REV 3 NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM	Document ID Number:
	DOE/CX-00044
N. Project Title: Categorical exclusion for small-scale research and development proj Materials, 300 area, richland, washington - 2011-2012	ECTS USING NANOSCALE
II. Project Description and Location (including Time Period over which proposed action will ocacres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth, etc.):	ccur and Project Dimensions - e.
PNNL proposes to (1) conduct indoor small-scale research and development of the proposes to (2) carry out pilot-scale projects using nanosc complete minor modifications of existing laboratory rooms to support nanoscale materials in the 300 Area. Activities involving nanoscale are not limited to 1) nanoscale capabilities to design surfaces, interpretative chemical and physical properties 2) nanoscience and nate condensed phase and interfacial chemical physics, exide surface science in the physical transformation 3) minor modifications to rooms, equipment, direct support of laboratory operations associated with the use of These activities would take place at multiple indoor laboratories if 350 Buildings in 2011 and 2012. The CX will be reevaluated in 2013	ale materials; (3) t projects using materials include, but erfaces, and thin films notechnology, including ence, catalysis and and instrumentation in nanoscale materials. n the 331, 318, 325, an
Activities would include reasonably foreseeable actions necessary taction, such as radiological control and safety support; sample, charansport; project closeout; waste management, transport, treatment maintenance, development, and demonstration of processes, instrument consulting and planning with sponsors and collaborators; maintenance transport and use of analytical and research equipment; and award o	emical, and material, storage and disposal; ts and detectors; e, calibration,
1. Each activity would comply with DOE Policy 456.1 and DOE Order 42. Each activity would be conducted within existing structures that wastewater storage/handling and additional confinement or controls nature of the materials and equipment used in the project. Wastes wascordance with applicable local, state, and federal regulations, a	provide appropriate appropriate to the
guidelines. 3. Each activity would comply with applicable facility safety and exaministrative controls and permit requirements and would remain confacility, local, state and federal regulations, and DOE Orders and 4. Each activity could use hazardous and/or radioactive materials, necessary. Inventories would be maintained at the lowest practicab remaining consistent with continuing operations and research goals, measures, applicable permits and licenses and waste minimization process.	mpliant with applicable guidelines. should the use be levels while pollution prevention
III. Reviews (if applicable):	AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE
Biological Review Report #:	
Cultural Review Report#:	
Additional Attachments;	

The state of the s		
Biological Review Report #:		
Cultural Review Report #:		J. 1. 24.
Additional Attachments:		,
IV. Existing NEPA Documentation (see Steps 3 and 4 of Contractor Screening Process)	YES	NO
Is the proposed action evaluated in a previous EA, EIS, or under CERCLA?		$\boxtimes$
If "NO," proceed to Section V. If "YES," List EA, EIS, or CERCLA Document(s) Title and Number:	**************************************	-5
And then complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initiator/ECO signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Contractor Screening Process).	Step 6 o	f
V. Sitewide Categorical Exclusion (see Step 5 of Contractor Screening Process)	YES	NO
Does the porposed action fit within the scope of actions identified in a DOE Hanford NCO-approved sitewide categorical exclusion?		$\boxtimes$
If "NO," proceed to Section VI.		3,7,7
If "YES," list Sitewide Categorical Exclusion to be applied and complete Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria:		

L-721 Document ID		lumber:					
NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM (continued)							
Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Crit	ería			YES	NO		
Does the action fail to meet the eligibility requirements for Appendix B categorical exclusion ("integral elements") of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, B(1) through B(4)?							
Is the action connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)) or result in cumulatively significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(2))?							
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?							
Does the action involve or disturb the Hanford Reach National Monument, Rattlesnake Mountain, Gable Mountain, Gable Butte or other Traditional Cultural Properties or properties of historic, archaeological or architectural significance, or occur within one-fourth mile of the Columbia River?							
Does the proposed action impact sens	sitive species or their habitats?						
If "NO" to all Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initiate signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process).							
If "YES" to any of the Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, attach appropriate explanatory information and provide NRSF to DOE NCO; DOE initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1 by completing Section VI and VIII, as appropriate.							
VI. Categorical Exclusion			enterior de la constitución de l	YES	NO		
Does the proposed action fall within a class of actions that is listed in Appendixes A or B to Subpart D of 10 CFR Part 1021?							
List CX to be applied and complete Ca	ategorical Exclusion Criteria (base	d on Eligibility Criteria of the NEP	A Determination F	rocedure	):		
CX B3:15, Small-scale ind	oor research and develo	opment projects using m	ianoscale mat	erials	3		
Categorical Exclusion Criteria					NO		
Does the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environmental, safety, or health, including DOE and/or Executive Orders?					$\boxtimes$		
Does the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities?				$\boxtimes$			
Does the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases?							
Does the proposed action adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources?					$\boxtimes$		
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?				$\boxtimes$			
Is the proposal connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or result in cumulatively significant impacts (not precluded by 40 CFR 1508.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211)?				$\boxtimes$			
If "NO" to all Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO completes Section VIII, provides electronic copy of signed NRSF to contractor, and otherwise complies with Step 4 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1.							
If "YES" to any of the Categorical Excl Screening Process - Step 1, and initia	usion Criteria questions above, Do tes DOE NEPA Review Screening	OE NCO complies with Step 5 of process - Step 2.	the DOE NEPA Re	eview	4 .		
VII. Approvals/Determination				***************************************	THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH		
	Name (Printed)	Signature		Date	) - 		
Initiator				1. 1764			
Cognizant Environmental Compliance Officer	Amanda Stegen			2/06	H		
VIII. Approval/Determination			t grifts				
DOE NEPA Compliance Officer: Wo	ody Russell						
Based on my review of information co Compliance Officer (as authorized und action:	nveyed to me and in my possession der DOE Order 451.18), I have de	on (or attached) concerning the place termined that the proposed action	roposed action, as n fits within the spe	NEPA cified cla	ss of		
NCO Determination -	CX BA	EIS	//				
Signature: Aspay	Kussell	Date:	13/86/11				
			* -				