NEPA COMPLIANCE SURVEY # 291

			Project Information	w.						
Project Title:		Repair flowline 61-6	66-SX-3 Date	3/11/2010						
	DOE Code:		Contractor Code	:						
Project Lead:		Wes Riesland	Riesland							
1.	impacts?		In order to repair this line it was decided to trench a line aproximately 100 feet and tie it sx-3. This will get us out of the old flow line which has been repaired 5-6 times, this will r of having spills in the future. This flowline runs from the well 77-s-10 to the B-2-10 manifold. → 61-66 5X	nitigate the chance						
3.	3. What is the duration of the project?		Approximately 10 hours(1 day) to complete							
4.	What major equ if any (work ove etc.)?	ipment will be used r rig, drilling rig,	backhoe and operator and one hand							

The table below is to be completed by the Project Lead and reviewed by the Environmental Specialist and the DOE NEPA Compliance Officer. NOTE: If Change of Scope occurs, Project Lead must submit a new NEPA Compliance Survey and contact the Technical Assurance Department.

	Impacts Anticipated?			If YES, then complete below			
Water Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:			
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts on water resources or water quality?							
Does the project affect surface water quantity or quality under both normal operations and accident conditions?							
Does the proposed project affect groundwater quantity or quality under both normal operations and accident conditions?							
Will the project area include "Waters of the State?"				COMPLETED BEE			
Will the project area require a Corps of Engineers permit?				AREA DISTURBED BY TRENEWING APPEARS T BE WITHIN			
				125' of WELL HEADS			
				3-1			

NEPA COMPLIANCE SURVEY

		Impact ticipat		If YES, then complete below.		
Geology & Soils	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts related to geology or soils?				Best Management Practices were used to prevent erosion.		
Does the proposed project alter, excavate or otherwise disturb land area consistent with other land use and habitat area?						
Is the proposed project likely to impact local seismicity?						
If the project involved disturbance of surface soils, are erosion and storm water control measures addressed?				Best Management Practices were used to prevent soil and silt erosion. The pit was dug adjacent to the flow line.		
Air Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on ambient air quality under both normal and accident conditions?		×				
Are potential emissions (gases and/or airborne particulates including dust) outside of the normal scope for oil field operations?						
Does the project present risk to human health and the environment from exposure to radiation and hazardous chemicals in emissions?						
Is the project subject to New Source Performance Standards?						
Is the project subject to National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants?						
Is the project subject to emissions limitations in an Air Quality Control Region?						

NEPA COMPLIANCE SURVEY

	Impa	cts cipated	d?	If YES, then complete below.		
Wildlife and Habitat	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on wildlife or habitat?						
Does the project impact state or federally listed threatened and endangered species?						
Human Health Effects	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed project present potential for effects on human health? e.g.: Hanta virus, radiological exposure, or chemical exposure (must provide MSDS)						
Transportation	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed project involve transportation of radiological sources or hazardous materials (including explosives)?						
Waste Management and Waste Minimization	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Are pollution prevention and waste minimization practices needed in the proposed project?				Best Management Practices will be followed.		
Does project plan establish procedures in compliance with local, state and/or federal laws and guidelines affecting the generation, transportation, treatment, storage or disposal of hazardous and other wastes?				A JSA was performed prior to beginning of work. SOPs were reviewed for compliance to state and local regulations.		

NEPA COMPLIANCE SURVEY

					Impac Antici	ts pated?		If YES, then complete below.			
Cultural Impact					Yes	No	NA	100000	the anticipated impact might be acceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Is there potential for impact on cultural (historic) resources?								Location has been previously disturbed.			
Community Impact					Yes	No	NA	1100000000	If the anticipated impact might unacceptable, recommend mitiga measures:		
Will the proposed project introduce significantly adverse auditory, visual, or other impact?											
	osed projec s use of publ			7							
	oosed projec s access to p										
NOTE: To	pography M	ap and Wet	lands Map are r Level 2 &					applica	ble SOPs fo	r Risk Assessment	
Are permits	required? If	YES, list b	elow:						Yes 🗌	No 🖂	
Adoguata M	itigation Me		low to be review	wed by E	Environ	mental Sp			THE RESERVE TO SERVE	easures Provided?	
Aucquate in	itigation me	Yes	No				Yes	quate i	No	edsures i Tovided.	
Water Quality I	mnacte	⊠ ⊠	П	Transnor	tation Imr	nante	×				
Air Quality Imp				Transportation Impacts Waste Management Impact			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
Wildlife and Ha				Cultural I							
Geology and S	oils Impacts	\boxtimes		Commun	munity Impact						
Human Health	Impacts			Catego	rical Ex	clusion					
				Ap	provals	5					
Comments and Conditions:	B5.4 Repair or replacement of sections of a crude oil, produced water, brine, or geothermal pipeline, if the actions are determined by the Army Corps of Engineers to be within the maintenance provisions of a DOE permit under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. B5.2 Modification of oil, gas and geothermal facility pump and piping configurations, metering systems, and other instrumentation that would not change design process flow rates or affect permitted air emissions.										
Contractor ESS&H								Date: 3/11/2010			
Comments and Conditions:	Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class of actions, the other regulatory requirements set forth above are met, and the proposed action is hereby categorically exclude from further NEPA review.								posed action, as NEPA ts within the specified		
DOE NEPA CX 5 \$5.2 \$5.4 Compliance Officer Whokel 7 24									Date	Date 3/16/10	

