDI Collaborative Meeting

7. How much has your understanding of the opportunities and barriers to energy efficiency in Kentucky improved as a result of attending this meeting series?

- A lot
- A moderate amount
- A little
- None at all
- Other (please specify)

8. How much has your understanding of the ultimate energy efficiency Action Plan improved as a result of attending this meeting series?

- A lot
- A moderate amount
- A little
- None at all
- Other (please specify)

9. Did the meeting facilitators allow enough time for breaks in between sessions?

- Yes
- No
- Other (please specify)

10. Did the meeting facilitators allow adequate time for discussion following the speakers' remarks?

- Too much time
- The right amount
- Too little
- Other (please specify)

11. Please list below what you think worked particularly well during the meeting series, and what did not work as well, if anything?

12. Overall, were you satisfied with the collaborative meeting series?

- Yes
- No
- Other (please specify)

13. Overall, was the collaborative meeting series worth your time?

- Yes
- No
- Other (please specify)

Morning General Session, Review of Action Items

14. How useful to your organization was the morning review of the last eighteen months of collaborative work done by stakeholders, and its relation to Kentucky's long history of energy efficiency efforts?

If you have comments or questions on this session, please provide in the "Other" box below.

- Extremely useful
- Useful
- Not useful
- Other (please specify)

15. How useful to your organization was the review of each main Action Item that will be included in the Action Plan resulting from this process?

- Extremely useful
- Useful
- Not useful
- Other (please specify)

16. Did the facilitator(s) provide you with enough opportunities to provide comments following the Action Items review?

If you answer "No", please provide comments in the "Other" box for how we could accommodate more discussion in future meetings. If you have specific comments on the Action items reviewed, please provide as well.

- Yes
- No
- Other (please specify)

17. Please provide a summary of issues or suggestions for Action Items that you believe should be included in the Action Plan, and that were not discussed on July 31st.

Afternoon Session, Review of Project Goals

18. How useful to your organization was the review of DEDI's energy efficiency goals, and how measuring progress towards these goals are integral to realizing Governor Beshear's energy efficiency strategy?

- Extremely useful
- Useful
- Not useful
- Other (please specify)

19. Did the facilitator(s) provide you with enough opportunities to provide comments following this review?

If you answer "No", please provide comments in the "Other" box for how we could accommodate more discussion in future meetings. If you have specific comments on the subject matter discussed, please provide as well.

- Yes
- No
- Other (please specify)

20. If you have any additional questions, comments, or concerns about this meeting series, please provide below.