RL-721	
REV 4	

NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM

Document ID Number:

DOE/CX-00075

I. Project Title:

Project L-718, Electrical Utilities Transformer Management Support Facility

II. Project Description and Location (including Time Period over which proposed action will occur and Project Dimensions - e.g., acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth, area/location/number of buildings, etc.):

The proposed action includes design, procurement, and construction of a pre-engineered metal building for transformer management; including inspections, routine maintenance, testing, refurbishing, and disposition of excess transformers. The building will be constructed in the previously disturbed, gravel-covered electrical utilities lay-down yard west of the 2101-M Building in 200 East Area of the Hanford Site. The building footprint will be 2,800 square feet. Existing electrical power will be used. No water or other utilities are required. The proposed action will also remove two small metal structures (2102-M and 2102-N) from the concrete pad in the lay-down yard. A hazard evaluation by Health and Safety Specialists found no asbestos, synthetic mineral fibers, lead based paint, PCBs, or other hazardous materials at the 2102-M or 2102-N structures.

Wastes generated from transformer management will not be stored in the building; existing arrangements will be used for waste management. Oil will be drained from transformers using a hand-pump to minimize potential spills. Spill kits will be available in the building. Any PCBs generated during the refurbishment process will be handled and disposed in accordance with applicable Washington Administrative Code (WAC) regulations.

The construction contract will be awarded in September 2012 with completion of building construction in March 2013. An Ecological Resources Review (ECR-2012-200-017) has been completed and no adverse impacts are anticipated. A follow-up review will be conducted if project activities occur during the bird nesting season (mid-March to mid-July). If any nesting birds or bird defensive behaviors are observed, work will stop and an Ecological Resources Specialist will be contacted. A Cultural Resources Review (HCRC-2012-200-017) has been completed and no adverse impacts are anticipated. Workers will be directed to watch for cultural materials (e.g., bones, stone tools, mussel shell, cans, bottles, etc.). If any are discovered, work will stop and a Cultural Resources Specialist will be contacted.

The proposed action is addressed by 10 CFR 1021, subpart D, appendix B, categorical exclusion B1.15, wherein "Siting, construction, modification, and operation of support buildings and support structures (including trailers and prefabricated or modular buildings) within or contiguous to an already developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Covered support buildings and structures include those for routine maintenance activities; storage of supplies and equipment for routine maintenance activities; small-scale fabrication (such as machine shop activities), assembly, and testing of non-nuclear equipment or components; and similar purposes."

This NEPA determination is for application of an Activity-Specific CX to a project-related action that is non-routine and non-recurring in nature.

III. Reviews (if applicable):						
Biological Review Report #:	ECR-2012-200-017					
Cultural Review Report #:	Review Report #: HCRC-2012-200-017					
Additional Attachments:						
Based on the ecolog	ical and cultural resources reviews, no adverse impacts are ant	.icipat	ied			
as a result of the	proposed action.					
IV. Existing NEPA Docum	entation	YES	NO			
Is the proposed action evaluated in a previous EA, EIS, or under CERCLA?			\boxtimes			
If "NO," proceed to Section \	/. If "YES," List EA, EIS, or CERCLA Document(s) Title and Number:					
Not Applicable						
						
And then complete Section \signature is not required.	/I. Provide electronic copy of Initiator/ECO signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information only. DC)E NCO				

RL-721 REV 4 NEDA PEVIEW SCREENING FORM (continued)			umber:					
NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM (continued) DOE/CX-0								
V. Categorical Exclusion					NO			
10 CFR Part 1021?	all within a class of actions that is listed in Appe	•	TT					
of the proposal?	umstances related to the proposal that may affe				\boxtimes			
	o other actions with potentially significant impac I 506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211)?	cts or result in cumulatively sign	nificant impacts		\boxtimes			
List CX to be applied and co best fits the proposed action	omplete Categorical Exclusion Integral Element n):	ts (where an action might fit wit	hin multiple CXs,	use the C	X that			
Bl.15 - Siting, construction, modification, and operation of support buildings/structures								
Categorical Exclusion Inte	egral Elements			YES	NO			
	hreaten a violation of applicable statutory, regul DOE and/or Executive Orders?	latory, or permit requirements f	or environmental,		\boxtimes			
Does the proposed action r treatment facilities?	equire siting, construction, or major expansion of	of waste storage, disposal, reco	overy, or		\boxtimes			
Does the proposed action contact and gas products that products the proposed action to the proposed action t	fisturb hazardous substances, pollutants, conta re-exist in the environment such that there woul	minants, or CERCLA-excluded d be uncontrolled or unpermitte	petroleum and ed releases?		\boxtimes			
Does the proposed action a	adversely affect environmentally sensitive resou	rces?			\boxtimes			
Does the proposed action involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species such that the action is NOT contained or confined in a manner designed, operated, and conducted in accordance to applicable requirements to prevent unauthorized release into the environment?					\boxtimes			
If "NO" to all Categorical Ex	clusion Integral Elements questions above, cor			l inal Appr	oval/			
Determination and signature in Section VII. If "YES" to any of the Categorical Exclusion Integral Elements questions above, contact DOE NCO for additional NEPA Review.								
VI. Responsible Contract	or Signatures							
	Name (Printed)	Signature		Date	e			
Initiator	Jerry W. Cammann, NEPA SME	JW Camman	w	9/4/				
Cognizant Environmental Compliance Officer	Gary R. Cox	JW Camman	n for 9/4,		112			
VII. Approval/Determination								
DOE NEPA Compliance Of	ficer: Woody Russell							
Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class of action:								
NCO Determination - CX CX EA EIS								
Signature:	aly trussell	Date: 9/2	5//2					