NEPA COMPLIANCE SURVEY # 292

	Marco and a second s	Project Information				
Project Title	Repair flowline 77-5	S-10 Date:	3/11/2010			
DOE Code		Contractor Code:				
Project Lead	Wes Riesland					
Project 1. What are the impacts? 2. What is the leaf		The flowline leak at 77-s-10 surfaced on the location but we had to follow the line off the lo hole in the pipe. This took us up the hill going south aproximatily 120 feet from the well here to successfully clamp the leak.	Construction and a substant of			
3. What is the duration of the project?		This flowline runs from the well 77-s-10 to the B-2-10 manifold.				
	quipment will be used er rig, drilling rig,	Approximately 6 hours to complete backhoe and opperator and one hand				

The table below is to be completed by the Project Lead and reviewed by the Environmental Specialist and the DOE NEPA Compliance Officer. NOTE: If Change of Scope occurs, Project Lead must submit a new NEPA Compliance Survey and contact the Technical Assurance Department.

Impacts Anticipated?			If YES, then complete below
Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
	Yes	Yes No □ ⊠ □ ⊠ □ ⊠ □ ⊠ □ ⊠	Yes No NA □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □

NEPA COMPLIANCE SURVEY

	Impacts Anticipated?			If YES, then complete below.		
Geology & Soils	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts related to geology or soils?				Best Management Practices were used to prevent erosion.		
Does the proposed project alter, excavate or otherwise disturb and area consistent with other land use and habitat area?						
Is the proposed project likely to impact local seismicity?						
If the project involved disturbance of surface soils, are erosion and storm water control measures addressed?				Best Management Practices were used to prevent soil and silt erosion. The pit was dug adjacent to the flow line.		
Air Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on ambient air quality under both normal and accident conditions?						
Are potential emissions (gases and/or airborne particulates including dust) outside of the normal scope for oil field operations?						
Does the project present risk to human health and the environment from exposure to radiation and hazardous chemicals in emissions?						
Is the project subject to New Source Performance Standards?						
Is the project subject to National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants?						
s the project subject to emissions limitations in an Air Quality						

NEPA COMPLIANCE SURVEY

	Impacts Anticipated?			If YES, then complete below.	
Wildlife and Habitat	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on wildlife or habitat?					
Does the project impact state or federally listed threatened and endangered species?					
Human Health Effects	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Does the proposed project present potential for effects on human health? e.g.: Hanta virus, radiological exposure, or chemical exposure (must provide MSDS)					
Transportation	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Does the proposed project involve transportation of radiological sources or hazardous materials (including explosives)?					
Waste Management and Waste Minimization	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Are pollution prevention and waste minimization practices needed in the proposed project?				Best Management Practices will be followed.	
Does project plan establish procedures in compliance with local, state and/or federal laws and guidelines affecting the generation, transportation, treatment, storage or disposal of hazardous and other wastes?				A JSA was performed prior to beginning of work. SOPs were reviewed for compliance to state and local regulations.	

NEPA COMPLIANCE SURVEY

				Impacts Anticipated?			If YES, then complete below.			
Cultural Impact			Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might unacceptable, recommend mitiga measures:				
Is there potential for impact on cultural (historic) resources? Community Impact							Location has been previously disturbed.			
				Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact migh unacceptable, recommend mitig measures:			
Will the proposed project introduce significantly adverse auditory, visual, or other impact?				erse 🗆						
	posed projec s use of publ	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -								
Will the proposed project adversely affect the community's access to private land?										
NOTE: To	pography M	ap and Wetl		uired to be at and specific t			applicable S	OPs fo	r Risk Assessment	
Are permits	s required? If	YES, list be	low:		_	_	Yes		No	
_		Section bel	ow to be reviewe	d by Environ	nental Sp	ecialis	t and DOE N	co.		
Adequate M	itigation Me					1			easures Provided?	
		Yes	No			Yes	No			
				ansportation Imp	acts					
Air Quality Impacts		\boxtimes	N D	Waste Management Impacts						
		Impacts Impacts Impacts Impacts Impacts Impacts Impacts Impacts								
Air Quality Imp				ultural impacts						
Air Quality Imp Wildlife and H	abitat Impacts			ommunity Impac	t					
Air Quality Imp Wildlife and H Geology and S	abitat Impacts ioils Impacts									
Air Quality Imp Wildlife and H Geology and S	abitat Impacts ioils Impacts			ommunity Impac	clusion		1. Mar. 199			
Air Quality Imp	abitat Impacts ioils Impacts Impacts B5.4 Repair o Army Corps o B5.2 Modifica	r replacement f Engineers to tion of oil, gas a	of sections of a crude	ommunity Impac ategorical Ex Approvals oil, produced wa ance provisions y pump and pipir	tter, brine, o of a DOE pe	r geother	mal pipeline, if er Section 404	of the C	ns are determined by the ean Water Act. er instrumentation that	
Air Quality Imp Wildlife and H Geology and S Human Health Comments and	abitat Impacts ioils Impacts Impacts B5.4 Repair o Army Corps o B5.2 Modifica	r replacement f Engineers to tion of oil, gas a	of sections of a crude be within the mainten and geothermal facilit	ommunity Impac ategorical Ex Approvals oil, produced wa ance provisions y pump and pipir	tter, brine, o of a DOE pe	r geother	mal pipeline, if er Section 404	of the C	ean Water Act.	
Air Quality Imp Wildlife and H Geology and S Human Health Comments and Conditions: Contractor	abitat Impacts ioils Impacts Impacts B5.4 Repair of Army Corps of B5.2 Modifica would not cha Steve Ames Based on my Compliance (r replacement f Engineers to tion of oil, gas a inge design pro Puphon review of infor Officer (as auth hs, the other re	of sections of a crude be within the mainten and geothermal facilit bcess flow rates or aff Ommon mation conveyed to r orized under DOE C	ommunity Impac ategorical Ex Approvals oil, produced wa ance provisions of y pump and pipir ect permitted air me and in my por rder 451.1A), I h	clusion tter, brine, o of a DOE pe ig configurat emissions.	r geother emit und tions, me	mal pipeline, if er Section 404 tering systems ed) concerning t the proposed	of the C , and oth Date : the prop action fi	ean Water Act. er instrumentation that	

