RL-721 REV 3 NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM	Document ID Number: DOE/CX-00057
<pre>I. Project Title: Washington River Protection Solutions LLC - Proposed Actions F Place Under CX B1.16, "Asbestos Removal"</pre>	For CY 2012 Scheduled To Take
II. Project Description and Location (including Time Period over which proposed action acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth, etc.):	n will occur and Project Dimensions - e.g.
Washington River Protection Solutions LLC (WRPS) will remove a Site during Calendar Year 2012. WRPS will perform all activiticategorical exclusion (CX) limitations set forth in 10 CFR 10 Subpart D, & CX B1.16. WRPS' facilities include all those identifications Sections J.13 and J.14. Activities would include, but	les in accordance with the 021, Appendices A & B to ntified in the Tank Operations
• Removal of asbestos-containing materials (ACM) and associate and other wastes, from buildings in accordance with applicable • As part of the modification or demolition of buildings and adjacent support towers, will remove, handle, and encapsulate incidental materials (e.g. ventilation ducting, piping) and ot debris).	e requirements. other structures, such as as necessary any ACM and
• Action required to implement the above will also be undertake equipment and waste, and the establishment of temporary support facilitate the removal and disposal of ACM.	ken, such as the transport of ct structures required to
All locations are culturally exempt (see PNL-7264 & Battelle SNHPA Section 106 review, HCRC# 2003-200-044. The majority of to classified as historical non-contributing/exempt properties unto the end will be no ecological/biological or cultural initiation, 1) any non-exempt facilities or work will have the obtained as needed, 2) ecological reviews will be obtained if activities (including associated staging &/or laydown areas) we contiguous to an already developed area (where active site uti accessible & no habitat/vegetation will be disturbed), & 4) if issues are identified, the identified issue(s) will be appropriby relevant company or Hanford Site procedures & regulations.	the WRPS facilities are nder DOE/RL-97-56, Revision 1. impacts, prior to work appropriate cultural reviews needed, 3) all work will be performed within or ilities & roads are readily f any cultural or ecological
III. Reviews (if applicable): Biological Review Report #: N/A	
Cultural Review Report #: PNL-7264, Battelle Letter 9405630, HCRC# 2	003-200-044, & DOE/RL-97-56 R1
Additional Attachments:	

Biological Review Report #: N/A Cultural Review Report #: PNL-7264, Battelle Letter 9405630, HCRC# 2003-200-044, & DOE/RL-97-56 Radditional Attachments: IV. Existing NEPA Documentation (see Steps 3 and 4 of Contractor Screening Process) Is the proposed action evaluated in a previous EA, EIS, or under CERCLA? If "NO," proceed to Section V. If "YES," List EA, EIS, or CERCLA Document(s) Title and Number: And then complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initiator/ECO signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process). V. Sitewide Categorical Exclusion (see Step 5 of Contractor Screening Process) V. Sitewide Categorical Exclusion (see Step 5 of Contractor Screening Process) If "NO," proceed to Section VI. If "YES," list Sitewide Categorical Exclusion to be applied and complete Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria:

I			Document ID Number:				
NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM (continued) DOE/CX							
Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria			YES	NO			
Does the action fail to meet the eligibility requirements for Appendix B categorical exclusion ("integral elements") of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, B(1) through B(4)?							
Is the action connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)) or result in cumulatively significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(2))?							
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?							
Does the action involve or disturb the Hanford Reach National Monument, Rattlesnake Mountain, Gable Mountain, Gable Butte or other Traditional Cultural Properties or properties of historic, archaeological or architectural significance, or occur within one-fourth mile of the Columbia River?							
Does the proposed action impact sensitive species or their habitats?							
If "NO" to all Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initiato signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process).							
If "YES" to any of the Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, attach appropriate explanatory information and provid NRSF to DOE NCO; DOE initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1 by completing Section VI and VIII, as appropriate							
VI. Categorical Exclusion				YES	NQ		
Does the proposed action fall within a class of actions that is listed in Appendixes A or B to Subpart D of 10 CFR Part 1021?				\boxtimes			
List CX to be applied and o	omplete Categorical Exclusion Criteria (based o	on Eligibility Criteria of the NEP	A Determination F	rocedure'):		
CX B1.16, "Asbestos Removal"							
Categorical Exclusion Criteria				YES	NO		
Does the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environmental, safety, or health, including DOE and/or Executive Orders?					\boxtimes		
Does the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities?					\boxtimes		
Does the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases?							
Does the proposed action adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources?					\boxtimes		
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?				\boxtimes			
Is the proposal connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or result in cumulatively significant impacts (not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211)?							
If "NO" to all Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO completes Section VIII, provides electronic copy of signed NRSF to contractor, and otherwise complies with Step 4 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1.							
If "YES" to any of the Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO complies with Step 5 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1, and initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 2.							
VII. Approvals/Determina							
	Name (Printed)	Signature		Date			
Initiator Cognizant Environmental	Holly Bowers	Helly Bower	0	115112			
Compliance Officer	Stem Killay	such		1-5-12			
VIII. Approval/Determination							
DOE NEPA Compliance Officer: Woody Russell							
Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class of action:							
NCO Determination - CX EA EIS							
Signature: Date: 01/13/2012							