

#### SASSI Subtraction Method Effects at Various DOE projects

U.S. Department of Energy Natural Phenomena Hazards Workshop

October 25-26, 2011

Greg E. Mertz, Michael C. Costantino, Thomas W. Houston, Andrew S. Maham

# Outline

- PF-4
- CMRR
- UPF
- Generic Study
- Lessons Learned

Note: Project results represent work-in-progress and do imply regulatory acceptance

# SASSI Solution Methods for Embedded Structures





#### PF-4

Two story Box-type RC Structure 284'x265'x39' Embedded ~18'

1970's construction Thin basement floor with spread footings Flat slab interior floor supported by columns with capitals

#### **PF-4 SSI Analysis**



**CJC**Associates

## **PF-4: Preliminary Analysis**

Preliminary excavated soil model Extrusion of basement floor mesh Building model alone has ~19,000 nodes







## PF-4 Highly Refined Mesh

- Highly refined quarter model
  - Regular mesh geometry
  - Lambda/5=25.5 Hz
- Side studies were used to demonstrate modified subtraction approximates direct
- Regular meshing reduced anomalous response by factor of 3
- Ratio of subtraction to modified subtraction TF is as large as 9
- Highly refined mesh has too many DOF for building analysis

8

## PF-4 Coarse Regular Mesh



- Coarse 3D mesh
  - Direct solution
  - 1 element per bay
  - Use MPC's to constrain structural mesh to interaction nodes
  - Spurious response greatly reduced
    - Spikes @ 23 Hz due to mesh size
  - Coarse 3D mesh used for building analysis

9

## **CMRR-NF**

- R/C box type building
  330' by 300' by 73'
- Embedded 39'



# CMRR Quarter Model Study



X Transfer Functions on Quarter Model Basemat: Subtraction and Direct Method Comparison DM 3.5 Lateral Response 3 on basemat Subtraction. 2.5 (red) Щ. 2 1.5 Direct 1 (green) 0.5 0 5 10 25 15 20 30 0 Frequency (hz)

- Quarter model used to study subtraction anomaly
- Includes basemat and exterior walls
- Lateral soil column frequency is 6.4 Hz
- Lateral frequency of excavated soil volume is slightly higher
- First subtraction anomaly occurs at ~7.5 Hz
- Significant anomaly occurs at ~21 Hz

# **CMRR Modified\* Subtraction**



# UPF

- 330'x470'x70' Surface RC building
- EUS site: High frequency input motion
- Site consists of:
  - Soil
  - Weathered shale
  - Unweathered shale
- Excavate poor soil and backfill with concrete

 SSI evaluates RC fill on competent shale



# UPF Quarter Model Study



- Select a portion of the fill foot print for the quarter model study
- Compare response
   on top concrete fill
  - Subtract out uniform halfspace
  - Add irregular shale
     and concrete profile

14

## **UPF Quarter Model Study**



# Generic Study



- Western US Site
- Light building
- Heavy building
- 120'x120'x30'
   Excavation
  - Uniform 6' bricks
  - Lambda/5=29.2 Hz

#### Excavated Soil Behavior for Subtraction Method



**CJC**Associates

#### **Excavation Behavior**



- Subtraction anomaly occurs at natural frequency of excavated soil volume
  - Anomaly at 10 Hz is at Lambda/14 << Lambda/5
  - Subtraction anomaly is NOT caused by mesh size

#### Rigid Massless Foundation Impedance



- Subtraction anomaly also observed in foundation impedance
- Modified subtraction also deviates from direct solution above 16 Hz
  - 16 Hz is the lateral frequency of the modified subtraction excavated soil volume

# **Building Response**



- Light building
  - 2 story RC shear wall structure open floor plan
  - Lighter than excavated soil
- Heavy building
  - Light building on top of 60 ft rigid block of concrete
  - Weighs ~twice the excavated soil weight
- Subtraction anomaly affects ISRS in both buildings

#### Lessons Learned

- Subtraction can lead to anomalous response
  - Anomalous response occurs at and above the natural frequency of excavated soil volume
  - Anomalous response may not be evident in every transfer function
  - Irregular meshes can aggravate subtraction anomaly
- Subtraction anomaly is caused by independent vibration of excavated soil volume
  - Not a discretization (Lambda/5) issue
  - Not a programming error
  - Not due to numerical instabilities
  - This anomaly is a limitation of the applicability of the subtraction method

## Lessons Learned (cont)

- Modified subtraction and variants, add restraint to excavated soil volume reducing independent vibration of excavated soil volume
  - Not a panacea anomalies still occur above natural frequency of excavated soil volume
  - Modified subtraction extends the range of applicability of the subtraction method
- Strongly recommend case specific studies for individual building geometry and soil properties
  - Benchmark with direct method
- Recommend open discussion of anomalous results
  - LA-UR-10-05302
  - This workshop
  - Position Paper CJCA-004