

# DOE Response to Fukushima Dai-ichi Accident

John E. Kelly

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Nuclear Reactor Technologies Office of Nuclear Energy U.S. Department of Energy

June 15, 2011



# **Fukushima Dai-ichi Reactors**





# **Fukushima Dai-ichi Reactors**





# Earthquake 3/11

## **Nuclear Energy**



- 14:36 JST Earthquake
- 15:41 JST Tsunami

Magnitude: 9.0

**Generated a 14m Tsunami** 

Many thousands perished

More that 100 thousand people were homeless without food, water, or heat

## 3-2. Major root cause of the damage





# Accident Sequence for Fukushima Dai-ichi Reactors



- Grid power lost due to the earthquake
- Plant experienced station blackout after emergency diesels were damaged by the tsunami (nearly 1 hour later)
- Eventual loss of batteries and cooling to control steam driven emergency pumps
- Core overheats, cladding oxidizes and melts producing hydrogen
- Hydrogen escapes from containment and explodes/deflagrates in reactors 1, 2, & 3
- Explosion/deflagration in reactor 4 building



# **Immediate Coordinated Response**

## **Nuclear Energy**



- □ Activated its Emergency Operations Center
- $\Box$  Immediately deployed personnel to the U.S.
- Embassy in Japan to support the Reactor Safety Team (RST)
- Provided expert advice to the U.S. Ambassador and Government of Japan ministers
- Set up and coordinated consortium call that involved NRC, INPO, DOE, and Naval Reactors

# INPO

Organized nuclear industry technical response to assist TEPCO



- Activated its Emergency Operations Center focused on monitoring radiation release and impact on U.S. citizens (both in Japan and the U.S.)
- Deployed Airborne Monitoring System aircraft and Consequence Management Response Teams
- Provided additional DOE Embassy reps to the two already assigned to the U.S. Embassy
- Deployed national laboratory reps from INL, PNNL and Sandia to provide technical assistance
- Assigned NE personnel to stand watch in the DOE EOC



## **DOE Response to Fukushima Events**

- During the first several weeks following the Japan earthquake and tsunmai, DOE provided a significant and diverse set of analysis to support the events at Fukushima-Daiichi
- This response involved a broad set of institutions with over 200 people contributing DOE: Offices of NE, SC, NNSA, EM
  - Laboratories: ANL, BNL, INL, LANL, ORNL, PNNL, and SNL
  - Numerous universities
  - Individual consultants Secretary's external science experts



# **Nuclear Energy Response Team**





# **Airborne Radiation Monitoring**

- NNSA had primary responsibility to monitor radiological fallout and provide data to USG and GOJ
- Deployed airborne monitoring systems
- Used NARAC code suite at LLNL to model calculate plume





# **Airborne Radiation Monitoring**

#### **Nuclear Energy**



Data based on 42 fixed wing and helicopter survey flights at altitudes ranging from 150 to 700 meters between April 6 and April 29



# Office of Nuclear Energy Response Team

**Nuclear Energy** 

## Primary mission

 Assess and clarify information for DOE and NE leadership concerning the status of the Fukushima Dai-ichi reactor situation

## Provide support to NE EOC watch standers

- Organized national laboratory analysis activities to support:
  - White House and USG
  - U.S. Embassy Requests
  - DOE and NE Leadership



# DOE Analysis for Initial and Stabilization Phase





# **Reactor Building Survey Results Unit 2**

- Recent (19 May) survey results for Unit 2 shown below; dose rates in the range of 15 to 45 mSv/hr (1.5 to 4.5 R/hr
- Underscores the difficulty in restarting normal RHR equipment





# **Passive Cooling Assessment**

### **Nuclear Energy**

Calculated containment passive cooling heat removal rates compared with decay heat levels for Units 1-3







# **Long-term Decay Heat Removal**

- Decay heat cooling would take about 9 months using of passive cooling
- Explored options for accelerated cooling
  - Capture, treatment and reuse of cooling water
  - Alternate cooling approaches





# Thermal analysis of pool heatup and boil off

Nuclear Energy

- Models of spent fuel pools developed to predict pool boil off time and to understand hydrogen production
- Used to perform analysis of pool leakage scenarios
- Calculations based on several codes and models to provide range in turn-around time and fidelity



UNIT 4 SFP HEAT GENERATION RATE DISTRIBUTION

#### POOL LEVEL FOR VARIOUS SCENARIOS FOR UNIT 4





# **DOE Analysis for Recovery Phase**





# Waste Water Storage & Treatment

- Significant quantities of water is collecting in the sumps and basements of the reactor and turbine building
- Japan government requested U.S. concepts for
  - Collection
  - Transfer
  - Storage
  - Treatment of waste water





# Design Options for Water Retrieval and Treatment

Nuclear Energy

## Currently accumulated sea water

- Pump water from basement, tunnels and other locations
- Treat water for storage/disposal

## Cooling water

- Pump water from reactor vessels or spent fuel basins
- Treat water for recirculation

## Skid mounted systems

- Pumping/retrieval technologies for liquids and sludges
- Pre-filters and filters to remove debris and solids
- lon exchange resin columns and sorption systems for removal of radionuclides
- Evaporation systems
- Treatment equipment contained in large shielded fuel transport casks
- Utilize DOE-EM cleanup contractor base for expertise



Conceptual design of a water treatment system deployed in a spent fuel basin



**Corrosion rates of RPV steels have been examined in the open literature** 

- Fukushima-Daiichi plants utilize A533B steel for the pressure vessel (likely based on industry standards, but not confirmed)
- There is little data on this class of steels in salt or concentrated salt solutions as it is not a typical choice for any application
- Some data has been identified (and the search will continue)



# **Corrosion experience from Millstone unit 1**

- Sept. 1, 1972, the Millstone Unit 1 BWR was undergoing routine startup
  - Sea-water was introduced into full flow demineralizers
  - High conductivity water entered the reactor vessel via the condensate/feedwater system
- Corrosion effects were observed in a matter of hours
  - 116/120 of the local power range monitors (with very thin walls) were damaged by cracking
  - Stress corrosion cracking was observed in other reactor components and considered to be "superficial"
  - Subsequent tests at GE found tests produced results more severe than in the actual incident.



**Implications from Millstone 1 experience to Fukushima** 

- Cracking likely occurred in all units very quickly as seawater was introduced
- However, rapid cracking early in the event may not be sustained, consistent with the disposition of cracks that were deemed superficial to subsequent operation in Millstone
- The observations on carbon steel testing are consistent with other literature results from other industries for this class of alloys



# **Corrosion Rate for Carbon Steel**

Nuclear Energy

Initial data for low-alloy steels (LAS) and carbon steels (C-steel) in salt-solutions

Alloy	Temp. (C)	Solution	Concen.	Other factor	Corrosion rate (mm/y)	Corrosion rate (mils per year)
LAS	25	NaCl	3.5%		0.025	1
LAS	25	NaCl	3.5%		0.38	15
LAS	25	NaCl	3.5%	H2SO4	3.8	150
C-steel	150	MgCl2	10%	Irrad.	0.07	27
A533B (Davis Besse)	310	Boric acid	High		64	2500

\*Davis Besse test data is still most conservative



# **Next Steps for DOE-NE**

- Continue our Support for the Government of Japan
  - Peer reviews and analysis as requested
- Data collection and accident forensics to support lessons learned
- Continued monitoring of potential accident consequences