

Cover Sheet

Responsible Agency: U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)

Cooperating Agencies: Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

Title: *Final Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington (TC & WM EIS)* (DOE/EIS-0391)

Location: Benton County, Washington

Contacts: For copies of this *Final TC & WM EIS*, call toll-free 1-888-829-6347, or contact Mary Beth Burandt at the address below.

For additional information on this
Final TC & WM EIS, contact:

Mary Beth Burandt, Document Manager
Office of River Protection
U.S. Department of Energy
Post Office Box 1178
Richland, WA 99352
Attention: *TC & WM EIS*
Email: TC&WMEIS@saic.com
Fax: 1-888-785-2865
Telephone and voicemail: 1-888-829-6347

For general information on the DOE National
Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process, contact:

Carol M. Borgstrom, Director
Office of NEPA Policy and Compliance (GC-54)
U.S. Department of Energy
1000 Independence Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20585
Telephone: 202-586-4600, or leave a message
at 1-800-472-2756
Email: askNEPA@hq.doe.gov
Website: <http://energy.gov/nepa>

Abstract: The Hanford Site (Hanford), located in southeastern Washington State along the Columbia River, is approximately 1,518 square kilometers (586 square miles) in size. Hanford's mission from the early 1940s to approximately 1989 included defense-related nuclear research, development, and weapons production activities. These activities created a wide variety of chemical and radioactive wastes. Hanford's mission now is focused on the cleanup of those wastes and ultimate closure of Hanford. To this end, several types of radioactive waste are being managed at Hanford: (1) high-level radioactive waste (HLW) as defined in DOE Manual 435.1-1; (2) transuranic (TRU) waste, which is waste containing alpha-particle-emitting radionuclides with atomic numbers greater than uranium (92) and half-lives greater than 20 years in concentrations greater than 100 nanocuries per gram of waste; (3) low-level radioactive waste (LLW), which is radioactive waste that is neither HLW nor TRU waste; and (4) mixed low-level radioactive waste (MLLW), which is LLW containing hazardous constituents as defined under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 (42 U.S.C 6901 et seq.). Thus, this environmental impact statement (EIS) analyzes the following three key areas:

1. **Retrieval, treatment, and disposal of waste from 149 single-shell tanks (SSTs) and 28 double-shell tanks (DSTs) and closure of the SST system.** In this *TC & WM EIS*, DOE proposes to retrieve and treat waste from 177 underground tanks and ancillary equipment and dispose of this waste in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements. At present, DOE is constructing a Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant (WTP) in the 200-East Area of Hanford. The WTP would separate waste stored in Hanford's underground tanks into HLW and low-activity waste (LAW) fractions. HLW would be treated in the WTP and stored at Hanford until disposition decisions are made and implemented. LAW would be treated in the WTP and disposed of as LLW at Hanford as decided in DOE's Record of Decision (ROD) issued in 1997 (62 FR 8693), pursuant to the *Tank Waste Remediation System, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington, Final Environmental Impact Statement* (DOE/EIS-0189, August 1996). DOE

proposes to provide additional treatment capacity for the tank LAW that can supplement the planned WTP capacity in fulfillment of DOE's obligations under the Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (Tri-Party Agreement). DOE would dispose of immobilized LAW and Hanford's (and other DOE sites') LLW and MLLW in lined trenches on site. These trenches would be closed in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements.

2. **Final decontamination and decommissioning of the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF), a nuclear test reactor.** DOE proposes to determine the final end state for the aboveground, belowground, and ancillary support structures.
3. **Disposal of Hanford's waste and other DOE sites' LLW and MLLW.** DOE needs to decide where to locate onsite disposal facilities for Hanford's waste and other DOE sites' LLW and MLLW. DOE committed in the ROD (69 FR 39449) for the *Final Hanford Site Solid (Radioactive and Hazardous) Waste Program Environmental Impact Statement, Richland, Washington* (DOE/EIS-0286F, January 2004) that LLW would be disposed of in lined trenches. Specifically, DOE proposes to dispose of the waste in either the existing Integrated Disposal Facility (IDF) in the 200-East Area (IDF-East) or the proposed 200-West Area IDF (IDF-West).

DOE released the *Draft TC & WM EIS* in October 2009 (74 FR 56194) for review and comment by other Federal agencies, states, American Indian tribal governments, local governments, and the public. The comment period was 185 days, from October 30, 2009, to May 3, 2010.

In accordance with Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations (40 CFR 1502.9(c)) and DOE regulations (10 CFR 1021.314(c)), DOE prepared a supplement analysis (SA) of the *Draft TC & WM EIS (Supplement Analysis of the "Draft Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington"* [DOE/EIS-0391-SA-01, February 2012]). DOE prepared an SA to evaluate updated, modified, or expanded information developed subsequent to publication of the *Draft TC & WM EIS* to determine whether a supplement to the draft EIS or a new draft EIS was warranted. Fourteen topic areas were reviewed. Revisions include changes to contaminant inventories, corrections to estimates, updates to characterization data, and new information that was not available at the time of publication of the *Draft TC & WM EIS*. The modified inventories do not change the key environmental findings presented in the draft EIS. They do not present significant new circumstances or information relevant to environmental concerns and bearing on the proposed action(s) and their impacts. Changes to some of the parameters used in the alternatives analysis do not significantly affect the potential environmental impacts of the alternatives on an absolute or relative basis, whether the changes are considered individually or collectively. These are not substantial changes in the proposed action(s) that are relevant to environmental concerns. DOE concluded, based on analyses in the SA, that the updated, modified, or expanded information developed subsequent to the *Draft TC & WM EIS* does not constitute significant new circumstances or information relevant to environmental concerns and bearing on the proposed actions(s) in the *Draft TC & WM EIS* or their impacts. Therefore, DOE determined that a supplement to the *Draft TC & WM EIS* or a new *Draft TC & WM EIS* was not required.

DOE posted the *Supplement Analysis of the "Draft Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington"* on the DOE NEPA website, <http://energy.gov/nepa/office-nepa-policy-and-compliance>, on February 8, 2012, and on the *TC & WM EIS* website, <http://www.hanford.gov/index.cfm?page=1117&>, on February 9, 2012, and the SA was provided on February 14, 2012, to the DOE public reading room at 2770 University Drive, Room 101L, Richland, Washington 99352. The SA is also provided here as Appendix X of this final EIS for convenience only.

In preparing this *Final TC & WM EIS*, DOE considered all comments received on the draft EIS and revised this final EIS, as appropriate. DOE has clarified and/or revised its Preferred Alternatives for the three program areas as presented in this *TC & WM EIS*, as follows:

Tank Closure

Eleven alternatives for potential tank closure actions are evaluated in this final EIS. These alternatives cover tank waste retrieval and treatment, as well as closure of the SSTs. DOE has identified the following Preferred Alternatives: For retrieval, DOE prefers Tank Closure alternatives that would retrieve at least 99 percent of the tank waste. All Tank Closure alternatives would do this except Alternatives 1 (No Action) and 5. For closure of the SSTs, DOE prefers landfill closure; this could include implementation of corrective/mitigation actions as described in the Summary of this EIS, Section S.5.5.1, and Chapter 2, Section 2.10.1, which may require soil removal or treatment of the vadose zone. Decisions on the extent of soil removal or treatment, if needed, will be made on a tank farm– or waste management area–basis through the RCRA closure permitting process. These landfill closure considerations would apply to Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, 5, and 6C. DOE does not prefer alternatives that include removal of the tanks as evaluated in Tank Closure Alternatives 4, 6A, and 6B. As described in the Summary of this EIS, Section S.5.5.1, and Chapter 2, Section 2.10.1, DOE believes that removal of the tank structures is technically infeasible and, due to both the depth of the contamination and the technical issues associated with removal of the tank structures, that it presents significant uncertainty in terms of worker exposure risk and waste generation volume.

DOE does not have a preferred alternative regarding supplemental treatment for LAW; DOE believes it beneficial to study further the potential cost, safety, and environmental performance of supplemental treatment technologies. Nevertheless, DOE is committed to meeting its obligations under the TPA regarding supplemental LAW treatment. When DOE is ready to identify its preferred alternative regarding supplemental treatment for LAW, this action will be subject to NEPA review as appropriate. DOE will provide a notice of its preferred alternative in the *Federal Register* at least 30 days before issuing a ROD. For the actions related to tank waste retrieval, treatment and closure, DOE prefers Tank Closure Alternative 2B, without removing technetium in the Pretreatment Facility.

Although DOE previously expressed its preference that no Hanford tank waste would be shipped to the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) (74 FR 67189), DOE now prefers to consider the option to retrieve, treat, and package waste that may be properly and legally designated as mixed transuranic (TRU) waste from specific tanks for disposal at WIPP, as analyzed in Tank Closure Alternatives 3A, 3B, 3C, 4, and 5. Initiating retrieval of tank waste identified as mixed TRU waste would be contingent on DOE's obtaining the applicable disposal and other necessary permits and ensuring that the WIPP Waste Acceptance Criteria and all other applicable regulatory requirements have been met. Retrieval of tank waste identified as mixed TRU waste would commence only after DOE had issued a *Federal Register* notice of its preferred alternative and a ROD.

FFTF Decommissioning

There are three FFTF Decommissioning alternatives from which the Preferred Alternative was identified: (1) No Action, (2) Entombment, and (3) Removal. DOE's Preferred Alternative for FFTF Decommissioning is Alternative 2: Entombment, which would remove all above-grade structures, including the reactor building. Below-grade structures, the reactor vessel, piping, and other components would remain in place and be filled with grout to immobilize the remaining radioactive and hazardous constituents. Waste generated from these activities would be disposed of in an IDF, and an engineered modified RCRA Subtitle C barrier would be constructed over the filled area. The remote-handled special components would be processed at Idaho National Laboratory and returned to Hanford. Bulk sodium inventories would be processed at Hanford for use in the WTP.

Waste Management

Three Waste Management alternatives were identified for the proposed actions: (1) Alternative 1: No Action, under which all onsite LLW and MLLW would be treated and disposed of in the existing lined Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Ground 218-W-5 trenches and no offsite waste would be accepted; (2) Alternative 2, which would continue treatment of onsite LLW and MLLW in expanded, existing facilities and dispose of onsite and previously treated, offsite LLW and MLLW in a single IDF (IDF-East); and (3) Alternative 3, which also would continue treatment of onsite LLW and MLLW in expanded, existing facilities, but would dispose of onsite and previously treated offsite LLW and MLLW in two IDFs (IDF-East and IDF-West). DOE's Preferred Alternative for waste management is Alternative 2, disposal of onsite LLW and MLLW streams in a single IDF (IDF-East). Disposal of SST closure waste that is not highly contaminated, such as rubble, soils, and ancillary equipment, in the proposed River Protection Project Disposal Facility (RPPDF) is also included under this alternative. After completion of disposal activities, IDF-East and the proposed RPPDF would be landfill-closed under an engineered modified RCRA Subtitle C barrier. The final EIS analyses show that, even when mitigation is applied to certain offsite waste streams (e.g., removal of most of the iodine-129), some environmental impacts of small quantities of iodine-129 would still occur and, therefore, limitations for that constituent should apply regardless of the alternative selected.

DOE will continue to defer the importation of offsite waste to Hanford, at least until the WTP is operational, subject to appropriate NEPA review and consistent with its previous Preferred Alternative for waste management (74 FR 67189). The limitations and exemptions defined in DOE's January 6, 2006, Settlement Agreement with the State of Washington (as amended on June 5, 2008) regarding *State of Washington v. Bodman* (Civil No. 2:03-cv-05018-AAM), signed by DOE, Ecology, the Washington State Attorney General's Office, and the U.S. Department of Justice, will remain in place.

This *Final TC & WM EIS* contains revisions and new information based in part on comments received on the *Draft TC & WM EIS*. Sidebars in the margins indicate the locations of these revisions and new information. Minor editorial changes are not marked. Volume 3 contains the comments received on the draft EIS and DOE's responses to the comments. DOE will use the analysis presented in this final EIS, as well as other information, in preparing one or more RODs. DOE will issue a ROD no sooner than 30 days after EPA publishes a Notice of Availability of this *Final TC & WM EIS* in the *Federal Register*.

***Final Tank Closure and Waste Management
Environmental Impact Statement
for the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington
(Final TC & WM EIS)***

***Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology)
Foreword***

Summary

Ecology believes that the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and its contractor have prepared a *Final TC & WM EIS* that presents many important issues for discussion. Ecology's involvement in the production of this *TC & WM EIS* shows that this document has benefited from quality reviews and quality assurance procedures. In addition, this document benefited from public comments, and important additions were made in regard to mitigation measures and sensitivity studies.

The single best thing this document does is to clearly indicate the severity of the environmental impacts (both current and future) associated with the waste at the Hanford Site (Hanford), and, as such, DOE and its environmental impact statement (EIS) contractor should be commended for their factual representation.

The information in this document will help shed light on many key decisions that remain to be made about Hanford cleanup. To Ecology, the results of this EIS clearly indicate that some basic tenets concerning future Hanford cleanup are needed to reduce the impacts. They include the following:

- Waste from the tanks needs to be removed to the maximum extent possible. It is not the shell of the tanks or the act of landfill closing that increases the environmental impacts, it is the extent of retrieval from the tanks and the amount of vadose zone remediation.
- Glass is the only acceptable waste form for immobilized low-activity waste (ILAW) that is going to be disposed of at Hanford. This is true for the low-activity waste (LAW) treated through the existing LAW Vitrification Facility and for the LAW treated in the additional supplemental LAW treatment facility. This *TC & WM EIS* shows that all other waste forms are not protective of the groundwater and Columbia River.
- Groundwater pump-and-treat systems will have to continue to treat the groundwater beneath the Central Plateau for a long time after the tank waste has been retrieved and treated.
- A new emphasis should be placed on remediating problematic soil contamination in and beneath the tank farms and in other Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) waste sites in the Central Plateau to limit further groundwater impacts; this would include development of vadose zone remediation methods.
- Hanford's existing waste burden exceeds the capacity of the natural and engineered environment to attenuate it. Therefore, poorly performing waste forms and offsite waste should be eliminated as waste management options.
- As DOE and Ecology have indicated consistently throughout the *TC & WM EIS* development process, certain secondary waste from the Waste Treatment Plant (WTP) must be treated and immobilized to a greater extent to protect groundwater. The performance criteria for secondary waste must be improved beyond a grouted waste form.

- Hanford should embrace the use of a Central Plateau cumulative risk tool to ensure that all individual remediation decisions are protective in aggregate.

Ecology expects DOE to consider our input through this foreword, as well as through our comments made during the public comment process. Ecology worked with DOE with the intent of helping to produce a final EIS that fully informs future decision making. Ecology will continue to work with DOE as it develops the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Record of Decision (ROD) and the important mitigation action plan. As defined in our cooperating agency Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), Ecology expects to be fully involved in the preparation of the ROD.

I. Introduction

Ecology has been a cooperating agency with DOE since 2002 in the production of both the *Draft* and this *Final TC & WM EIS*, as well as a coauthor in the preceding *Tank Waste Remediation System, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington, Final Environmental Impact Statement (TWRS EIS)*. DOE prepared this EIS to meet the requirements of NEPA. In addition, Ecology has reviewed this EIS to ensure important sections can be adopted to satisfy the requirements of the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) to support our permitting processes. The information in this EIS will help inform Ecology and others about critical future cleanup decisions impacting Hanford's closure. When Ecology makes decisions through its permitting process, Ecology will look to this *Final TC & WM EIS* and, if appropriate, adopt portions. Ecology will use the information to develop mitigating permit conditions.

Ecology provided comments regarding the *Draft TC & WM EIS* to document areas of agreement or concern with this EIS and to assist the public in their review. Public and regulator input on the *Draft TC & WM EIS* were critical for the completion of an acceptable *Final TC & WM EIS*.

In this *Final TC & WM EIS*, Ecology issued a revised foreword to comment on the EIS key findings, DOE's Preferred Alternatives, and disposition of Ecology's comments on the *Draft TC & WM EIS*. Ecology has also issued this revised foreword to discuss Ecology's position on certain issues and future needed mitigation actions.

II. Ecology's Role as a Cooperating Agency

Ecology has been a cooperating agency in the preparation of this EIS. A state agency may be a cooperating agency on a Federal EIS when the agency has jurisdiction by law over, or specialized expertise concerning, a major Federal action under evaluation in the EIS.

As a cooperating agency, Ecology did not coauthor or direct the production of this EIS. Ecology did have access to certain data and information as this document was being prepared by DOE and its contractor. Our roles and responsibilities in this process were defined in an MOU between Ecology and DOE.

DOE retained responsibility for making final decisions in the preparation of this *Final TC & WM EIS*, as well as for determining the Preferred Alternatives presented in this EIS. However, Ecology's participation as a cooperating agency enabled us to help formulate the alternatives presented in this *TC & WM EIS*.

Ecology's involvement as a cooperating agency—and the current scope of this *Final TC & WM EIS*—is grounded in a series of events.

On November 8, 2002, DOE asked Ecology to be a cooperating agency on the "Environmental Impact Statement for Retrieval, Treatment, and Disposal of Tank Waste and Closure of Single-Shell Tanks at the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington," known as the "Tank Closure EIS." On November 27, 2002, Ecology formally agreed. The March 25, 2003, MOU outlines the respective agency roles and responsibilities.

While the “Tank Closure EIS” was being developed, another DOE EIS, the *Draft Hanford Site Solid (Radioactive and Hazardous) Waste Program Environmental Impact Statement, Richland, Washington (HSW EIS)*, was in the review stage. Among other matters, the *HSW EIS* examined the impacts of disposal at Hanford of certain volumes of radioactive waste and mixed radioactive and hazardous waste, including waste generated from beyond Hanford.

In March 2003, Ecology filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court seeking to prevent the importation and storage of certain offsite transuranic (TRU) and mixed TRU wastes that DOE had decided to send to Hanford prior to issuance of the *Final HSW EIS*. Ecology and intervening plaintiffs obtained a preliminary injunction against these shipments.

In January 2004, DOE issued the *Final HSW EIS*. Based on the *Final HSW EIS*, DOE amended a ROD that directed offsite radioactive and hazardous wastes to Hanford (within certain volume limits) for disposal and/or storage. In response, Ecology amended its lawsuit to challenge the adequacy of the *HSW EIS* analysis.

In May 2005, the U.S. District Court expanded the existing preliminary injunction to enjoin a broader class of waste and to grant Ecology a discovery period to further explore issues with the *HSW EIS*.

In January 2006, DOE and Ecology signed a Settlement Agreement, ending litigation on the *HSW EIS* and addressing concerns found in the *HSW EIS* quality assurance review during the discovery period. The Settlement Agreement called for expanding the scope of the “Tank Closure EIS” to provide a single, integrated set of analyses of (1) tank closure impacts considered in the “Tank Closure EIS” and (2) the disposal of all waste types considered in the *Final HSW EIS*. The Settlement Agreement also called for an integrated cumulative impacts analysis.

Under the Settlement Agreement, the “Tank Closure EIS” was renamed this *TC & WM EIS*. Ecology’s existing MOU with DOE was revised along with the Settlement Agreement so that Ecology remained a cooperating agency on the expanded *TC & WM EIS*.

The Settlement Agreement defined specific tasks to address concerns Ecology had with the *HSW EIS*. DOE has now revised information and implemented quality assurance measures used in this *TC & WM EIS* related to the solid-waste portion of the analysis. Ecology and its contractors have performed discrete quality assurance reviews of that information to help confirm that the quality assurance processes of DOE’s EIS contractor have been followed.

Based on Ecology’s involvement throughout the years of EIS development, we believe that positive changes have been made to address data quality shortcomings in the *HSW EIS*. These specifically relate to the following:

- The data used in analyzing impacts on groundwater
- The integration of analyses of all waste types that DOE may dispose of at Hanford
- The adequacy of the cumulative impacts analysis

Ecology reviewed the *Draft TC & WM EIS* and this *Final TC & WM EIS*. In our reviews, we confirmed that the terms of the Settlement Agreement have been addressed to our satisfaction.

III. Regulatory Relationships and SEPA

Now that this *TC & WM EIS* has been finalized, Ecology will proceed with approving regulatory actions required to complete the Hanford cleanup. These include actions under the (1) Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order, also known as the Tri-Party Agreement (TPA), and (2) *State of Washington v. Chu* (Civil No. 2:08-cv-05085-FVS) Consent Decree, as well as actions that require state permits or modifications to existing permits, such as the Hanford Dangerous Waste Sitewide Permit. This

permit regulates hazardous waste treatment, storage, and disposal activity at Hanford, including actions such as tank closure and supplemental treatment for tank waste.

Ecology must comply with SEPA when undertaking permitting actions. It is Ecology's sense that this *Final TC & WM EIS* will be suitable for adoption in whole or in part to satisfy SEPA. It is Ecology's plan to adopt in part portions of this *Final TC & WM EIS* when needed for individual permitting actions.

In addition, Ecology will have a substantial role in establishing standards and methods for the cleanup of contaminated soil and groundwater at Hanford, including areas that are regulated under hazardous waste corrective action authority and/or under CERCLA through a CERCLA ROD. Information developed in this EIS will thus be useful in other applications for the cleanup of Hanford.

IV. DOE's Responses to Ecology's Comments on the *Draft TC & WM EIS*

Ecology submitted comments on the *Draft TC & WM EIS* with a cover letter from Jane Hedges, Program Manager of Ecology's Nuclear Waste Program. These comments were discussed in detail with DOE and the EIS contractor. Many of our comments resulted in changes and additions in this *Final TC & WM EIS*. All of our comments were resolved to our satisfaction. Our comments and DOE's responses to those comments can be seen in the Comment-Response Document, Section 3.1, at Commentor No. 498.

V. Preferred Alternatives

This *Final TC & WM EIS* considers three sets of actions: tank waste treatment and tank farm closure, Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) decommissioning, and waste management. The Preferred Alternatives are summarized in this section. DOE's Preferred Alternative decisions with which Ecology disagrees are discussed in this section under Area of Disagreement; those Ecology generally agrees with are discussed in the subsequent section VI of this foreword.

The Preferred Alternatives for the three sets of actions can be summarized as follows:

Tank Waste Treatment and Tank Farm Closure:

- Retrieval of at least 99 percent of the waste from each tank.
- Landfill closure of the tank farms.
- Possible soil removal or treatment of the vadose zone.
- DOE chose to not identify a preferred alternative for supplemental treatment needed to treat that portion of LAW that the WTP, as currently designed, does not have the capacity to treat in a reasonable timeframe.

FFTF Decommissioning:

- All above-grade structures, including the reactor building, would be removed.
- Below-grade structures, the reactor vessel, piping, and other components would remain in place and be filled with grout to immobilize the remaining radioactive and hazardous constituents (FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2: Entombment).
- Waste generated from these activities would be disposed of in an Integrated Disposal Facility (IDF), and an engineered modified Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Subtitle C barrier would be placed on top.
- Bulk sodium inventories would be processed at Hanford.

Waste Management:

- Onsite low-level radioactive waste (LLW) and mixed low-level radioactive waste (MLLW) streams would be disposed of in a single 200-East Area IDF (IDF-East) under a modified RCRA Subtitle C barrier.
- Single-shell tank (SST) closure waste that is not highly contaminated would be disposed of in the River Protection Project Disposal Facility (RPPDF) under a modified RCRA Subtitle C barrier.
- This final EIS shows that, even when mitigation is applied to offsite waste, environmental impacts would still occur. DOE is deferring the decision on the importation of offsite waste at Hanford, at least until the WTP is operational, subject to appropriate NEPA review. The limitations and exemptions defined in DOE's January 6, 2006, Settlement Agreement with the State of Washington (as amended on June 5, 2008), signed by DOE, Ecology, the Washington State Attorney General's Office, and the U.S. Department of Justice, regarding *State of Washington v. Bodman* (Civil No. 2:03-cv-05018-AAM) will remain in place.

Area of Disagreement:

Ecology agrees with a majority of the Preferred Alternative choices made in this *Final TC & WM EIS*, except for DOE's decision to omit a preferred supplemental treatment alternative from this *Final TC & WM EIS*. This omission leaves this EIS incomplete. This omission is not supported by (and is contrary to) the analysis in this *TC & WM EIS*, which clearly supports a second LAW vitrification alternative as the only environmentally protective option for supplemental treatment. Further, the cost comparisons in this EIS show that all the various options are cost neutral, so any assumptions about potential cost savings in choosing other treatment options are invalid.

As a cooperating agency on this *TC & WM EIS*, Ecology encourages DOE to select a preferred alternative in the ROD that includes a supplemental treatment decision. Ecology prefers an alternative that is similar to Tank Closure Alternative 2B or, at the very least, Alternative 2A. It is essential that ILAW to be disposed of above groundwater and upstream from the Columbia River be vitrified to ensure the water and future users will be protected from the tank waste constituents.

Alternative 2B is consistent with the TPA and the *State of Washington v. Chu* Consent Decree. Also, Alternative 2B does not extend the mission as far as Alternative 2A. Alternatives 2A and 2B both support the retrieval of waste from all the tanks, treatment of all that waste, and a defined end of mission.

Ecology is concerned that, by choosing vague language in this *Final TC & WM EIS* concerning supplemental treatment, DOE is bringing into question its previous commitments about when and if all of the waste will be removed from the SSTs and when and if all the tank waste will be treated. This puts into question the end of mission for tank waste treatment. Because such an undefined scenario was not analyzed in any of the alternatives in this *TC & WM EIS*, related impacts are not visible to decision makers or the public. There are several milestone dates that were critical components of the Consent Decree settlement that resolved the *State of Washington v. Chu* lawsuit. We believe DOE's failure to identify a preferred alternative in this *Final TC & WM EIS* will jeopardize compliance with these dates.

DOE has invested 10 years and \$85 million, and Ecology has provided significant effort in cooperating agency review and consultation in producing this *TC & WM EIS*. Ecology expects that investment should result in a *Final TC & WM EIS* that supports making a supplemental treatment decision. We are especially concerned because the *Draft TC & WM EIS* identified no data gaps and gave no indication of DOE's intent to delay a decision on supplemental treatment. Further, no analysis in the *Preliminary Final TC & WM EIS* reviewed by Ecology identified gaps in the supplemental treatment data, nor did the analysis support a delay in making a supplemental treatment decision. No public comment received on the *Draft TC & WM EIS* encouraged DOE to delay selecting a preferred alternative.

If DOE does not select a preferred alternative for supplemental tank waste treatment, we request that it identify the following:

- The data it is using to make this decision and where is it documented in this *TC & WM EIS*.
- Any data gaps in this *TC & WM EIS* and how those gaps will be addressed in the future.
- Additional data it is analyzing to aid it in making the decision.
- The NEPA documentation DOE will use to analyze and support supplemental waste treatment selection. Will it be an additional EIS? How will DOE reconcile the timing of future NEPA documentation and TPA supplemental treatment milestones?

VI. Ecology Insights on Alternatives Considered, EIS Key Findings, and Needed Mitigation Measures

This *Final TC & WM EIS* considers 17 alternatives. Ecology's insights, technical perspectives, and legal and policy perspectives are provided below. Areas of agreement with DOE and points of concern are noted.

SST Waste Retrieval and Tank Farm Closure

Ecology believes that DOE has presented an appropriate range of alternatives for evaluating tank waste retrieval and tank closure impacts. However, based on the hazardous waste tank closure standards of the "Dangerous Waste Regulations" (WAC 173-303-610(2)) and the TPA requirements, Ecology supports only alternatives that involve tank waste retrieval to the maximum extent possible or 99 percent, whichever is greater, from each of the 149 SSTs. An acceptable performance assessment is essential in establishing a clear understanding of the risks and benefits of this retrieval goal. This assessment will be an important part of any specific tank farm closure plan permitting actions.

The analysis in this final EIS, including the new mitigation section, shows that the two most important factors in tank farm closure are (1) maximizing tank waste retrieval and (2) vadose zone remediation of specifically identified hot spots of contamination. Specific vadose zone mitigation will be addressed in specific tank farm closure plan permitting actions.

While DOE has identified the Preferred Alternative for tank closure as including landfill closure, it is important to point out that the specific details of how a tank farm will be closed will be identified in each tank farm closure plan permit. These closure plans will be subject to public comment and agency response before landfill decisions can be implemented.

High-Level Radioactive Waste Disposal

High-level radioactive waste (HLW) associated with the tank waste includes, but may not be limited to, immobilized high-level radioactive waste (IHLW) and HLW melters (both retired and failed). It has been DOE's longstanding plan to store these wastes at Hanford and then ship them off site and dispose of them in a deep geologic repository. The idea was that the nature of the geology would isolate the waste and protect humans from exposure to these very long-lived, lethal radionuclides. The Nuclear Waste Policy Act (NWPA) indicates that these waste streams require permanent isolation. By contrast, the ILAW glass, and perhaps other waste streams, may not require deep geologic disposal due to the level of pretreatment resulting in radionuclide removal and the degree of immobilization provided for in the ILAW glass.

However, the final decision on HLW disposal has recently become an issue with significant uncertainty. This *Final TC & WM EIS* contains the following statement:

The Secretary of Energy has determined that a Yucca Mountain repository is not a workable option for permanent disposal of spent nuclear fuel (SNF) and HLW. However, DOE remains committed to meeting its obligations to manage and ultimately dispose of these materials. The Administration has convened the Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future (BRC) to conduct a comprehensive review of policies for managing the back end of the nuclear fuel cycle, including all alternatives for the storage, processing, and disposal of SNF and HLW. The BRC's final recommendations will form the basis of a new solution to managing and disposing of SNF and HLW.

The State of Washington asserts that there is only one legal process in place for developing a geologic repository, which is provided by the NWPA. Under the NWPA, only Congress can take Yucca Mountain off the table. The convening of the BRC to examine alternatives to Yucca Mountain and recommend possible amendments to the NWPA cannot substitute for a process already provided by law. Legally, Yucca Mountain is still the location for the deep geologic repository.

The NWPA requires permanent isolation of these most difficult waste streams. Leaving these wastes stored at Hanford indefinitely is not a legal option or an acceptable option to the State of Washington.

Ecology is concerned about the glass standards and canister requirements for the IHLW. These standards were developed based on what was acceptable for Yucca Mountain. Now that Yucca Mountain is no longer DOE's assumed disposal location, Ecology is concerned about what standards for glass and canisters will be utilized by the WTP. Ecology insists that DOE implement the most conservative approach in these two areas to guarantee that the glass and canister configurations adopted at the WTP will be acceptable at the future deep geologic repository.

In addition, Ecology maintains that DOE should build and operate adequate interim storage capacity for the IHLW and the HLW melters in a manner that does not slow down the treatment of tank waste.

This *Final TC & WM EIS* assumes that the used (both retired and failed) HLW melters are HLW and, therefore, should be disposed of in a deep geologic repository. This EIS also assumes that the used HLW melters will stay on site before shipment to such a repository. DOE has not requested, and Ecology has not accepted, long-term interim storage of used HLW melters at Hanford.

The final disposal of these melters should be in a deep geologic repository. This EIS evaluates only storage of the HLW melters and not the disposal pathway. The disposal pathway for the used melters (both retired and failed) will require further evaluation than is presented in this *Final TC & WM EIS*. Ecology and DOE will need to reach a mutual understanding and agreement on the regulatory framework for disposal.

Pretreatment of Tank Waste

This *Final TC & WM EIS* includes numerous alternatives that pretreat tank waste to separate the high-activity components and direct them to an HLW stream. The HLW stream will be vitrified, resulting in a glass waste product that will be sent to a deep geologic repository. However, this final EIS has one alternative (not the Preferred Alternative) that provides no pretreatment for some portion of the waste in the 200-West Area.

As a legal and policy issue, Ecology does not agree with alternatives that do not require pretreatment of the tank waste. Such alternatives do not meet the intent of the NWPA to remove as many of the fission products and radionuclides as possible to concentrate them in the HLW stream. For this reason, Ecology requests that DOE rule out any alternative that does not pretreat tank waste.

TRU Tank Waste

This *Final TC & WM EIS* considers the option of treating waste from specific tanks as mixed TRU waste and sending it to the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP). This final EIS also considers WTP processing of the waste from these specific tanks.

Ecology is concerned by DOE's current approach to the potential mixed TRU tank waste. Prior to public comment on the *Draft TC & WM EIS*, DOE issued a statement in the Federal Register (74 FR 67189) that indicated that it was no longer considering sending Hanford tank waste to WIPP:

DOE is now expressing its preference that no Hanford tank wastes would be shipped to WIPP. These wastes would be retrieved and treated in the Waste Treatment Plant (WTP) being constructed at Hanford. The State of Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology), a cooperating agency on the EIS, has revised its Foreword to the Draft EIS in response to this modification to the preferred alternative for tank waste.

For this reason, Ecology did not comment on this approach during public comment, and no public meeting was held in New Mexico.

However, this *Final TC & WM EIS* reversed this course and is now supporting the idea of some tank waste being classified as TRU waste and being packaged for disposal at WIPP. Ecology has concerns that there may be significant public concern regarding this path forward that has not been given the opportunity to be voiced, particularly since the public meetings in New Mexico were canceled.

Ecology has legal and technical concerns with any tank waste being classified as mixed TRU waste at this time. DOE must provide peer-reviewed data and a strong, defensible, technically and legally detailed justification for the designation of any tank waste as mixed TRU waste, rather than as HLW. DOE must also complete the WIPP certification process and assure Ecology that there is a viable disposal pathway (i.e., permit approval from the State of New Mexico and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency) before Ecology will modify the Hanford Sitewide Permit to allow tank waste to be treated as mixed TRU waste. Further, Ecology is concerned with the cost benefit viability of an approach that sends a relatively minor amount of tank waste to WIPP, given the cost it would take to secure the disposal path, and to construct and operate the drying facility for the TRU tank waste.

Supplemental Treatment

In this *Final TC & WM EIS*, DOE considers additions to the treatment processes that the WTP would use; specifically, technologies to supplement the WTP's treatment of LAW. Because the WTP as currently designed does not have the capacity to treat the entire volume of LAW in a reasonable timeframe, additional LAW treatment capacity is needed. In section V of this foreword, we describe DOE's approach to delay the decision on supplemental treatment and describe Ecology's significant concern over that approach. In this section, we provide further information on our concerns.

Ecology is stating that this EIS and ROD should make a decision on supplemental treatment; that the only viable choice is the second LAW Vitrification Facility; and that to delay the decision in this EIS will endanger future tank waste milestones and commitments.

Vitrification Options:

Ecology agrees that evaluation of additional LAW vitrification treatment capacity as part of the scope of this EIS was needed. An additional supplemental LAW treatment system is necessary to treat all the tank waste in a reasonable amount of time. Ecology fully supports the *Final TC & WM EIS* alternative that assumes a second LAW Vitrification Facility would provide additional waste processing. Building a second LAW Vitrification Facility has consistently been Ecology's and DOE's baseline approach.

Ecology is supportive of a second LAW Vitrification Facility as the Preferred Alternative in the ROD for the following reasons:

- LAW vitrification is a mature technology that is ready to be implemented with no further testing.
- LAW vitrification produces a well-understood waste form that is extremely protective of the environment (the bulk vitrification waste form is not as protective and the waste form performance data show that cast stone and steam reforming are the least protective forms).

Ecology's measuring stick for a successful supplemental treatment technology has always been whether it is "as good as glass" (from the WTP).

Bulk vitrification is a type of vitrification; however, data from the last bulk vitrification experimental testing indicate waste form performance and technology implementation issues. There has been a lack of significant progress on advancing a bulk vitrification test facility for actual waste. The environmental results from the waste form performance presented in this *Final TC & WM EIS* indicate that LAW vitrification is superior to bulk vitrification. A recently published DOE report indicates that a second LAW Vitrification Facility would be preferable.

Cast Stone and Steam Reforming Options:

Ecology is not supportive of alternatives that consider supplemental treatment methods that are not vitrification. This issue was addressed during the *State of Washington v. Chu* settlement negotiations and resolved with a series of target milestones, to become enforceable after the 2015 TPA negotiations on supplemental treatment, which dictate the schedule for a "Supplemental Treatment Vitrification Facility" (see TPA Milestones M-62-31-T01 through M-62-34-T01 and Milestone M-62-45). Specifically related to the cast stone (grout) and steam reforming alternatives, Ecology has waste form performance and technical concerns. From a technical standpoint, the waste treatment processes of steam reforming and cast stone would not provide adequate primary-waste forms for disposal of tank waste in onsite landfills. This has been the subject of a previous DOE down-select process, in which Ecology and other participants rated these treatment technologies as low in performance. This final EIS shows that the waste form performance of both cast stone and steam reforming would be inadequate. These alternatives do not merit any further review.

Specifically related to the steam reforming alternative, Ecology has technical concerns about the *Draft* and *Final TC & WM EIS* assumptions regarding contaminant partitioning and its effects on waste form performance. Additionally, recent testing (2009 to 2011) on steam reforming development has shown that the technology readiness is very low, the mass balance cannot be closed, cost savings assumptions have evaporated, and waste performance is still undetermined. In addition, there have been operational off-normal events in 2012 in an Idaho steam reforming plant that raise many operations and safety questions. DOE should not include steam reforming as part of the Preferred Alternative and no further studies are warranted.

Washington State is particularly concerned with the recent re-emergence of cast stone or grout as the favored choice for treating LAW. Because this re-emergence coincides with the vague-language change about a preferred alternative for supplemental treatment in this *TC & WM EIS*, Ecology would like to recap the important history of grouting tank waste at Hanford.

For the past two decades, the citizens of the Northwest have vigorously opposed grouting LAW. Their concerns included waste form performance and the increased waste volume (twice as much as ILAW glass) that would create increased disposal needs and associated costs.

Important information on grout and cast stone waste form performance history includes the following:

- The Hanford Waste Task Force, a stakeholder advisory group, concluded that “grout doesn’t adequately protect public, workers, and environment” and that “reduction of waste volume was an issue for grout” because grout increases final-waste-form volume significantly. (*Final Report of the Hanford Waste Task Force, Appendix F, 1993.*)
- DOE’s 1995 waste form performance assessment resulted in identification of three constituents that would ultimately violate drinking water standards if grout is used. The three constituents (nitrate, iodine-129, and technetium-99) violated drinking water standards before and after the 10,000-year analysis timeframe. (*Performance Assessment of Grouted Double Shell Tank Waste Disposal at Hanford, 1995, WHC-SD-WM-EE-004 Rev. 1.*)
- The 2003–2006 supplemental treatment down-select showed that cast stone would not be appropriate for LAW treatment because it would significantly impact the groundwater, i.e., above drinking water standards, and would not be “as good as glass.” Roy Schepens, Office of River Protection Manager, defined the term “as good as glass” in his letter to Mike Wilson, Ecology (June 12, 2003), as follows:

The waste form resulting from treatment must meet the same qualifications of those imposed for the expected glass form produced by the Waste Treatment Plant (WTP). We expect all waste forms produced from any supplemental technology to: (1) perform over the specified time period as well as, or better than WTP vitrified waste; (2) be equally protective of the environment as WTP glass; (3) meet LDR [land disposal restrictions] requirements for hazardous waste constituents; (4) meet or exceed all appropriate performance requirements for glass, including those identified in the WTP contract, Immobilized Low Activity Waste (ILAW) Interface Control Documents, and ILAW Performance Assessment.

- The 2009 *Draft* and 2011 *Preliminary Final TC & WM EIS* indicated that the environmental performance of the grouted waste form would not meet required standards and that grout actually performed the worst of all the supplemental treatment options considered.
- In 2012, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued a report, *Technical Evaluation Report for the Revised Performance Assessment for the Saltstone Disposal Facility at the Savannah River Site, South Carolina*, exposing issues related to long-term performance of the resulting waste form.

Based on this history and the results of this *Final TC & WM EIS*, no further consideration of grout or cast stone is warranted.

Cost Comparisons:

We believe that credible cost comparisons have been made in a number of documents and that all current data, including that in this EIS, do not demonstrate marked cost reductions, nor have our experiences with other technologies (bulk vitrification) at Hanford demonstrated significant cost reductions. The cost information is included in the following:

- In the mid-1990s, recognizing the broad-based public concern about grout and the potential for LAW vitrification at costs that appeared similar to those for grout on a grand scale, Washington State opted for vitrification when negotiating a new set of milestones for tank waste treatment. In return, Washington agreed to DOE’s desire to delay construction of the Hanford Waste Vitrification Plant [the treatment plant prior to the WTP] for budgetary reasons and other DOE sites competing for the same resources.

- DOE's 2003 report, *Assessment of Low-Activity Waste (LAW) Treatment and Disposal Scenarios for the River Protection Project (RPP)*, did not show a favorable grout waste treatment cost estimate.
- DOE's 2007 report, *Hanford River Protection Project Low Activity Waste Treatment: A Business Case Evaluation*, examined the cost and viability of implementing cast stone, bulk vitrification, and steam reforming waste treatment. The report stated that "cost differences between Business Cases 2 through 7 are unlikely to be the major factor in selecting a supplemental LAW technology."

In the report, all the technologies were cost neutral when compared to each other and to ILAW glass. The report went on to comment on the added time and cost that would be required to bring the supplemental technologies up to the technology readiness level of ILAW glass.

- The 2009 *Draft* and 2011 *Preliminary Final TC & WM EIS*, which have gone through extensive DOE and external review, indicate that the costs are relatively equivalent for ILAW glass and grouted LAW approaches.

Summary of Important History of Tank Waste Treatment:

This summary provides select relevant history on issues related to Hanford tank waste treatment that should be considered before the *TC & WM EIS* decision on supplemental treatment is finalized in the ROD.

- The 1996 *TWRS EIS*, which Ecology coauthored with DOE, resulted in a ROD that committed to some important actions, including the following:
 - Treating all of the tank waste
 - Pretreating and separating the tank waste so that some of the tank HLW can be disposed of in a near-surface landfill, while the remainder is disposed of in a deep geologic repository
 - Vitrifying the pretreated LAW portion prior to near-surface disposal and vitrifying the HLW portion for deep geologic disposal
 - Removing all of the retrievable waste out of the tanks

Because the *TWRS EIS* ROD will be superseded by the *TC & WM EIS* ROD, it is important to the State of Washington that DOE stand by its commitments to these actions.

- In 1997, NRC issued a determination that a portion of Hanford tank waste could be considered waste incidental to reprocessing and, therefore, could be disposed of in a near-surface landfill. The tank waste treatment system for 177 tanks included the following:
 - Solids leaching, complexant destruction, liquid–solids separation, and cesium ion exchange to separate tank waste into HLW and incidental waste fractions
 - Vitrification (glass) for treatment and disposal of the incidental waste fraction

NRC stated that the determination of the proposed LAW fraction as incidental waste is a provisional agreement. If the Hanford tank waste is not managed using a program comparable to the technical basis analyzed in the reference letter, NRC must revisit the waste determination (Paperiello [1997], NRC, to J. Kinzer, DOE). Changing the methods of pretreatment, the

near-surface disposal location, or the form of treatment for LAW from vitrification to something new would invalidate the incidental waste determination, and a new analysis would be necessary.

- Between 2003 and 2006, Washington State agreed to allow DOE to consider alternative supplemental treatment approaches as long as they performed “as good as glass.” DOE stated that its goal was to identify alternative approaches that were faster and cheaper, but still performed just as well as glass. This effort examined many different technologies; however, in the end, no viable approaches have been identified.
- In the Consent Decree settlement that resolved *State of Washington v. Chu*, Civil No. 2:08-cv-05085-FVS, we agreed to the following:
 - A delay in the end of tank waste treatment from 2028 to no later than 2047
 - A delay in final waste removal from SSTs from 2018 to no later than 2040
 - A schedule for supplemental treatment to be online by 2022

As outlined above, the State of Washington asserts that the milestones resulting from these negotiations dictate that supplemental treatment be some form of vitrification.

Secondary Waste from Tank Waste Treatment

This *Final TC & WM EIS* evaluates the impacts of disposing of secondary waste that would result from tank waste treatment. Ecology agrees with DOE that secondary waste from the WTP and from supplemental treatment operations will need additional mitigation before disposal. This assumption is not reflected in (and, in fact, is contradicted by) the current DOE baseline, which does not identify additional mitigation.

The new mitigation section in this final EIS outlines the requirement for treatment standards for the secondary waste. This was an important addition to this EIS. Chapter 7, Section 7.5.2.8, and Appendix M, Section M.5.7.5, discuss a number of options for improving grout performance for secondary waste. At an infiltration rate of 3.5 millimeters per year, lowering the diffusivity for grout by two orders of magnitude (i.e., from 1×10^{-10} to 1×10^{-12} square centimeters per second) would decrease the contribution of Effluent Treatment Facility-generated secondary waste by a factor of 100, thus deleting this waste from the list of dominant contributors to risk.

DOE has not determined what the secondary-waste treatment would be, but DOE and its contractor are evaluating various treatment options. These treatment options should meet at least the performance standard (1×10^{-12} square centimeters per second) identified in this final EIS. This will have to be refined and verified through the risk budget tool mitigation measures required in the IDF permit.

Tank Waste Treatment Flowsheet

In preparing this *Final TC & WM EIS*, some assumptions were made about highly technical issues, such as the tank waste treatment flowsheet, which is a representation of how much of which constituent would end up in which waste form and in what amount.

Certain constituents, such as technetium-99 and iodine-129, are significant risk drivers because they are mobile in the environment and have long half-lives. This final EIS assumes that 20 percent of the iodine-129 from the tank waste would end up in vitrified glass and 80 percent in the grouted secondary waste. The same assumption was made for bulk vitrification glass and the WTP LAW Vitrification Facility waste glass.

Based on review of the *Final TC & WM EIS* contaminant flowsheets for the WTP and bulk vitrification, Ecology has technical concerns with this approach. The design configuration for the WTP indicates that

iodine-129 recycles past the melter multiple times, which leads to a higher retention in the glass and less in the secondary waste. Therefore, Ecology believes the retention rate of iodine-129 in the ILAW glass may be higher than that in the bulk vitrification glass. However, Ecology is aware that there is uncertainty in the actual glass retention results.

Through our cooperating agency interactions, DOE agreed to run a sensitivity analysis to show the information under a different approach. The sensitivity analysis in this *Final TC & WM EIS* shows that if recycling of iodine-129 is as effective as the WTP flowsheets indicate, then the WTP with a Bulk Vitrification Facility alternative would place 80 percent of iodine-129 in secondary waste (a less robust waste form). This can be compared to an alternative that includes a second LAW Vitrification Facility in addition to the WTP, which would place 30 percent of the iodine-129 in secondary waste. This 50 percent difference in capture reinforces Ecology's opinion that choosing Tank Closure Alternative 2B, which would use the WTP and a second LAW Vitrification Facility, would be most protective from a tank waste treatment perspective. This is one more reason that Ecology is supportive of Alternative 2B as the Preferred Alternative.

One key treatment mitigation identified in this final EIS is that both WTP and supplemental treatment must include recycle of key contaminants through the melter systems to maximize the retention of these constituents into the most robust waste forms.

Waste Release

This *Final TC & WM EIS* models contaminant releases from several different types of final waste forms, including the following:

- ILAW glass
- LAW melters (retired and failed)
- Waste in bulk vitrification boxes
- Steam reformed waste
- Grouted LAW from tank waste
- Grouted secondary waste
- Waste left in waste sites
- Grouted waste in the bottom of tanks
- Waste buried directly in landfills
- Waste that has been macroencapsulate

Ecology understands the methods and formulas used for the waste form release calculations (for all waste types). After reviewing the analysis approaches and contaminant release results for the waste forms identified above, Ecology agrees with most of the approaches used. The one area where Ecology has concerns is the steam reforming waste form release rates. Based on the limited test data available, the results in this final EIS may overestimate the contaminant retention in the steam reforming waste form.

Offsite Waste

DOE is decades behind its legal schedule in retrieving tank waste from the SSTs and years behind its legal schedule in completing construction of the WTP. DOE has not even begun treating Hanford's 207 million liters (54.6 million gallons) of tank waste.

Ecology is concerned about DOE maintaining its legal schedule for contact-handled TRU waste shipments for disposal at WIPP. Additionally, it is essential that DOE proceed with planning and development of a remote-handled TRU waste facility.

Large areas of Hanford's soil and groundwater are contaminated, and many of these areas will likely remain contaminated for generations to come, even after final cleanup remedies have been instituted.

In light of the current issues associated with a deep geologic disposal facility and DOE's attempt to terminate the Yucca Mountain program, it is unclear when close to 60 percent of the nation's HLW and more than 90 percent of the nation's defense-related SNF will leave the state of Washington.

Washington State is aware that, under DOE's plans, more curies of radioactivity would leave Hanford (in the form of vitrified HLW and processed TRU waste) than would be added to Hanford through proposed offsite-waste disposal. However, based on the current lack of waste movement from Hanford, the current state of Hanford's cleanup, and the analysis in this *Final TC & WM EIS*, Washington objects to the disposal at Hanford of additional wastes that have been generated from beyond Hanford.

As the *Draft* and *Final TC & WM EISs* show, disposal at Hanford of the proposed offsite waste would significantly increase groundwater impacts to beyond acceptable levels. Such disposal would add to the risk term at Hanford today, at a time when progress on reducing the bulk of Hanford's existing risk term has yet to be realized. DOE should take a conservative approach to ensure that the impact of proposed offsite-waste disposal, when added to other existing Hanford risks, does not result in exceeding the "reasonable expectation" standard of DOE's own performance objectives (DOE Manual 435.1-1, Section IV.P(1)) and of other environmental standards (e.g., drinking water standards). The additional analysis in this *Final TC & WM EIS*, including the mitigation section, clearly indicates that eliminating offsite-waste disposal at Hanford is the only environmentally appropriate action.

Washington State supports a "no offsite-waste disposal" alternative as the Preferred Alternative in this *Final TC & WM EIS*, to be adopted in a ROD. DOE should forgo offsite-waste disposal at Hanford (subject to the exceptions in the current *State of Washington v. Bodman* Settlement Agreement).

Waste Disposal Location Alternatives

Ecology agrees with DOE that a preferred alternative utilizing IDF-East appears better for long-term disposal of waste than locating the IDF in the 200-West Area (IDF-West) because of the faster rate of groundwater flow in the 200-East Area.

Climate Change

Additional qualitative discussion of the potential effects of climate change on human health, erosion, water resources, air quality, ecological resources, and environmental justice has been added to Chapter 6 of this final EIS. Additional discussion of the types of regional climate change that could be expected has also been added to Chapter 6, Section 6.5.2, Global Climate Change. Appendix V has also been expanded. In the *Draft TC & WM EIS*, Appendix V focused on the potential impacts of a rising water table from a proposed Black Rock Reservoir. Following the retraction of this proposal, the focus of Appendix V was changed to analysis of potential impacts of infiltration increases resulting from climate change under three different scenarios.

Vadose Zone Modeling

This *Final TC & WM EIS* uses the STOMP [Subsurface Transport Over Multiple Phases] modeling code for vadose zone modeling. Based on its current review, Ecology believes that the Hanford parameters used with this code are adequate for the purposes served by this EIS. Ecology notes that the *TC & WM EIS* STOMP modeling code parameters are based on a regional scale and may need to be adjusted for site-specific closure decisions or other Hanford assessments. Use of STOMP in other assessments requires careful technical review and consideration of site-specific parameters. Ecology supports the process that DOE used for the Waste Management Area C performance assessment workshops in determining appropriate site-specific parameters. These workshops included a broad level of participation with other agencies, tribal nations, and stakeholders.

Risk Assessment and Cumulative Impacts

This *Final TC & WM EIS* evaluates risk under the alternatives and in the cumulative impact analyses. The risk assessment modeling presented in this final EIS should not be interpreted as a Hanford sitewide comprehensive human health and ecological risk assessment, applied to the river corridor or other specific

Hanford areas. Specific Hanford areas will require unique site parameters that are applicable to that area's specific use.

This *Final TC & WM EIS* presents an evaluation of the cumulative environmental impacts of treatment and disposal of wastes at Hanford. The cumulative impact analyses allow DOE to consider the impacts of all cleanup actions it has taken or plans to take at Hanford.

Cumulative Risk Evaluation Tool

This *Final TC & WM EIS* indicates that Hanford's Central Plateau remediation is going to be a difficult balancing of the risks from many contamination sources. This final EIS also points out the need to make cleanup and mitigation decisions with the cumulative impacts in mind and not in isolation. It is clear from reading this EIS that contamination source remediation across the Central Plateau will have to be gauged against a tool that evaluates cumulative risks as they are determined. Another DOE document, *Status of Hanford Site Risk Assessment Integration, FY2005* (DOE/RL-2005-37), stated that the groundwater and the Columbia River are natural accumulation points for impacts from multiple sources. A comprehensive risk assessment capability is necessary to address the cumulative impacts on these resources. The proposed acceptable risk left in an individual site will have to be evaluated against such a cumulative evaluation tool prior to making final decisions. For this and other reasons, a significantly detailed mitigation action plan is required by this NEPA process. From the standpoint of SEPA, the plan will have to point to requirements in the TPA to drive the required mitigation actions and their integration. Ecology will work with DOE to incorporate new TPA requirements to accomplish the following:

- Comprehensively and transparently transfer the working files, vadose zone and groundwater modeling framework, and quality assurance and quality control requirements to the appropriate site contractor and responsible DOE agent to serve as the basis for all future modeling.
- Develop a work plan for continuing this modeling for the purpose of making overall Central Plateau risk decisions and site-specific remedial decisions.
- Identify a gap analysis to highlight areas that are currently not being addressed by a risk evaluation.
- Develop a Central Plateau cumulative risk evaluation tool.
- Develop site-specific risk assessments that are integrated with the Central Plateau cumulative risk evaluation tool.

Without these requirements and implementation of such future risk evaluation tools, future Hanford remediation has the potential to be random at best and not protective, as well as, in some places, to re-contaminate groundwater and vadose zone areas that have been remediated.

VII. Noteworthy Areas of Agreement

Ecology and DOE have discussed and reached agreement on the following significant issues and parameters for the purposes of this *Final TC & WM EIS*:

- Tank waste must be retrieved from tanks and immobilized.
- Secondary waste will need to be mitigated in waste forms that are more protective than grout to provide adequate protection.
- The best location for the IDF is in the 200-East Area.

- Waste from the tanks needs to be removed to the maximum extent possible.
- In many cases, vadose zone contamination under the tank farms will have to be mitigated to be protective of the groundwater and the Columbia River.
- Remediation of problematic soil contamination in the Central Plateau will be needed to limit further groundwater impacts; this would include development of vadose zone remediation methods.
- Eliminating or limiting offsite waste disposal at Hanford is the only legitimate approach.
- The manner in which DOE presents groundwater data and information (i.e., with graphics).
- The quality assurance requirements that DOE and Ecology identified in the *State of Washington v. Bodman* Settlement Agreement.
- The *Technical Guidance Document for Tank Closure Environmental Impact Statement Vadose Zone and Groundwater Revised Analyses* agreement, which focused on parameters shown to be important in groundwater analysis.
- The location of calculation points for contaminant concentrations in groundwater.
- The use of tank farm closure descriptions and alternatives analysis.
- The use of tank waste treatment descriptions and alternatives analysis.
- Inclusion of the US Ecology Commercial LLW Radioactive Waste Disposal Site and the cocooned reactors transported to the Central Plateau in the comprehensive cumulative impacts assessment.
- Overall modeling approaches for vadose zone and groundwater.
- The use of modeling assumptions for the double-shell tanks.
- Alternatives assumptions about how processes would treat existing wastes and generate other wastes during treatment processes, and how DOE would dispose of all of the wastes.
- The methods for evaluating and using waste inventory data.
- Release mechanisms for contaminants from various waste forms.
- An alternative in this *Final TC & WM EIS* that evaluates the impacts of treating and disposing of all tank waste and residue to meet the RCRA/Hazardous Waste Management Act HLW treatment standard of vitrification.
- The inventory assumptions used for the pre-1970 burial grounds.

Ecology's agreement on these issues and parameters is specifically for the purposes of this *Final TC & WM EIS* and is based on Ecology's current knowledge and best professional judgment.

***Final Tank Closure and Waste Management
Environmental Impact Statement for the
Hanford Site, Richland, Washington
(Final TC & WM EIS)***

**U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 10
Foreword**

After receiving the EPA comments on the *Draft TC & WM EIS*, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) wrote to the EPA, inviting the EPA to be a cooperating agency in the development of this *Final TC & WM EIS*. The two agencies signed a memorandum of understanding (MOU) in April 2011 to formalize the EPA's involvement as a cooperating agency and to define each agency's roles and responsibilities in the preparation of this final EIS. Prior to entering into the MOU, the EPA participated in two meetings organized by DOE, in April and October of 2010, to discuss the EPA's comments on the draft EIS and DOE's preliminary plans to address them.

The EPA was not involved in the development of the preliminary final EIS beyond the April and October 2010 meetings. When preliminary final EIS documents were released for review in August 2011, the limited timeframes for review necessitated our focused review on DOE's draft responses to the EPA's draft EIS comments and issues that the EPA considered important to address in this final EIS. This Foreword, therefore, reflects only a limited review of the preliminary and draft final EIS documents. Based on our limited review, the EPA has the following concerns regarding this *Final TC & WM EIS*:

Tank Closure and Waste Management

The EPA notes that the results of analyses of all Tank Closure alternatives in the preliminary and draft final EISs, including DOE's Preferred Alternative for tank closure, Tank Closure Alternative 2B, predict sustained release of contaminants to the environment, particularly to the vadose zone and to groundwater within the EIS analysis area. While we recognize the technical challenges associated with analyzing and addressing this problem, and that there are multiple sources of contaminants over time, we remain concerned about the potential impacts of sustained contaminant release to the vadose zone in the study area and migration to groundwater. We understand that the models used in this EIS to analyze impacts were developed in a process that included peer review. However, present and future users of the models should be aware of any limitations of the models, and assumptions employed in these analyses. We agree with statements in the preliminary and draft final EISs stating that, "these models are complex and rely on assumptions that are subject to a large degree of uncertainty...." At present, we collectively do not have enough information to accurately predict how various contaminants migrate through soils and groundwater, nor when peak groundwater impacts will occur. However, the best site-specific data should be incorporated into the assumptions, especially when the models are being used to inform site-specific decisions.

The EPA will continue to coordinate with DOE and the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) to address contamination issues through our relevant authorities under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA); Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA); and Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order, also known as the Tri-Party Agreement (TPA). The TPA currently identifies groundwater in the study area as an operable unit, which will be addressed under CERCLA.

The EPA's comments on the preliminary final EIS addressed the relationship of this EIS to permitting requirements of Ecology's authorized dangerous waste program. We appreciate the changes made to this final EIS in response. The EPA believes that this EIS can serve as a set of bounding analyses reasonably expected to reflect the environmental performance requirements that Ecology may

establish through the permitting process. In this context, the EPA would support an approach to tank closure that includes landfill and clean closure components analyzed in this EIS. The EPA will continue to work closely with Ecology in support of that agency's authorized dangerous waste permitting program.

Secondary- and Offsite-Waste Disposal

This final EIS indicates that disposal of secondary and offsite waste on site at Hanford would continue to show significant impacts of the release of technetium-99 into the vadose zone and groundwater. To prevent additional contamination of the vadose zone and groundwater from such disposal, DOE will need to establish waste acceptance criteria and appropriate treatment technologies to reduce or immobilize contaminants in the wastes, primarily technetium-99 and iodine-129. For example, the steam reforming waste performance is still associated with a high degree of uncertainty, suggesting that steam reforming technology remains immature and requires more improvements. Similarly, iodine-129 is very volatile and cannot be easily converted to immobilized low-activity waste glass.

Next Steps

The EPA's role and responsibilities as a cooperating agency in the development of this final EIS are distinct from its obligations under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and Section 309 of the Clean Air Act, which require the EPA to review and comment in writing on the environmental impacts of major Federal actions, including actions that are the subject of draft and final EISs under NEPA. The EPA intends to carry out this independent authority in a review of the publicly released version of this final EIS. In addition, the EPA's role as a cooperating agency is separate from, and not intended to duplicate or replace the EPA's regulatory roles, including those under RCRA, CERCLA, and the TPA. We will continue to carry out these responsibilities in coordination with other agencies as appropriate.

***Final Tank Closure and Waste Management
Environmental Impact Statement for the
Hanford Site, Richland, Washington
(Final TC & WM EIS)***

**U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)
Foreword**

DOE appreciates the efforts of the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 10, which participated as cooperating agencies in the preparation of this *TC & WM EIS*. Although each had different roles as cooperating agencies, their involvement improved the quality of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process for this environmental impact statement (EIS).

Ecology began participating in the EIS development as a cooperating agency in 2002 and reconfirmed their participation in 2006 after signing the January 6, 2006, Settlement Agreement (*State of Washington v. Bodman*, Civil No. 2:03-cv-05018-AAM) (subsequently amended on June 5, 2008) ending litigation on the January 2004 *Final Hanford Site Solid (Radioactive and Hazardous) Waste Program Environmental Impact Statement, Richland, Washington*. Ecology's participation as a cooperating agency was important, among other things, to ensure that this *TC & WM EIS* meets Washington State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements. As a result of the 2006 Settlement Agreement, Ecology accepted additional responsibilities under a concurrent revised Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) to conduct quality assurance reviews of the groundwater and other technical analyses. Ecology also independently ran the models used in this EIS and verified DOE's results. Ecology's role as a cooperating agency supporting SEPA requirements is different from its role under the Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (also known as the Tri-Party Agreement [TPA]) or its role in implementing Washington State's Hazardous Waste Program at the Hanford Site. More-detailed information on Ecology's role can be found in the cooperating agency agreements in Appendix C, Section C.1.1, of this *Final TC & WM EIS*.

DOE appreciates Ecology's support in the development of this EIS and its participation in all the scoping meetings, public hearings on the *Draft TC & WM EIS*, and stakeholder interactions, as well as its support of the EIS schedule. This EIS is needed to support NEPA and SEPA decisions related to the TPA and 2010 Consent Decree (*State of Washington v. Chu*, Civil No. 2:08-cv-05085-FVS) milestone commitments. DOE also appreciates the efforts made by Ecology to understand the inventory, input assumptions, modeling results, and uncertainty analyses and to conduct the quality assurance reviews, contribute to analysis development, assist in presentation of analyses, and participate jointly in public involvement activities. Ecology has expressed both substantial areas of agreement and some areas of disagreement with DOE's Preferred Alternative selections in its foreword to this *Final TC & WM EIS*, consistent with the opportunity afforded to them under the provisions of the *TC & WM EIS* MOU between Ecology and DOE. For its part, DOE understands the state's perspective and will continue to work with them on the path forward at the Hanford Site.

Ecology's comments on the draft EIS can be found in the Comment-Response Document (CRD) (Volume 3 of this final EIS), Section 3, commentor number 498. Ecology and DOE have identified the need for additional secondary-waste-form development (see Chapter 7, Section 7.5.2.8, and Appendix M, Section M.5.7.5). Ecology has also focused on closure of the single-shell tanks; specifically, in Waste Management Area C. More-detailed information on Ecology's permitting process in relation to the NEPA actions can be found in Section 7.1.

DOE invited EPA to be a cooperating agency in 2002 and to participate in model development in 2006 after the January 6, 2006, Settlement Agreement was signed. EPA was not able to participate as a cooperating agency until 2010. Information on EPA's role as a cooperating agency can be found in Appendix C, Section C.1.2.

EPA's comments on the draft EIS as part of their responsibility under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and DOE's responses can be found in the CRD, Section 3, commentor number 509, of this final EIS. DOE has made changes to this final EIS as a result of EPA's specific comments. EPA's foreword to this EIS indicates a limited timeframe for review of this final EIS. DOE appreciates EPA's focus on DOE's responses to their comments on the draft EIS.

EPA expressed concern regarding the impacts of sustained releases under Tank Closure Alternative 2B. To address this concern, DOE has added information regarding Alternative 2B to Chapter 5, Section 5.1.1.3.4, showing the potential impacts when discharges from the CERCLA [Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act] cribs and trenches (ditches) are excluded. This was done to more clearly show the impacts of the proposed actions separate from the impacts attributed to the adjacent CERCLA cribs and trenches (ditches). For example, Figure 5-87 shows the hydrogen-3 (tritium) results under Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Case 3 (Case 3 excludes cribs and trenches [ditches]), indicating that the tritium concentrations peak two to four orders of magnitude below the benchmark in this case, which highlights that the primary concentration of tritium originates from discharges to cribs and trenches (ditches). In addition, the CRD, Section 2.7, discusses impacts of alternatives based on whether a proposed action being evaluated has occurred, and how mitigation strategies and environmental compliance vary based on those factors.

EPA had comments regarding the EIS modeling that was developed as an outcome of the 2006 Settlement Agreement. DOE believes that its detailed responses to EPA's comments on this specific issue address this EPA concern. EPA also expressed concern about DOE's disclosure of uncertainty relative to future use of the model. DOE believes that discussion of uncertainty, comparison of model results to field data, and disclosure of data and model limitations are important aspects of the analysis presented in this final EIS, as required under NEPA. More-specific discussion on this point can be found in the CRD, Section 2.4. In addition, the groundwater model development process was reviewed by a Technical Review Group (TRG). The TRG was formed to evaluate conversion of the groundwater model from previous models used on site (see the Summary, Section S.1.4.1, and Chapter 1, Section 1.6.1.2). For more information, the report titled *MODFLOW Flow-Field Development: Technical Review Group Process and Results Report*, dated November 2007, can be found on the *TC & WM EIS* website at <http://www.hanford.gov/index.cfm?page=1117&>.

Table of Contents

List of Figures	xviii
List of Tables	lvii
List of Acronyms and Abbreviations	cvi
Measurement Units	cxiv
Conversions.....	cxv

Appendix A *Federal Register* and Other Public Notices

A.1	Record of Decision for the <i>Tank Waste Remediation System, Hanford Site, Richland, WA</i>	A-1
A.2	Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for Retrieval, Treatment, and Disposal of Tank Waste and Closure of Single-Shell Tanks at the Hanford Site, Richland, WA.....	A-14
A.3	Record of Decision for the <i>Solid Waste Program, Hanford Site, Richland, WA: Storage and Treatment of Low-Level Waste and Mixed Low-Level Waste; Disposal of Low-Level Waste and Mixed Low-Level Waste, and Storage, Processing, and Certification of Transuranic Waste for Shipment to the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant</i>	A-21
A.4	Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Decommissioning of the Fast Flux Test Facility at the Hanford Site, Richland, WA	A-29
A.5	Notice of Intent to Prepare the Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, WA.....	A-35
A.6	Extension of Scoping Period and Rescheduled Scoping Meetings for the Notice of Intent to Prepare the Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, WA	A-42
A.7	Notice of Availability— <i>Draft Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, WA</i>	A-44
A.8	Notice of Modifications to the Preferred Alternatives for Tank Waste Treatment and Disposal of Off Site Waste in the <i>Draft Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, WA</i>	A-47
A.9	Notice of Public Hearings on the <i>Draft Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, WA</i> (January 8, 2010)	A-50
A.10	Notice of Public Hearings on the <i>Draft Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, WA</i> (January 25, 2010)	A-52
A.11	Extension of the Public Comment Period for the <i>Draft Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, WA</i>	A-55
A.12	Amended Notice Extending Comment Period for the <i>Draft Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, WA</i>	A-57

Appendix B Contractor and Subcontractor National Environmental Policy Act Disclosure Statements

Appendix C Cooperating Agency, Consultation, and Other Interaction Documentation

C.1	Cooperating Agency Letters and Documents.....	C-1
C.1.1	Correspondence to Washington State Department of Ecology.....	C-1
C.1.2	Correspondence to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.....	C-22

	C.1.3 Responses to U.S. Department of Energy Correspondence.....	C-32
C.2	Federal and State Organizations Contacted During the Consultation Process.....	C-51
	C.2.1 Ecological Resources.....	C-51
	C.2.2 Cultural Resources.....	C-81
	C.2.3 Responses to U.S. Department of Energy Correspondence.....	C-143
C.3	Consultation Process and Communication with American Indian Tribal Governments.....	C-156
	C.3.1 Correspondence to American Indian Tribal Governments.....	C-169
	C.3.1.1 Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation.....	C-169
	C.3.1.2 Nez Perce Tribe Correspondence.....	C-232
	C.3.1.3 Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation Correspondence.....	C-262
	C.3.1.4 Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation Correspondence.....	C-290
	C.3.1.5 Wanapum Correspondence.....	C-307
	C.3.2 Responses to U.S. Department of Energy Correspondence.....	C-324
C.4	Interactions with Hanford Advisory Board and Oregon Hanford Cleanup Board.....	C-343
	C.4.1 Hanford Advisory Board Mission and Membership.....	C-343
	C.4.2 Oregon Hanford Cleanup Board Membership and Role.....	C-435
Appendix D Waste Inventories		D-1
D.1	Tank Closure Alternatives.....	D-1
	D.1.1 Current Tank Inventory of Radioactive and Chemical Constituents.....	D-2
	D.1.1.1 Current Waste Phase Volume Inventories.....	D-4
	D.1.1.2 Radioactive Best-Basis Inventories.....	D-5
	D.1.1.3 Nonradioactive Best-Basis Inventories.....	D-7
	D.1.1.4 Uncertainty in Best-Basis Inventories.....	D-11
	D.1.1.5 Best-Basis Inventory Comparison.....	D-12
	D.1.2 Tank Ancillary Equipment Waste.....	D-15
	D.1.3 Tank Residual Waste Inventories.....	D-18
	D.1.4 Historical Leaks and Other Releases.....	D-27
	D.1.5 Discharges to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	D-30
	D.1.6 Tank Waste Retrieval Leaks.....	D-31
	D.1.7 Inventories and Flowsheets.....	D-34
	D.1.8 Distribution of Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern Under Tank Closure Alternatives.....	D-80
D.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives.....	D-111
	D.2.1 Radionuclide and Chemical Inventories.....	D-111
	D.2.1.1 Assumptions.....	D-111
	D.2.1.2 Fast Flux Test Facility Inventory.....	D-112
	D.2.1.3 Fast Flux Test Facility Bulk Sodium Inventory.....	D-112
	D.2.1.4 Radionuclide Inventory from Activation.....	D-114
	D.2.1.5 Radionuclide Inventory from Contamination.....	D-116
	D.2.1.6 Hazardous Materials Inventory.....	D-117
	D.2.2 FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1: No Action.....	D-118
	D.2.2.1 Facility Disposition.....	D-118
	D.2.2.2 Process Components.....	D-118
	D.2.2.3 Sodium Residuals.....	D-118
	D.2.2.4 Demolition and Other Waste.....	D-118
	D.2.2.5 End State.....	D-119

Table of Contents

D.2.3	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2: Entombment.....	D-119
D.2.3.1	Facility Disposition	D-120
D.2.3.2	Process Components.....	D-120
D.2.3.3	Sodium Residuals	D-120
D.2.3.4	Demolition and Other Waste	D-121
D.2.3.5	End State	D-121
D.2.4	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3: Removal.....	D-122
D.2.4.1	Facility Disposition	D-123
D.2.4.2	Process Components.....	D-123
D.2.4.3	Sodium Residuals	D-123
D.2.4.4	Demolition and Other Waste	D-123
D.2.4.5	End State	D-124
D.2.5	Distribution of Fast Flux Test Facility Waste.....	D-124
D.3	Waste Management Alternatives	D-128
D.3.1	Radionuclide and Chemical Inventories	D-128
D.3.1.1	Assumptions	D-128
D.3.2	Waste Management Alternative 1: No Action.....	D-129
D.3.3	Waste Management Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only	D-129
D.3.4	Waste Management Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas.....	D-130
D.3.5	Radionuclide and Chemical Inventory Estimates for Onsite Non-CERCLA, Non-Tank-Activity Waste.....	D-131
D.3.6	Projected Volumes, Radionuclide and Chemical Inventories for Offsite Waste	D-133
D.4	References	D-145
Appendix E Descriptions of Facilities, Operations, and Technologies.....		E-1
E.1	Tank Closure	E-1
E.1.1	Current River Protection Project.....	E-1
E.1.1.1	Routine Tank Farm Operations	E-2
E.1.1.2	Tank System Upgrades.....	E-7
E.1.1.3	Planned Waste Treatment Plant Operations	E-8
E.1.2	Descriptions of Tank Closure Alternative Facilities and Operations.....	E-10
E.1.2.1	Facility Upgrades	E-10
E.1.2.2	Waste Retrieval and Storage	E-14
E.1.2.3	Waste Treatment.....	E-38
E.1.2.4	Waste Post-Treatment Storage and Disposal	E-118
E.1.2.5	Tank System Closure.....	E-129
E.1.2.6	Facility Decontamination and Decommissioning	E-169
E.1.3	Tank Closure Technologies Considered, but Not Analyzed in Detail	E-170
E.1.3.1	Waste Storage.....	E-170
E.1.3.2	Waste Retrieval	E-170
E.1.3.3	Treatment Technologies	E-171
E.1.3.4	Disposal.....	E-184
E.1.3.5	Tank System Closure.....	E-185
E.2	Fast Flux Test Facility Decommissioning.....	E-188
E.2.1	Fast Flux Test Facility Background.....	E-188
E.2.2	Fast Flux Test Facility Description.....	E-193
E.2.3	Summary Description of FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives	E-197
E.2.3.1	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1: No Action	E-199
E.2.3.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2: Entombment	E-200

	E.2.3.3	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3: Removal	E-204
E.2.4		FFTF Decommissioning Alternative Process Descriptions	E-206
	E.2.4.1	Hanford Bulk Sodium Processing	E-206
	E.2.4.2	Sodium Reaction Facility—Hanford Reuse Option	E-208
	E.2.4.3	Sodium Processing Facility—Idaho Reuse Option	E-214
	E.2.4.4	Remote-Handled Special Components Processing.....	E-223
E.3		Waste Management.....	E-234
E.3.1		Current Hanford Site Solid Waste Operations Complex	E-234
	E.3.1.1	Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Grounds	E-235
	E.3.1.2	Central Waste Complex.....	E-236
	E.3.1.3	T Plant	E-239
	E.3.1.4	Waste Receiving and Processing Facility.....	E-242
E.3.2		Waste Management Alternatives and Treatment Facilities Analyzed in This Environmental Impact Statement.....	E-246
	E.3.2.1	Waste Management Alternatives.....	E-246
	E.3.2.2	Central Waste Complex.....	E-250
	E.3.2.3	T Plant Complex.....	E-253
	E.3.2.4	Waste Receiving and Processing Facility.....	E-256
E.3.3		Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Grounds	E-260
	E.3.3.1	Description	E-260
	E.3.3.2	Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Ground Activities.....	E-264
E.3.4		Integrated Disposal Facility	E-266
	E.3.4.1	Description	E-266
	E.3.4.2	Integrated Disposal Facility Configurations.....	E-267
E.3.5		River Protection Project Disposal Facility.....	E-270
	E.3.5.1	Description	E-270
	E.3.5.2	River Protection Project Disposal Facility Activities.....	E-270
E.4		References	E-272
Appendix F Direct and Indirect Impacts: Assessment Methodology.....			F-1
F.1		Land Resources	F-1
	F.1.1	Land Use.....	F-1
		F.1.1.1 Description of Affected Resources.....	F-1
		F.1.1.2 Description of Impact Assessment	F-1
	F.1.2	Visual Resources.....	F-2
		F.1.2.1 Description of Affected Resources.....	F-2
		F.1.2.2 Description of Impact Assessment	F-2
F.2		Infrastructure	F-3
	F.2.1	Description of Affected Resources	F-3
	F.2.2	Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-3
F.3		Noise and Vibration	F-4
	F.3.1	Description of Affected Resources	F-4
	F.3.2	Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-5
F.4		Air Quality	F-6
	F.4.1	Description of Affected Resources	F-6
	F.4.2	Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-7
F.5		Geology and Soils	F-8
	F.5.1	Description of Affected Resources	F-8
	F.5.2	Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-9
F.6		Water Resources.....	F-12
	F.6.1	Description of Affected Resources	F-12
	F.6.2	Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-12

Table of Contents

	F.6.2.1	Water Use and Availability	F-13
	F.6.2.2	Water Quality	F-13
	F.6.2.3	Waterways and Floodplains	F-14
F.7		Ecological Resources	F-15
	F.7.1	Description of Affected Resources	F-15
	F.7.2	Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-15
F.8		Cultural Resources	F-16
	F.8.1	Description of Affected Resources	F-16
	F.8.2	Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-17
F.9		Public and Occupational Health and Safety	F-18
	F.9.1	Description of Affected Resources	F-18
	F.9.2	Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-18
F.10		Transportation	F-19
	F.10.1	Description of Affected Resources	F-19
	F.10.2	Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-19
F.11		Socioeconomics.....	F-20
	F.11.1	Description of Affected Resources	F-20
	F.11.2	Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-20
F.12		Waste Management	F-21
	F.12.1	Description of Affected Resources	F-21
	F.12.2	Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-22
F.13		Environmental Justice	F-23
	F.13.1	Description of Affected Resources	F-23
	F.13.2	Description of Impact Assessment.....	F-24
F.14		References	F-24

Appendix G Air Quality Analysis..... G-1

G.1		Dispersion Factors.....	G-8
G.2		Emissions	G-12
	G.2.1	Construction Emissions	G-16
	G.2.2	Operations Emissions	G-17
	G.2.3	Tank Emissions.....	G-18
	G.2.4	Employee Vehicle Emissions	G-18
G.3		Air Quality Impacts Under the Alternatives.....	G-238
G.4		General Conformity Review	G-359
G.5		Greenhouse Gases	G-359
G.6		References	G-361

Appendix H Transportation..... H-1

H.1		Introduction	H-1
H.2		Assessment Scope	H-1
	H.2.1	Transportation-Related Activities	H-1
	H.2.2	Radiological Impacts	H-2
	H.2.3	Nonradiological Impacts.....	H-2
	H.2.4	Transportation Modes	H-2
	H.2.5	Receptors	H-2
H.3		Packaging and Transportation Regulations.....	H-3
	H.3.1	Packaging Regulations.....	H-3
	H.3.2	Transportation Regulations.....	H-4
H.4		Transportation Analysis Impact Methodology.....	H-5
	H.4.1	Transportation Routes.....	H-8
		H.4.1.1 Offsite Route Characteristics.....	H-8

	H.4.1.2 Onsite Route Characteristics	H-14
	H.4.2 Radioactive Material Shipments	H-14
H.5	Incident-Free Transportation Risks	H-18
	H.5.1 Radiological Risk.....	H-18
	H.5.2 Nonradiological Risk	H-20
	H.5.3 Maximally Exposed Individual Exposure Scenarios	H-20
H.6	Transportation Accident Risks and Maximum Reasonably Foreseeable Consequences	H-21
	H.6.1 Methodology	H-21
	H.6.2 Accident Rates	H-22
	H.6.3 Accident Severity Categories and Conditional Probabilities	H-23
	H.6.4 Atmospheric Conditions	H-24
	H.6.5 Radionuclide Release Characteristics	H-24
	H.6.6 Acts of Sabotage or Terrorism.....	H-25
H.7	Risk Analysis Results.....	H-26
	H.7.1 Tank Closure Alternatives	H-28
	H.7.2 FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives.....	H-32
	H.7.3 Waste Management Alternatives	H-35
H.8	Impact of Construction and Operational Material Transport	H-37
H.9	Conclusions	H-39
	H.9.1 Tank Closure Alternatives	H-39
	H.9.2 FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives.....	H-40
	H.9.3 Waste Management Alternatives	H-40
H.10	Long-Term Impacts of Transportation	H-41
H.11	Uncertainty and Conservatism in Estimated Impacts.....	H-42
	H.11.1 Uncertainties in Material Inventory and Characterization	H-42
	H.11.2 Uncertainties in Containers, Shipment Capacities, and Number of Shipments.....	H-42
	H.11.3 Uncertainties in Route Determination	H-43
	H.11.4 Uncertainties in the Calculation of Radiation Doses	H-43
H.12	References	H-44
Appendix I Workforce Estimates		I-1
I.1	Introduction	I-1
I.2	Alternatives	I-2
	I.2.1 Tank Closure Alternative 1: No Action	I-3
	I.2.2 Tank Closure Alternative 2A: Existing Waste Treatment Plant Vitrification; No Closure	I-4
	I.2.3 Tank Closure Alternative 2B: Expanded WTP Vitrification; Landfill Closure	I-11
	I.2.4 Tank Closure Alternative 3A: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Bulk Vitrification); Landfill Closure	I-19
	I.2.5 Tank Closure Alternative 3B: Existing WTP Vitrification with Nonthermal Supplemental Treatment (Cast Stone); Landfill Closure	I-28
	I.2.6 Tank Closure Alternative 3C: Existing WTP Vitrification with Thermal Supplemental Treatment (Steam Reforming); Landfill Closure	I-37
	I.2.7 Tank Closure Alternative 4: Existing WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Selective Clean Closure/Landfill Closure.....	I-46
	I.2.8 Tank Closure Alternative 5: Expanded WTP Vitrification with Supplemental Treatment Technologies; Landfill Closure	I-55

Table of Contents

I.2.9	Tank Closure Alternative 6A: All Vitrification/No Separations; Clean Closure–Base Case	I–64
I.2.10	Tank Closure Alternative 6A: All Vitrification/No Separations; Clean Closure–Option Case	I–75
I.2.11	Tank Closure Alternative 6B: All Vitrification with Separations; Clean Closure–Base Case	I–87
I.2.12	Tank Closure Alternative 6B: All Vitrification with Separations; Clean Closure–Option Case	I–97
I.2.13	Tank Closure Alternative 6C: All Vitrification with Separations; Landfill Closure	I–107
I.2.14	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1: No Action.....	I–115
I.2.15	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2: Entombment.....	I–115
I.2.16	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3: Removal.....	I–117
I.2.17	Waste Management Alternative 1: No Action.....	I–120
I.2.18	Waste Management Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200–East Area Only	I–121
I.2.19	Waste Management Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200–East and 200–West Areas.....	I–128
I.3	References	I–137
Appendix J Environmental Justice		J–1
J.1	Introduction	J–1
J.2	Definitions.....	J–1
J.2.1	Minority Individuals and Populations.....	J–1
J.2.2	Low-Income Populations and Individuals	J–2
J.2.3	Disproportionately High and Adverse Human Health Effects.....	J–3
J.2.4	Disproportionately High and Adverse Environmental Effects	J–3
J.3	Spatial Resolution	J–3
J.4	Map Development.....	J–4
J.5	Environmental Justice Analysis	J–5
J.5.1	Minority and Low-Income Populations Surrounding the 200–West Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site.....	J–5
J.5.2	Minority and Low-Income Populations Surrounding the Waste Treatment Plant.....	J–11
J.5.3	Minority and Low-Income Populations Surrounding the 200–East Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site	J–17
J.5.4	Minority and Low-Income Populations Surrounding the Fast Flux Test Facility	J–21
J.5.5	Minority and Low-Income Populations Surrounding the Materials and Fuels Complex at Idaho National Laboratory.....	J–27
J.5.6	Minority and Low-Income Populations Surrounding the Idaho Nuclear Technology and Engineering Center at Idaho National Laboratory	J–34
J.5.7	Impacts on Minority and Low-Income Populations	J–40
J.5.7.1	Normal Operations and Facility Accidents	J–40
J.5.7.2	Air Quality.....	J–73
J.5.7.3	Groundwater Resources: Long-Term Human Health Impacts	J–73
J.6	References	J–75
Appendix K Short-Term Human Health Risk Analysis.....		K–1
K.1	Background	K–1
K.1.1	Radiation.....	K–1
K.1.1.1	Radiological Measurement Units	K–2
K.1.1.2	Sources of Radiation	K–4

*Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the
Hanford Site, Richland, Washington*

	K.1.1.3	Exposure Pathways.....	K-5
	K.1.1.4	Radiation Protection Guides.....	K-6
	K.1.1.5	Radiological Exposure Limits.....	K-6
	K.1.1.6	Human Health Effects due to Exposure to Radiation.....	K-7
K.1.2		Chemicals.....	K-9
	K.1.2.1	Toxic or Hazardous Chemicals	K-9
	K.1.2.2	Chemical Usage.....	K-9
	K.1.2.3	Exposure Pathways.....	K-9
	K.1.2.4	Chemical Exposure Limits and Criteria	K-10
	K.1.2.5	Health Effects of Hazardous Chemical Exposure	K-10
	K.1.2.6	Hazardous Chemical Impact Assessment.....	K-10
K.2		Normal Operations	K-12
	K.2.1	Tank Closure Alternatives	K-12
	K.2.1.1	Impacts on the Public During Normal Operations	K-12
	K.2.1.2	Impacts on Workers During Normal Operations.....	K-55
	K.2.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives.....	K-64
	K.2.2.1	Impacts on the Public During Normal Operations	K-64
	K.2.2.2	Impacts on Workers During Normal Operations.....	K-78
	K.2.3	Waste Management Alternatives	K-80
	K.2.3.1	Impacts on the Public During Normal Operations	K-80
	K.2.3.2	Impacts on Workers During Normal Operations.....	K-82
K.3		Accident Analysis	K-84
	K.3.1	Introduction.....	K-84
	K.3.2	Overview of Methodology and Assumptions	K-84
	K.3.2.1	Modeling and Analysis of Airborne Radionuclide Releases.....	K-84
	K.3.2.2	Modeling and Analysis of Airborne Chemical Releases.....	K-87
	K.3.2.3	Accident Frequencies	K-88
	K.3.2.4	Secondary Impacts	K-88
	K.3.3	Radiological Accident Analyses	K-89
	K.3.4	Tank Closure Accident Scenarios.....	K-91
	K.3.4.1	HLW Vitrification Facility.....	K-93
	K.3.4.2	Pretreatment Facility	K-94
	K.3.4.3	LAW Vitrification Facility.....	K-95
	K.3.4.4	Waste Treatment Plant	K-95
	K.3.4.5	Tank Waste Storage and Retrieval	K-96
	K.3.4.6	Supplemental Treatment—Bulk Vitrification	K-97
	K.3.4.7	Supplemental Treatment—Cast Stone	K-97
	K.3.4.8	Supplemental Treatment—Steam Reforming	K-98
	K.3.4.9	Supplemental Treatment—Remote-Handled TRU Waste	K-98
	K.3.4.10	Waste Product Storage and Handling.....	K-98
	K.3.5	Fast Flux Test Facility Accident Scenarios	K-99
	K.3.5.1	Accidents in the Hanford 400 Area.....	K-100
	K.3.5.2	Accidents in the Hanford 200-West Area	K-101
	K.3.5.3	Accidents at Idaho National Laboratory.....	K-101
	K.3.6	Waste Management Accident Scenarios.....	K-102
	K.3.6.1	Solid Waste Operations Complex Accidents	K-103
	K.3.6.2	ILAW Disposal Accidents.....	K-109
	K.3.7	Radiological Impacts of Accidents	K-109
	K.3.7.1	Radiological Impacts of Tank Closure Accidents	K-110
	K.3.7.2	Radiological Impacts of FFTF Decommissioning Accidents.....	K-133
	K.3.7.3	Radiological Impacts of Waste Management Accidents.....	K-137
	K.3.8	Secondary Impacts of Accidents.....	K-140

K.3.8.1	Secondary Impacts of Tank Closure Accidents.....	K-141
K.3.8.2	Secondary Impacts of Fast Flux Test Facility Accidents	K-142
K.3.8.3	Secondary Impacts of Waste Management Accidents	K-142
K.3.9	Chemical Impacts of Accidents	K-142
K.3.9.1	Chemical Impacts of Tank Closure Accidents	K-143
K.3.9.2	Chemical Impacts of Fast Flux Test Facility Accidents.....	K-147
K.3.9.3	Chemical Impacts of Waste Management Accidents.....	K-152
K.3.10	Impacts on Workers	K-162
K.3.11	Assessment of Intentional Destructive Acts	K-162
K.3.11.1	Safeguards and Security	K-162
K.3.11.2	Assessment of Potential Impacts.....	K-163
K.3.12	Analysis Conservatism, Uncertainty, and Design Changes	K-167
K.4	Industrial Safety	K-168
K.5	References.....	K-170

Appendix L Groundwater Flow Field Development.....L-1

L.1	Introduction	L-1
L.1.1	Purpose.....	L-2
L.1.2	Scope.....	L-3
L.1.3	Technical Guidance	L-3
L.1.4	Groundwater at the Hanford Site	L-4
L.1.5	Summary of the <i>Draft TC & WM EIS</i> Groundwater Flow Model Results	L-6
L.1.6	Significant Changes from the <i>Draft TC & WM EIS</i> to This <i>Final TC & WM EIS</i>	L-8
L.2	Groundwater Flow Conceptual Model.....	L-12
L.2.1	Site Geometry	L-12
L.2.2	Boundary Conditions	L-16
L.2.3	Geologic Materials.....	L-17
L.2.4	Conclusion	L-23
L.3	Model Development Framework	L-24
L.3.1	MODFLOW 2000.....	L-24
L.3.2	Visual MODFLOW	L-24
L.4	Model Inputs – Conceptualization, Characterization, and Encoding	L-25
L.4.1	Discretization	L-25
L.4.1.1	Extents.....	L-25
L.4.1.2	Gridding	L-27
L.4.2	Boundary Conditions	L-31
L.4.2.1	Basalt Surface (No-Flow Boundary).....	L-31
L.4.2.2	Columbia and Yakima Rivers (River Package).....	L-31
L.4.2.3	Mountain-Front Recharge (Generalized Head Boundary)	L-32
L.4.2.4	Natural Areal Recharge (Recharge Boundary).....	L-33
L.4.2.5	Artificial Recharge (Recharge Boundary).....	L-33
L.4.3	Lithology.....	L-37
L.4.3.1	Hydrogeologic Unit Definition.....	L-37
L.4.3.2	Hydrogeologic Unit Encoding.....	L-37
L.4.4	Material Properties.....	L-45
L.5	Model Inputs – Algorithm Selection, Parameters, and Settings.....	L-45
L.5.1	Rewetting Methods	L-45
L.5.1.1	Mitigation of Rewetting Problems	L-46
L.5.2	Time-Stepping Settings.....	L-46
L.5.3	Numerical Engine Selection and Parameterization.....	L-46
L.5.4	Initial Head Distribution	L-47

	L.5.5	Layer Properties	L-47
L.6		Calibration Strategy	L-48
	L.6.1	Calibration Data Set.....	L-48
	L.6.2	Calibration Criteria	L-49
	L.6.3	Development of Objective Function	L-50
L.7		Calibration and Uncertainty Analysis	L-50
	L.7.1	Hydraulic Conductivity.....	L-50
	L.7.2	Storage Properties (Specific Yield) Analysis	L-52
	L.7.3	GHB Head and Conductance	L-53
		L.7.3.1 GHB Head.....	L-53
		L.7.3.2 GHB Conductance.....	L-54
	L.7.4	Background and Anthropogenic Recharge	L-55
		L.7.4.1 Background Recharge	L-55
		L.7.4.2 Anthropogenic Recharge.....	L-57
	L.7.5	River Conductance.....	L-58
L.8		Flow Model Performance – Top One-Third of Models	L-59
	L.8.1	Results from the 95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model	L-60
		L.8.1.1 Calibration Acceptance	L-60
		L.8.1.2 95th Percentile (Base Case) Potentiometric Head Distribution.....	L-73
		L.8.1.3 95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Velocity Field	L-75
		L.8.1.4 95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Central Plateau Pathline Analysis.....	L-77
		L.8.1.5 95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Zone Budget Analysis.....	L-79
		L.8.1.6 95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model – Transport Model Concentration-Versus-Time Results	L-79
	L.8.2	Results from the 100th Percentile Flow Model	L-81
		L.8.2.1 Calibration Acceptance	L-81
		L.8.2.2 100th Percentile Potentiometric Head Distribution.....	L-92
		L.8.2.3 100th Percentile Flow Model Velocity Field	L-94
		L.8.2.4 100th Percentile Flow Model Central Plateau Pathline Analysis.....	L-96
		L.8.2.5 100th Percentile Flow Model Zone Budget Analysis.....	L-98
		L.8.2.6 100th Percentile Flow Model – Transport Model Concentration-Versus-Time Results	L-98
	L.8.3	Results from the 66th Percentile Flow Model	L-100
		L.8.3.1 Calibration Acceptance	L-100
		L.8.3.2 66th Percentile Potentiometric Head Distribution.....	L-111
		L.8.3.3 66th Percentile Flow Model Velocity Field	L-113
		L.8.3.4 66th Percentile Flow Model Central Plateau Pathline Analysis.....	L-115
		L.8.3.5 66th Percentile Flow Model Zone Budget Analysis.....	L-117
		L.8.3.6 66th Percentile Flow Model – Transport Model Concentration-Versus-Time Results	L-117
	L.8.4	Conclusions.....	L-119
L.9		Flow Field Extraction.....	L-119
L.10		Summary	L-121
L.11		References	L-122

Appendix M Release to Vadose Zone	M-1
M.1 Introduction	M-1
M.2 Description of Release Models	M-2
M.2.1 Liquid Sources	M-3
M.2.2 Solid Sources	M-3
M.2.2.1 Partitioning-Limited, Convective-Flow Release Model.....	M-4
M.2.2.2 Matrix Solubility-Limited Release Model.....	M-6
M.2.2.3 Fractional-Release-Rate Model.....	M-8
M.2.2.4 Diffusion-Limited Release Models	M-9
M.3 Technical Basis and Values of Release Model Parameters.....	M-14
M.3.1 Tank Closure Alternatives	M-15
M.3.1.1 Tank Farm Sources.....	M-15
M.3.1.2 Tank Closure Waste Forms	M-19
M.3.1.3 Cribs and Trenches (Ditches)	M-23
M.3.2 FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives.....	M-23
M.3.3 Waste Management Alternatives	M-24
M.3.3.1 Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Facilities.....	M-24
M.3.3.2 Integrated Disposal Facility Waste Forms	M-25
M.4 Results.....	M-25
M.4.1 Tank Closure Alternatives	M-25
M.4.1.1 Past Leaks from Cribs and Trenches (Ditches)	M-25
M.4.1.2 Releases from Other Sources in the Tank Farms	M-31
M.4.2 FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives.....	M-65
M.4.2.1 FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1: No Action	M-65
M.4.2.2 FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2: Entombment	M-65
M.4.2.3 FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3: Removal	M-66
M.4.3 Waste Management Alternatives	M-66
M.4.3.1 Waste Management Alternative 1	M-66
M.4.3.2 Waste Management Alternative 2	M-69
M.5 Sensitivity Analysis.....	M-137
M.5.1 Aqueous Volumetric Release.....	M-138
M.5.1.1 Extended Area of Elevated Recharge.....	M-139
M.5.1.2 Local Area of Elevated Recharge.....	M-141
M.5.1.3 Conclusions	M-142
M.5.2 Leaching from Supplemental-Waste Forms	M-142
M.5.3 Supplemental Waste Forms Leaching Behavior.....	M-143
M.5.3.1 Tank Closure Alternative 3A.....	M-143
M.5.3.2 Tank Closure Alternative 3B.....	M-145
M.5.3.3 Tank Closure Alternative 3C.....	M-146
M.5.3.4 Conclusions	M-148
M.5.4 Rate of Recharge and Diffusion Release	M-149
M.5.5 Release Mechanisms for Steam Reforming Waste	M-150
M.5.5.1 Reactant-Limited Release Model	M-151
M.5.5.2 Solubility-Limited Release Model	M-153
M.5.5.3 Conclusion.....	M-155
M.5.6 No-Retrieval-Losses Sensitivity Case.....	M-157
M.5.7 IDF-East Sensitivity Analyses	M-159
M.5.7.1 Immobilized Low-Activity Waste Glass Sensitivity Analysis	M-159
M.5.7.2 Iodine Recycle Sensitivity Analysis.....	M-162
M.5.7.3 No-Technetium-99-Removal Sensitivity Analysis.....	M-165
M.5.7.4 Bulk Vitrification Sensitivity Analysis	M-168

	M.5.7.5 Grout Performance	M-172
	M.5.7.6 Offsite Waste	M-182
M.6	References	M-187
Appendix N Vadose Zone Flow and Transport.....		N-1
N.1	Hanford Vadose Zone	N-2
N.2	Conceptual Modeling of the Hanford Vadose Zone	N-2
N.3	Vadose Zone Model Implementation	N-6
N.3.1	Boundary Conditions	N-6
N.3.1.1	Ground Surface Boundary Conditions	N-6
N.3.1.2	Lower-Surface Boundary Conditions	N-7
N.3.1.3	Side-Wall Boundary Conditions.....	N-7
N.3.2	Initial Conditions	N-7
N.3.3	Internal Sources	N-8
N.3.4	Stratigraphy and Lithology	N-8
N.3.5	Material Types	N-10
N.3.6	Material Properties.....	N-10
N.3.6.1	Hydraulic Properties	N-10
N.3.6.2	Constituent Properties	N-23
N.3.6.3	Transport Properties	N-24
N.3.7	Discretization	N-25
N.3.7.1	Temporal Discretization	N-25
N.3.7.2	Spatial Discretization	N-26
N.4	Results	N-26
N.4.1	Tank Closure Alternatives	N-26
N.4.1.1	Past Leaks from Tank Farms and Releases from Cribs and Trenches (Ditches)	N-26
N.4.1.2	Releases from Other Sources in the Tank Farms	N-58
N.4.1.3	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives	N-93
N.4.1.4	Waste Management Alternatives.....	N-95
N.5	Vadose Zone Sensitivity Analysis.....	N-167
N.5.1	Travel Time and Rate of Recharge	N-167
N.5.2	Aqueous Discharge Near the Ground Surface	N-170
N.5.3	Influence of a Silt Layer	N-171
N.5.4	Tilt of Geologic Layers.....	N-173
N.5.5	Influence of Dikes.....	N-177
N.5.6	Rate of Release for Sitewide Barrier	N-181
N.5.7	Distribution Coefficient and Flux at the Water Table.....	N-185
N.5.8	Retention of Iodine in Immobilized Low-Activity Waste Glass	N-186
N.5.9	IDF-East Sensitivity.....	N-189
N.5.9.1	Infiltration.....	N-189
N.5.9.2	Conclusions	N-215
N.6	References	N-216
Appendix O Groundwater Transport Analysis.....		O-1
O.1	Introduction	O-1
O.2	Particle-Tracking Method	O-2
O.2.1	Interface with STOMP	O-2
O.2.2	Solution of the Advection-Dispersion-Retardation Equation	O-3
O.2.2.1	Advection and Dispersion	O-3
O.2.2.2	Radioactive Decay.....	O-5
O.2.2.3	Retardation	O-7

Table of Contents

0.2.3	Calculation of COPC Concentrations	O-10
0.2.3.1	Concentration Fluctuations.....	O-10
0.2.3.2	Concentration Persistence	O-14
0.2.4	Description of Lines of Analysis – Locations and Reporting of COPC Concentrations.....	O-17
0.2.5	Aggregation Method for Calculating Maximum Concentrations at Lines of Analysis	O-18
0.2.6	Calibration of Transport Parameters and Sensitivity of Model to Parameter Variations.....	O-18
0.2.6.1	Sensitivity to Dispersivity Parameters.....	O-20
0.2.6.2	Sensitivity to Well Screen Depth for Calculating Concentration	O-21
0.2.6.3	Sensitivity to Initial Particle Injection Depth	O-21
0.2.6.4	Selection of Dispersivity Parameters.....	O-45
0.3	Groundwater Transport Results for the Tank Closure Alternatives	O-56
0.3.1	Tank Closure Alternative 1	O-58
0.3.2	Tank Closure Alternative 2A	O-62
0.3.3	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C	O-65
0.3.4	Tank Closure Alternative 4.....	O-69
0.3.5	Tank Closure Alternative 5.....	O-73
0.3.6	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case.....	O-77
0.3.7	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case	O-79
0.3.8	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base and Option Cases	O-81
0.4	Groundwater Transport Results for the FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives	O-86
0.4.1	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1	O-86
0.4.2	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2	O-86
0.4.3	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3	O-87
0.5	Groundwater Transport Results for the Waste Management Alternatives Including Disposal Groups.....	O-87
0.5.1	Waste Management Alternative 1.....	O-87
0.5.2	Waste Management Alternative 2.....	O-88
0.5.2.1	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A	O-88
0.5.2.2	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B	O-89
0.5.2.3	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C	O-90
0.5.2.4	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D	O-91
0.5.2.5	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E.....	O-92
0.5.2.6	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F.....	O-93
0.5.2.7	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G	O-94
0.5.2.8	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A	O-95
0.5.2.9	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base and Option Cases.....	O-96
0.5.2.10	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base and Option Cases	O-97

O.5.3	Waste Management Alternative 3.....	O-98
O.5.3.1	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A	O-99
O.5.3.2	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B	O-100
O.5.3.3	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C	O-101
O.5.3.4	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D	O-102
O.5.3.5	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E.....	O-103
O.5.3.6	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F.....	O-104
O.5.3.7	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G	O-105
O.5.3.8	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A	O-105
O.5.3.9	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base and Option Cases.....	O-106
O.5.3.10	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base and Option Cases	O-108
O.6	Sensitivity Analysis.....	O-109
O.6.1	Comparison of <i>Draft TC & WM EIS</i> Base Case and Alternate Case Flow Fields During Hanford Operational Period.....	O-109
O.6.1.1	Past Leaks from Tank Farms, Discharges to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches)	O-110
O.6.1.2	PUREX Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume	O-118
O.6.1.3	REDOX Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume.....	O-120
O.6.2	Comparison of <i>Draft TC & WM EIS</i> Base Case and Alternate Case Flow Fields During Hanford Postoperational Period.....	O-122
O.6.3	<i>Final TC & WM EIS</i> Iodine-129 Retardation Coefficient Sensitivity Analysis	O-128
O.6.4	<i>Final TC & WM EIS</i> Long-Term Analysis of Uranium-238	O-135
O.6.5	<i>Final TC & WM EIS</i> Sensitivity to Contaminant Inventory Variations.....	O-139
O.6.6	<i>Final TC & WM EIS</i> No Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) Sensitivity Analysis	O-144
O.6.6.2	Analysis of Concentration Versus Time.....	O-145
O.7	Summary	O-152
O.8	References	O-153

Appendix P	Ecological Resources and Risk Analysis	P-1
P.1	Ecological Resources	P-1
P.2	Impacts on Terrestrial Resources Resulting from Contaminant Releases.....	P-5
P.2.1	Methods	P-6
P.2.1.1	Key Assumptions	P-9
P.2.1.2	Receptors and Exposure Pathways and Routes	P-9
P.2.1.3	Predicted Soil and Air Concentrations	P-10
P.2.1.4	Exposure Model Calculations	P-10
P.2.1.5	Toxicological Benchmarks.....	P-21
P.2.1.6	Risk Indices	P-21
P.2.2	Results and Discussion	P-23
P.2.2.1	Onsite Terrestrial Resources	P-23

	P.2.2.2 Offsite Terrestrial Resources	P-27
	P.2.2.3 Uncertainties.....	P-30
P.2.3	Summary of Terrestrial Impacts	P-31
P.3	Impacts on Columbia River Aquatic and Riparian Resources Resulting from Contaminant Releases.....	P-31
P.3.1	Impacts of Air Releases During Operations	P-32
	P.3.1.1 Methods.....	P-32
	P.3.1.2 Results and Discussion.....	P-41
	P.3.1.3 Uncertainties.....	P-44
P.3.2	Impacts of Groundwater Releases	P-45
	P.3.2.1 Methods.....	P-45
	P.3.2.2 Results and Discussion.....	P-48
	P.3.2.3 Uncertainties.....	P-53
P.3.3	Summary of Aquatic Impacts	P-53
P.4	References	P-54
Appendix Q Long-Term Human Health Dose and Risk Analysis.....		Q-1
Q.1	Introduction	Q-1
Q.2	Approach for Long-Term Performance Assessment.....	Q-1
Q.2.1	Identification of Receptors.....	Q-3
Q.2.2	Development of Exposure Scenarios	Q-3
	Q.2.2.1 Approach for Selection and Development of Mathematical Models	Q-4
	Q.2.2.2 Mathematical Models for Long-Term Performance Assessment	Q-4
Q.2.3	Intruder Scenario Models.....	Q-17
	Q.2.3.1 Organization of the Model	Q-18
	Q.2.3.2 Intruder Dose Models.....	Q-19
Q.2.4	Values of Physical Constants and Parameters for Long-Term Impacts Analysis	Q-22
	Q.2.4.1 Constants and Parameters Used in Scenario Analysis.....	Q-22
	Q.2.4.2 Values for Health Effect Conversion Factors.....	Q-32
Q.3	Results of Human Health Impacts.....	Q-34
Q.3.1	Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Tank Closure Alternatives.....	Q-34
	Q.3.1.1 Impacts on Onsite and Offsite Receptors of Expected Conditions Under Tank Closure Alternatives	Q-35
Q.3.2	Long-Term Human Health Impacts of FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives.....	Q-275
	Q.3.2.1 Impacts on Onsite and Offsite Receptors of Expected Conditions Under FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives.....	Q-275
Q.3.3	Long-Term Human Health Impacts of Waste Management Alternatives	Q-284
	Q.3.3.1 Impacts on Onsite and Offsite Receptors of Expected Conditions Under Waste Management Alternatives	Q-284
Q.4	References	Q-484
Appendix R Cumulative Impacts: Assessment Methodology		R-1
R.1	Regulations and Guidance.....	R-1
R.2	Previous Studies	R-3
R.3	History of Land Use at the Hanford Site and in Surrounding Regions	R-4
R.4	Future Land Use at the Hanford Site.....	R-5
R.5	Future Land Use in Surrounding Regions.....	R-11
R.6	Approach to Cumulative Impacts Analysis.....	R-15

R.7	Uncertainties	R-18
R.8	Selection of Resource Areas for Analysis	R-18
R.9	Resource Area Methodologies	R-18
R.10	Spatial and Temporal Considerations	R-22
R.11	Past and Present Actions	R-22
R.12	Selection of Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions	R-23
R.13	References	R-60
Appendix S Waste Inventories for Cumulative Impact Analyses		S-1
S.1	Waste Information Data System Screen.....	S-1
S.1.1	Screen 1 Rules	S-1
S.1.2	Screen 2 Rules	S-2
S.1.3	Screen 3 Rules	S-2
S.1.4	Screen 4 Rules	S-5
S.2	Technical Baseline Review	S-6
S.3	“Marriage” of Waste Information Data System Screen and Technical Baseline Review	S-7
S.3.1	End-State Approach.....	S-9
S.3.2	Independent Review and Verification (Quality Assurance) Process	S-10
S.3.3	Emerging Data	S-10
S.3.4	Results of Initial Screening.....	S-11
S.3.5	Analysis of Sites with Missing Inventory	S-11
S.3.6	Determination of Final Inventory Used for Cumulative Analysis	S-15
S.4	References	S-171
Appendix T Supporting Information for the Short-Term Cumulative Impact Analyses		T-1
T.1	References	T-27
Appendix U Supporting Information for the Long-Term Cumulative Impact Analyses.....		U-1
U.1	Groundwater Quality.....	U-1
U.1.1	Groundwater Modeling Methodology	U-2
U.1.2	Current Site Conditions and Future Vision.....	U-3
U.1.2.1	Regional Scale.....	U-4
U.1.2.2	Subregional Scale	U-22
U.1.3	Model Results for Future Site Conditions and Sensitivity Analyses	U-122
U.1.3.1	Release and Mass Balance	U-122
U.1.3.2	Concentration Versus Time.....	U-122
U.1.3.3	Predicted Spatial Distribution of Concentration.....	U-128
U.1.3.4	Sensitivity Analyses	U-136
U.2	Human Health	U-160
U.3	References	U-178
Appendix V Recharge Sensitivity Analysis.....		V-1
V.1	Background	V-1
V.2	Recharge Sensitivity Analysis Purpose and Scope	V-2
V.2.1	Purpose of Analysis	V-2
V.2.2	Scope of Modeling Effort	V-2
V.3	Recharge Sensitivity–Variant Model Development and Impact Assessment Methodology.....	V-3
V.3.1	Relationship to the <i>TC & WM EIS</i> Modeling Framework	V-3
V.3.2	Methodology for Evaluating Changes in the Flow Field and Transport Patterns.....	V-4

	V.3.3 Methodology for Evaluating Changes to Peak Concentrations Over Time at the Core Zone, Columbia River, and Disposal Facility Barriers	V-4
V.4	Model Results.....	V-5
	V.4.1 Changes to Steady State Groundwater Head Distribution	V-5
	V.4.2 Changes to Central Plateau Transport Patterns (Particle Path Lines).....	V-9
	V.4.3 Changes in Groundwater Discharge Rates in Selected Model Zones (Water Budget Hydrograph Analysis)	V-13
	V.4.4 Changes to Long-Term Groundwater Peak Concentrations at Selected Lines of Analysis	V-17
V.5	Summary of Results and Potential Implications For the <i>TC & WM EIS</i> Alternatives.....	V-23
V.6	References	V-24
Appendix W American Indian Tribal Perspectives and Scenarios		W-1
W.1	American Indian Tribal Perspectives	W-1
	W.1.1 Yakama Nation Exposure Scenario for Hanford Site Risk Assessment	W-2
	W.1.2 Nez Perce Perspective at Hanford.....	W-89
	W.1.3 Exposure Scenario for CTUIR Traditional Subsistence Lifeways	W-126
	W.1.4 A Method for Tribal Environmental Justice Analysis Under NEPA (Draft).....	W-196
W.2	Treaties with American Indian Tribes of the Hanford Region.....	W-222
	W.2.1 Treaty with the Yakima, 1855	W-223
	W.2.2 Treaty with the Nez Perces, 1855	W-228
	W.2.3 Treaty with the Walla Walla, Cayuse and Umatilla, 1855.....	W-234
W.3	American Indian Tribal Long-Term Human Health Risk Scenarios.....	W-241
	W.3.1 Basis and Implementation.....	W-241
	W.3.2 Data from CTUIR Exposure Scenario	W-242
	W.3.3 Data from Yakama Nation Exposure Scenario	W-242
	W.3.4 Human Health Impacts: American Indian Hunter-Gatherer, Yakama Hunter-Gatherer, and CTUIR Hunter-Gatherer Scenarios	W-243
W.4	References	W-245
Appendix X Supplement Analysis of the Draft Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington		X-1

List of Figures

Figure D-1.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Flowsheet	D-35
Figure D-2.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Flowsheet.....	D-38
Figure D-3.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Flowsheet.....	D-38
Figure D-4.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Flowsheet.....	D-43
Figure D-5.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Flowsheet.....	D-44
Figure D-6.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Flowsheet.....	D-44
Figure D-7.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Flowsheet	D-51
Figure D-8.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Clean Closure of BX and SX Tank Farms Flowsheet	D-54
Figure D-9.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Flowsheet	D-57
Figure D-10.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A Flowsheet.....	D-60
Figure D-11.	Tank Closure Alternatives 6B and 6C Flowsheet.....	D-65
Figure D-12.	Tank Closure Alternatives 6A and 6B, Base Cases, Clean Closure of Single-Shell Tank Farms Flowsheet	D-70
Figure D-13.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Contaminated Soil Removal at BX and SX Tank Farms Flowsheet.....	D-79
Figure D-14.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Distribution of Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-81
Figure D-15.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Iodine-129 Distribution	D-82
Figure D-16.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Uranium Distribution.....	D-82
Figure D-17.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Technetium-99 Distribution	D-83
Figure D-18.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Distribution of Total Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-83
Figure D-19.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Distribution of Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-84
Figure D-20.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Iodine-129 Distribution	D-85
Figure D-21.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Uranium Distribution.....	D-85
Figure D-22.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Technetium-99 Distribution.....	D-86
Figure D-23.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Distribution of Total Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-86
Figure D-24.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Distribution of Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-87
Figure D-25.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Iodine-129 Distribution	D-88
Figure D-26.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Uranium Distribution.....	D-88
Figure D-27.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Technetium-99 Distribution	D-89
Figure D-28.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Distribution of Total Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-89
Figure D-29.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Distribution of Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-90
Figure D-30.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Iodine-129 Distribution	D-91
Figure D-31.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Uranium Distribution.....	D-91
Figure D-32.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Technetium-99 Distribution.....	D-92
Figure D-33.	Tank Closure 3B Distribution of Total Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-92
Figure D-34.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Distribution of Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-93
Figure D-35.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Iodine-129 Distribution	D-94
Figure D-36.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Uranium Distribution.....	D-94
Figure D-37.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Technetium-99 Distribution.....	D-95
Figure D-38.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Distribution of Total Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-95

List of Figures

Figure D-39.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Distribution of Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-96
Figure D-40.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Iodine-129 Distribution	D-97
Figure D-41.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Uranium Distribution	D-97
Figure D-42.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Technetium-99 Distribution	D-98
Figure D-43.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Distribution of Total Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-98
Figure D-44.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Distribution of Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-99
Figure D-45.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Iodine-129 Distribution	D-100
Figure D-46.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Uranium Distribution	D-100
Figure D-47.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Technetium-99 Distribution	D-101
Figure D-48.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Distribution of Total Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-101
Figure D-49.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case or Option Case, Distribution of Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern	D-102
Figure D-50.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case or Option Case, Iodine-129 Distribution	D-103
Figure D-51.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case or Option Case, Uranium Distribution	D-103
Figure D-52.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case or Option Case, Technetium-99 Distribution	D-104
Figure D-53.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case or Option Case, Distribution of Total Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern	D-104
Figure D-54.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case or Option Case, Distribution of Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern	D-105
Figure D-55.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case or Option Case, Iodine-129 Distribution	D-106
Figure D-56.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case or Option Case, Uranium Distribution	D-106
Figure D-57.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case or Option Case, Technetium-99 Distribution	D-107
Figure D-58.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case or Option Case, Distribution of Total Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern	D-107
Figure D-59.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Distribution of Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-108
Figure D-60.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Iodine-129 Distribution	D-109
Figure D-61.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Uranium Distribution.....	D-109
Figure D-62.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Technetium-99 Distribution.....	D-110
Figure D-63.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Distribution of Total Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern.....	D-110
Figure D-64.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Distribution of Radioactive and Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern	D-125
Figure D-65.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Distribution of Radioactive and Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern	D-126
Figure D-66.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Distribution of Radioactive and Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern	D-127
Figure E-1.	Waste Treatment Plant Facilities	E-9
Figure E-2.	Cross-Sectional View of Representative Hanford Site Double-Shell Tank.....	E-12
Figure E-3.	Cross-Sectional Views of Hanford Site Single-Shell Tanks.....	E-15
Figure E-4.	Modified Sluicing Equipment Schematic	E-17
Figure E-5.	Mobile Retrieval System Schematic	E-21

Figure E-6.	Vacuum-Based Retrieval System Schematic	E-24
Figure E-7.	Future 200-West Area Underground Transfer Line.....	E-33
Figure E-8.	Future 200-East Area Underground Transfer Line	E-34
Figure E-9.	Waste Receiver Facility Schematic	E-36
Figure E-10.	Relationships Between Waste Treatment Plant Tank Systems and Supplemental Treatment Technologies.....	E-39
Figure E-11.	Proposed Locations of Core Zone.....	E-41
Figure E-12.	Simplified Block Flow Diagram of the Current Waste Treatment Process	E-44
Figure E-13.	Effluent Treatment Facility Process Flowsheet	E-64
Figure E-14.	Effluent Treatment Facility Layout	E-65
Figure E-15.	Simplified Cesium and Strontium Capsule Processing Flow Sheet.....	E-68
Figure E-16.	Bulk Vitrification Supplemental Treatment Process Flow Diagram	E-77
Figure E-17.	Supplemental Treatment Bulk Vitrification Facility Layout	E-80
Figure E-18.	Diagram of Proposed Cast Stone Process	E-87
Figure E-19.	Steam Reforming Supplemental Treatment Process Flow Diagram.....	E-94
Figure E-20.	Dual Steam Reforming Facility Layout	E-96
Figure E-21.	Sulfate Removal Conceptual Process Diagram	E-104
Figure E-22.	Sulfate Removal Process Flow Diagram	E-107
Figure E-23.	Contact-Handled Mixed Transuranic Waste Packaging Process Flow Diagram.....	E-112
Figure E-24.	Remote-Handled Mixed Transuranic Waste Packaging Process Flow Diagram.....	E-113
Figure E-25.	Confinement Concept for Near-Surface Soil Removal.....	E-140
Figure E-26.	Conceptual Drawing of Clean Closure Showing Domes and Pits Partially Removed.....	E-145
Figure E-27.	Conceptual Drawing of Clean Closure After Removal of Tanks	E-146
Figure E-28.	Modified Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Subtitle C Barrier	E-151
Figure E-29.	Hanford Barrier Profile Technology Description	E-151
Figure E-30.	Conceptualized Closure Surface Barriers in the 200-West Area	E-153
Figure E-31.	Conceptualized Closure Surface Barriers in the 200-East Area	E-154
Figure E-32.	Cross Section of Proposed Postclosure Monitoring Systems	E-156
Figure E-33.	Plan View of a Barrier Lobe Corner with Monitoring Systems Installed.....	E-157
Figure E-34.	Borrow Area C Pit Location and Access Routes	E-160
Figure E-35.	Comparison of Excavation Areas at Borrow Area C Under Alternative Combination 2 and the Most Conservative Alternative Combination	E-166
Figure E-36.	Ground-Based Photograph of Borrow Area C, from Gable Mountain Looking South.....	E-167
Figure E-37.	Geographic Information System Depiction of Current Topography in the Vicinity of Borrow Area C	E-167
Figure E-38.	Geographic Information System Depiction of Potential Topography in the Vicinity of Borrow Area C Under Alternative Combination 2.....	E-168
Figure E-39.	Geographic Information System Depiction of Potential Topography in the Vicinity of Borrow Area C Under the Most Conservative Alternative Combination.....	E-168
Figure E-40.	Fast Flux Test Facility	E-189
Figure E-41.	Hanford Site.....	E-190
Figure E-42.	Fast Flux Test Facility and Associated Facilities Location	E-196
Figure E-43.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1: No Action.....	E-199
Figure E-44.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2: Entombment.....	E-201
Figure E-45.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3: Removal.....	E-205
Figure E-46.	Location of the Sodium Reaction Facility and the Sodium Storage Facility	E-209
Figure E-47.	Sodium Storage Facility at Hanford	E-209
Figure E-48.	Experimental Breeder Reactor II/Sodium Processing Facility Complex.....	E-215
Figure E-49.	Sodium Processing Facility at the Materials and Fuels Complex.....	E-215

List of Figures

Figure E-50.	Simplified Waste Processing Flow Diagram for Remote Treatment Project	E-226
Figure E-51.	Liner Disassembly Station	E-228
Figure E-52.	Melt-Drain-Evaporate Process Equipment	E-230
Figure E-53.	Induction Melter	E-232
Figure E-54.	Waste Management Alternative 1: No Action.....	E-246
Figure E-55.	Waste Management Alternative 2: Disposal in IDF, 200-East Area Only	E-248
Figure E-56.	Waste Management Alternative 3: Disposal in IDF, 200-East and 200-West Areas.....	E-249
Figure E-57.	Current Central Waste Complex Site Plan.....	E-251
Figure E-58.	T Plant Complex Site Plan.....	E-254
Figure E-59.	Waste Receiving and Processing Facility Structure Floor Plan.....	E-257
Figure E-60.	Waste Receiving and Processing Facility Shipping and Receiving Area Floor Plan and Equipment Layout.....	E-258
Figure E-61.	200-West Area Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Grounds.....	E-261
Figure E-62.	200-East Area Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Grounds	E-262
Figure E-63.	Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Ground 218-W-5	E-265
Figure G-1.	Nonradiological Air Quality Modeling Receptors and Area Source Locations for 1- to 24-Hour Modeling	G-5
Figure G-2.	Nonradiological Air Quality Modeling Receptors and Area Source Locations for Annual Modeling	G-6
Figure H-1.	Transportation Risk Assessment.....	H-6
Figure H-2.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Analyzed Truck and Rail Routes	H-10
Figure H-3.	FSTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Analyzed Truck and Rail Routes.....	H-11
Figure H-4.	Waste Management Alternatives – Analyzed Truck and Rail Routes.....	H-13
Figure J-1.	Meaningfully Greater Minority and Nonminority Populations Living in Potentially Affected Block Groups Surrounding the 200-West Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site.....	J-6
Figure J-2.	Cumulative Larger-Scale Minority Populations as a Function of Distance from the 200-West Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site.....	J-8
Figure J-3.	Cumulative Smaller-Scale Minority Populations as a Function of Distance from the 200-West Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site.....	J-8
Figure J-4.	Meaningfully Greater Low-Income and Non-Low-Income Populations Living in Potentially Affected Block Groups Surrounding the 200-West Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site.....	J-10
Figure J-5.	Cumulative Low-Income Populations as a Function of Distance from the 200-West Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site.....	J-11
Figure J-6.	Meaningfully Greater Minority and Nonminority Populations Living in Potentially Affected Block Groups Surrounding the Waste Treatment Plant.....	J-12
Figure J-7.	Cumulative Larger-Scale Minority Populations as a Function of Distance from the Waste Treatment Plant.....	J-14
Figure J-8.	Cumulative Smaller-Scale Minority Populations as a Function of Distance from the Waste Treatment Plant.....	J-14
Figure J-9.	Meaningfully Greater Low-Income and Non-Low-Income Populations Living in Potentially Affected Block Groups Surrounding the Waste Treatment Plant.....	J-15
Figure J-10.	Cumulative Low-Income Populations as a Function of Distance from the Waste Treatment Plant.....	J-17

Figure J-11.	Meaningfully Greater Minority and Nonminority Populations Living in Potentially Affected Block Groups Surrounding the 200-East Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site.....	J-18
Figure J-12.	Meaningfully Greater Low-Income and Non-Low-Income Populations Living in Potentially Affected Block Groups Surrounding the 200-East Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site.....	J-20
Figure J-13.	Meaningfully Greater Minority and Nonminority Populations Living in Potentially Affected Block Groups Surrounding the Fast Flux Test Facility	J-22
Figure J-14.	Cumulative Larger-Scale Minority Populations as a Function of Distance from the Fast Flux Test Facility	J-24
Figure J-15.	Cumulative Smaller-Scale Minority Populations as a Function of Distance from the Fast Flux Test Facility	J-24
Figure J-16.	Meaningfully Greater Low-Income and Non-Low-Income Populations Living in Potentially Affected Block Groups Surrounding the Fast Flux Test Facility	J-25
Figure J-17.	Cumulative Low-Income Populations as a Function of Distance from the Fast Flux Test Facility	J-27
Figure J-18.	Meaningfully Greater Minority and Nonminority Populations Living in Potentially Affected Block Groups Surrounding the Materials and Fuels Complex	J-28
Figure J-19.	Cumulative Larger-Scale Minority Populations as a Function of Distance from the Materials and Fuels Complex	J-30
Figure J-20.	Cumulative Smaller-Scale Minority Populations as a Function of Distance from the Materials and Fuels Complex	J-30
Figure J-21.	Meaningfully Greater Low-Income and Non-Low-Income Populations Living in Potentially Affected Block Groups Surrounding the Materials and Fuels Complex	J-32
Figure J-22.	Cumulative Low-Income Populations as a Function of Distance from the Materials and Fuels Complex	J-33
Figure J-23.	Meaningfully Greater Minority and Nonminority Populations Living in Potentially Affected Block Groups Surrounding the Idaho Nuclear Technology and Engineering Center	J-35
Figure J-24.	Cumulative Larger-Scale Minority Populations as a Function of Distance from the Idaho Nuclear Technology and Engineering Center.....	J-36
Figure J-25.	Cumulative Smaller-Scale Minority Populations as a Function of Distance from the Idaho Nuclear Technology and Engineering Center.....	J-37
Figure J-26.	Meaningfully Greater Low-Income and Non-Low-Income Populations Living in Potentially Affected Block Groups Surrounding the Idaho Nuclear Technology and Engineering Center	J-38
Figure J-27.	Cumulative Low-Income Populations as a Function of Distance from the Idaho Nuclear Technology and Engineering Center.....	J-39
Figure K-1.	Locations Assumed to Be Sources of Radioactive Air Emissions and Possible Locations of the Maximally Exposed Individual.....	K-14
Figure K-2.	Population Distribution Within 80 Kilometers (50 Miles) of the Waste Treatment Plant – Total Population: 542,324	K-23
Figure K-3.	Population Distribution Within 80 Kilometers (50 Miles) of the 200-East Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site – Total Population: 546,746	K-24
Figure K-4.	Population Distribution Within 80 Kilometers (50 Miles) of the 200-West Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site – Total Population: 589,668	K-24
Figure K-5.	Population Distribution Within 80 Kilometers (50 Miles) of the Fast Flux Test Facility – Total Population: 445,002.....	K-72

List of Figures

Figure K-6.	Population Distribution Within 80 Kilometers (50 Miles) of the Idaho National Laboratory Idaho Nuclear Technology Engineering Center – Total Population: 152,493.....	K-73
Figure K-7.	Population Distribution Within 80 Kilometers (50 Miles) of the Idaho National Laboratory Materials and Fuels Complex – Total Population: 250,838.....	K-73
Figure L-1.	Groundwater Modeling System Flowchart.....	L-2
Figure L-2.	Groundwater Flow at the Hanford Site.....	L-5
Figure L-3.	Comparison of <i>Draft TC & WM EIS</i> Modeled Tritium Plumes to Field Observations in the 200-West Area.....	L-7
Figure L-4.	Comparison of <i>Draft TC & WM EIS</i> Modeled Technetium-99 Plumes to Field Observations in the Core Zone.....	L-7
Figure L-5.	Comparison of <i>Draft TC & WM EIS</i> Modeled Iodine-129 Plumes to Field Observations in the Core Zone.....	L-8
Figure L-6.	<i>Draft TC & WM EIS</i> Flow Model Conductivity Zones – Layer 11.....	L-9
Figure L-7.	<i>Final TC & WM EIS</i> Flow Model Conductivity Zones – Layer 11.....	L-10
Figure L-8.	Hanford Site Conceptual Groundwater Flow Model.....	L-12
Figure L-9.	Representation of Aquifer Thickness (meters) Across the Hanford Site.....	L-14
Figure L-10.	Representation of the Basalt Surface Across the Hanford Site.....	L-15
Figure L-11.	Geologic Materials – Borehole and Transect Locations.....	L-18
Figure L-12.	Geologic Materials – Transect A-A’.....	L-19
Figure L-13.	Geologic Materials – Transect B-B’.....	L-20
Figure L-14.	Geologic Materials – Plio-Pleistocene Isopach Map.....	L-22
Figure L-15.	Geologic Materials – Distribution of Sediments at the Water Table.....	L-23
Figure L-16.	MODFLOW Groundwater Flow Model Domain, Columbia and Yakima River Reaches, and River Head Control Points.....	L-26
Figure L-17.	Plan View of MODFLOW Horizontal Gridding.....	L-27
Figure L-18.	Cross-Sectional View of MODFLOW Vertical Grid.....	L-30
Figure L-19.	Mountain-Front Recharge Zones.....	L-33
Figure L-20.	Major Anthropogenic Recharge Sources in the 200-East Area.....	L-35
Figure L-21.	Major Anthropogenic Recharge Sources in the 200-West Area.....	L-35
Figure L-22.	Interpolated Top-of-Basalt Surface at the Hanford Site Showing Faults and Anticlines.....	L-39
Figure L-23.	Screen Print of Default Settings from Top-of-Basalt Surface Interpolation Using ArcGIS Geostatistical Analyst.....	L-41
Figure L-24.	Range of Root Mean Square Error for Varying Hydraulic Conductivity Values.....	L-51
Figure L-25.	Range of Root Mean Square Error for Varying Storage Property (Specific Yield) Values.....	L-53
Figure L-26.	Range of Root Mean Square Error for Varying Generalized Head Boundary Head Values.....	L-54
Figure L-27.	Range of Root Mean Square Error for Varying Generalized Head Boundary Conductance Values.....	L-55
Figure L-28.	Range of Root Mean Square Error for Varying Background Recharge Values.....	L-57
Figure L-29.	Range of Root Mean Square Error for Varying Anthropogenic Recharge Values.....	L-58
Figure L-30.	Range of Root Mean Square Error for Varying River Conductance Values.....	L-59
Figure L-31.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Residual Distribution.....	L-62
Figure L-32.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Calibration Graph and Statistics.....	L-62
Figure L-33.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Residuals – 200-East Area.....	L-63
Figure L-34.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Residuals – 200-West Area.....	L-63
Figure L-35.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Residuals, Calendar Year 1955.....	L-64
Figure L-36.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Residuals, Calendar Year 1975.....	L-64

Figure L-37.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Residuals, Calendar Year 1995	L-65
Figure L-38.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Residuals, Calendar Year 2010	L-65
Figure L-39.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Residuals in Northern Region of Model.....	L-66
Figure L-40.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Residuals in Central Region of Model	L-66
Figure L-41.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Residuals in Southern Region of Model.....	L-67
Figure L-42.	Distribution of Wells with Hydraulic Conductivity Determined from Aquifer Pumping Tests	L-68
Figure L-43.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Cumulative Water Balance Discrepancy	L-69
Figure L-44.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Total Water and Storage Rates over Time.....	L-70
Figure L-45.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Total Water and Generalized Head Boundary Rates over Time	L-71
Figure L-46.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Total Water and River Rates over Time.....	L-72
Figure L-47.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Total Water and Recharge Rates over Time.....	L-73
Figure L-48.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Potentiometric Head Distribution, Calendar Year 1944	L-74
Figure L-49.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Potentiometric Head Distribution, Calendar Year 1975	L-74
Figure L-50.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Potentiometric Head Distribution, Calendar Year 2200	L-74
Figure L-51.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Velocity Magnitude at 216-B-26 (BC Cribs in 200-East Area).....	L-75
Figure L-52.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Velocity Direction at 216-B-26 (BC Cribs in 200-East Area).....	L-75
Figure L-53.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Velocity Magnitude at 216-T-28 (200-West Area)	L-76
Figure L-54.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Velocity Direction at 216-T-28 (200-West Area)	L-76
Figure L-55.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Velocity Magnitude at BY Cribs (200-East Area).....	L-77
Figure L-56.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Velocity Direction at BY Cribs (200-East Area).....	L-77
Figure L-57.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Central Plateau Pathline Analysis	L-78
Figure L-58.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B 95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Concentration-Versus-Time Results for Technetium-99	L-80
Figure L-59.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, 95th Percentile Flow Model Concentration-Versus-Time Results for Technetium-99	L-80
Figure L-60.	100th Percentile Flow Model Residual Distribution.....	L-82
Figure L-61.	100th Percentile Flow Model Calibration Graph and Statistics.....	L-83
Figure L-62.	100th Percentile Flow Model Residuals – 200-East Area	L-83
Figure L-63.	100th Percentile Flow Model Residuals – 200-West Area	L-84
Figure L-64.	100th Percentile Flow Model Residuals, Calendar Year 1955	L-84
Figure L-65.	100th Percentile Flow Model Residuals, Calendar Year 1975	L-85
Figure L-66.	100th Percentile Flow Model Residuals, Calendar Year 1995	L-85
Figure L-67.	100th Percentile Flow Model Residuals, Calendar Year 2010	L-86
Figure L-68.	100th Percentile Flow Model Residuals in Northern Region of Model.....	L-86

List of Figures

Figure L-69.	100th Percentile Flow Model Residuals in Central Region of Model	L-87
Figure L-70.	100th Percentile Flow Model Residuals in Southern Region of Model.....	L-87
Figure L-71.	100th Percentile Flow Model Cumulative Water Balance Discrepancy.....	L-88
Figure L-72.	100th Percentile Flow Model Total Water and Storage Rates over Time	L-89
Figure L-73.	100th Percentile Flow Model Total Water and Generalized Head Boundary Rates over Time	L-90
Figure L-74.	100th Percentile Flow Model Total Water and River Rates over Time.....	L-91
Figure L-75.	100th Percentile Flow Model Total Water and Recharge Rates over Time	L-92
Figure L-76.	100th Percentile Flow Model Potentiometric Head Distribution, Calendar Year 1944	L-93
Figure L-77.	100th Percentile Flow Model Potentiometric Head Distribution, Calendar Year 1975	L-93
Figure L-78.	100th Percentile Flow Model Potentiometric Head Distribution, Calendar Year 2200.....	L-93
Figure L-79.	100th Percentile Flow Model Velocity Magnitude at 216-B-26 (BC Cribs in 200-East Area).....	L-94
Figure L-80.	100th Percentile Flow Model Velocity Direction at 216-B-26 (BC Cribs in 200-East Area).....	L-94
Figure L-81.	100th Percentile Flow Model Velocity Magnitude at 216-T-28 (200-West Area).....	L-95
Figure L-82.	100th Percentile Flow Model Velocity Direction at 216-T-28 (200-West Area)	L-95
Figure L-83.	100th Percentile Flow Model Velocity Magnitude at BY Cribs (200-East Area)	L-96
Figure L-84.	100th Percentile Flow Model Velocity Direction at BY Cribs (200-East Area)	L-96
Figure L-85.	100th Percentile Flow Model Central Plateau Pathline Analysis	L-97
Figure L-86.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B 100th Percentile Flow Model Concentration-Versus-Time Results for Technetium-99	L-99
Figure L-87.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, 100th Percentile Flow Model Concentration-Versus-Time Results for Technetium-99	L-99
Figure L-88.	66th Percentile Flow Model Residual Distribution.....	L-101
Figure L-89.	66th Percentile Flow Model Calibration Graph and Statistics.....	L-102
Figure L-90.	66th Percentile Flow Model Residuals – 200-East Area	L-102
Figure L-91.	66th Percentile Flow Model Residuals – 200-West Area	L-103
Figure L-92.	66th Percentile Flow Model Residuals, Calendar Year 1955	L-103
Figure L-93.	66th Percentile Flow Model Residuals, Calendar Year 1975	L-104
Figure L-94.	66th Percentile Flow Model Residuals, Calendar Year 1995	L-104
Figure L-95.	66th Percentile Flow Model Residuals, Calendar Year 2010	L-105
Figure L-96.	66th Percentile Flow Model Residuals in Northern Region of Model	L-105
Figure L-97.	66th Percentile Flow Model Residuals in Central Region of Model	L-106
Figure L-98.	66th Percentile Flow Model Residuals in Southern Region of Model	L-106
Figure L-99.	66th Percentile Flow Model Cumulative Water Balance Discrepancy.....	L-107
Figure L-100.	66th Percentile Flow Model Total Water and Storage Rates over Time	L-108
Figure L-101.	66th Percentile Flow Model Total Water and Generalized Head Boundary Rates over Time.....	L-109
Figure L-102.	66th Percentile Flow Model Total Water and River Rates over Time.....	L-110
Figure L-103.	66th Percentile Flow Model Total Water and Recharge Rates over Time	L-111
Figure L-104.	66th Percentile Flow Model Potentiometric Head Distribution, Calendar Year 1944	L-112
Figure L-105.	66th Percentile Flow Model Potentiometric Head Distribution, Calendar Year 1975	L-112
Figure L-106.	66th Percentile Flow Model Potentiometric Head Distribution, Calendar Year 2200	L-112

Figure L-107.	66th Percentile Flow Model Velocity Magnitude at 216-B-26 (BC Cribs in 200-East Area).....	L-113
Figure L-108.	66th Percentile Flow Model Velocity Direction at 216-B-26 (BC Cribs in 200-East Area).....	L-113
Figure L-109.	66th Percentile Flow Model Velocity Magnitude at 216-T-28 Crib (200-West Area)	L-114
Figure L-110.	66th Percentile Flow Model Velocity Direction at 216-T-28 Crib (200-West Area)	L-114
Figure L-111.	66th Percentile Flow Model Velocity Magnitude at BY Cribs (200-East Area)	L-115
Figure L-112.	66th Percentile Flow Model Velocity Direction at BY Cribs (200-East Area)	L-115
Figure L-113.	66th Percentile Flow Model Central Plateau Pathline Analysis	L-116
Figure L-114.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B 66th Percentile Flow Model Technetium-99 Concentration-Versus-Time Results.....	L-118
Figure L-115.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, 66th Percentile Flow Model Technetium-99 Concentration-Versus-Time Results	L-118
Figure M-1.	Groundwater Modeling System Flowchart.....	M-1
Figure M-2.	Time Dependence of Infiltration Rate	M-2
Figure M-3.	Schematic of Release Concept for Partitioning-Limited, Convective-Flow Release	M-4
Figure M-4.	Schematic of Rectangular Waste Form with Diffusion Release from Lower Surface.....	M-9
Figure M-5.	Schematic of Rectangular Waste Form with Diffusion Release from Upper and Lower Surfaces	M-11
Figure M-6.	Schematic of a Cylindrical Diffusion Release Model.....	M-12
Figure M-7.	Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Tank Farm Past Leaks	M-27
Figure M-8.	Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Tank Farm Past Leaks	M-27
Figure M-9.	Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-West Area Tank Farm Past Leaks	M-28
Figure M-10.	Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-West Area Tank Farm Past Leaks	M-28
Figure M-11.	Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Alternative Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	M-30
Figure M-12.	Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Alternative Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	M-30
Figure M-13.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ	M-33
Figure M-14.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY.....	M-33
Figure M-15.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U	M-34
Figure M-16.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ	M-34
Figure M-17.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY.....	M-35
Figure M-18.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U	M-35
Figure M-19.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY.....	M-37

List of Figures

Figure M-20.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ	M-37
Figure M-21.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U	M-38
Figure M-22.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY	M-38
Figure M-23.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, and AZ	M-39
Figure M-24.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U	M-39
Figure M-25.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Ancillary Equipment in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY	M-41
Figure M-26.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Ancillary Equipment in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ	M-41
Figure M-27.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Ancillary Equipment in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U	M-42
Figure M-28.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Ancillary Equipment in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY	M-42
Figure M-29.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Ancillary Equipment in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ	M-43
Figure M-30.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Ancillary Equipment in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U	M-43
Figure M-31.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Retrieval Losses in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, and C	M-45
Figure M-32.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Retrieval Losses in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U	M-45
Figure M-33.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Retrieval Losses in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, and C	M-46
Figure M-34.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Retrieval Losses in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U	M-46
Figure M-35.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Tank Residuals in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY	M-48
Figure M-36.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Tank Residuals in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ	M-48
Figure M-37.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Tank Residuals in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U	M-49
Figure M-38.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Tank Residuals in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY	M-49
Figure M-39.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Tank Residuals in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ	M-50
Figure M-40.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Tank Residuals in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U	M-50

Figure M-41.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Unplanned Releases in Tank Farms B, BY, C, TX, and U	M-52
Figure M-42.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Unplanned Releases in Tank Farms B, BY, C, TX, and U	M-52
Figure M-43.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ	M-54
Figure M-44.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BY, C and SY	M-54
Figure M-45.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, T, TX, TY, and U.....	M-55
Figure M-46.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ	M-55
Figure M-47.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BY, C, and SY	M-56
Figure M-48.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, T, TX, TY, and U.....	M-56
Figure M-49.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ	M-58
Figure M-50.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY.....	M-58
Figure M-51.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U	M-59
Figure M-52.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ	M-59
Figure M-53.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY.....	M-60
Figure M-54.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U	M-60
Figure M-55.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base and Option Cases, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms B, BY, C, TX, and U.....	M-63
Figure M-56.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base and Option Cases, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms B, BY, C, TX, and U.....	M-63
Figure M-57.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base and Option Cases, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms B, BY, C, TX, and U.....	M-64
Figure M-58.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base and Option Cases, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms B, BY, C, TX, and U.....	M-64
Figure M-59.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-65
Figure M-60.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-66
Figure M-61.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-66
Figure M-62.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-68
Figure M-63.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-68
Figure M-64.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-71
Figure M-65.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-71
Figure M-66.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-74
Figure M-67.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-74

List of Figures

Figure M-68.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-77
Figure M-69.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-77
Figure M-70.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-80
Figure M-71.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-80
Figure M-72.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-83
Figure M-73.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-83
Figure M-74.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-86
Figure M-75.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-86
Figure M-76.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-89
Figure M-77.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-89
Figure M-78.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-92
Figure M-79.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-92
Figure M-80.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone.....	M-95
Figure M-81.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone.....	M-95
Figure M-82.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-97
Figure M-83.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-97
Figure M-84.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-100
Figure M-85.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-100
Figure M-86.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-102
Figure M-87.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-102
Figure M-88.	Waste Management Alternative 3, All Disposal Groups, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-103
Figure M-89.	Waste Management Alternative 3, All Disposal Groups, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-104
Figure M-90.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-106
Figure M-91.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-106

Figure M-92.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-109
Figure M-93.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-109
Figure M-94.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-112
Figure M-95.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-112
Figure M-96.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-115
Figure M-97.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-115
Figure M-98.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-118
Figure M-99.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-118
Figure M-100.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-121
Figure M-101.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-121
Figure M-102.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-124
Figure M-103.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-124
Figure M-104.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-127
Figure M-105.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-127
Figure M-106.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-130
Figure M-107.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-130
Figure M-108.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-132

List of Figures

Figure M-109. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-132
Figure M-110. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-135
Figure M-111. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-135
Figure M-112. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-137
Figure M-113. Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	M-137
Figure M-114. Plan View of Aqueous Discharge Study Area	M-138
Figure M-115. Variation of Rate of Arrival of Solute at the Water Table with Release Duration for Extended Area of Elevated Recharge.....	M-139
Figure M-116. Variation of Rate of Arrival of Solute at the Water Table with Release Timing for Extended Area of Elevated Recharge.....	M-140
Figure M-117. Variation of Rate of Arrival of Solute at the Water Table with Release Duration for Local Area of Elevated Recharge.....	M-141
Figure M-118. Variation of Rate of Arrival of Solute at the Water Table with Release Timing for Local Area of Elevated Recharge.....	M-142
Figure M-119. Tank Closure Alternative 3A Waste Form Rates of Release of Technetium-99	M-144
Figure M-120. Tank Closure Alternative 3A Waste Form Rates of Release of Iodine-129	M-144
Figure M-121. Tank Closure Alternative 3B Waste Form Rates of Release of Technetium-99	M-145
Figure M-122. Tank Closure Alternative 3B Waste Form Rates of Release of Iodine-129	M-146
Figure M-123. Tank Closure Alternative 3C Waste Form Rates of Release of Technetium-99	M-147
Figure M-124. Tank Closure Alternative 3C Waste Form Rates of Release of Iodine-129	M-147
Figure M-125. Tank Closure Alternatives 3A, 3B, and 3C Waste Form Combined Cumulative Release of Technetium-99	M-148
Figure M-126. Tank Closure Alternatives 3A, 3B, and 3C Waste Form Combined Cumulative Release of Iodine-129	M-149
Figure M-127. Dependence of Rate of Release of Technetium-99 on Rate of Recharge for Diffusion-Limited Release Model	M-150
Figure M-128. Dependence of Rate of Release of Technetium-99 to the Vadose Zone on Steam Reforming Waste Release Model	M-156
Figure M-129. Dependence of Rate of Arrival of Technetium-99 at the Water Table on Steam Reforming Waste Release Model	M-156
Figure M-130. Tank Closure Alternative 2B Release of Technetium-99 to the Vadose Zone from Tank Farm C	M-157
Figure M-131. Tank Closure Alternative 2B Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration at the Core Zone Boundary and the Columbia River, Retrieval Loss Sensitivity Case.....	M-158
Figure M-132. Tank Closure Alternative 2B Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration, at the Core Zone Boundary and the Columbia River, No-Retrieval-Losses Sensitivity Case.....	M-158
Figure M-133. Release of Technetium-99 to the Vadose Zone from Immobilized Low-Activity Waste Glass for Sensitivity Analysis.....	M-159
Figure M-134. Tank Closure Alternative 2B Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration at the Core Zone Boundary.....	M-160

Figure M-135. Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration at the Core Zone Boundary, Sensitivity Case 1.....	M-161
Figure M-136. Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration at the Core Zone Boundary, Sensitivity Case 2.....	M-162
Figure M-137. Release of Iodine-129 to the Vadose Zone from Effluent Treatment Facility–Generated Secondary Waste and Immobilized Low-Activity Waste Glass for <i>TC & WM EIS</i> and Iodine-Recycle Sensitivity Cases.....	M-163
Figure M-138. Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentrations at the Core Zone Boundary, <i>TC & WM EIS</i> Case	M-164
Figure M-139. Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentrations at the Core Zone Boundary Iodine-Recycle Sensitivity Case	M-165
Figure M-140. Release of Technetium-99 to the Vadose Zone, <i>TC & WM EIS</i> and No-Technetium-99-Removal Sensitivity Cases.....	M-166
Figure M-141. Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration at the Core Zone Boundary	M-167
Figure M-142. Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration at the Core Zone Boundary, No-Technetium-99-Removal Case	M-168
Figure M-143. Release of Technetium-99 to the Vadose Zone, Bulk Vitrification Glass Sensitivity Analysis	M-169
Figure M-144. Tank Closure Alternative 3A, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at the Core Zone Boundary, Bulk Vitrification EIS Case.....	M-170
Figure M-145. Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at the Core Zone Boundary, Bulk Vitrification Sensitivity Case 1	M-171
Figure M-146. Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at the Core Zone Boundary, Bulk Vitrification Sensitivity Case 2	M-172
Figure M-147. Rate of Release of Iodine-129 to the Vadose Zone, Grout Performance Sensitivity Analysis	M-174
Figure M-148. Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentrations at the Core Zone Boundary, EIS Performance	M-175
Figure M-149. Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentrations at the Core Zone Boundary, Grout Sensitivity Case	M-176
Figure M-150. Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3A, Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentrations at the Core Zone Boundary, EIS Performance	M-177
Figure M-151. Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3A, Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentrations at the Core Zone Boundary, Grout Sensitivity Case	M-178
Figure M-152. Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3B, Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentrations at the Core Zone Boundary, EIS Performance	M-179
Figure M-153. Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3B, Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentrations at the Core Zone Boundary, Grout Sensitivity Case	M-180
Figure M-154. Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3C, Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentrations at the Core Zone Boundary, EIS Performance	M-181
Figure M-155. Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3C, Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentrations at the Core Zone Boundary, Grout Sensitivity Case	M-182
Figure M-156. Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration Without Offsite Waste	M-183
Figure M-157. Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration with 1 Curie of Iodine in Offsite Waste	M-184
Figure M-158. Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration with 2 Curies of Iodine in Offsite Waste	M-184

List of Figures

Figure M-159.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration with 3 Curies of Iodine in Offsite Waste	M-185
Figure M-160.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration Without Offsite Waste	M-185
Figure M-161.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration with 500 Curies Offsite Waste	M-186
Figure M-162.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration with 1,000 Curies Offsite Waste	M-186
Figure M-163.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration with 1,500 Curies Offsite Waste	M-187
Figure N-1.	Groundwater Modeling System Flow Diagram	N-1
Figure N-2.	Conceptual Schematic of a STOMP Model.....	N-3
Figure N-3.	Example of Source Representation (C Tank Farm)	N-4
Figure N-4.	Horizontal STOMP Grid for C Tank Farm.....	N-5
Figure N-5.	Three-Dimensional View of STOMP Model.....	N-5
Figure N-6.	Example of Vertical Vadose Zone Cross Section.....	N-6
Figure N-7.	Time Dependence of the Infiltration Rate	N-7
Figure N-8.	Borehole Stratigraphy Data.....	N-9
Figure N-9.	Vertical Cross Section of a STOMP Vadose Zone Model Grid of the BX Tank Farm (200-East Area).....	N-9
Figure N-10.	Flow Diagram for Selection of Vadose Zone Hydraulic Parameter Values	N-12
Figure N-11.	Predicted and Measured Moisture Content Profiles	N-13
Figure N-12.	Time Series of Measured Gross Beta Activity with Predicted Technetium-99 Concentrations Below the BY Cribs.....	N-15
Figure N-13.	Contour Plot of Reported Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations near the BY Cribs, Calendar Year 2009	N-16
Figure N-14.	Predicted Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations, Calendar Year 2010	N-17
Figure N-15.	Contour Plot of Reported Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentrations at the REDOX [Reduction-Oxidation] Facility, Calendar Year 2009	N-19
Figure N-16.	Predicted Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentrations at the REDOX [Reduction-Oxidation] Facility, Calendar Year 2010	N-20
Figure N-17.	Groundwater Monitoring-Based Interpretation of the 200-East Area Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume Ongoing Development.....	N-21
Figure N-18.	Predicted Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentrations at the PUREX [Plutonium-Uranium Extraction] Plant, Calendar Year 2010	N-22
Figure N-19.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from 200-East Area Tank Farm Past Leaks.....	N-28
Figure N-20.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from 200-West Area Tank Farm Past Leaks	N-28
Figure N-21.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Chemical Releases to Aquifer from 200-East Area Tank Farm Past Leaks.....	N-29
Figure N-22.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Chemical Releases to Aquifer from 200-West Area Tank Farm Past Leaks	N-29
Figure N-23.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from 200-East Area Tank Farm Past Leaks.....	N-31
Figure N-24.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from 200-West Area Tank Farm Past Leaks	N-31

Figure N-25.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Chemical Releases to Aquifer from 200-East Area Tank Farm Past Leaks.....	N-32
Figure N-26.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Chemical Releases to Aquifer from 200-West Area Tank Farm Past Leaks	N-32
Figure N-27.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from 200-East Area Tank Farm Past Leaks.....	N-34
Figure N-28.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from 200-West Area Tank Farm Past Leaks	N-34
Figure N-29.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to Aquifer from 200-East Area Tank Farm Past Leaks.....	N-35
Figure N-30.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to Aquifer from 200-West Area Tank Farm Past Leaks	N-35
Figure N-31.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from 200-East Area Tank Farm Past Leaks.....	N-37
Figure N-32.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from 200-West Area Tank Farm Past Leaks	N-37
Figure N-33.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Chemical Releases to Aquifer from 200-East Area Tank Farm Past Leaks.....	N-38
Figure N-34.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Chemical Releases to Aquifer from 200-West Area Tank Farm Past Leaks	N-38
Figure N-35.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from 200-East Area Tank Farm Past Leaks.....	N-40
Figure N-36.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from 200-West Area Tank Farm Past Leaks	N-40
Figure N-37.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Chemical Releases to Aquifer from 200-East Area Tank Farm Past Leaks.....	N-41
Figure N-38.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Chemical Releases to Aquifer from 200-West Area Tank Farm Past Leaks	N-41
Figure N-39.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base and Option Cases, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from 200-East Area Tank Farm Past Leaks.....	N-43
Figure N-40.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base and Option Cases, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from 200-West Area Tank Farm Past Leaks	N-43
Figure N-41.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base and Option Cases, Chemical Releases to Aquifer from 200-East Area Tank Farm Past Leaks.....	N-44
Figure N-42.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base and Option Cases, Chemical Releases to Aquifer from 200-West Area Tank Farm Past Leaks	N-44
Figure N-43.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base and Option Cases, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from 200-East Area Tank Farm Past Leaks.....	N-46
Figure N-44.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base and Option Cases, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from 200-West Area Tank Farm Past Leaks	N-46
Figure N-45.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base and Option Cases, Chemical Releases to Aquifer from 200-East Area Tank Farm Past Leaks.....	N-47
Figure N-46.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base and Option Cases, Chemical Releases to Aquifer from 200-West Area Tank Farm Past Leaks	N-47
Figure N-47.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	N-49
Figure N-48.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	N-49
Figure N-49.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	N-51
Figure N-50.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	N-51

List of Figures

Figure N-51.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, 4, 5, 6A (Base Case), 6B (Base Case), and 6C Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	N-53
Figure N-52.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, 4, 5, 6A (Base Case), 6B (Base Case), and 6C Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	N-53
Figure N-53.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	N-55
Figure N-54.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	N-55
Figure N-55.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	N-57
Figure N-56.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	N-57
Figure N-57.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY.....	N-60
Figure N-58.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ.....	N-60
Figure N-59.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U.....	N-61
Figure N-60.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY.....	N-61
Figure N-61.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ.....	N-62
Figure N-62.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U.....	N-62
Figure N-63.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY.....	N-64
Figure N-64.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY and AZ.....	N-64
Figure N-65.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U.....	N-65
Figure N-66.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY.....	N-65
Figure N-67.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ.....	N-66
Figure N-68.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U.....	N-66
Figure N-69.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Ancillary Equipment in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BY, C, and SY.....	N-68
Figure N-70.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Ancillary Equipment in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ.....	N-68
Figure N-71.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Ancillary Equipment in Tank Farms S, T, TX, TY, and U.....	N-69
Figure N-72.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Ancillary Equipment in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BY, C, and SY.....	N-69
Figure N-73.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Ancillary Equipment in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ.....	N-70
Figure N-74.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Ancillary Equipment in Tank Farms S, T, TX, TY, and U.....	N-70

Figure N-75.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Retrieval Losses in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, and C.....	N-72
Figure N-76.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Retrieval Losses in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U.....	N-72
Figure N-77.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Retrieval Losses in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, and C.....	N-73
Figure N-78.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Retrieval Losses in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U.....	N-73
Figure N-79.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Tank Residuals in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY	N-75
Figure N-80.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Tank Residuals in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ.....	N-75
Figure N-81.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Tank Residuals in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U	N-76
Figure N-82.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Tank Residuals in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY	N-76
Figure N-83.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Tank Residuals in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ.....	N-77
Figure N-84.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Tank Residuals in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U	N-77
Figure N-85.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Unplanned Releases in Tank Farms B, BY, C, TX, and U.....	N-79
Figure N-86.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Unplanned Releases in Tank Farms B, BY, C, TX, and U.....	N-79
Figure N-87.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BY, C, and SY	N-81
Figure N-88.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ	N-81
Figure N-89.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, T, TX, TY, and U.....	N-82
Figure N-90.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BY, C, and SY	N-82
Figure N-91.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ	N-83
Figure N-92.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, T, TX, TY, and U.....	N-83
Figure N-93.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY.....	N-85
Figure N-94.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ	N-85
Figure N-95.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U	N-86
Figure N-96.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, and SY.....	N-86
Figure N-97.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms AN, AP, AW, AY, and AZ	N-87
Figure N-98.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms S, SX, T, TX, TY, and U	N-87
Figure N-99.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base and Option Cases, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms B, BY, C, TX, and U.....	N-90
Figure N-100.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base and Option Cases, Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms B, BY, C, TX, and U.....	N-90

List of Figures

Figure N-101.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base and Option Cases, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms B, BY, C, TX, and U.....	N-93
Figure N-102.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base and Option Cases, Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Other Sources in Tank Farms B, BY, C, TX, and U.....	N-93
Figure N-103.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-94
Figure N-104.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-94
Figure N-105.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-95
Figure N-106.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-97
Figure N-107.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-97
Figure N-108.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-100
Figure N-109.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-100
Figure N-110.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-103
Figure N-111.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-103
Figure N-112.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-106
Figure N-113.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-106
Figure N-114.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-109
Figure N-115.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-109
Figure N-116.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-112
Figure N-117.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-112
Figure N-118.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-115
Figure N-119.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-115
Figure N-120.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-118
Figure N-121.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-118
Figure N-122.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-121
Figure N-123.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-121
Figure N-124.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-124
Figure N-125.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-124
Figure N-126.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-126
Figure N-127.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-126
Figure N-128.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-129

Figure N-129.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-129
Figure N-130.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-131
Figure N-131.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-131
Figure N-132.	Waste Management Alternative 3, 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-132
Figure N-133.	Waste Management Alternative 3, 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-133
Figure N-134.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-135
Figure N-135.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-135
Figure N-136.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-138
Figure N-137.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-138
Figure N-138.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-141
Figure N-139.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-141
Figure N-140.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-144
Figure N-141.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-144
Figure N-142.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-147
Figure N-143.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-147
Figure N-144.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-150
Figure N-145.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-150
Figure N-146.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-153
Figure N-147.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-153
Figure N-148.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-156
Figure N-149.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-156
Figure N-150.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer	N-159
Figure N-151.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Chemical Releases to Aquifer	N-159
Figure N-152.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer	N-161
Figure N-153.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-161
Figure N-154.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-164

List of Figures

Figure N-155.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-164
Figure N-156.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer.....	N-166
Figure N-157.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-166
Figure N-158.	Distribution of Travel Time in the Vadose Zone for the 200-East Area	N-168
Figure N-159.	Distribution of Travel Time in the Vadose Zone for the 200-West Area	N-169
Figure N-160.	Dependence of Rate of Arrival of Solute at the Water Table on Magnitude of Aqueous Discharge	N-171
Figure N-161.	Dependence of Rate of Arrival of Solute at the Water Table on Thickness of Silt Layer.....	N-172
Figure N-162.	Schematic of a Tilted Geologic Layer	N-174
Figure N-163.	Schematic of Vadose Zone Release Area Configuration at the Water Table, Upper Geologic Layer Tilted	N-175
Figure N-164.	Time Series of Rate of Arrival of Solute Immediately Below the Source, Upper Geologic Layer Tilted	N-176
Figure N-165.	Time Series of Rate of Arrival of Solute Below the Entire Study Area, Upper Geologic Layer Tilted	N-176
Figure N-166.	Schematic of Elevation View of Vadose Zone with the Study Volume Intersected by a Dike	N-178
Figure N-167.	Schematic of Plan View of Recharge Areas with Study Area Intersected by a Dike.....	N-178
Figure N-168.	Time Series of Rate of Arrival of Solute Immediately Below a Source Intersected by a Dike	N-180
Figure N-169.	Time Series of Rate of Arrival of Solute Below Entire Study Area with Source Intersected by a Dike	N-180
Figure N-170.	Rate of Release of Nitrate to the Vadose Zone for River Protection Project Disposal Facility Barrier Conditions	N-182
Figure N-171.	Rate of Arrival of Nitrate at the Water Table for River Protection Project Disposal Facility Barrier Conditions	N-183
Figure N-172.	Rate of Release of Iodine-129 to the Vadose Zone for 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Conditions.....	N-184
Figure N-173.	Rate of Arrival of Iodine-129 at the Water Table for Integrated Disposal Facility Conditions.....	N-184
Figure N-174.	Dependence of Rate of Arrival of Iodine-129 at the Water Table on Magnitude of Distribution Coefficient.....	N-185
Figure N-175.	Rates of Arrival of Iodine-129 at the Water Table for Two Waste Forms for the 20 Percent Partition to Immobilized Low-Activity Waste Glass Case	N-187
Figure N-176.	Rates of Arrival of Iodine-129 at the Water Table for Two Waste Forms for the 70 Percent Partition to Immobilized Low-Activity Waste Glass Case	N-188
Figure N-177.	Rates of Arrival of Iodine-129 at the Water Table for the 20 Percent and 70 Percent Partition to Immobilized Low-Activity Waste Glass Cases	N-188
Figure N-178.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Sources- Rate of Release of Technetium-99 to the Vadose Zone at an Infiltration Rate of 0.9 Millimeters per Year	N-191
Figure N-179.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Sources- Rate of Release of Iodine-129 to the Vadose Zone at an Infiltration Rate of 0.9 Millimeters per Year	N-192

Figure N-180.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Sources- Rate of Release of Uranium-238 to the Vadose Zone at an Infiltration Rate of 0.9 Millimeters per Year	N-192
Figure N-181.	Rate of Release of Technetium-99 to the Vadose Zone from Offsite Waste at Infiltration Rates of 0.9, 3.5 and 5.0 Millimeters per Year	N-193
Figure N-182.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 0.9 Millimeters per Year	N-194
Figure N-183.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 1.75 Millimeters per Year	N-194
Figure N-184.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 2.5 Millimeters per Year	N-195
Figure N-185.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 3.5 Millimeters per Year	N-195
Figure N-186.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 4.25 Millimeters per Year	N-196
Figure N-187.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 5.0 Millimeters per Year	N-196
Figure N-188.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1B, Sources- Rate of Release of Technetium-99 to the Vadose Zone at an Infiltration Rate of 0.9 Millimeters per Year	N-198
Figure N-189.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1B, Sources- Rate of Release of Iodine-129 to the Vadose Zone at an Infiltration Rate of 0.9 Millimeters per Year	N-198
Figure N-190.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1B, Sources- Rate of Release of Uranium-238 to the Vadose Zone at an Infiltration Rate of 0.9 Millimeters per Year	N-199
Figure N-191.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3A, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 0.9 Millimeters per Year	N-200
Figure N-192.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3A, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 1.75 Millimeters per Year	N-200
Figure N-193.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3A, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 2.5 Millimeters per Year	N-201
Figure N-194.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3A, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 3.5 Millimeters per Year	N-201
Figure N-195.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3A, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 4.25 Millimeters per Year	N-202
Figure N-196.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3A, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 5.0 Millimeters per Year	N-202

List of Figures

Figure N-197.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Sources– Rate of Release of Technetium-99 to the Vadose Zone at an Infiltration Rate of 0.9 Millimeters per Year	N-204
Figure N-198.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Sources– Rate of Release of Iodine-129 to the Vadose Zone at an Infiltration Rate of 0.9 Millimeters per Year	N-204
Figure N-199.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Sources– Rate of Release of Uranium-238 the Vadose Zone at an Infiltration Rate of 0.9 Millimeters per Year	N-205
Figure N-200.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3B, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 0.9 Millimeters per Year	N-206
Figure N-201.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3B, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 1.75 Millimeters per Year	N-206
Figure N-202.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3B, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 2.5 Millimeters per Year	N-207
Figure N-203.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3B, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 3.5 Millimeters per Year	N-207
Figure N-204.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3B, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 4.25 Millimeters per Year	N-208
Figure N-205.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3B, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 5.0 Millimeters per Year	N-208
Figure N-206.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Sources– Rate of Release of Technetium-99 to the Vadose Zone at an Infiltration Rate of 0.9 Millimeters per Year	N-210
Figure N-207.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Sources– Rate of Release of Iodine-129 to the Vadose Zone at an Infiltration Rate of 0.9 Millimeters per Year	N-210
Figure N-208.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Sources– Rate of Release of Uranium-238 to the Vadose Zone at an Infiltration Rate of 0.9 Millimeters per Year	N-211
Figure N-209.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3C, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 0.9 Millimeters per Year	N-212
Figure N-210.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3C, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 1.75 Millimeters per Year	N-212
Figure N-211.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3C, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 2.5 Millimeters per Year	N-213
Figure N-212.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3C, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 3.5 Millimeters per Year	N-213
Figure N-213.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3C, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 4.25 Millimeters per Year	N-214

Figure N-214.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Tank Closure Alternative 3C, Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentrations at a Background Infiltration Rate of 5.0 Millimeters per Year	N-214
Figure O-1.	Configuration of Release Areas for a Given Source.....	O-3
Figure O-2.	MODFLOW Flow Field Showing Head Contours and Velocity Vectors	O-4
Figure O-3.	Concentration-Versus-Time Graph of Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) (Half-Life = 12.4 Years) for 216-S-7 Crib.....	O-6
Figure O-4.	Concentration-Versus-Time Graph of Technetium-99 (Half-Life = 213,000 Years) for 216-S-7 Crib.....	O-6
Figure O-5.	Concentration-Versus-Time Graph of Iodine-129 (Half-Life = 15,700,000 Years) for 216-S-7 Crib.....	O-7
Figure O-6.	Concentration-Versus-Time Graph of Uranium-238 (Half-Life = 4,470,000,000 Years) for 216-S-7 Crib.....	O-7
Figure O-7.	Effects of Retardation on Concentration of Technetium-99 (Retardation Coefficient = 1) at Core Zone Boundary, Columbia River, and T Barrier.....	O-9
Figure O-8.	Effects of Retardation on Concentration of Uranium-238 (Retardation Coefficient = 7.24) at Core Zone Boundary, Columbia River, and T Barrier.....	O-9
Figure O-9.	Views Showing Depth of Concentration Grid Cells.....	O-10
Figure O-10.	Spatial Concentration of Iodine-129 from 216-S-7 Crib, Calendar Year 2915 (100,000 particles)	O-11
Figure O-11.	Concentration Versus Time of Iodine-129 from 216-S-7 Crib (100,000 particles).....	O-12
Figure O-12.	Spatial Concentration of Iodine-129 from 216-S-7 Crib, Calendar Year 2915 (1 million particles).....	O-13
Figure O-13.	Concentration Versus Time of Iodine-129 from 216-S-7 Crib (1 million particles).....	O-14
Figure O-14.	Persistence of Iodine-129 Concentration Under Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case (from <i>Draft TC & WM EIS</i>)	O-14
Figure O-15.	Hanford Site Map Showing Locations of Lines of Analysis	O-16
Figure O-16.	Technetium-99 Plume Depicting Clustering North of the Core Zone and Near the Columbia River	O-17
Figure O-17.	Sitewide Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plumes, Calendar Year 1980.....	O-19
Figure O-18.	Sitewide Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plumes, Calendar Year 2003.....	O-20
Figure O-19.	PUREX [Plutonium-Uranium Extraction] Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume for Run P10, Calendar Year 1980 (using <i>Draft TC & WM EIS</i> modeling machinery)	O-39
Figure O-20.	PUREX [Plutonium-Uranium Extraction] Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume for Run P10, Calendar Year 1990 (using <i>Draft TC & WM EIS</i> modeling machinery)	O-40
Figure O-21.	PUREX [Plutonium-Uranium Extraction] Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume for Run P10, Calendar Year 2005 (using <i>Draft TC & WM EIS</i> modeling machinery)	O-41
Figure O-22.	REDOX [Reduction-Oxidation] Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume for Run R10, Calendar Year 1980 (using <i>Draft TC & WM EIS</i> modeling machinery).....	O-42
Figure O-23.	REDOX [Reduction-Oxidation] Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume for Run R10, Calendar Year 1990 (using <i>Draft TC & WM EIS</i> modeling machinery).....	O-43
Figure O-24.	REDOX [Reduction-Oxidation] Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume for Run R10, Calendar Year 2005 (using <i>Draft TC & WM EIS</i> modeling machinery).....	O-44
Figure O-25.	PUREX [Plutonium-Uranium Extraction] Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume, Calendar Year 1980 (using <i>Final TC & WM EIS</i> modeling machinery).....	O-46

List of Figures

Figure O-26.	PUREX [Plutonium-Uranium Extraction] Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume, Calendar Year 1990 (using <i>Final TC & WM EIS</i> modeling machinery).....	O-47
Figure O-27.	PUREX [Plutonium-Uranium Extraction] Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume, Calendar Year 2005 (using <i>Final TC & WM EIS</i> modeling machinery).....	O-48
Figure O-28.	REDOX [Reduction-Oxidation] Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume, Calendar Year 1980 (using <i>Final TC & WM EIS</i> modeling machinery).....	O-49
Figure O-29.	REDOX [Reduction-Oxidation] Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume, Calendar Year 1990 (using <i>Final TC & WM EIS</i> modeling machinery).....	O-50
Figure O-30.	REDOX [Reduction-Oxidation] Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume, Calendar Year 2005 (using <i>Final TC & WM EIS</i> modeling machinery).....	O-51
Figure O-31.	216-T-26 (TY Crib) Waste Site Iodine-129 Dispersivity, 50 meters, Calendar Year 2003	O-52
Figure O-32.	216-T-26 (TY Crib) Waste Site Iodine-129 Dispersivity, 100 meters, Calendar Year 2003	O-53
Figure O-33.	216-T-26 (TY Crib) Waste Site Iodine-129 Dispersivity, 500 meters, Calendar Year 2003	O-54
Figure O-34.	200-West Area Iodine-129 Plume	O-55
Figure O-35.	Base Case Operational Period Chromium Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005.....	O-111
Figure O-36.	Alternate Case Operational Period Chromium Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005.....	O-112
Figure O-37.	Base Case Operational Period Nitrate Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005.....	O-113
Figure O-38.	Alternate Case Operational Period Nitrate Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005.....	O-114
Figure O-39.	Base Case Operational Period Iodine-129 Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005.....	O-115
Figure O-40.	Alternate Case Operational Period Iodine-129 Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005	O-116
Figure O-41.	Base Case Operational Period Technetium-99 Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005.....	O-117
Figure O-42.	Alternate Case Operational Period Technetium-99 Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005.....	O-118
Figure O-43.	Base Case Operational Period PUREX [Plutonium-Uranium Extraction] Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005.....	O-119
Figure O-44.	Alternate Case Operational Period PUREX [Plutonium-Uranium Extraction] Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005.....	O-120
Figure O-45.	Base Case Operational Period REDOX [Reduction-Oxidation] Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005.....	O-121
Figure O-46.	Alternate Case Operational Period REDOX [Reduction-Oxidation] Waste Site Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Plume Map, Calendar Year 2005.....	O-122
Figure O-47.	Technetium-99 Concentrations at the A Barrier, Hanford Site Postoperational Period	O-124
Figure O-48.	Technetium-99 Concentrations at the B Barrier, Hanford Site Postoperational Period	O-124
Figure O-49.	Technetium-99 Concentrations at the Fast Flux Test Facility Barrier, Hanford Site Postoperational Period.....	O-125
Figure O-50.	Technetium-99 Concentrations at the T Barrier, Hanford Site Postoperational Period	O-125
Figure O-51.	Technetium-99 Concentrations at the U Barrier, Hanford Site Postoperational Period	O-126
Figure O-52.	Technetium-99 Concentrations at the S Barrier, Hanford Site Postoperational Period	O-126
Figure O-53.	Technetium-99 Concentrations at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier, Hanford Site Postoperational Period	O-127
Figure O-54.	Technetium-99 Concentrations at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility Barrier, Hanford Site Postoperational Period	O-127

Figure O-55.	Technetium-99 Concentrations at the Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Ground 218-W-5, Trenches 31 and 34 Barrier, Hanford Site Postoperational Period	O-128
Figure O-56.	Technetium-99 Concentrations at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility Barrier, Hanford Site Postoperational Period	O-128
Figure O-57.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration for Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Calendar Year 2005 (Retardation Coefficient = 1)	O-130
Figure O-58.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration for Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Calendar Year 2005 (Retardation Coefficient = 2.33)	O-131
Figure O-59.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration for Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Calendar Year 3500 (Retardation Coefficient = 1)	O-132
Figure O-60.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration for Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Calendar Year 3500 (Retardation Coefficient = 2.33)	O-133
Figure O-61.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration for Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Calendar Year 7010 (Retardation Coefficient = 1)	O-134
Figure O-62.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration for Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Calendar Year 7010 (Retardation Coefficient = 2.33)	O-135
Figure O-63.	Concentration of Uranium-238 from SX Tank Farm, Standard 10,000-Year Period.....	O-137
Figure O-64.	Concentration of Uranium-238 from SX Tank Farm, Modified 30,000-Year Period.....	O-137
Figure O-65.	Concentration of Uranium-238 from BX Tank Farm, Standard 10,000-Year Period.....	O-138
Figure O-66.	Concentration of Uranium-238 from BX Tank Farm, Modified 30,000-Year Period.....	O-138
Figure O-67.	Technetium-99 Concentrations for All BY Crib Realizations at the B Barrier	O-142
Figure O-68.	Technetium-99 Concentrations for All BY Crib Realizations at the Core Zone Boundary.....	O-142
Figure O-69.	Technetium-99 Concentrations for All BY Crib Realizations at the Columbia River.....	O-143
Figure O-70.	Technetium-99 Concentrations for All TY Crib Realizations at the T Barrier.....	O-143
Figure O-71.	Technetium-99 Concentrations for All TY Crib Realizations at the Core Zone Boundary.....	O-144
Figure O-72.	Technetium-99 Concentrations for All TY Crib Realizations at the Columbia River.....	O-144
Figure O-73.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration Versus Time	O-146
Figure O-74.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B (No Cribs and Trenches [Ditches]) Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration Versus Time	O-146
Figure O-75.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time.....	O-147
Figure O-76.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B (No Cribs and Trenches [Ditches]) Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time	O-147
Figure O-77.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time.....	O-148
Figure O-78.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B (No Cribs and Trenches [Ditches]) Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time	O-148
Figure O-79.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time.....	O-149
Figure O-80.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B (No Cribs and Trenches [Ditches]) Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time	O-149
Figure O-81.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Chromium Concentration Versus Time.....	O-150
Figure O-82.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B (No Cribs and Trenches [Ditches]) Chromium Concentration Versus Time	O-150
Figure O-83.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	O-151

List of Figures

Figure O-84.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B (No Cribs and Trenches [Ditches]) Nitrate Concentration Versus Time	O-151
Figure O-85.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Uranium Concentration Versus Time	O-152
Figure O-86.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B (No Cribs and Trenches [Ditches]) Uranium Concentration Versus Time	O-152
Figure Q-1.	Algorithm for Intruder Scenario Analysis Computer Code	Q-18
Figure Q-2.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-61
Figure Q-3.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-84
Figure Q-4.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-106
Figure Q-5.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary from Unplanned Releases, Retrieval Leaks, and Releases from Ancillary Equipment and Tank Residuals.....	Q-138
Figure Q-6.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-160
Figure Q-7.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-183
Figure Q-8.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-205
Figure Q-9.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-228
Figure Q-10.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-251
Figure Q-11.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-273
Figure Q-12.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Fast Flux Test Facility Barrier	Q-281
Figure Q-13.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Fast Flux Test Facility Barrier	Q-283
Figure Q-14.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-295
Figure Q-15.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-302
Figure Q-16.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-303
Figure Q-17.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-309
Figure Q-18.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-310
Figure Q-19.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-316

Figure Q–20.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q–317
Figure Q–21.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q–323
Figure Q–22.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q–324
Figure Q–23.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q–330
Figure Q–24.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q–331
Figure Q–25.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q–337
Figure Q–26.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q–338
Figure Q–27.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q–344
Figure Q–28.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q–345
Figure Q–29.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q–350
Figure Q–30.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q–351
Figure Q–31.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q–362
Figure Q–32.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	Q–363
Figure Q–33.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q–363
Figure Q–34.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q–364
Figure Q–35.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q–375
Figure Q–36.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q–376

List of Figures

Figure Q-37.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-376
Figure Q-38.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-377
Figure Q-39.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-385
Figure Q-40.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility.....	Q-386
Figure Q-41.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-386
Figure Q-42.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-394
Figure Q-43.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility.....	Q-395
Figure Q-44.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-395
Figure Q-45.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-403
Figure Q-46.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility.....	Q-404
Figure Q-47.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-404
Figure Q-48.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-412
Figure Q-49.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility.....	Q-413
Figure Q-50.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-413
Figure Q-51.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-421
Figure Q-52.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility.....	Q-422
Figure Q-53.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-422

Figure Q-54.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-429
Figure Q-55.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-430
Figure Q-56.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-430
Figure Q-57.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-438
Figure Q-58.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-439
Figure Q-59.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-439
Figure Q-60.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-446
Figure Q-61.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-447
Figure Q-62.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-447
Figure Q-63.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-461
Figure Q-64.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility.....	Q-462
Figure Q-65.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-462
Figure Q-66.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility.....	Q-463
Figure Q-67.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-463
Figure Q-68.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-464
Figure Q-69.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-478
Figure Q-70.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-479

List of Figures

Figure Q-71.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-479
Figure Q-72.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-480
Figure Q-73.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-480
Figure Q-74.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Summary of Long-Term Human Health Impacts on the Drinking-Water Well User at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-481
Figure R-1.	Generalized Land Use at the Hanford Site	R-7
Figure R-2.	Flowchart for Identifying and Evaluating Cumulative Impacts.....	R-17
Figure S-1.	Known and Unknown Inventory in Hanford Site 100 Areas.....	S-14
Figure S-2.	Known and Unknown Inventory in Hanford Site 200 Areas.....	S-15
Figure S-3.	Known and Unknown Inventory in Hanford Site 300 Area, 400 Area, Permitted Facilities, and Other Sites.....	S-15
Figure S-4.	Alternatives and Cumulative Impact Sites Index Map	S-18
Figure S-5.	Map 1: Cumulative Impact Sites in the 100-B and -C Areas.....	S-19
Figure S-6.	Map 2: Cumulative Impact Sites in the 100-K Area.....	S-20
Figure S-7.	Map 3: Cumulative Impact Sites in the 100-N Area.....	S-21
Figure S-8.	Map 4: Cumulative Impact Sites in the 100-D Area.....	S-22
Figure S-9.	Map 5: Cumulative Impact Sites in the 100-H Area.....	S-23
Figure S-10.	Map 6: Cumulative Impact Sites in the 100-F Area	S-24
Figure S-11.	Map 7: Cumulative Impact Sites in the 216-N Area.....	S-25
Figure S-12.	Map 8: Cumulative Impact Sites in the Gable Mountain Pond Area.....	S-26
Figure S-13.	Map 9: Alternatives and Cumulative Impact Sites in the 200-West Area	S-27
Figure S-14.	Map 9A: Alternatives and Cumulative Impact Sites in the 200-West Area	S-28
Figure S-15.	Map 9B: Alternatives and Cumulative Impact Sites in the 200-West Area	S-29
Figure S-16.	Map 9C: Alternatives and Cumulative Impact Sites in the 200-West Area	S-30
Figure S-17.	Map 9D: Alternatives and Cumulative Impact Sites in the 200-West Area	S-31
Figure S-18.	Map 9E: Cumulative Impact Sites in the 200-West Area	S-32
Figure S-19.	Map 9F: Cumulative Impact Sites in the 200-West Area	S-33
Figure S-20.	Map 10: Alternatives and Cumulative Impact Sites in the Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility Area	S-34
Figure S-21.	Map 11: Alternatives and Cumulative Impact Sites in the 200-East Area	S-35
Figure S-22.	Map 12: Alternatives and Cumulative Impact Sites in the 200-East Area	S-36
Figure S-23.	Map 12A: Cumulative Impact Sites in the 200-East Area.....	S-37
Figure S-24.	Map 12B: Alternatives and Cumulative Impact Sites in the 200-East Area.....	S-38
Figure S-25.	Map 12C: Alternatives and Cumulative Impact Sites in the 200-East Area.....	S-39
Figure S-26.	Map 12D: Cumulative Impact Sites in the 200-East Area.....	S-40
Figure S-27.	Map 13: Cumulative Impact Sites in the 200-East Area.....	S-41
Figure S-28.	Map 14: Cumulative Impact Sites in the 600 Area.....	S-42
Figure S-29.	Map 15: Alternatives and Cumulative Impact Sites in Vicinity of the 300 and 400 Areas	S-43
Figure S-30.	Map 16: Cumulative Impact Sites in the 300 Area.....	S-44

Figure U-1.	Groundwater Modeling System Flowchart	U-1
Figure U-2.	Regional Water Table and Inferred Groundwater Flow Directions, March 2009	U-6
Figure U-3.	Distribution of the Major Contaminant Plumes at Concentrations Above the Drinking Water Standard in the Upper Portion of the Unconfined Aquifer	U-8
Figure U-4.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources), Calendar Year 2010.....	U-10
Figure U-5.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources), Calendar Year 2010.....	U-11
Figure U-6.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Strontium-90 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources), Calendar Year 2010.....	U-12
Figure U-7.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources), Calendar Year 2010.....	U-13
Figure U-8.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources), Calendar Year 2010.....	U-14
Figure U-9.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Carbon Tetrachloride Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources), Calendar Year 2010.....	U-15
Figure U-10.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources), Calendar Year 2010.....	U-16
Figure U-11.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources), Calendar Year 2010.....	U-17
Figure U-12.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources), Calendar Year 2010.....	U-18
Figure U-13.	West-to-East Cross Section of Vadose Zone Lithology for 183-KE Filter Waste Facility Drywell	U-22
Figure U-14.	Northwest-to-Southwest Cross Section of Vadose Zone Lithology for 1325-N Liquid Waste Disposal Facility	U-23
Figure U-15.	West-to-East Cross Section of Vadose Zone Lithology for 105-H Pluto Crib	U-23
Figure U-16.	100 Areas Water Table and Inferred Groundwater Flow Directions, March 2009.....	U-24
Figure U-17.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration (Past-Practice Sources), 100 Areas, Calendar Year 2010.....	U-25
Figure U-18.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration, 100-K Area, Calendar Year 2009	U-26
Figure U-19.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration, 100-H Area, Calendar Year 2009	U-27
Figure U-20.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration, 100-F Area, Calendar Year 2009	U-28
Figure U-21.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration, 100-F Area, Calendar Year 2009	U-30
Figure U-22.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration, 100-N Area, Calendar Year 2009	U-31
Figure U-23.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration, 100-D Area, Calendar Year 2009	U-32
Figure U-24.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Strontium-90 Concentration (Past-Practice Sources), 100 Areas, Calendar Year 2010.....	U-33
Figure U-25.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Strontium-90 Concentration, 100-N Area, Calendar Year 2009.....	U-34
Figure U-26.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Strontium-90 Concentration, 100-K Area, Calendar Year 2009.....	U-35
Figure U-27.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration (Past-Practice Sources), 100 Areas, Calendar Year 2010.....	U-36
Figure U-28.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration, 100-N Area, Calendar Year 2009.....	U-37

List of Figures

Figure U-29.	West-to-East Cross Section of Vadose Zone Lithology for 300 Area Process Trenches	U-44
Figure U-30.	West-to-East Cross Section of Vadose Zone Lithology for 300-Area Burial Ground	U-45
Figure U-31.	300 Area Water Table and Inferred Groundwater Flow Directions, March 2009	U-46
Figure U-32.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration (Past-Practice Sources), 300 Area, Calendar Year 2010	U-48
Figure U-33.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration (Past-Practice Sources), 300 Area, Calendar Year 2010	U-49
Figure U-34.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium Concentration, 300 Area, December 2009	U-50
Figure U-35.	West-to-East Cross Section of Vadose Zone Lithology for 216-U-1/2 Cribs	U-54
Figure U-36.	West-to-East Cross Section of Vadose Zone Lithology for T Tank Farm.....	U-54
Figure U-37.	West-to-East Cross Section of Vadose Zone Lithology for 216-Z-1A Trench	U-55
Figure U-38.	Western Portion of the Central Plateau Water Table and Inferred Groundwater Flow Directions, March 2009	U-56
Figure U-39.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Carbon Tetrachloride Concentration (Past-Practice Sources), Western Portion of the Central Plateau, Calendar Year 2010	U-58
Figure U-40.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Carbon Tetrachloride Concentration, 200-West Area, Calendar Year 2009	U-59
Figure U-41.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration (Past-Practice Sources), Western Portion of the Central Plateau, Calendar Year 2010.....	U-60
Figure U-42.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration (Past-Practice Sources), Waste Management Area S-SX, Calendar Year 2009	U-61
Figure U-43.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration (Past-Practice Sources), Western Portion of the Central Plateau, Calendar Year 2010	U-62
Figure U-44.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration, Central and Northern Portions of the 200-West Area, Calendar Year 2009.....	U-63
Figure U-45.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration, Southern 200-West Area, Calendar Year 2009.....	U-64
Figure U-46.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration (Past-Practice Sources), Western Portion of the Central Plateau, Calendar Year 2010	U-65
Figure U-47.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration, Northern 200-West Area, Calendar Year 2009.....	U-66
Figure U-48.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration, Southern 200-West Area, Calendar Year 2009.....	U-67
Figure U-49.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration (Past-Practice Sources), Western Portion of the Central Plateau, Calendar Year 2010	U-68
Figure U-50.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration, Northern 200-West Area, Calendar Year 2009	U-69
Figure U-51.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration, Southern 200-West Area, Calendar Year 2009	U-70
Figure U-52.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration (Past-Practice Sources), Western Portion of the Central Plateau, Calendar Year 2010	U-72

Figure U-53.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration, Northern 200-West Area, Calendar Year 2009	U-73
Figure U-54.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration, Southern 200-West Area, Calendar Year 2009	U-74
Figure U-55.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration (Past-Practice Sources), Western Portion of the Central Plateau, Calendar Year 2010	U-76
Figure U-56.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration (Past-Practice Sources), Western Portion of the Central Plateau, Calendar Year 2010	U-77
Figure U-57.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium Concentration, 200-West Area, 2009	U-78
Figure U-58.	West-to-East Cross Section of Vadose Zone Lithology for C Tank Farm	U-86
Figure U-59.	West-to-East Cross Section of Vadose Zone Lithology for A Tank Farm	U-87
Figure U-60.	Eastern Portion of the Central Plateau Water Table and Inferred Groundwater Flow Directions, March 2009	U-88
Figure U-61.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration (Past-Practice Sources), Eastern Portion of the Central Plateau, Calendar Year 2010	U-89
Figure U-62.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration, 200-East Area and 600 Area, Calendar Year 2009	U-90
Figure U-63.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources), Eastern Portion of the Central Plateau, Calendar Year 2010	U-91
Figure U-64.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration, Northern 200-East Area, Calendar Year 2009	U-92
Figure U-65.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration, Southern 200-East Area, Calendar Year 2009	U-93
Figure U-66.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration (Past-Practice Sources), Eastern Portion of the Central Plateau, Calendar Year 2010	U-94
Figure U-67.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration, Northern 200-East Area, Calendar Year 2009	U-95
Figure U-68.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration, Southern 200-East Area, Calendar Year 2009	U-96
Figure U-69.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration (Past Practice Sources), Eastern Portion of the Central Plateau, Calendar Year 2010	U-97
Figure U-70.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration, BY Cribs, Calendar Year 2009	U-98
Figure U-71.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration, A/AX and C Waste Management Areas, Calendar Year 2009	U-99
Figure U-72.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration (Past-Practice Sources), Eastern Portion of the Central Plateau, Calendar Year 2010	U-101
Figure U-73.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration (Past-Practice Sources), Eastern Portion of the Central Plateau, Calendar Year 2010	U-102
Figure U-74.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium Concentration, BY Cribs, Calendar Year 2009	U-103
Figure U-75.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium Concentration, PUREX [Plutonium-Uranium Extraction] Cribs, Calendar Year 2009	U-104

List of Figures

Figure U-76.	Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time (Including Greater-Than-Class C Waste Inventory).....	U-110
Figure U-77.	Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time (Including Greater-Than-Class C Waste Inventory).....	U-111
Figure U-78.	Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time (Greater-Than-Class C Waste Disposal Site Only).....	U-111
Figure U-79.	Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time (Greater-Than-Class C Waste Disposal Site Only).....	U-112
Figure U-80.	West-to-East Cross Section of Vadose Zone Lithology for Gable Mountain Pond	U-114
Figure U-81.	North of the Central Plateau Water Table and Inferred Groundwater Flow Directions, March 2009	U-115
Figure U-82.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Strontium-90 Concentration (Past-Practice Sources), North of the Central Plateau, Calendar Year 2010	U-116
Figure U-83.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Strontium-90, North of the Central Plateau, Calendar Year 2009.....	U-117
Figure U-84.	West-to-East Cross Section of Vadose Zone Lithology for 600 Area Nonradioactive Dangerous Waste Landfill.....	U-119
Figure U-85.	Southeast of the Central Plateau Water Table and Inferred Groundwater Flow Directions, March 2009	U-120
Figure U-86.	Field-Reported Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration, Burial Ground 618-11, Calendar Year 2009	U-121
Figure U-87.	Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration Versus Time (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources).....	U-124
Figure U-88.	Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources)	U-124
Figure U-89.	Strontium-90 Concentration Versus Time (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources).....	U-125
Figure U-90.	Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources)	U-125
Figure U-91.	Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources)	U-126
Figure U-92.	Carbon Tetrachloride Concentration Versus Time (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources)...	U-126
Figure U-93.	Chromium Concentration Versus Time (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources).....	U-127
Figure U-94.	Nitrate Concentration Versus Time (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources).....	U-127
Figure U-95.	Total Uranium Concentration Versus Time (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources)	U-128
Figure U-96.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Hydrogen-3 (Tritium) Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources), Calendar Year 2135.....	U-129
Figure U-97.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Strontium-90 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources), Calendar Year 2135.....	U-130
Figure U-98.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Technetium-99 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources), Calendar Year 3890.....	U-131
Figure U-99.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Iodine-129 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources), Calendar Year 3890.....	U-132
Figure U-100.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Chromium Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources), Calendar Year 2135.....	U-133
Figure U-101.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Uranium-238 Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources), Calendar Year 2135.....	U-134
Figure U-102.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Total Uranium Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources), Calendar Year 2135.....	U-135
Figure U-103.	Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Nitrate Concentration (Non-TC & WM EIS Sources), Calendar Year 2135.....	U-136
Figure U-104.	Schematic of Flux to Aquifer Versus Time	U-139
Figure U-105.	Schematic of a Flux to Aquifer Versus Time Curve in Relationship to the Start of a Flux Reduction.....	U-139

Figure U-106.	Iodine-129 Flux to Aquifer Versus Time, 216-A-9 Crib	U-141
Figure U-107.	Uranium-238 Flux to Aquifer Versus Time, 216-A-9 Crib	U-141
Figure U-108.	Iodine-129 Flux to Aquifer Versus Time, TY Cribs.....	U-142
Figure U-109.	Uranium-238 Flux to Aquifer Versus Time, TY Cribs.....	U-142
Figure U-110.	Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time, 216-A-9 Crib, Flux-Reduction Comparison	U-143
Figure U-111.	Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time, 216-A-9 Crib, Flux-Reduction Comparison	U-143
Figure U-112.	Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time, TY Crib, Flux-Reduction Comparison	U-144
Figure U-113.	Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time, TY Crib, Flux-Reduction Comparison	U-144
Figure U-114.	Iodine-129 Flux to Aquifer Versus Time, C Tank Farm, Past Leaks	U-146
Figure U-115.	Uranium-238 Flux to Aquifer Versus Time, C Tank Farm, Past Leaks	U-146
Figure U-116.	Iodine-129 Flux to Aquifer Versus Time, U Tank Farm, Past Leaks	U-147
Figure U-117.	Uranium-238 Flux to Aquifer Versus Time, U Tank Farm, Past Leaks	U-147
Figure U-118.	Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time, C Tank Farm, Past Leaks, Flux-Reduction Comparison	U-148
Figure U-119.	Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time, C Tank Farm, Past Leaks, Flux-Reduction Comparison	U-148
Figure U-120.	Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time, U Tank Farm, Past Leaks, Flux-Reduction Comparison	U-149
Figure U-121.	Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time, U Tank Farm, Past Leaks, Flux-Reduction Comparison	U-149
Figure U-122.	Iodine-129 Flux to Aquifer Versus Time, C Tank Farm, Tank Residuals.....	U-150
Figure U-123.	Uranium-238 Flux to Aquifer Versus Time, C Tank Farm, Tank Residuals.....	U-151
Figure U-124.	Iodine-129 Flux to Aquifer Versus Time, U Tank Farm, Tank Residuals	U-151
Figure U-125.	Uranium-238 Flux to Aquifer Versus Time, U Tank Farm, Tank Residuals	U-152
Figure U-126.	Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time, C Tank Farm, Tank Residuals, Flux-Reduction Comparison	U-152
Figure U-127.	Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time, C Tank Farm, Tank Residuals, Flux-Reduction Comparison	U-153
Figure U-128.	Iodine-129 Concentration Versus Time, U Tank Farm, Tank Residuals, Flux-Reduction Comparison	U-153
Figure U-129.	Uranium-238 Concentration Versus Time, U Tank Farm, Tank Residuals, Flux-Reduction Comparison	U-154
Figure U-130.	Carbon Tetrachloride Concentration Versus Time at the Core Zone Boundary, Capture-and-Removal Scenario Comparison	U-156
Figure U-131.	Carbon Tetrachloride Concentration Versus Time at the Columbia River, Capture-and-Removal Scenario Comparison	U-157
Figure U-132.	Chromium Concentration Versus Time at the Core Zone Boundary, Capture-and-Removal Scenario Comparison	U-158
Figure U-133.	Chromium Concentration Versus Time at the Columbia River, Capture-and-Removal Scenario Comparison	U-158
Figure U-134.	Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time at the Core Zone Boundary, Capture-and-Removal Scenario Comparison	U-159
Figure U-135.	Technetium-99 Concentration Versus Time at the Columbia River, Capture-and-Removal Scenario Comparison	U-160
Figure U-136.	Cumulative Radiological Lifetime Risk of Incidence of Cancer (Non-TC & WM EIS Actions) for the Drinking-Water Well User Over Time, Core Zone Boundary and Columbia River Nearshore.....	U-166

List of Figures

Figure U-137.	Alternative Combination 1 Cumulative Radiological Lifetime Risk of Incidence of Cancer for the Drinking-Water Well User Over Time, Core Zone Boundary and Columbia River Nearshore.....	U-170
Figure U-138.	Alternative Combination 2 Cumulative Radiological Lifetime Risk of Incidence of Cancer for the Drinking-Water Well User Over Time, Core Zone Boundary and Columbia River Nearshore.....	U-174
Figure U-139.	Alternative Combination 3 Cumulative Radiological Lifetime Risk of Incidence of Cancer for the Drinking-Water Well User Over Time, Core Zone Boundary and Columbia River Nearshore.....	U-178
Figure V-1.	Hydraulic Head Difference Between Base Case Flow Model and the Background Recharge Model Variant (from Model Layer 19, 105 to 110 meters above mean sea level)	V-6
Figure V-2.	Hydraulic Head Difference Between Base Case Flow Model and the Generalized Head Boundary Recharge Model Variant (from Model Layer 19, 105 to 110 meters above mean sea level)	V-7
Figure V-3.	Hydraulic Head Difference Between Base Case Flow Model and the Columbia River Recharge Model Variant (from Model Layer 19, 105 to 110 meters above mean sea level).....	V-8
Figure V-4.	<i>TC & WM EIS</i> Base Case Flow Field, Central Plateau–Delineated Particle Path Lines	V-10
Figure V-5.	Background Recharge Model Variant Flow Field, Central Plateau–Delineated Particle Path Lines	V-10
Figure V-6.	Generalized Head Boundary Recharge Model Variant Flow Field, Central Plateau–Delineated Particle Path Lines.....	V-11
Figure V-7.	Columbia River Recharge Model Variant Flow Field, Central Plateau–Delineated Particle Path Lines	V-11
Figure V-8.	Yearly Volumetric Discharge Measurement Locations (Gates) in Hanford Site Regional Groundwater Model	V-14
Figure V-9.	Yearly Volumetric Discharge Measurements for Selected Zones, <i>TC & WM EIS</i> Base Case Flow Field	V-15
Figure V-10.	Yearly Volumetric Discharge Measurements for Selected Zones, Background Recharge Model Variant Flow Field	V-15
Figure V-11.	Yearly Volumetric Discharge Measurements for Selected Zones, Generalized Head Boundary Recharge Model Variant Flow Field	V-16
Figure V-12.	Yearly Volumetric Discharge Measurements for Selected Zones, Columbia River Recharge Model Variant Flow Field	V-16
Figure V-13.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Technetium-99 Maximum Concentrations at Selected Barriers, <i>TC & WM EIS</i> Base Case Flow Field.....	V-19
Figure V-14.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Technetium-99 Maximum Concentrations at Selected Barriers, Background Recharge Variant Flow Field	V-19
Figure V-15.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Technetium-99 Maximum Concentrations at Selected Barriers, Generalized Head Boundary Recharge Variant Flow Field	V-20
Figure V-16.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Technetium-99 Maximum Concentrations at Selected Barriers, Columbia River Recharge Variant Flow Field	V-20
Figure V-17.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Technetium-99 Maximum Concentrations at Selected Barriers, <i>TC & WM EIS</i> Base Case Flow Field	V-21
Figure V-18.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Technetium-99 Maximum Concentrations at Selected Barriers, Background Recharge Variant Flow Field	V-21

Figure V-19.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Technetium-99 Maximum Concentrations at Selected Barriers, Generalized Head Boundary Recharge Variant Flow Field.....	V-22
Figure V-20.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Technetium-99 Maximum Concentrations at Selected Barriers, Columbia River Recharge Variant Flow Field	V-22

List of Tables

Table C-1.	Public Information Outreach Plan	C-157
Table C-2.	Chronology of Consultation Process for the “Tank Closure EIS” and Communications with American Indian Tribal Governments	C-159
Table C-3.	Chronology of Consultation Process for This <i>TC & WM EIS</i> and Communications with American Indian Tribal Governments	C-162
Table C-4.	Hanford Advisory Board Outreach	C-344
Table C-5.	Oregon Hanford Cleanup Board Outreach	C-435
Table D-1.	Unsampler Single-Shell Tanks	D-2
Table D-2.	Constituents Selected for Detailed Analysis	D-4
Table D-3.	Tank Inventory Volumes	D-5
Table D-4.	Single-Shell Tank Radioactive Constituent Inventories by Tank Farm.....	D-6
Table D-5.	Double-Shell Tank Radioactive Constituent Inventories by Tank Farm	D-6
Table D-6.	Single-Shell Tank Nonradioactive Constituent Inventories by Tank Farm.....	D-8
Table D-7.	Double-Shell Tank Nonradioactive Constituent Inventories by Tank Farm.....	D-8
Table D-8.	Best-Basis Inventory Comparison of Constituents of Potential Concern	D-13
Table D-9.	Single-Shell Tank Ancillary Equipment Radioactive Constituent Inventories.....	D-17
Table D-10.	Single-Shell Tank Ancillary Equipment Nonradioactive Constituent Inventories	D-17
Table D-11.	Double-Shell Tank Ancillary Equipment Radioactive Constituent Inventories	D-18
Table D-12.	Double-Shell Tank Ancillary Equipment Nonradioactive Constituent Inventories	D-18
Table D-13.	Tank Closure Alternative Retrieval Approaches	D-20
Table D-14.	Single-Shell Tank Residual Radioactive Constituent Inventories – 90 Percent Retrieval.....	D-21
Table D-15.	Double-Shell Tank Residual Radioactive Constituent Inventories – 90 Percent Retrieval.....	D-21
Table D-16.	Single-Shell Tank Residual Radioactive Constituent Inventories – 99 Percent Retrieval.....	D-22
Table D-17.	Double-Shell Tank Residual Radioactive Constituent Inventories – 99 Percent Retrieval.....	D-22
Table D-18.	Single-Shell Tank Residual Radioactive Constituent Inventories – 99.9 Percent Retrieval.....	D-23
Table D-19.	Double-Shell Tank Residual Radioactive Constituent Inventories – 99.9 Percent Retrieval.....	D-23
Table D-20.	Single-Shell Tank Residual Nonradioactive Constituent Inventories – 90 Percent Retrieval.....	D-24
Table D-21.	Double-Shell Tank Residual Nonradioactive Constituent Inventories – 90 Percent Retrieval.....	D-24
Table D-22.	Single-Shell Tank Residual Nonradioactive Constituent Inventories – 99 Percent Retrieval.....	D-25
Table D-23.	Double-Shell Tank Residual Nonradioactive Constituent Inventories – 99 Percent Retrieval.....	D-25
Table D-24.	Single-Shell Tank Residual Nonradioactive Constituent Inventories – 99.9 Percent Retrieval.....	D-26
Table D-25.	Double-Shell Tank Residual Nonradioactive Constituent Inventories – 99.9 Percent Retrieval.....	D-26

Table D-26.	Historical Single-Shell Tank Radioactive Constituent Leak Inventories	D-28
Table D-27.	Historical Single-Shell Tank Nonradioactive Constituent Leak Inventories	D-28
Table D-28.	Single-Shell Tank Farms Unplanned Releases Radioactive Constituent Inventories	D-29
Table D-29.	Single-Shell Tank Farms Unplanned Releases Nonradioactive Constituent Inventories	D-29
Table D-30.	Radioactive Constituent Discharges to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches)	D-31
Table D-31.	Nonradioactive Constituent Discharges to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	D-31
Table D-32.	Single-Shell Tank Radioactive Constituent Tank Waste Retrieval Leak Inventories	D-33
Table D-33.	Single-Shell Tank Nonradioactive Constituent Tank Waste Retrieval Leak Inventories	D-33
Table D-34.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Summary of Conditions	D-35
Table D-35.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-36
Table D-36.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-36
Table D-37.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-39
Table D-38.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-40
Table D-39.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-41
Table D-40.	Alternative 2B Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-42
Table D-41.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-45
Table D-42.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-46
Table D-43.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-47
Table D-44.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-48
Table D-45.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-49
Table D-46.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-50
Table D-47.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-52
Table D-48.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-53
Table D-49.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern Inventory from Clean Closure of BX and SX Tank Farms.....	D-55
Table D-50.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Inventory from Clean Closure of BX and SX Tank Farms.....	D-55
Table D-51.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-58
Table D-52.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-59
Table D-53.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-61
Table D-54.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-62
Table D-55.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-63

List of Tables

Table D-56.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-64
Table D-57.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-66
Table D-58.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-67
Table D-59.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-68
Table D-60.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-69
Table D-61.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern Inventory from Clean Closure of the SST Farms	D-71
Table D-62.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Inventory from Clean Closure of the SST Farms.....	D-71
Table D-63.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern Inventory from Clean Closure of the SST Farms	D-72
Table D-64.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Inventory from Clean Closure of the SST Farms.....	D-72
Table D-65.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern Inventory from Clean Closure of the SST Farms and Six Sets of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches)	D-74
Table D-66.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Inventory from Clean Closure of the SST Farms and Six Sets of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches)	D-74
Table D-67.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern Inventory from Clean Closure of the SST Farms and Six Sets of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) (curies)	D-75
Table D-68.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Inventory from Clean Closure of the SST Farms and Six Sets of Cribs and Trenches (Ditches)	D-75
Table D-69.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-76
Table D-70.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-77
Table D-71.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radioactive COPC Inventory from Removal of 4.6 Meters (15 Feet) of Soil at the BX and SX Tank Farms	D-79
Table D-72.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Chemical COPC Inventory from Removal of 4.6 Meters (15 Feet) of Soil at the BX and SX Tank Farms	D-79
Table D-73.	Fast Flux Test Facility Systems Bulk Sodium Volumes.....	D-112
Table D-74.	Fast Flux Test Facility Sodium Contaminant Constituents	D-113
Table D-75.	Activated Reactor Vessel and In-Vessel Component Inventory, Decayed to September 2003	D-114
Table D-76.	Activated Reactor Hardware, Core Components, Nonfueled Hardware, and Interim Examination and Maintenance Cell Items Inventory, Decayed to September 2003	D-115
Table D-77.	Activation Inventory of Fast Flux Test Facility Bioshield, Decayed to September 2006	D-115
Table D-78.	Contaminated Areas Within the Fast Flux Test Facility.....	D-117
Table D-79.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Radioactive and Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-119

Table D-80.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Radioactive and Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-122
Table D-81.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Radioactive and Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-124
Table D-82.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Inventory	D-129
Table D-83.	Waste Management Alternatives 2 and 3 Radioactive and Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Balance.....	D-130
Table D-84.	Onsite Non-CERCLA, Non-Tank-Activity Waste Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern Inventory	D-132
Table D-85.	Onsite Non-CERCLA, Non-Tank-Activity Waste Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Inventory	D-132
Table D-86.	Offsite Waste Projection Characteristics by U.S. Department of Energy Site	D-140
Table D-87.	Summary of Offsite Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern Inventories by U.S. Department of Energy Site	D-142
Table D-88.	Summary of Offsite Chemical Constituents of Potential Concern Inventories by U.S. Department of Energy Site	D-143
Table E-1.	Distribution of Single-Shell Tanks Among Tank Farms	E-3
Table E-2.	Distribution of Double-Shell Tanks Among Tank Farms.....	E-3
Table E-3.	Comparison of Tank Closure Alternatives	E-11
Table E-4.	Basis for Supplemental Treatment Estimates	E-40
Table E-5.	Comparison of Annual Criteria Pollutant and Toxic Pollutant Emissions for the WTP (2 × 2 Configuration).....	E-54
Table E-6.	Partitioning Factors of Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern in Immobilized Low-Activity Waste Glass	E-55
Table E-7.	Comparison of Annual Criteria Pollutant and Toxic Pollutant Emissions for the 242-A Evaporator	E-60
Table E-8.	Designation of Low-Activity Waste Tanks	E-74
Table E-9.	Partitioning Factors of Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern in Bulk Vitrification Glass.....	E-83
Table E-10.	Partitioning Factors of Radioactive Constituents of Potential Concern in Steam Reforming Waste	E-102
Table E-11.	Mixed Transuranic Waste Tanks and Associated Volumes.....	E-110
Table E-12.	Summary Waste Masses/Volumes/Waste Containers for Tank Closure Alternatives.....	E-119
Table E-13.	Demand on Borrow Area C Resources from Alternative Activities.....	E-162
Table E-14.	Borrow Area C Land and Resource Demands for Alternative Combination 2 and Most Conservative Alternative Combination	E-165
Table E-15.	Fast Flux Test Facility Complex Facilities and Structures	E-193
Table E-16.	Proposed Decommissioning Actions for Hanford Site Fast Flux Test Facility Complex Facilities and Structures	E-201
Table E-17.	Hanford Site Radioactive Sodium Inventory	E-207
Table E-18.	Significant Radioisotopes in Sodium.....	E-207
Table E-19.	Fast Flux Test Facility Remote-Handled Special Component Inventory Summary	E-224
Table E-20.	Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Ground Locations, Service Dates, Areas, and Waste Types.....	E-260
Table E-21.	Waste Management Alternative 2 Disposal Groups.....	E-268
Table E-22.	Waste Management Alternative 3 Disposal Groups.....	E-269
Table E-23.	Waste Management Alternatives 2 and 3 RPPDF Disposal Groups	E-271
Table F-1.	Land Use and Visual Resource Impact Assessment Protocol.....	F-2

List of Tables

Table F-2.	U.S. Bureau of Land Management Visual Resource Classifications.....	F-3
Table F-3.	Infrastructure Impact Assessment Protocol	F-4
Table F-4.	Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Protocol.....	F-5
Table F-5.	Air Quality Impact Assessment Protocol.....	F-8
Table F-6.	Geology and Soils Impact Assessment Protocol.....	F-9
Table F-7.	The Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale of 1931 with Generalized Correlations to Magnitude, Earthquake Classification, and Peak Ground Acceleration	F-11
Table F-8.	Water Use and Availability Impact Assessment Protocol	F-13
Table F-9.	Water Quality Impact Assessment Protocol	F-14
Table F-10.	Ecological Resources Impact Assessment Protocol.....	F-16
Table F-11.	Cultural Resources Impact Assessment Protocol.....	F-17
Table F-12.	Socioeconomics Impact Assessment Protocol.....	F-20
Table F-13.	Waste Management Impact Assessment Protocol	F-23
Table G-1.	Ambient Air Pollutant Standards and Acceptable Source Impact Levels.....	G-2
Table G-2.	Area Source Parameters	G-7
Table G-3.	Locations and Corresponding Dispersion Factors for Maximum Air Quality Impacts at the Hanford Site.....	G-9
Table G-4.	Dispersion Factors for Maximum Air Quality Impacts of Construction at the Idaho National Laboratory Materials and Fuels Complex.....	G-11
Table G-5.	Annual Dispersion Factors for Maximally Exposed Noninvolved Workers on the Hanford Site	G-12
Table G-6.	Representative Nonradioactive Air Pollutant Emission Factors.....	G-13
Table G-7.	Nonradioactive Air Emissions from the 200 Area Tank Farms at the Hanford Site.....	G-15
Table G-8.	Peak-Year Employee Vehicle Emissions by Alternative.....	G-19
Table G-9.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Criteria Pollutant Emissions	G-21
Table G-10.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Toxic Pollutant Emissions.....	G-21
Table G-11.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Criteria Pollutant Emissions	G-22
Table G-12.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Toxic Pollutant Emissions	G-25
Table G-13.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Criteria Pollutant Emissions	G-28
Table G-14.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Toxic Pollutant Emissions	G-30
Table G-15.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Criteria Pollutant Emissions	G-33
Table G-16.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Toxic Pollutant Emissions	G-36
Table G-17.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Criteria Pollutant Emissions	G-39
Table G-18.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Toxic Pollutant Emissions	G-42
Table G-19.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Criteria Pollutant Emissions	G-45
Table G-20.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Toxic Pollutant Emissions	G-48
Table G-21.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Criteria Pollutant Emissions	G-52
Table G-22.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Toxic Pollutant Emissions.....	G-56
Table G-23.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Criteria Pollutant Emissions	G-60
Table G-24.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Toxic Pollutant Emissions.....	G-64
Table G-25.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	G-68
Table G-26.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Toxic Pollutant Emissions	G-73
Table G-27.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Criteria Pollutant Emissions	G-78
Table G-28.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Toxic Pollutant Emissions	G-83
Table G-29.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	G-88
Table G-30.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Toxic Pollutant Emissions.....	G-92
Table G-31.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Criteria Pollutant Emissions	G-96
Table G-32.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Toxic Pollutant Emissions	G-100
Table G-33.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Criteria Pollutant Emissions	G-104

*Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the
Hanford Site, Richland, Washington*

Table G-34.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Toxic Pollutant Emissions	G-107
Table G-35.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Criteria Pollutant Emissions	G-110
Table G-36.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Toxic Pollutant Emissions	G-110
Table G-37.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Hanford Activities.....	G-111
Table G-38.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Toxic Pollutant Emissions for Hanford Activities.....	G-112
Table G-39.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Hanford Activities.....	G-113
Table G-40.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Toxic Pollutant Emissions for Hanford Activities.....	G-114
Table G-41.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Idaho National Laboratory Activities	G-115
Table G-42.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Toxic Pollutant Emissions for Idaho National Laboratory Activities	G-115
Table G-43.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Idaho National Laboratory Activities	G-116
Table G-44.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Toxic Pollutant Emissions for Idaho National Laboratory Activities	G-116
Table G-45.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	G-117
Table G-46.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Toxic Pollutant Emissions.....	G-117
Table G-47.	Waste Management Alternative 2 (Treatment and Storage) Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	G-118
Table G-48.	Waste Management Alternative 2 (Treatment and Storage) Toxic Pollutant Emissions.....	G-119
Table G-49.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	G-120
Table G-50.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Toxic Pollutant Emissions ...	G-121
Table G-51.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	G-122
Table G-52.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Toxic Pollutant Emissions ...	G-123
Table G-53.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	G-124
Table G-54.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Toxic Pollutant Emissions ...	G-125
Table G-55.	Waste Management Alternative 3 (Treatment and Storage) Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	G-126
Table G-56.	Waste Management Alternative 3 (Treatment and Storage) Toxic Pollutant Emissions.....	G-127
Table G-57.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	G-128
Table G-58.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Toxic Pollutant Emissions ...	G-129
Table G-59.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	G-130
Table G-60.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Toxic Pollutant Emissions ...	G-131
Table G-61.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	G-132
Table G-62.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Toxic Pollutant Emissions ...	G-133
Table G-63.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-134
Table G-64.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-134
Table G-65.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-135
Table G-66.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-137
Table G-67.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-139

List of Tables

Table G-68.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-142
Table G-69.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-145
Table G-70.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-148
Table G-71.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-151
Table G-72.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-154
Table G-73.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-157
Table G-74.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-160
Table G-75.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-163
Table G-76.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-166
Table G-77.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-169
Table G-78.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-172
Table G-79.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-175
Table G-80.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-180
Table G-81.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-185
Table G-82.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-190
Table G-83.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-195
Table G-84.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-199
Table G-85.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-203
Table G-86.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-207
Table G-87.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-211
Table G-88.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-214
Table G-89.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-216
Table G-90.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-216
Table G-91.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-217
Table G-92.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-218
Table G-93.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-219
Table G-94.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-220
Table G-95.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-221
Table G-96.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-221
Table G-97.	Waste Management Alternative 2 (Treatment and Storage) Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-222
Table G-98.	Waste Management Alternative 2 (Treatment and Storage) Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-223
Table G-99.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-224

*Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the
Hanford Site, Richland, Washington*

Table G-100.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-225
Table G-101.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-226
Table G-102.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-227
Table G-103.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-228
Table G-104.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-229
Table G-105.	Waste Management Alternative 3 (Treatment and Storage) Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-230
Table G-106.	Waste Management Alternative 3 (Treatment and Storage) Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-231
Table G-107.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-232
Table G-108.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-233
Table G-109.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-234
Table G-110.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-235
Table G-111.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-236
Table G-112.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Toxic Pollutant Emissions from Mobile Sources	G-237
Table G-113.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-240
Table G-114.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-241
Table G-115.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-242
Table G-116.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-245
Table G-117.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-248
Table G-118.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-251
Table G-119.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-254
Table G-120.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-258
Table G-121.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-262
Table G-122.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-266
Table G-123.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-270
Table G-124.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-274
Table G-125.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-277

List of Tables

Table G-126.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-281
Table G-127.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-285
Table G-128.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-288
Table G-129.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods	G-291
Table G-130.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods	G-296
Table G-131.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods	G-301
Table G-132.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods	G-306
Table G-133.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods	G-311
Table G-134.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods	G-315
Table G-135.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods	G-319
Table G-136.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods	G-323
Table G-137.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-327
Table G-138.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-330
Table G-139.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods	G-333
Table G-140.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods	G-333
Table G-141.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Hanford Site Activity Periods.....	G-334
Table G-142.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Hanford Site Activity Periods.....	G-335
Table G-143.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Hanford Site Activity Periods.....	G-336
Table G-144.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Hanford Site Activity Periods.....	G-337
Table G-145.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Idaho National Laboratory Activity Periods.....	G-338
Table G-146.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Idaho National Laboratory Activity Periods.....	G-339
Table G-147.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Idaho National Laboratory Activity Periods.....	G-340
Table G-148.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Idaho National Laboratory Activity Periods.....	G-341
Table G-149.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods	G-342
Table G-150.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-342

Table G-151.	Waste Management Alternative 2 (Treatment and Storage) Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-343
Table G-152.	Waste Management Alternative 2 (Treatment and Storage) Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-344
Table G-153.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-345
Table G-154.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods	G-346
Table G-155.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-347
Table G-156.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods	G-348
Table G-157.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-349
Table G-158.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods	G-350
Table G-159.	Waste Management Alternative 3 (Treatment and Storage) Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-351
Table G-160.	Waste Management Alternative 3 (Treatment and Storage) Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-352
Table G-161.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-353
Table G-162.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods	G-354
Table G-163.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-355
Table G-164.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods	G-356
Table G-165.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Maximum Criteria Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods.....	G-357
Table G-166.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Maximum Toxic Pollutant Concentrations of Peak Activity Periods	G-358
Table G-167.	Estimated Annual Average Carbon Dioxide Emissions by Alternative	G-360
Table H-1.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Offsite Transport Truck and Rail Route Characteristics.....	H-9
Table H-2.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Offsite Transport Truck and Rail Route Characteristics.....	H-9
Table H-3.	Waste Management Alternatives – Offsite Transport Truck and Rail Route Characteristics.....	H-12
Table H-4.	Waste Type and Container Characteristics	H-17
Table H-5.	Incident-Free Unit Risk Factors for a Dose Rate of 1 Millirem per Hour at 1 Meter (3.3 Feet) from the Shipping Container for Truck and Rail Shipments	H-19
Table H-6.	Risk Factors per Shipment of Radioactive Waste.....	H-27
Table H-7.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Estimates of Number of Radioactive Waste Shipments.....	H-29
Table H-8.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Risks of Transporting Radioactive Waste.....	H-30
Table H-9.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Estimated Dose to Maximally Exposed Individuals During Incident-Free Transportation Conditions.....	H-31
Table H-10.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Estimated Dose to the Population and to Maximally Exposed Individuals During the Most Severe Potential Accident	H-32

List of Tables

Table H-11.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Estimates of Number of Radioactive Waste Shipments.....	H-32
Table H-12.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Risks of Transporting Radioactive Waste	H-33
Table H-13.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Estimated Dose to Maximally Exposed Individuals During Incident-Free Transportation Conditions.....	H-34
Table H-14.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Estimated Dose to the Population and to Maximally Exposed Individuals During the Most Severe Potential Accident	H-35
Table H-15.	Waste Management Alternatives – Estimates of Number of Radioactive Waste Shipments.....	H-35
Table H-16.	Waste Management Alternatives – Risks of Transporting Radioactive Waste	H-36
Table H-17.	Waste Management Alternatives – Estimated Dose to Maximally Exposed Individuals During Incident-Free Transportation Conditions.....	H-36
Table H-18.	Waste Management Alternatives – Estimated Dose to the Population and to Maximally Exposed Individuals During the Most Severe Potential Accident	H-37
Table H-19.	Estimated Impacts of Construction and Operational Material Transport	H-38
Table H-20.	Cumulative Transportation-Related Collective Radiation Doses and Latent Cancer Fatalities	H-41
Table I-1.	Total Full-Time Equivalents by Alternative	I-1
Table I-2.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-3
Table I-3.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-3
Table I-4.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-4
Table I-5.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-4
Table I-6.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-7
Table I-7.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-9
Table I-8.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-11
Table I-9.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-11
Table I-10.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-14
Table I-11.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-16
Table I-12.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-17
Table I-13.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-19
Table I-14.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-21
Table I-15.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-24
Table I-16.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-26
Table I-17.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-28
Table I-18.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-30
Table I-19.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-33
Table I-20.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-35
Table I-21.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-37
Table I-22.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-39
Table I-23.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-42
Table I-24.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate.....	I-44
Table I-25.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-46
Table I-26.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-48
Table I-27.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-51
Table I-28.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-53
Table I-29.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-55
Table I-30.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-58
Table I-31.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-61
Table I-32.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-63

Table I-33.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-64
Table I-34.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-68
Table I-35.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-70
Table I-36.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-72
Table I-37.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-75
Table I-38.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-79
Table I-39.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-81
Table I-40.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-83
Table I-41.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-87
Table I-42.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-89
Table I-43.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-92
Table I-44.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-93
Table I-45.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-97
Table I-46.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-100
Table I-47.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-102
Table I-48.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-103
Table I-49.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-107
Table I-50.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-110
Table I-51.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-112
Table I-52.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-113
Table I-53.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-115
Table I-54.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2, Facility Disposition, Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-115
Table I-55.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3, Facility Disposition, Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-117
Table I-56.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives 2 and 3, Disposition of Remote-Handled Special Components, Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-118
Table I-57.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives 2 and 3, Disposition of Bulk Sodium, Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-119
Table I-58.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Operations/Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-120
Table I-59.	Waste Management Alternative 2 Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-121
Table I-60.	Waste Management Alternative 2 Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-122
Table I-61.	Waste Management Alternative 2 Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-122
Table I-62.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-123
Table I-63.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-124
Table I-64.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-124

List of Tables

Table I-65.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-125
Table I-66.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-125
Table I-67.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-126
Table I-68.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-127
Table I-69.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-127
Table I-70.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-128
Table I-71.	Waste Management Alternative 3 Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-128
Table I-72.	Waste Management Alternative 3 Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-129
Table I-73.	Waste Management Alternative 3 Deactivation Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-130
Table I-74.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-130
Table I-75.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-131
Table I-76.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-132
Table I-77.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-133
Table I-78.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-133
Table I-79.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-134
Table I-80.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Construction Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-135
Table I-81.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Operations Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-136
Table I-82.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Closure Rollup Workforce Estimate	I-136
Table J-1.	Thresholds for Identifying Meaningfully Greater Minority and Low-Income Populations.....	J-5
Table J-2.	Minority Populations Living in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the 200-West Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site.....	J-7
Table J-3.	Low-Income Populations Living in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the 200-West Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site.....	J-9
Table J-4.	Minority Populations Living in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the Waste Treatment Plant.....	J-13
Table J-5.	Low-Income Populations Living in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the Waste Treatment Plant.....	J-16
Table J-6.	Minority Populations Living in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the 200-East Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site.....	J-19
Table J-7.	Low-Income Populations Living in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the 200-East Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site.....	J-21
Table J-8.	Minority Populations Living in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the Fast Flux Test Facility	J-23

Table J-9.	Low-Income Populations Living in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the Fast Flux Test Facility	J-26
Table J-10.	Minority Populations Living in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the Materials and Fuels Complex	J-29
Table J-11.	Low-Income Populations Living in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the Materials and Fuels Complex	J-33
Table J-12.	Minority Populations Living in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the Idaho Nuclear Technology and Engineering Center	J-34
Table J-13.	Low-Income Populations Living in Potentially Affected Counties Surrounding the Idaho Nuclear Technology and Engineering Center	J-39
Table J-14.	Potentially Affected Populations	J-41
Table J-15.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Total, Minority, and Nonminority Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact	J-42
Table J-16.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Total, American Indian, and Non-American Indian Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact	J-44
Table J-17.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Total, Hispanic, and Non-Hispanic Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact	J-46
Table J-18.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Total, Low-Income, and Non-Low-Income Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact	J-48
Table J-19.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Total, Minority, and Nonminority Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project	J-50
Table J-20.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Total, American Indian, and Non-American Indian Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project	J-52
Table J-21.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Total, Hispanic, and Non-Hispanic Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project	J-54
Table J-22.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Total, Low-Income, and Non-Low-Income Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project	J-56
Table J-23.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Maximum Annual Dose and Risk to the Maximally Exposed Individual Located at the Boundary of the Yakama Reservation	J-58
Table J-24.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Dose and Risk to the Maximally Exposed Individual Located at the Boundary of the Yakama Reservation Over the Life of the Project	J-58
Table J-25.	Comparative Food Consumption Rates for the Subsistence Consumer and the General Population Maximally Exposed Individual	J-59
Table J-26.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Total, Minority, and Nonminority Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact	J-60
Table J-27.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Total, American Indian, and Non-American Indian Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact	J-61
Table J-28.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Total, Hispanic, and Non-Hispanic Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact	J-62
Table J-29.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Total, Low-Income, and Non-Low-Income Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact	J-62
Table J-30.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Total, Minority, and Nonminority Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project	J-63
Table J-31.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Total, American Indian, and Non-American Indian Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project	J-64
Table J-32.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Total, Hispanic, and Non-Hispanic Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project	J-64

List of Tables

Table J-33.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Total, Low-Income, and Non-Low-Income Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project	J-65
Table J-34.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Maximum Annual Dose and Risk to a Maximally Exposed Individual Located at the Appropriate Reservation Boundary.....	J-66
Table J-35.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Dose and Risk to a Maximally Exposed Individual Located at the Appropriate Reservation Boundary Over the Life of the Project	J-66
Table J-36.	Waste Management Alternatives – Total, Minority, and Nonminority Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact	J-67
Table J-37.	Waste Management Alternatives – Total, American Indian, and Non-American Indian Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact.....	J-68
Table J-38.	Waste Management Alternatives – Total, Hispanic, and Non-Hispanic Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact.....	J-68
Table J-39.	Waste Management Alternatives – Total, Low-Income, and Non-Low-Income Population and Average Individual Doses in Year of Maximum Impact.....	J-69
Table J-40.	Waste Management Alternatives – Total, Minority, and Nonminority Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project	J-70
Table J-41.	Waste Management Alternatives – Total, American Indian, and Non-American Indian Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project.....	J-70
Table J-42.	Waste Management Alternatives – Total, Hispanic, and Non-Hispanic Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project.....	J-71
Table J-43.	Waste Management Alternatives – Total, Low-Income, and Non-Low-Income Population and Average Individual Doses Over the Life of the Project.....	J-72
Table J-44.	Waste Management Alternatives – Maximum Annual Dose and Risk to the Maximally Exposed Individual at the Boundary of the Yakama Reservation.....	J-72
Table J-45.	Waste Management Alternatives – Dose and Risk to the Maximally Exposed Individual Located at the Boundary of the Yakama Reservation Over the Life of the Project	J-73
Table K-1.	Natural and Manmade Sources of Radiological Exposure to Individuals Unrelated to the Hanford Site	K-4
Table K-2.	Radiation Exposure Limits for Members of the Public and Radiation Workers	K-7
Table K-3.	Nominal Health Risk Estimators Associated with Exposure to Ionizing Radiation.....	K-8
Table K-4.	Joint Frequency Distribution for the Hanford Site 200 Areas at a 61-Meter Height	K-19
Table K-5.	Joint Frequency Distribution for the Hanford Site 200 Areas at a 10-Meter Height	K-21
Table K-6.	Exposure Input Parameters for Members of the Public	K-25
Table K-7.	Radionuclides Included in Air Pathway Dose Analysis	K-28
Table K-8.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Radioactive Airborne Emissions During Normal Operations.....	K-29
Table K-9.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Radioactive Airborne Emissions During Normal Operations.....	K-30
Table K-10.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Radioactive Airborne Emissions During Normal Operations.....	K-30
Table K-11.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Radioactive Airborne Emissions During Normal Operations.....	K-31

Table K-12.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Radioactive Airborne Emissions During Normal Operations.....	K-31
Table K-13.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Radioactive Airborne Emissions During Normal Operations.....	K-32
Table K-14.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Radioactive Airborne Emissions During Normal Operations.....	K-32
Table K-15.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Radioactive Airborne Emissions During Normal Operations.....	K-33
Table K-16.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Radioactive Airborne Emissions During Normal Operations.....	K-33
Table K-17.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Radioactive Airborne Emissions During Normal Operations.....	K-34
Table K-18.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Radioactive Airborne Emissions During Normal Operations.....	K-34
Table K-19.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Radioactive Airborne Emissions During Normal Operations.....	K-35
Table K-20.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Radioactive Airborne Emissions During Normal Operations.....	K-35
Table K-21.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations.....	K-36
Table K-22.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations.....	K-37
Table K-23.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations.....	K-37
Table K-24.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations.....	K-38
Table K-25.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations.....	K-39
Table K-26.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations.....	K-39
Table K-27.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations.....	K-40
Table K-28.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations.....	K-41
Table K-29.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations.....	K-41
Table K-30.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations.....	K-42
Table K-31.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations.....	K-43
Table K-32.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations.....	K-43
Table K-33.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations.....	K-44
Table K-34.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations.....	K-45
Table K-35.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations.....	K-45
Table K-36.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations.....	K-46
Table K-37.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations.....	K-47

List of Tables

Table K-38.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations	K-47
Table K-39.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations	K-48
Table K-40.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations	K-49
Table K-41.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations	K-49
Table K-42.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations	K-50
Table K-43.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations	K-51
Table K-44.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations	K-51
Table K-45.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations	K-52
Table K-46.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations	K-53
Table K-47.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Impacts on the Onsite Maximally Exposed Individual Over the Life of the Project During Normal Operations	K-53
Table K-48.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Impacts on the Onsite Maximally Exposed Individual in the Year of Maximum Impact During Normal Operations	K-54
Table K-49.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Radiation Worker Impacts and Labor Estimates	K-56
Table K-50.	Dose Assessment Parameters for Noninvolved Workers	K-57
Table K-51.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Impacts on Noninvolved Workers in the Year(s) of Maximum Impact During Normal Operations.....	K-58
Table K-52.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Impacts on Noninvolved Workers over the Life of the Project During Normal Operations	K-58
Table K-53.	Joint Frequency Distribution for the Hanford Site 400 Area (Fast Flux Test Facility) at a 10-Meter Height	K-66
Table K-54.	Joint Frequency Distribution for the Idaho National Laboratory Idaho Nuclear Technology and Engineering Center at a 10-Meter Height.....	K-68
Table K-55.	Joint Frequency Distribution for the Idaho National Laboratory Materials and Fuels Complex at a 10-Meter Height.....	K-70
Table K-56.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives 2 and 3 – Radioactive Emissions During Normal Operations.....	K-74
Table K-57.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations.....	K-75
Table K-58.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations	K-76
Table K-59.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Impacts on the Hanford Onsite Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations.....	K-77
Table K-60.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Radiation Worker Impacts and Labor Estimates.....	K-78
Table K-61.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Impacts on the Noninvolved Worker During Normal Operations	K-79
Table K-62.	Waste Management Alternative 2 or 3 Radioactive Emissions During Normal Operations.....	K-81
Table K-63.	Waste Management Alternatives 2 or 3 Impacts on the Population During Normal Operations.....	K-82

Table K-64.	Waste Management Alternatives 2 or 3 Impacts on the Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations	K-82
Table K-65.	Waste Management Alternatives 2 or 3 Impacts on the Onsite Maximally Exposed Individual During Normal Operations	K-82
Table K-66.	Waste Management Alternatives – Radiation Worker Impacts and Labor Estimates During Normal Operations	K-83
Table K-67.	Tank Closure Alternatives – Applicability of Radiological Accident Scenarios.....	K-91
Table K-68.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Applicability of Radiological Accident Scenario	K-100
Table K-69.	Waste Management Alternatives – Applicability of Radiological Accident Scenarios	K-102
Table K-70.	Fire and Deflagration Scenarios Analyzed in the DSASW	K-105
Table K-71.	Spill and Spray Scenarios Analyzed in the DSASW	K-107
Table K-72.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Radiological Consequences of Accidents	K-110
Table K-73.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents	K-111
Table K-74.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Radiological Consequences of Accidents.....	K-111
Table K-75.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents	K-112
Table K-76.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Radiological Consequences of Accidents.....	K-113
Table K-77.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents.....	K-114
Table K-78.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Radiological Consequences of Accidents.....	K-115
Table K-79.	Tank Closure Alternative 3A Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents	K-117
Table K-80.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Radiological Consequences of Accidents.....	K-118
Table K-81.	Tank Closure Alternative 3B Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents.....	K-119
Table K-82.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Radiological Consequences of Accidents.....	K-121
Table K-83.	Tank Closure Alternative 3C Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents.....	K-122
Table K-84.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Radiological Consequences of Accidents	K-123
Table K-85.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents	K-124
Table K-86.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Radiological Consequences of Accidents	K-126
Table K-87.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents	K-127
Table K-88.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A Radiological Consequences of Accidents.....	K-128
Table K-89.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents	K-129
Table K-90.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B Radiological Consequences of Accidents.....	K-130
Table K-91.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents.....	K-131
Table K-92.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Radiological Consequences of Accidents.....	K-132
Table K-93.	Tank Closure Alternative 6C Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents.....	K-133
Table K-94.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Radiological Consequences of Accidents	K-134
Table K-95.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives – Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents	K-134
Table K-96.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives 2 and 3, Hanford Option for Disposition of RH-SCs, Radiological Consequences of Accidents	K-135
Table K-97.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives 2 and 3, Hanford Option for Disposition of RH-SCs, Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents	K-135
Table K-98.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives 2 and 3, Idaho Option for Disposition of RH-SCs and Idaho Reuse Option for Disposition of Bulk Sodium, Radiological Consequences of Accidents	K-136
Table K-99.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives 2 and 3, Idaho Option for Disposition of RH-SCs and Idaho Reuse Option for Disposition of Bulk Sodium, Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents.....	K-136
Table K-100.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Radiological Consequences of Accidents	K-137
Table K-101.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents	K-138
Table K-102.	Waste Management Alternatives 2 and 3 Radiological Consequences of Accidents	K-139

List of Tables

Table K-103.	Waste Management Alternatives 2 and 3 Annual Cancer Risks from Accidents.....	K-140
Table K-104.	Summary of Chemicals at the Waste Treatment Plant Complex	K-143
Table K-105.	Balance-of-Facilities Nitric Acid Spill Dispersion Modeling Parameters	K-146
Table K-106.	Tank Closure Accidents – Chemical Impacts	K-146
Table K-107.	Fast Flux Test Facility Accidents – Chemical Impacts.....	K-151
Table K-108.	Potential Hazardous Materials in Waste Feed Streams	K-153
Table K-109.	Reportable Quantities	K-154
Table K-110.	Results of Emergency Management Screening	K-157
Table K-111.	Comparison of Seismically Induced Waste Tank Dome Collapse (TK53) and Explosive Device in Underground Waste Tank (IDA-1).....	K-164
Table K-112.	Comparison of Seismically Induced WTP Collapse and Failure (WT41) and Aircraft or Ground Vehicle Impact on WTP (IDA-2)	K-165
Table K-113.	Comparison of Ammonia Tank Failure Accident with Intentional Destructive Act (IDA-3).....	K-166
Table K-114.	Comparison of Remote-Handled Special Component Fire (RHSC1) and Deliberate Explosion Scenario (IDA-4).....	K-166
Table K-115.	Total Recordable Cases and Fatality Incident Rates.....	K-169
Table L-1.	Top-of-Basalt “Cutoff” Elevation in Gable Mountain–Gable Butte Gap by Grid Size and Aggregation Mean.....	L-28
Table L-2.	Major Total Recharge Sources on the Hanford Site (1940–Present).....	L-34
Table L-3.	City of Richland Water Supply Data – Annual Summary Report	L-36
Table L-4.	Effect of Visual Control Points on Top-of-Basalt “Cutoff” Elevation in Gable Gap.....	L-40
Table L-5.	Top-of-Basalt “Cutoff” Elevation in Gable Mountain–Gable Butte Gap Based on ArcGIS Parameter Settings	L-40
Table L-6.	Abundance of Textural Types in the MODFLOW <i>Final TC & WM EIS</i> Groundwater Flow Model: Base Case	L-42
Table L-7.	Visual MODFLOW Rewetting Settings	L-45
Table L-8.	Visual MODFLOW Numerical Solution Settings	L-47
Table L-9.	Visual MODFLOW BCF Package Settings.....	L-47
Table L-10.	Number of Well Locations and Head Observations Removed from Original Head Observation Data Set.....	L-49
Table L-11.	Range of Hydraulic Conductivity Values Used in Monte Carlo Analysis.....	L-51
Table L-12.	Range of Storage Property (Sy) Values Used in Monte Carlo Analysis.....	L-52
Table L-13.	Range of Background Recharge Values Considered	L-56
Table L-14.	Summary of the 95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Performance Compared with the Calibration Acceptance Criteria	L-60
Table L-15.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model Calibrated Hydraulic Conductivity Values	L-61
Table L-16.	Flow Model Hydraulic Conductivity Parameter Correlation Coefficient Matrix	L-61
Table L-17.	95th Percentile (Base Case) Flow Model – Simulated Water Flow Volumes Through Selected Areas, Calendar Year 2200.....	L-79
Table L-18.	Summary of the 100th Percentile Flow Model Performance Compared with the Calibration Acceptance Criteria.....	L-81
Table L-19.	100th Percentile Flow Model Calibrated Hydraulic Conductivity Values	L-82
Table L-20.	100th Percentile Flow Model – Simulated Water Flow Volumes Through Selected Areas, Calendar Year 2200	L-98
Table L-21.	Summary of the 66th Percentile Flow Model Performance Compared with the Calibration Acceptance Criteria.....	L-100
Table L-22.	66th Percentile Flow Model Calibrated Hydraulic Conductivity Values	L-101

Table L-23.	66th Percentile Flow Model – Simulated Water Flow Volumes Through Selected Areas, Calendar Year 2200	L-117
Table L-24.	Selected Times for Extracting the Base Case Head and Velocity Data Files	L-119
Table M-1.	Steps in Release Model Development	M-2
Table M-2.	Summary List of Waste Forms Evaluated in This <i>TC & WM EIS</i>	M-3
Table M-3.	Rates of Infiltration for <i>TC & WM EIS</i> Base Case Analysis	M-14
Table M-4.	Summary of Estimates of Volumes and Dates for Past Leaks.....	M-16
Table M-5.	Tank Closure Alternatives 1 and 2A Infiltration Sequence Description.....	M-17
Table M-6.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, 4, 5, and 6C Infiltration Sequence Description.....	M-18
Table M-7.	Values of Distribution Coefficient for Radioactive Constituents in Hanford Grout	M-18
Table M-8.	Values of Distribution Coefficient for Chemical Constituents in Hanford Grout	M-18
Table M-9.	Values of Aqueous and Effective Diffusivity for Radioactive Constituents in Hanford Grout.....	M-20
Table M-10.	Values of Aqueous and Effective Diffusivity for Chemical Constituents in Hanford Grout.....	M-21
Table M-11.	Values of Distribution Coefficient for Radioactive Constituents for Contaminated Soil.....	M-22
Table M-12.	Values of Distribution Coefficient for Chemical Constituents for Contaminated Soils	M-22
Table M-13.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Infiltration Sequence Description	M-24
Table M-14.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Infiltration Sequence Description	M-24
Table M-15.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 3 Infiltration Sequence Description	M-24
Table M-16.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Infiltration Sequence Description for LLBG 218-W-5, Trenches 31 and 34	M-25
Table M-17.	Waste Management Alternatives 2 and 3 Infiltration Sequence Description 200-East and 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facilities	M-25
Table M-18.	Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Tank Farm Past Leaks	M-26
Table M-19.	Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Alternative Cribs and Trenches (Ditches)	M-29
Table M-20.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms.....	M-32
Table M-21.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms.....	M-36
Table M-22.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Tank Farms Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Ancillary Equipment in Tank Farms	M-40
Table M-23.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Retrieval Losses in Tank Farms	M-44
Table M-24.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Tank Residuals in Tank Farms.....	M-47
Table M-25.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Unplanned Releases in Tank Farms B, BY, C, TX, and U.....	M-51
Table M-26.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms.....	M-53
Table M-27.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms.....	M-57

List of Tables

Table M–28.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base and Option Cases, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms	M–62
Table M–29.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base and Option Cases, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone from Other Sources in Tank Farms	M–62
Table M–30.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 Radionuclide Releases to the Vadose Zone	M–65
Table M–31.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M–67
Table M–32.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M–70
Table M–33.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M–73
Table M–34.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M–76
Table M–35.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M–79
Table M–36.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M–82
Table M–37.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M–85
Table M–38.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M–88
Table M–39.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M–91
Table M–40.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M–94
Table M–41.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M–96
Table M–42.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M–99
Table M–43.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M–101
Table M–44.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M–105
Table M–45.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M–108
Table M–46.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M–111
Table M–47.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M–114
Table M–48.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M–117
Table M–49.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M–120
Table M–50.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M–123
Table M–51.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M–126
Table M–52.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone.....	M–129

Table M-53.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-131
Table M-54.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-134
Table M-55.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to the Vadose Zone	M-136
Table M-56.	Tank Closure Alternatives 3A, 3B, and 3C Summary of Waste Form Inventories of Technetium-99 and Iodine-129	M-143
Table M-57.	Kinetic Rate Law Parameters for Hanford FBSR Product	M-152
Table M-58.	Immobilized Low-Activity Waste Glass Sensitivity Analysis Cases	M-159
Table M-59.	Iodine-129 Inventory for Effluent Treatment Facility-Generated Secondary Waste and ILAW Glass for <i>TC & WM EIS</i> and Iodine-Recycle Sensitivity Analysis Cases	M-163
Table M-60.	Initial Inventory for No-Technetium-99-Removal Sensitivity Analysis	M-166
Table M-61.	Bulk Vitrification Sensitivity Analysis Cases.....	M-169
Table M-62.	Offsite Waste Sensitivity Cases	M-183
Table N-1.	Values of Hydraulic (van Genuchten) Parameters for the <i>TC & WM EIS</i> Analysis Case.....	N-11
Table N-2.	Values of Distribution Coefficients for Radionuclides.....	N-23
Table N-3.	Values of Distribution Coefficients for Organic Chemical Constituents.....	N-24
Table N-4.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Tank Farm Past Leaks.....	N-27
Table N-5.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Tank Farm Past Leaks.....	N-30
Table N-6.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Tank Farm Past Leaks	N-33
Table N-7.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Tank Farm Past Leaks.....	N-36
Table N-8.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Tank Farm Past Leaks.....	N-39
Table N-9.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base and Option Cases, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Tank Farm Past Leaks	N-42
Table N-10.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base and Option Cases, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Tank Farm Past Leaks	N-45
Table N-11.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	N-48
Table N-12.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	N-50
Table N-13.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, 4, 5, 6A (Base Case), 6B (Base Case), and 6C Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	N-52
Table N-14.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Cribs and Trenches (Ditches)	N-54
Table N-15.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Cribs and Trenches (Ditches)	N-56
Table N-16.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Other Tank Farm Sources	N-59
Table N-17.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Other Tank Farm Sources	N-63
Table N-18.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Tank Farm Ancillary Equipment	N-67

List of Tables

Table N-19.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Tank Farm Retrieval Losses	N-71
Table N-20.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Tank Farm Tank Residuals	N-74
Table N-21.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Tank Farm Unplanned Releases	N-78
Table N-22.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Other Tank Farm Sources	N-80
Table N-23.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Other Tank Farm Sources	N-84
Table N-24.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base and Option Cases, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Other Tank Farm Sources.....	N-89
Table N-25.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base and Option Cases, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer from Other Tank Farm Sources.....	N-92
Table N-26.	FDTF Decommissioning Alternatives 1, 2, and 3 Radionuclide Releases to Aquifer	N-94
Table N-27.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer	N-96
Table N-28.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-99
Table N-29.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-102
Table N-30.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-105
Table N-31.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-108
Table N-32.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-111
Table N-33.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-114
Table N-34.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-117
Table N-35.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-120
Table N-36.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer	N-123
Table N-37.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer	N-125
Table N-38.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer	N-128
Table N-39.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-130
Table N-40.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-134
Table N-41.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-137
Table N-42.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-140
Table N-43.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-143

Table N-44.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-146
Table N-45.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-149
Table N-46.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-152
Table N-47.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-155
Table N-48.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer	N-158
Table N-49.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-160
Table N-50.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-163
Table N-51.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Radionuclide and Chemical Releases to Aquifer.....	N-165
Table N-52.	Estimates of Travel Time in the Vadose Zone for Differing Rates of Recharge	N-169
Table N-53.	Spatial Distribution of Solute Reaching the Water Table Below a Crib Source	N-173
Table N-54.	Spatial Distribution of Solute Flux at the Water Table with Upper Geologic Layer Tilted.....	N-175
Table N-55.	Spatial Distribution of Background Recharge for Study Area Intersected by a Dike.....	N-179
Table N-56.	Spatial Distribution of Rate of Arrival of Solute at Water Table for Study Area Intersected by a Dike	N-179
Table N-57.	Time Series of Rate of Recharge for Sitewide and Integrated Disposal Facility Conditions.....	N-181
Table N-58.	IDF-East Infiltration Sensitivity Analysis Case Description	N-189
Table N-59.	Nuclide-Specific Parameters.....	N-190
Table N-60.	Nuclide-Specific Inventories for Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A	N-190
Table N-61.	Nuclide-Specific Inventories for Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B.....	N-197
Table N-62.	Nuclide-Specific Inventories for Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C.....	N-203
Table N-63.	Nuclide-Specific Inventories for Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D	N-209
Table O-1.	Radionuclides Included in the Particle-Tracking Analysis.....	O-5
Table O-2.	Contaminants and Retardation Coefficients Evaluated in Particle-Tracking Analysis	O-8
Table O-3.	Calibration Test Matrix for PUREX Plant Sites	O-22
Table O-4.	Calibration Test Matrix for REDOX Facility Sites	O-31
Table O-5.	Dispersivity Parameters Evaluated	O-45
Table O-6.	Benchmark Concentrations for Radionuclides	O-56
Table O-7.	Benchmark Concentrations for Chemical Constituents.....	O-57
Table O-8.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to All Sources – Ancillary Equipment, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, Tank Residuals, and Unplanned Releases	O-59
Table O-9.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Ancillary Equipment.....	O-59
Table O-10.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	O-60

List of Tables

Table O-11.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks	O-60
Table O-12.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Tank Residuals.....	O-61
Table O-13.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Unplanned Releases	O-61
Table O-14.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to All Sources – Ancillary Equipment, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, Retrieval Leaks, Tank Residuals, and Unplanned Releases	O-62
Table O-15.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Ancillary Equipment.....	O-63
Table O-16.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	O-63
Table O-17.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks	O-64
Table O-18.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Retrieval Leaks	O-64
Table O-19.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Tank Residuals.....	O-65
Table O-20.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Unplanned Releases	O-65
Table O-21.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C – Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to All Sources – Ancillary Equipment, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, Retrieval Leaks, Tank Residuals, and Unplanned Releases	O-66
Table O-22.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C – Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Ancillary Equipment	O-66
Table O-23.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C – Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches)	O-67
Table O-24.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C – Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks.....	O-67
Table O-25.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C – Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Retrieval Leaks.....	O-68
Table O-26.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C – Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Tank Residuals	O-68
Table O-27.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C – Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Unplanned Releases.....	O-69
Table O-28.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to All Sources – Ancillary Equipment, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, Retrieval Leaks, Tank Residuals, and Unplanned Releases	O-70
Table O-29.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Ancillary Equipment.....	O-70
Table O-30.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	O-71
Table O-31.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks	O-71
Table O-32.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Retrieval Leaks	O-72
Table O-33.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Tank Residuals.....	O-72

Table O-34.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Unplanned Releases	O-73
Table O-35.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to All Sources – Ancillary Equipment, Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, Retrieval Leaks, Tank Residuals, and Unplanned Releases	O-74
Table O-36.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Ancillary Equipment.....	O-74
Table O-37.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches).....	O-75
Table O-38.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks	O-75
Table O-39.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Retrieval Leaks	O-76
Table O-40.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Tank Residuals.....	O-76
Table O-41.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Unplanned Releases	O-77
Table O-42.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to All Sources – Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Unplanned Releases	O-78
Table O-43.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches)	O-78
Table O-44.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks	O-79
Table O-45.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Unplanned Releases.....	O-79
Table O-46.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to All Sources – Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Unplanned Releases	O-80
Table O-47.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches)	O-80
Table O-48.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks	O-81
Table O-49.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Unplanned Releases.....	O-81
Table O-50.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to All Sources – Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Unplanned Releases	O-82
Table O-51.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches)	O-82
Table O-52.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks	O-83
Table O-53.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Unplanned Releases.....	O-83
Table O-54.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to All Sources – Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Unplanned Releases	O-84
Table O-55.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches)	O-84
Table O-56.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Past Leaks	O-85

List of Tables

Table O-57.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations Related to Unplanned Releases.....	O-85
Table O-58.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Maximum COPC Concentrations	O-86
Table O-59.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Maximum COPC Concentrations	O-87
Table O-60.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-88
Table O-61.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-89
Table O-62.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-90
Table O-63.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-91
Table O-64.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-92
Table O-65.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-93
Table O-66.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-94
Table O-67.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-95
Table O-68.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-96
Table O-69.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-97
Table O-70.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations	O-97
Table O-71.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-98
Table O-72.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-98
Table O-73.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-99
Table O-74.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-100
Table O-75.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-101
Table O-76.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-102
Table O-77.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-103
Table O-78.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-104
Table O-79.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-105
Table O-80.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-106
Table O-81.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-107
Table O-82.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations	O-107
Table O-83.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-108

Table O-84.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Maximum COPC Concentrations.....	O-109
Table O-85.	Peak Postoperational Technetium-99 Concentrations at Columbia River for Base and Alternate Case Flow Fields Based on 1-Curie Contaminant Release at Various Hanford Site Source Areas.....	O-123
Table O-86.	Iodine-129 Retardation Coefficient Sensitivity Results for Tank Closure Alternative 2B.....	O-129
Table O-87.	Summary of Maximum Uranium-238 Concentrations from SX Tank Farm (10,000- Versus 30,000-Year Periods)	O-139
Table O-88.	Summary of Maximum Uranium-238 Concentrations from BX Tank Farm (10,000- Versus 30,000-Year Periods)	O-139
Table O-89.	Randomly Generated Scaling Factors Used to Demonstrate Sensitivity to Flux Uncertainty	O-141
Table O-90.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at the Tank Farm Barriers, Core Zone Boundary, and Columbia River Nearshore	O-145
Table P-1.	Scientific Names of Plant and Animal Species.....	P-2
Table P-2.	Receptors and Exposure Pathways Evaluated for Long-Term Impacts of Air and Groundwater Releases	P-8
Table P-3.	Long-Term Impacts of Radioactive COPC Air Deposition on Terrestrial Resources at the Onsite Maximum-Exposure Location: Maximum Hazard Indices by Receptor and Alternative.....	P-24
Table P-4.	Long-Term Impacts of Chemical COPC Air Deposition on Terrestrial Resources at the Onsite Maximum-Exposure Location: Maximum Risk Index by Alternative	P-25
Table P-5.	Long-Term Impacts of Chemical COPC Air Deposition on Terrestrial Resources at the Onsite Maximum-Exposure Location: Maximum Risk Index by Receptor.....	P-26
Table P-6.	Long-Term Impacts of Radioactive COPC Air Deposition on Terrestrial Resources at the Offsite Maximum-Exposure Location: Maximum Hazard Indices by Receptor and Alternative.....	P-28
Table P-7.	Long-Term Impacts of Chemical COPC Air Deposition on Terrestrial Resources at the Offsite Maximum-Exposure Location: Maximum Risk Index by Alternative	P-29
Table P-8.	Long-Term Impacts of Chemical COPC Air Deposition on Terrestrial Resources at the Offsite Maximum-Exposure Location: Maximum Risk Index by Receptor.....	P-30
Table P-9.	Long-Term Impacts of Radioactive COPC Air Deposition on Aquatic and Riparian Resources at the Columbia River: Hazard Indices by Receptor and Alternative	P-42
Table P-10.	Long-Term Impacts of Chemical COPC Air Deposition on Aquatic and Riparian Resources at the Columbia River: Maximum Risk Index by Alternative	P-43
Table P-11.	Long-Term Impacts of Chemical COPC Air Deposition on Aquatic and Riparian Resources at the Columbia River: Maximum Risk Index by Receptor.....	P-44
Table P-12.	Long-Term Impacts of Radioactive COPC Groundwater Discharge on Aquatic and Riparian Resources at the Columbia River: Hazard Indices by Receptor and Alternative	P-49
Table P-13.	Long-Term Impacts of Radioactive and Chemical COPC Groundwater Discharge on Aquatic and Riparian Resources at the Columbia River: Maximum Risk Index by Alternative	P-50
Table P-14.	Long-Term Impacts of Chemical COPC Groundwater Discharge on Aquatic and Riparian Resources at the Columbia River: Maximum Risk Index by Receptor.....	P-52

List of Tables

Table Q-1.	Constituents Selected for Detailed Analysis.....	Q-2
Table Q-2.	Procedure for Development and Use of Site-Specific Models	Q-4
Table Q-3.	Dietary Data.....	Q-23
Table Q-4.	Nondietary Data.....	Q-24
Table Q-5.	Dust Inhalation and External Gamma Data	Q-24
Table Q-6.	Contaminated Zone Data	Q-26
Table Q-7.	Saturated Zone Hydrologic Data	Q-26
Table Q-8.	Uncontaminated and Unsaturated Zone Hydrologic Data	Q-27
Table Q-9.	Distribution Coefficients for Radionuclides	Q-27
Table Q-10.	Exposure Pathway Transfer Factors for Radionuclides	Q-28
Table Q-11.	Residential Agriculture Scenario Parameter Values for Chemical Contaminants.....	Q-28
Table Q-12.	Distribution Coefficients for Chemicals	Q-30
Table Q-13.	Exposure Pathway Transfer Factors for Chemicals.....	Q-31
Table Q-14.	Values of Parameters for Estimation of Impact Due to Use of a Sweat Lodge	Q-32
Table Q-15.	Values of Radiation Dose Conversion Factors	Q-33
Table Q-16.	Radionuclide Carcinogenicity Slope Factors.....	Q-33
Table Q-17.	Health Effect Factors for Chemical Contaminants	Q-34
Table Q-18.	Summary of Radiation Dose at Year of Peak Dose for the Drinking-Water Well User.....	Q-37
Table Q-19.	Summary of Radiological Risk at Year of Peak Radiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User.....	Q-38
Table Q-20.	Summary of Hazard Index at Year of Peak Hazard Index for the Drinking-Water Well User.....	Q-38
Table Q-21.	Summary of Nonradiological Risk at Year of Peak Nonradiological Risk for the Drinking-Water Well User.....	Q-39
Table Q-22.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the B Barrier Boundary.....	Q-40
Table Q-23.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the T Barrier Boundary.....	Q-41
Table Q-24.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-42
Table Q-25.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-43
Table Q-26.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-44
Table Q-27.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the A Barrier Boundary	Q-45
Table Q-28.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the B Barrier Boundary.....	Q-46
Table Q-29.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the S Barrier Boundary	Q-47
Table Q-30.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the T Barrier Boundary	Q-48
Table Q-31.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the U Barrier Boundary	Q-49
Table Q-32.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-50
Table Q-33.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-51
Table Q-34.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-52

Table Q-35.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the A Barrier Boundary.....	Q-53
Table Q-36.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the B Barrier Boundary.....	Q-54
Table Q-37.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the S Barrier Boundary	Q-55
Table Q-38.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the T Barrier Boundary	Q-56
Table Q-39.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the U Barrier Boundary.....	Q-57
Table Q-40.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-58
Table Q-41.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-59
Table Q-42.	Tank Closure Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-60
Table Q-43.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the B Barrier Boundary.....	Q-62
Table Q-44.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the T Barrier Boundary.....	Q-63
Table Q-45.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-64
Table Q-46.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-65
Table Q-47.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-66
Table Q-48.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the A Barrier Boundary.....	Q-67
Table Q-49.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the B Barrier Boundary.....	Q-68
Table Q-50.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the S Barrier Boundary	Q-69
Table Q-51.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the T Barrier Boundary	Q-70
Table Q-52.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the U Barrier Boundary.....	Q-71
Table Q-53.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-72
Table Q-54.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-73
Table Q-55.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-74
Table Q-56.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the A Barrier Boundary.....	Q-75
Table Q-57.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the B Barrier Boundary	Q-76
Table Q-58.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the S Barrier Boundary	Q-77
Table Q-59.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the T Barrier Boundary	Q-78

List of Tables

Table Q-60.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the U Barrier Boundary.....	Q-79
Table Q-61.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-80
Table Q-62.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-81
Table Q-63.	Tank Closure Alternative 2A Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-82
Table Q-64.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the B Barrier Boundary	Q-85
Table Q-65.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the T Barrier Boundary	Q-86
Table Q-66.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-87
Table Q-67.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-88
Table Q-68.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-89
Table Q-69.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the A Barrier Boundary	Q-90
Table Q-70.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the B Barrier Boundary.....	Q-91
Table Q-71.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the S Barrier Boundary	Q-92
Table Q-72.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the T Barrier Boundary.....	Q-93
Table Q-73.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the U Barrier Boundary	Q-94
Table Q-74.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-95
Table Q-75.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-96
Table Q-76.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-97
Table Q-77.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the A Barrier Boundary	Q-98
Table Q-78.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the B Barrier Boundary.....	Q-99
Table Q-79.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the S Barrier Boundary	Q-100
Table Q-80.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the T Barrier Boundary	Q-101
Table Q-81.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the U Barrier Boundary	Q-102

Table Q-82.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-103
Table Q-83.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-104
Table Q-84.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-105
Table Q-85.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Unplanned Releases at the B Barrier Boundary	Q-107
Table Q-86.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Unplanned Releases at the S Barrier Boundary	Q-108
Table Q-87.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Unplanned Releases at the T Barrier Boundary	Q-109
Table Q-88.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Unplanned Releases at the U Barrier Boundary	Q-110
Table Q-89.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Unplanned Releases at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-111
Table Q-90.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Unplanned Releases at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-112
Table Q-91.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Unplanned Releases at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-113
Table Q-92.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Retrieval Leaks at the A Barrier Boundary	Q-114
Table Q-93.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Retrieval Leaks at the B Barrier Boundary	Q-115
Table Q-94.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Retrieval Leaks at the S Barrier Boundary	Q-116
Table Q-95.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Retrieval Leaks at the T Barrier Boundary	Q-117
Table Q-96.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Retrieval Leaks at the U Barrier Boundary	Q-118
Table Q-97.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Retrieval Leaks at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-119
Table Q-98.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Retrieval Leaks at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-120
Table Q-99.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Retrieval Leaks at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-121
Table Q-100.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Releases from Ancillary Equipment at the A Barrier Boundary	Q-122
Table Q-101.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Releases from Ancillary Equipment at the B Barrier Boundary	Q-123
Table Q-102.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Releases from Ancillary Equipment at the S Barrier Boundary	Q-124
Table Q-103.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Releases from Ancillary Equipment at the T Barrier Boundary	Q-125
Table Q-104.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Releases from Ancillary Equipment at the U Barrier Boundary	Q-126
Table Q-105.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Releases from Ancillary Equipment at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-127

List of Tables

Table Q-106.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Releases from Ancillary Equipment at the Columbia River Nearshore ...	Q-128
Table Q-107.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Releases from Ancillary Equipment at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-129
Table Q-108.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Releases from Tank Residuals at the A Barrier Boundary	Q-130
Table Q-109.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Releases from Tank Residuals at the B Barrier Boundary	Q-131
Table Q-110.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Releases from Tank Residuals at the S Barrier Boundary	Q-132
Table Q-111.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Releases from Tank Residuals at the T Barrier Boundary	Q-133
Table Q-112.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Releases from Tank Residuals at the U Barrier Boundary	Q-134
Table Q-113.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Releases from Tank Residuals at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-135
Table Q-114.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Releases from Tank Residuals at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-136
Table Q-115.	Tank Closure Alternatives 2B, 3A, 3B, 3C, and 6C Human Health Impacts Related to Releases from Tank Residuals at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-137
Table Q-116.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the B Barrier Boundary	Q-139
Table Q-117.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the T Barrier Boundary	Q-140
Table Q-118.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-141
Table Q-119.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-142
Table Q-120.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-143
Table Q-121.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the A Barrier Boundary	Q-144
Table Q-122.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the B Barrier Boundary	Q-145
Table Q-123.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the S Barrier Boundary	Q-146
Table Q-124.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the T Barrier Boundary	Q-147
Table Q-125.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the U Barrier Boundary	Q-148
Table Q-126.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-149
Table Q-127.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-150
Table Q-128.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-151
Table Q-129.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the A Barrier Boundary	Q-152
Table Q-130.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the B Barrier Boundary	Q-153

Table Q-131.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the S Barrier Boundary	Q-154
Table Q-132.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the T Barrier Boundary	Q-155
Table Q-133.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the U Barrier Boundary.....	Q-156
Table Q-134.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-157
Table Q-135.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-158
Table Q-136.	Tank Closure Alternative 4 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-159
Table Q-137.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the B Barrier Boundary.....	Q-162
Table Q-138.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the T Barrier Boundary.....	Q-163
Table Q-139.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-164
Table Q-140.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-165
Table Q-141.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-166
Table Q-142.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the A Barrier Boundary	Q-167
Table Q-143.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the B Barrier Boundary.....	Q-168
Table Q-144.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the S Barrier Boundary	Q-169
Table Q-145.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the T Barrier Boundary	Q-170
Table Q-146.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the U Barrier Boundary	Q-171
Table Q-147.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-172
Table Q-148.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-173
Table Q-149.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-174
Table Q-150.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the A Barrier Boundary.....	Q-175
Table Q-151.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the B Barrier Boundary	Q-176
Table Q-152.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the S Barrier Boundary	Q-177
Table Q-153.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the T Barrier Boundary	Q-178
Table Q-154.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the U Barrier Boundary.....	Q-179
Table Q-155.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-180

List of Tables

Table Q-156.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-181
Table Q-157.	Tank Closure Alternative 5 Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-182
Table Q-158.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the B Barrier Boundary	Q-184
Table Q-159.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the T Barrier Boundary	Q-185
Table Q-160.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-186
Table Q-161.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-187
Table Q-162.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-188
Table Q-163.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the A Barrier Boundary	Q-189
Table Q-164.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the B Barrier Boundary	Q-190
Table Q-165.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the S Barrier Boundary	Q-191
Table Q-166.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the T Barrier Boundary.....	Q-192
Table Q-167.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the U Barrier Boundary	Q-193
Table Q-168.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-194
Table Q-169.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-195
Table Q-170.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-196
Table Q-171.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the A Barrier Boundary.....	Q-197
Table Q-172.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the B Barrier Boundary.....	Q-198
Table Q-173.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the S Barrier Boundary.....	Q-199
Table Q-174.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the T Barrier Boundary.....	Q-200
Table Q-175.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the U Barrier Boundary.....	Q-201
Table Q-176.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-202

Table Q-177.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-203
Table Q-178.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-204
Table Q-179.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the B Barrier Boundary	Q-207
Table Q-180.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the T Barrier Boundary	Q-208
Table Q-181.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-209
Table Q-182.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-210
Table Q-183.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-211
Table Q-184.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the A Barrier Boundary.....	Q-212
Table Q-185.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the B Barrier Boundary.....	Q-213
Table Q-186.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the S Barrier Boundary	Q-214
Table Q-187.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the T Barrier Boundary.....	Q-215
Table Q-188.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the U Barrier Boundary.....	Q-216
Table Q-189.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-217
Table Q-190.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-218
Table Q-191.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-219
Table Q-192.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the A Barrier Boundary.....	Q-220
Table Q-193.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the B Barrier Boundary.....	Q-221
Table Q-194.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the S Barrier Boundary.....	Q-222
Table Q-195.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the T Barrier Boundary.....	Q-223
Table Q-196.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the U Barrier Boundary.....	Q-224
Table Q-197.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-225

List of Tables

Table Q-198.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-226
Table Q-199.	Tank Closure Alternative 6A, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-227
Table Q-200.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the B Barrier Boundary	Q-230
Table Q-201.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the T Barrier Boundary	Q-231
Table Q-202.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-232
Table Q-203.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-233
Table Q-204.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-234
Table Q-205.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the A Barrier Boundary.....	Q-235
Table Q-206.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the B Barrier Boundary.....	Q-236
Table Q-207.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the S Barrier Boundary	Q-237
Table Q-208.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the T Barrier Boundary	Q-238
Table Q-209.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the U Barrier Boundary.....	Q-239
Table Q-210.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-240
Table Q-211.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-241
Table Q-212.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-242
Table Q-213.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the A Barrier Boundary.....	Q-243
Table Q-214.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the B Barrier Boundary.....	Q-244
Table Q-215.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the S Barrier Boundary.....	Q-245
Table Q-216.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the T Barrier Boundary.....	Q-246
Table Q-217.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the U Barrier Boundary.....	Q-247
Table Q-218.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-248

Table Q-219.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-249
Table Q-220.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-250
Table Q-221.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the B Barrier Boundary	Q-252
Table Q-222.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the T Barrier Boundary	Q-253
Table Q-223.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-254
Table Q-224.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-255
Table Q-225.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches) at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-256
Table Q-226.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the A Barrier Boundary.....	Q-257
Table Q-227.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the B Barrier Boundary.....	Q-258
Table Q-228.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the S Barrier Boundary	Q-259
Table Q-229.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the T Barrier Boundary.....	Q-260
Table Q-230.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the U Barrier Boundary.....	Q-261
Table Q-231.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-262
Table Q-232.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-263
Table Q-233.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Past Leaks at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-264
Table Q-234.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the A Barrier Boundary.....	Q-265
Table Q-235.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the B Barrier Boundary.....	Q-266
Table Q-236.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the S Barrier Boundary.....	Q-267
Table Q-237.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the T Barrier Boundary.....	Q-268
Table Q-238.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the U Barrier Boundary.....	Q-269
Table Q-239.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-270

List of Tables

Table Q-240.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-271
Table Q-241.	Tank Closure Alternative 6B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts Related to Cribs and Trenches (Ditches), Past Leaks, and Other Sources at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-272
Table Q-242.	Doses to an American Indian Engaged in Residential Agriculture Following Well Drilling at the Tank Farms	Q-274
Table Q-243.	Doses to a Well-Drilling Worker at the Tank Farms	Q-275
Table Q-244.	Summary of Radiological Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User ...	Q-277
Table Q-245.	Summary of Chemical Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User.....	Q-277
Table Q-246.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts at the Fast Flux Test Facility Barrier	Q-278
Table Q-247.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-279
Table Q-248.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-280
Table Q-249.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Human Health Impacts at the Fast Flux Test Facility Barrier	Q-282
Table Q-250.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-282
Table Q-251.	FFTF Decommissioning Alternative 2 Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-282
Table Q-252.	Doses to a Well-Drilling Worker and an American Indian Engaged in Residential Agriculture Following Well Drilling at the FFTF Area.....	Q-284
Table Q-253.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Summary of Human Health Impacts on Drinking-Water Well User.....	Q-286
Table Q-254.	Waste Management Alternative 2 Summary of Radiation Dose at Year of Peak Dose for Drinking-Water Well User (millirem per year)	Q-287
Table Q-255.	Waste Management Alternative 2 Summary of Radiological Risk at Year of Peak Radiological Risk for Drinking-Water Well User.....	Q-287
Table Q-256.	Waste Management Alternative 2 Summary of Hazard Index at Year of Peak Hazard Index for Drinking-Water Well User	Q-288
Table Q-257.	Waste Management Alternative 3 Summary of Radiation Dose at Year of Peak Dose (millirem per year) for Drinking-Water Well User	Q-288
Table Q-258.	Waste Management Alternative 3 Summary of Radiological Risk at Year of Peak Radiological Risk for Drinking-Water Well User.....	Q-289
Table Q-259.	Waste Management Alternative 3 Summary of Hazard Index at Year of Peak Hazard Index for Drinking-Water Well User	Q-289
Table Q-260.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts at Low-Level Radioactive Waste Burial Ground 218-W-5, Trenches 31 and 34.....	Q-291
Table Q-261.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-292
Table Q-262.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore.....	Q-293
Table Q-263.	Waste Management Alternative 1 Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-294
Table Q-264.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-297
Table Q-265.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility.....	Q-298

Table Q-266.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-299
Table Q-267.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-300
Table Q-268.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-301
Table Q-269.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-304
Table Q-270.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility.....	Q-305
Table Q-271.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-306
Table Q-272.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-307
Table Q-273.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-308
Table Q-274.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-311
Table Q-275.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility.....	Q-312
Table Q-276.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-313
Table Q-277.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-314
Table Q-278.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-315
Table Q-279.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-318
Table Q-280.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility.....	Q-319
Table Q-281.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-320
Table Q-282.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-321
Table Q-283.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-322
Table Q-284.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-325
Table Q-285.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility.....	Q-326
Table Q-286.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-327
Table Q-287.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-328
Table Q-288.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-329
Table Q-289.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-333
Table Q-290.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-334
Table Q-291.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-335

List of Tables

Table Q-292.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-336
Table Q-293.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-339
Table Q-294.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility	Q-340
Table Q-295.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-341
Table Q-296.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-342
Table Q-297.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-343
Table Q-298.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-346
Table Q-299.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-347
Table Q-300.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-348
Table Q-301.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-349
Table Q-302.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-352
Table Q-303.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility	Q-353
Table Q-304.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-354
Table Q-305.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-355
Table Q-306.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-356
Table Q-307.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-357
Table Q-308.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility	Q-358
Table Q-309.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-359
Table Q-310.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-360
Table Q-311.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-361
Table Q-312.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-365
Table Q-313.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility	Q-366
Table Q-314.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-367
Table Q-315.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-368
Table Q-316.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-369

Table Q-317.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-370
Table Q-318.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility.....	Q-371
Table Q-319.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-372
Table Q-320.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-373
Table Q-321.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-374
Table Q-322.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-379
Table Q-323.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility.....	Q-380
Table Q-324.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility.....	Q-381
Table Q-325.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-382
Table Q-326.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-383
Table Q-327.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-384
Table Q-328.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-388
Table Q-329.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility.....	Q-389
Table Q-330.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility.....	Q-390
Table Q-331.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-391
Table Q-332.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-392
Table Q-333.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-B, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-393
Table Q-334.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-397
Table Q-335.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility.....	Q-398
Table Q-336.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility.....	Q-399
Table Q-337.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-400
Table Q-338.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-401
Table Q-339.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-C, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	Q-402
Table Q-340.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-406
Table Q-341.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility.....	Q-407
Table Q-342.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility.....	Q-408

List of Tables

Table Q-343.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-409
Table Q-344.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-410
Table Q-345.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-D, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-411
Table Q-346.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-415
Table Q-347.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-416
Table Q-348.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility	Q-417
Table Q-349.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-418
Table Q-350.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-419
Table Q-351.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-E, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-420
Table Q-352.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-424
Table Q-353.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-425
Table Q-354.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-426
Table Q-355.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-427
Table Q-356.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-F, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-428
Table Q-357.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-432
Table Q-358.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-433
Table Q-359.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility	Q-434
Table Q-360.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-435
Table Q-361.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-436
Table Q-362.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-G, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-437
Table Q-363.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-441
Table Q-364.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-442
Table Q-365.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary.....	Q-443
Table Q-366.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-444
Table Q-367.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-A, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-445

Table Q-368.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-449
Table Q-369.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-450
Table Q-370.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility	Q-451
Table Q-371.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-452
Table Q-372.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-453
Table Q-373.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-454
Table Q-374.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-455
Table Q-375.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-456
Table Q-376.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility	Q-457
Table Q-377.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-458
Table Q-378.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-459
Table Q-379.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 2, Subgroup 2-B, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-460
Table Q-380.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-466
Table Q-381.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-467
Table Q-382.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility	Q-468
Table Q-383.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-469
Table Q-384.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-470
Table Q-385.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Base Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-471
Table Q-386.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-472
Table Q-387.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the 200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-473
Table Q-388.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the River Protection Project Disposal Facility	Q-474
Table Q-389.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary	Q-475
Table Q-390.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	Q-476
Table Q-391.	Waste Management Alternative 3, Disposal Group 3, Option Case, Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	Q-477
Table Q-392.	Doses by Tank Closure Waste Type to an American Indian Engaged in Residential Agriculture Following Well Drilling at an Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-482

List of Tables

Table Q-393.	Doses by Tank Closure Waste Type to a Well-Drilling Worker at an Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-483
Table Q-394.	Doses by Tank Closure Waste Type to an American Indian Engaged in Residential Agriculture and a Well-Drilling Worker at the RPPDF.....	Q-483
Table Q-395.	Doses by Waste Management Waste Type to an American Indian Engaged in Residential Agriculture and a Well-Drilling Worker at an Integrated Disposal Facility	Q-484
Table R-1.	Resource Areas Evaluated in Recent Major Hanford Site Cumulative Impact Analyses.....	R-3
Table R-2.	Washington State Growth Management Act Planning Goals.....	R-12
Table R-3.	Methods of Cumulative Impacts Analysis for Different Resource Areas.....	R-19
Table R-4.	Activities Considered for the Cumulative Impacts Analysis.....	R-32
Table S-1.	Objectives of Waste Information Data System Screening.....	S-1
Table S-2.	Screen 3 Rules of the Waste Information Data System for Specific Waste Types.....	S-3
Table S-3.	Technical Baseline Review Categories.....	S-6
Table S-4.	Content of Sites Worksheet of Excel Workbooks	S-7
Table S-5.	Content of Inventory Worksheet of Excel Workbooks.....	S-8
Table S-6.	Unknown-Inventory Sites per Area at the Hanford Site	S-12
Table S-7.	Uncertainty of Alternatives and Cumulative Radionuclide Inventories at the Hanford Site.....	S-13
Table S-8.	Radioactive and Chemical Constituents	S-16
Table S-9.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 1	S-45
Table S-10.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 2	S-46
Table S-11.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 3	S-47
Table S-12.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 4	S-47
Table S-13.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 5	S-48
Table S-14.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 6	S-49
Table S-15.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 7	S-50
Table S-16.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 8	S-50
Table S-17.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 9	S-51
Table S-18.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 9A.....	S-52
Table S-19.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 9B.....	S-53
Table S-20.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 9C.....	S-54
Table S-21.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 9D.....	S-56
Table S-22.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 9E.....	S-57
Table S-23.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 9F.....	S-58
Table S-24.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 10	S-59
Table S-25.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 11	S-59
Table S-26.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 12	S-62
Table S-27.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 12A.....	S-63
Table S-28.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 12B.....	S-64
Table S-29.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 12C.....	S-65
Table S-30.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 12D.....	S-65
Table S-31.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 13	S-67
Table S-32.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 14	S-68
Table S-33.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 15	S-68
Table S-34.	Cumulative Impacts Sites for Map 16	S-69
Table S-35a.	Map 1: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-70
Table S-35b.	Map 1: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-70

*Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the
Hanford Site, Richland, Washington*

Table S-36a.	Map 2: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-71
Table S-36b.	Map 2: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-71
Table S-37a.	Map 3: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-72
Table S-37b.	Map 3: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-72
Table S-38a.	Map 4: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-73
Table S-38b.	Map 4: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-73
Table S-39a.	Map 5: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-74
Table S-39b.	Map 5: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-74
Table S-40a.	Map 6: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-75
Table S-40b.	Map 6: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-75
Table S-41a.	Map 7: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-76
Table S-41b.	Map 7: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-76
Table S-42a.	Map 8: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-77
Table S-42b.	Map 8: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-77
Table S-43a.	Map 9: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-78
Table S-43b.	Map 9: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-79
Table S-44a.	Map 9A: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-80
Table S-44b.	Map 9A: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-81
Table S-45a.	Map 9B: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-82
Table S-45b.	Map 9B: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-83
Table S-46a.	Map 9C: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-84
Table S-46b.	Map 9C: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-85
Table S-47a.	Map 9D: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-87
Table S-47b.	Map 9D: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-88
Table S-48a.	Map 9E: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-89
Table S-48b.	Map 9E: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-90
Table S-49a.	Map 9F: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-91
Table S-49b.	Map 9F: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-92
Table S-50a.	Map 10: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-93
Table S-50b.	Map 10: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-93
Table S-51a.	Map 11: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-94
Table S-51b.	Map 11: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-96
Table S-52a.	Map 12: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-98
Table S-52b.	Map 12: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-99
Table S-53a.	Map 12A: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-100
Table S-53b.	Map 12A: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-101
Table S-54a.	Map 12B: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-102
Table S-54b.	Map 12B: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-103
Table S-55a.	Map 12C: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-104
Table S-55b.	Map 12C: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-104
Table S-56a.	Map 12D: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-105
Table S-56b.	Map 12D: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-106
Table S-57a.	Map 13: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-107
Table S-57b.	Map 13: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-108
Table S-58a.	Map 14: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-109
Table S-58b.	Map 14: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-109
Table S-59a.	Map 15: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-109
Table S-59b.	Map 15: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-110
Table S-60a.	Map 16: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-110
Table S-60b.	Map 16: Radionuclide Inventories.....	S-111
Table S-61a.	Map 1: Chemical Inventories.....	S-112
Table S-61b.	Map 1: Chemical Inventories.....	S-113

List of Tables

Table S-62a.	Map 2: Chemical Inventories.....	S-114
Table S-62b.	Map 2: Chemical Inventories.....	S-115
Table S-63a.	Map 3: Chemical Inventories.....	S-116
Table S-63b.	Map 3: Chemical Inventories.....	S-117
Table S-64a.	Map 4: Chemical Inventories.....	S-118
Table S-64b.	Map 4: Chemical Inventories.....	S-119
Table S-65a.	Map 5: Chemical Inventories.....	S-120
Table S-65b.	Map 5: Chemical Inventories.....	S-121
Table S-66a.	Map 6: Chemical Inventories.....	S-122
Table S-66b.	Map 6: Chemical Inventories.....	S-123
Table S-67a.	Map 7: Chemical Inventories.....	S-124
Table S-67b.	Map 7: Chemical Inventories.....	S-124
Table S-68a.	Map 8: Chemical Inventories.....	S-125
Table S-68b.	Map 8: Chemical Inventories.....	S-125
Table S-69a.	Map 9: Chemical Inventories.....	S-126
Table S-69b.	Map 9: Chemical Inventories.....	S-127
Table S-70a.	Map 9A: Chemical Inventories.....	S-128
Table S-70b.	Map 9A: Chemical Inventories.....	S-129
Table S-71a.	Map 9B: Chemical Inventories.....	S-130
Table S-71b.	Map 9B: Chemical Inventories.....	S-132
Table S-72a.	Map 9C: Chemical Inventories.....	S-134
Table S-72b.	Map 9C: Chemical Inventories.....	S-136
Table S-73a.	Map 9D: Chemical Inventories.....	S-139
Table S-73b.	Map 9D: Chemical Inventories.....	S-140
Table S-74a.	Map 9E: Chemical Inventories.....	S-141
Table S-74b.	Map 9E: Chemical Inventories.....	S-142
Table S-75a.	Map 9F: Chemical Inventories.....	S-143
Table S-75b.	Map 9F: Chemical Inventories.....	S-144
Table S-76a.	Map 10: Chemical Inventories.....	S-145
Table S-76b.	Map 10: Chemical Inventories.....	S-145
Table S-77a.	Map 11: Chemical Inventories.....	S-146
Table S-77b.	Map 11: Chemical Inventories.....	S-149
Table S-78a.	Map 12: Chemical Inventories.....	S-151
Table S-78b.	Map 12: Chemical Inventories.....	S-153
Table S-79a.	Map 12A: Chemical Inventories.....	S-154
Table S-79b.	Map 12A: Chemical Inventories.....	S-155
Table S-80a.	Map 12B: Chemical Inventories.....	S-156
Table S-80b.	Map 12B: Chemical Inventories.....	S-157
Table S-81a.	Map 12C: Chemical Inventories.....	S-158
Table S-81b.	Map 12C: Chemical Inventories.....	S-159
Table S-82a.	Map 12D: Chemical Inventories.....	S-160
Table S-82b.	Map 12D: Chemical Inventories.....	S-162
Table S-83a.	Map 13: Chemical Inventories.....	S-164
Table S-83b.	Map 13: Chemical Inventories.....	S-166
Table S-84a.	Map 14: Chemical Inventories.....	S-167
Table S-84b.	Map 14: Chemical Inventories.....	S-167
Table S-85a.	Map 15: Chemical Inventories.....	S-168
Table S-85b.	Map 15: Chemical Inventories.....	S-168
Table S-86a.	Map 16: Chemical Inventories.....	S-169
Table S-86b.	Map 16: Chemical Inventories.....	S-170

Table T-1.	Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions Potentially Affecting Land and Ecological Resources	T-2
Table T-2.	Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions Potentially Affecting Cultural Resources	T-17
Table T-3.	Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions Potentially Affecting Socioeconomics	T-22
Table T-4.	Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions Potentially Affecting Transportation	T-25
Table U-1.	Primary Locations of Major Contaminant Plumes	U-7
Table U-2.	CERCLA Records of Decision for the 100 Areas	U-38
Table U-3.	Remedial Action Objectives for the 100 Area Source Operable Units.....	U-41
Table U-4.	River Corridor Groundwater Plumes and Treatment Actions.....	U-43
Table U-5.	CERCLA Records of Decision for the 300 Area Operable Units.....	U-51
Table U-6.	Remedial Action Objectives for the 300 Area Source Operable Units.....	U-52
Table U-7.	River Corridor Groundwater Plumes and Treatment Actions.....	U-53
Table U-8.	Western Portion of the Central Plateau Groundwater Plumes and Treatment Actions.....	U-85
Table U-9.	Eastern Portion of the Central Plateau Groundwater Plumes and Treatment Actions.....	U-108
Table U-10.	Release of the COPC Drivers to the Vadose Zone, Groundwater, and Columbia River from Non-TC & WM EIS Sources	U-122
Table U-11.	Calculated Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Peak Year at the Core Zone Boundary and Columbia River Nearshore from Non-TC & WM EIS Sources	U-123
Table U-12.	Comparison of Total Curies Removed Through Flux Reductions.....	U-154
Table U-13.	Human Health Impacts of Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Non-TC & WM EIS Actions at the Core Zone Boundary	U-163
Table U-14.	Human Health Impacts of Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Non-TC & WM EIS Actions at the Columbia River Nearshore	U-164
Table U-15.	Human Health Impacts of Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Non-TC & WM EIS Actions at the Columbia River Surface Water.....	U-165
Table U-16.	Alternative Combination 1 Cumulative Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary.....	U-167
Table U-17.	Alternative Combination 1 Cumulative Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	U-168
Table U-18.	Alternative Combination 1 Cumulative Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	U-169
Table U-19.	Alternative Combination 2 Cumulative Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary.....	U-171
Table U-20.	Alternative Combination 2 Cumulative Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	U-172
Table U-21.	Alternative Combination 2 Cumulative Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	U-173
Table U-22.	Alternative Combination 3 Cumulative Human Health Impacts at the Core Zone Boundary.....	U-175
Table U-23.	Alternative Combination 3 Cumulative Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Nearshore	U-176
Table U-24.	Alternative Combination 3 Cumulative Human Health Impacts at the Columbia River Surface Water	U-177
Table V-1.	Description of Each TC & WM EIS Base Case Flow and Transport Recharge Sensitivity Model Variant	V-3

List of Tables

Table V-2.	Central Plateau Particle Path Line Direction to the Columbia River.....	V-12
Table V-3.	Summary of Water Budget Hydrographic Analysis	V-17
Table V-4.	Tank Closure Alternative 2B, Technetium-99 Peak Concentration at Core Zone Boundary and Columbia River Nearshore.....	V-18
Table V-5.	Waste Management Alternative 2, Disposal Group 1, Subgroup 1-A, Technetium-99 Peak Concentration at Core Zone Boundary and Columbia River Nearshore.....	V-18
Table W-1.	Exposure Parameters and Values for the CTUIR Adult Traditional Subsistence Scenario	W-242
Table W-2.	Exposure Parameters and Values for the Maximally Exposed Individual in a Yakama Nation Traditional Subsistence Scenario.....	W-243
Table W-3.	Peak Doses, Hazard Indices, and Risks for the American Indian Hunter-Gatherer, Yakama Nation Hunter-Gatherer, and CTUIR Hunter-Gatherer Scenarios.....	W-244

List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

°C	degree(s) Celsius
°F	degree(s) Fahrenheit
AB	nuclear safety Authorization Basis
ACGIH	American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists
ACS	American Community Survey
ADD	average daily dose
ADE	advection-dispersion equation
AEGL	Acute Exposure Guideline Level
AERMET	American Meteorological Society/U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Regulatory Meteorological Preprocessor
AERMOD	American Meteorological Society/U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Regulatory Model
AMS	articulated-mast system
amsl	above mean sea level
APL	accelerated process line
ARAR	applicable or relevant and appropriate requirement
ARF	airborne release fraction
AS/RS	Automated Stacker/Retrieval System
AVA	American Viticultural Area
BAF	bioaccumulation factor
BBI	Best-Basis Inventory
BCF	bioconcentration factor
BEIR	Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation
BOF	balance of facilities
BOR	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
BRC	Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future
BRR	Black Rock Reservoir
BTU	British thermal unit
BUSS	Beneficial Uses Shipping System
C3T	Cleanup Challenge and Constraints Team
CAIRS	Computerized Accident/Incident Reporting System
Census Bureau	U.S. Census Bureau
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFR	<i>Code of Federal Regulations</i>
CH	contact-handled
COPC	constituent of potential concern
CPI	Consumer Price Index

List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

CRCIA	<i>Screening Assessment and Requirements for a Comprehensive Assessment, Columbia River Comprehensive Impact Assessment</i>
CSB	Canister Storage Building
CTUIR	Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation
CWC	Central Waste Complex
CY	calendar year
D&D	decontamination and decommissioning
dB	decibels
dBA	decibels A-weighted
DBVS	Demonstration Bulk Vitrification System
DCF	dose conversion factor
DHS	U.S. Department of Homeland Security
DNAPL	dense, nonaqueous-phase liquid
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOE-RL	U.S. Department of Energy Richland Operations Office
DOT	U.S. Department of Transportation
DR	damage ratio
DSASW	documented safety analysis for solid waste operations
DST	double-shell tank
EA	environmental assessment
EBR-II	Experimental Breeder Reactor II
ECEM	Ecological Contaminant Exposure Model
ECF	elevation correction factor
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
EIS	environmental impact statement
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
EPIcode	Emergency Prediction Information Code
ERDF	Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility
ERPG	Emergency Response Planning Guideline
ETF	Effluent Treatment Facility
FAST Facility	Fluorinel Dissolution Process and Fuel Storage Facility
FBSR	fluidized-bed steam reforming
FDP	Fluorinel Dissolution Process
Fermi	Enrico Fermi Nuclear Generating Station
FFTF	Fast Flux Test Facility
“FFTF Decommissioning EIS”	“Environmental Impact Statement for the Decommissioning of the Fast Flux Test Facility at the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington”
FGR	Federal Guidance Report

FIR	field investigation report
FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact
FRAMES	Framework for Risk Analysis in Multimedia Environmental Systems
FTE	full-time equivalent
FY	fiscal year
Gable Gap	Gable Mountain–Gable Butte Gap
GAP	Government Accountability Project
GENII	Hanford Environmental Radiation Dosimetry Software System, Generation II
GENII-2	Hanford Environmental Radiation Dosimetry Software System, Generation II, Version 2
GHB	Generalized Head Boundary
GIS	geographic information system
<i>Green Book</i>	<i>Recommendations for the Preparation of Environmental Assessments and Environmental Impact Statements</i>
GTCC	greater-than-Class C
<i>GTCC EIS</i>	<i>Environmental Impact Statement for the Disposal of Greater-Than-Class C (GTCC) Low-Level Radioactive Waste and GTCC-Like Waste</i>
HAB	Hanford Advisory Board
Hanford	Hanford Site
<i>Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan EIS</i>	<i>Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement</i>
HDW	Hanford Defined Waste
HEAST	Health Effects Assessment Summary Table
HEPA	high-efficiency particulate air
HFEF	Hot Fuel Examination Facility
HIHTL	hose-in-hose transfer line
HLW	high-level radioactive waste
HMS	Hanford Meteorological Station
HSGS	headspace gas sampling
<i>HSRAM</i>	<i>Hanford Site Risk Assessment Methodology</i>
<i>HSSWAC</i>	<i>Hanford Site Solid Waste Acceptance Criteria</i>
<i>HSW EIS</i>	<i>Final Hanford Site Solid (Radioactive and Hazardous) Waste Program Environmental Impact Statement, Richland, Washington</i>
HTWOS	Hanford Tank Waste Operation Simulator
ICRP	International Commission on Radiological Protection
ICV™	In-Container Vitrification™
IDA	intentional destructive act
IDF	Integrated Disposal Facility

IDF-East	200-East Area Integrated Disposal Facility
IDF-West	200-West Area Integrated Disposal Facility
IEM	Interim Examination and Maintenance
IHLW	immobilized high-level radioactive waste
ILAW	immobilized low-activity waste
INL	Idaho National Laboratory
INTEC	Idaho Nuclear Technology and Engineering Center
IRIS	Integrated Risk Information System
ISCORS	Interagency Steering Committee on Radiation Standards
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
ITV	in-tank vehicle
K_d	standard distribution coefficient
LAW	low-activity waste
LCF	latent cancer fatality
LDC	large-diameter container
LERF	Liquid Effluent Retention Facility
LIGO	Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory
LLBG	low-level radioactive waste burial ground
LLW	low-level radioactive waste
LOAEL	lowest-observed adverse effect level
LPF	leak path factor
LWPF	Liquid Waste Processing Facility
MACCS	MELCOR Accident Consequence Code System
MAI	Mission Acceleration Initiative
MAR	material at risk
MCL	maximum contaminant level
MEDE	melt-drain-evaporate
MEI	maximally exposed individual
MFC	Materials and Fuels Complex
MLLW	mixed low-level radioactive waste
<i>Modal Study</i>	<i>Shipping Container Response to Severe Highway and Railway Accident Conditions</i>
MODFLOW	modular three-dimensional finite-difference groundwater flow model
MODPATH	MODFLOW particle-tracking postprocessing package
MRS	mobile retrieval system
MSL	mean sea level
MUST	miscellaneous underground storage tank

NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NDA	nondestructive assay
NDE	nondestructive examination
NEHRP	National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NFPA	National Fire Protection Association
<i>NI PEIS</i>	<i>Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for Accomplishing Expanded Civilian Nuclear Energy Research and Development and Isotope Production Missions in the United States, Including the Role of the Fast Flux Test Facility</i>
NNSS	Nevada National Security Site
NPL	National Priorities List
NRC	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NRDWL	Nonradioactive Dangerous Waste Landfill
<i>NRF</i>	<i>National Response Framework</i>
<i>NRIA</i>	<i>Nuclear/Radiological Incident Annex</i>
NWCF	New Waste Calcining Facility
OA	Office of Independent Oversight and Performance Assurance
ORIGEN2	Oak Ridge Isotope Generation and Depletion Code
ORNL	Oak Ridge National Laboratory
ORP	Office of River Protection
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
PFP	Plutonium Finishing Plant
PHREEQC	Ph, REDOX, and Equilibrium – C Language
PM _n	particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to <i>n</i> micrometers
PNNL	Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
PPA	Property Protected Area
PPF	Preprocessing Facility
ppm	part(s) per million
Pu-239 DE-curies	plutonium-239 dose-equivalent curies
PUREX	Plutonium-Uranium Extraction
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
<i>R</i>	Retardation coefficient
R&D	research and development

List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

<i>Radioactive Material Transport Study</i>	<i>Final Environmental Impact Statement on the Transportation of Radioactive Material by Air and Other Modes</i>	
RAO	remedial action objective	
RCA	radiologically controlled area	
RCB	Reactor Containment Building	
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act	
RD/RA	remedial design/remedial action	
REDOX	Reduction-Oxidation	
<i>Reexamination Study</i>	<i>Reexamination of Spent Fuel Shipment Risk Estimates</i>	
rem	roentgen equivalent man	
RESRAD	RESidual RADioactivity	
RF	respirable fraction	
RH	remote-handled	
RH-SC	remote-handled special component	
RI/FS	remedial investigation/feasibility study	
RL	Richland Operations Office	
RMS	root mean square	
ROD	Record of Decision	
ROI	region of influence	
RPP	River Protection Project	
RPPDF	River Protection Project Disposal Facility	
RSD	relative standard deviation	
RSE	rubble, soil, and equipment	
RSWF	Radioactive Scrap and Waste Facility	
RTP	Remote Treatment Project	
RWM	restricted-waste management	
S&M	surveillance and maintenance	
SAIC	Science Applications International Corporation	
SALDS	State-Approved Land Disposal Site	
SC	special component	
SCBA	self-contained breathing apparatus	
SIM	Soil Inventory Model	
SNF	spent nuclear fuel	
SPF	Sodium Processing Facility	
SRE	Sodium Reactor Experiment	
SRF	Sodium Reaction Facility	
SRS	Savannah River Site	

SSF	Sodium Storage Facility
SST	single-shell tank
STAR	Science and Technology Applications Research
STOMP	Subsurface Transport Over Multiple Phases
STORM	Subsurface Transport Over Reactive Multiphases
STP	supplemental treatment process
STTS-East	200-East Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site
STTS-West	200-West Area Supplemental Treatment Technology Site
SWB	solid-waste box
<i>SWIFT Report</i>	<i>Solid Waste Integrated Forecast Technical (SWIFT) Report, FY2006–FY2035</i>
SWOC	Solid Waste Operations Complex
“Tank Closure EIS”	“Environmental Impact Statement for Retrieval, Treatment, and Disposal of Tank Waste and Closure of Single-Shell Tanks at the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington”
TBR	technical baseline review
<i>TC & WM EIS</i>	<i>Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington</i>
<i>Technical Guidance Document</i>	<i>Technical Guidance Document for Tank Closure Environmental Impact Statement Vadose Zone and Groundwater Revised Analyses</i>
TEDF	Treated Effluent Disposal Facility
TEEL	Temporary Emergency Exposure Limit
TMC	theoretical maximum capacity
TOB	top of basalt
TOE	total operating efficiency
TPA	Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (Tri-Party Agreement)
TPQ	threshold planning quantity
TQ	threshold quantity
TRA	Technology Readiness Assessment
TRAGIS	Transportation Routing Analysis Geographic Information System
TRC	total recordable cases
TRG	Technical Review Group
TRU	transuranic
TRUPACT-II	Transuranic Waste Package Transporter II
TRV	toxicity reference value
<i>TWRS EIS</i>	<i>Tank Waste Remediation System, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington, Final Environmental Impact Statement</i>
UGA	urban growth area
UMADRA	Umatilla Army Depot Reuse Authority
US Ecology	US Ecology Commercial Low-Level Radioactive Waste Disposal Site

List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
VBR	vacuum-based retrieval
VOC	volatile organic compound
WESF	Waste Encapsulation and Storage Facility
WIDS	Waste Information Data System
WIPP	Waste Isolation Pilot Plant
<i>WIPP SEIS-II</i>	<i>Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Disposal Phase Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement</i>
<i>WM PEIS</i>	<i>Final Waste Management Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for Managing Treatment, Storage, and Disposal of Radioactive and Hazardous Waste</i>
WRAP	Waste Receiving and Processing Facility
WRF	waste receiver facility
WSU-TC	Washington State University Tri-Cities
WTP	Waste Treatment Plant
Yakama Nation	Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation
<i>Yucca Mountain EIS</i>	<i>Final Environmental Impact Statement for a Geologic Repository for the Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste at Yucca Mountain, Nye County, Nevada</i>

Measurement Units

The principal measurement units used in this *Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington (TC & WM EIS)* are SI units (the abbreviation for the *Système international d'unités*). The SI system is an expanded version of the metric system that was accepted as the legal standard by the International Organization for Standardization. In this system, most units are made up of combinations of seven basic units, of which length in meters, mass in kilograms, and volume in liters are of most importance in this *TC & WM EIS*. Exceptions are radiological units that use the English system (e.g., rem, millirem).

Scientific (Exponential) Notation

Numbers that are very small or very large are often expressed in scientific, or exponential, notation as a matter of convenience. For example, the number 0.000034 may be expressed as 3.4×10^{-5} or 3.4E-05, and 65,000 may be expressed as 6.5×10^4 or 6.5E+04. In this *TC & WM EIS*, numerical values that are less than 0.001 or greater than 9,999 are generally expressed in scientific notation, i.e., 1.0×10^{-3} and 9.9×10^3 , respectively.

Multiples or submultiples of the basic units are also used. A partial list of prefixes that denote multiples and submultiples follows, with the equivalent multiplier values expressed in scientific notation.

Prefix	Symbol	Multiplier	
atto	a	0.000 000 000 000 000 001	1×10^{-18}
femto	f	0.000 000 000 000 001	1×10^{-15}
pico	p	0.000 000 000 001	1×10^{-12}
nano	n	0.000 000 001	1×10^{-9}
micro	μ	0.000 001	1×10^{-6}
milli	m	0.001	1×10^{-3}
centi	c	0.01	1×10^{-2}
deci	d	0.1	1×10^{-1}
deca	da	10	1×10^1
hecto	h	100	1×10^2
kilo	k	1,000	1×10^3
mega	M	1,000,000	1×10^6
giga	G	1,000,000,000	1×10^9
tera	T	1,000,000,000,000	1×10^{12}
peta	P	1,000,000,000,000,000	1×10^{15}
exa	E	1,000,000,000,000,000,000	1×10^{18}

The following symbols are occasionally used in conjunction with numerical expressions:

- < less than
- ≤ less than or equal to
- > greater than
- ≥ greater than or equal to

Conversions

English to Metric			Metric to English		
Multiply	by	To get	Multiply	by	To get
Area			Area		
square inches	6.4516	square centimeters	square centimeters	0.155	square inches
square feet	0.092903	square meters	square meters	10.7639	square feet
square yards	0.8361	square meters	square meters	1.196	square yards
acres	0.40469	hectares	hectares	2.471	acres
square miles	2.58999	square kilometers	square kilometers	0.3861	square miles
Length			Length		
inches	2.54	centimeters	centimeters	0.3937	inches
feet	30.48	centimeters	centimeters	0.0328	feet
feet	0.3048	meters	meters	3.281	feet
yards	0.9144	meters	meters	1.0936	yards
miles	1.60934	kilometers	kilometers	0.6214	miles
Temperature			Temperature		
degrees Fahrenheit	Subtract 32, then multiply by 0.55556	degrees Celsius	degrees Celsius	Multiply by 1.8, then add 32	degrees Fahrenheit
Volume			Volume		
fluid ounces	29.574	milliliters	milliliters	0.0338	fluid ounces
gallons	3.7854	liters	liters	0.26417	gallons
cubic feet	0.028317	cubic meters	cubic meters	35.315	cubic feet
cubic yards	0.76455	cubic meters	cubic meters	1.308	cubic yards
Weight			Weight		
ounces	28.3495	grams	grams	0.03527	ounces
pounds	0.4536	kilograms	kilograms	2.2046	pounds
short tons	0.90718	metric tons	metric tons	1.1023	short tons

Note: The use of the SI system of units as the principal system of measurement in this *TC & WM EIS*, combined with the use of significant figures or rounding when presenting numerical data, may cause some conversions to appear to be incorrect throughout this environmental impact statement (EIS). This is generally more common when the original value was in English units and was subsequently converted to the SI system for presentation in this EIS. The rounding error may be more noticeable when the corresponding measurement units in the English and SI systems are not relatively comparable in magnitude (e.g., feet and meters). For example, for the “2.9-million-liter (758,000-gallon) capacity” values presented in Chapter 2, Section 2.2.1.1, the original value of 758,000 gallons was converted to 2,869,000 liters (rounded to 2.9 million liters). However, converting 2.9 million liters to gallons yields 766,000 gallons, which is different from the original value. In another example, for the values “22 by 29 meters (72 by 94 feet)” presented in Section 2.3.3.2.2, the original value of 94 feet was converted to 28.6 meters (rounded to 29 meters). Converting 29 meters to feet yields 95 feet, which is slightly different from the original value of 94 feet. In this *TC & WM EIS*, the original value in English units is preserved, whereas, in many instances, the SI unit is actually the converted number.

