U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management National Environmental Policy Act Environmental Checklist

Project/Activity: Abandon four monitoring wells associated with the Rocky Flats, CO, Site.

A. Brief Project/Activity Description

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Legacy Management (LM) proposes to abandon four groundwater monitoring wells that were installed in the 1990s near the Rocky Flats, Colorado, Site. Three of the wells are adjacent to the Great Western Reservoir, and one is adjacent to Standley Lake; the attached map shows the well locations. Wells 11994, 11894, and 49192 are in the city of Broomfield, and well 49292 is in the city of Westminster. The wells are no longer needed for groundwater monitoring purposes and are scheduled to be abandoned before the end of 2010 and in accordance with regulations set forth by the State of Colorado. It is expected that the following activities would be completed in approximately 5 days, with a crew of up to four people to perform the work.

A rubber-tired backhoe with a breaker attachment would be used to demolish the approximately 3-feet-square concrete well pad. After removing the concrete, an area approximately 2-feet-deep would be excavated to access and remove the outer protective well casing. The well casing may need to be cut using a gas-powered chop saw. After well abandonment procedures are completed, all disturbed areas would be graded, backfill would be placed as necessary, and areas would be compacted and seeded.

Driving on existing roads and limited off-road driving would be required to access each well. All access routes are on previously disturbed areas. When traveling off public roadways, access would be coordinated with the appropriate property owner.

B. Environmental Concerns

Evaluate the following elements and indicate by checking "yes" or "no" if any phase of the project/activity would result in a change or impact that is subject to regulatory permits, controls, or plans or that would require additional evaluation. If the "yes" column is checked, provide a brief explanation below and attach sheets with additional detail as necessary or appropriate.

Element	Yes	No	Element	Yes	No
Air emissions/air quality	\boxtimes		Exposure/impacts to public or workers		
Noise	\boxtimes		Need for public awareness/involvement		\boxtimes
Solid waste generation	\boxtimes		Transportation/traffic control required		\boxtimes
Mixed waste management			Access to/use of DOE property		\boxtimes
Chemical storage on site		\boxtimes	Visual resources impacted		\boxtimes
Pesticide/herbicide use		\boxtimes	Cultural/archaeological resources present		\boxtimes
Toxic substances management		\boxtimes	Wetland/floodplain impacted		\boxtimes
Regulated quantities of petroleum used or stored on site		Ø	Protected species present: federal, state, or tribe listed		
Radioactive materials/soils		\boxtimes	Migratory birds breeding or nesting	\boxtimes	
Surface (ground) disturbance	\boxtimes		Wild/scenic rivers impacted		\boxtimes
Surface water use/contamination		\boxtimes	Prime/unique farmlands present		\boxtimes
Surface water quality		\boxtimes	Groundwater use/contamination		\boxtimes
Groundwater quality affected		\boxtimes	Other considerations		\boxtimes

C. Explanation and Qualification of All "Yes" Responses

<u>Air emissions/air quality:</u> During excavation, temporary and small amounts of fugitive dust, which may include silica dust, may be generated in relation to breaking up the concrete well pads. If necessary, water would be used to control fugitive dust.

<u>Noise:</u> Levels of noise generated by hammering or sawing would be elevated for up to several minutes per location.

<u>Solid waste generation:</u> The concrete and casing would be removed from the site and brought to an appropriate recycling or sanitary waste facility.

<u>Surface (ground) disturbance:</u> Less than 0.1 acre would be disturbed while completing all activities. Upon completion of the actions, all disturbed areas would be reclaimed to their former condition.

<u>Exposure/impacts to public or workers:</u> The silica dust exposure from concrete demolition and removal is considered minor, and may be present to the extent of nuisance dust. Workers would have dust masks available upon request.

<u>Protected species present; federal, state, or tribe listed:</u> U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has concurred with a DOE determination that the impacts resulting from the proposed project for wells 11994, 11894, and 49192 are not likely to adversely affect the continued existence of the listed Preble's meadow jumping mouse, but has stated that the clearance is valid for one year (from July 2010). Well 49492 is located in the city of Westminster and is outside of possible PMJM habitat.

<u>Migratory birds breeding or nesting:</u> Any ground-disturbing activities that are conducted between April 1 and August 31 would require a migratory bird nesting survey prior to any well-abandonment activities.

D. Eligibility/Conditions

The proposed action fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix A or B to Subpart D of Title 10 *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 1021 (10 CFR 1021). DOE has determined that these classes of actions do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment (see 10 CFR 1021.410). No extraordinary circumstances are related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposed action, and the proposed action is not "connected" to other actions with potentially significant impacts. Finally, the action is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211.

E. Recommendation

excli site (proposed action of abandoning fuded from further evaluation und characterization and environmen ation, and dismantlement or clos	ler 10 CFR 1021, A tal monitoring, incl	appei udin	ndix B to Subpart D g siting, construction	D, B3.1 "Onsite and offsite on (or modification),
	Meets Criteria	Does Not Mee	et Cr	iteria	Unsure
The elev	sational Environmental Policy ascope of actions proposed under vant to the potential for environmoeen determined:	Section A of this E	Envir	onmental Checklist	
X	The proposed actions meet the	criteria for categori	ical e	exclusion.	
	The proposed actions do not me I recommend that the LM NEP attached rationale) to complete:				
	an Interim Action.			an Environmental	Assessment.
	an Environmental Impact S	Statement.		a Supplemental Ar	nalysis.

Concurrences

Project/Activity: Abandon four monitoring wells associated with the Rocky Flats, CO, Site.

LM Site Name Rocky Flats	LM Site Programs Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act				
Contractor NEPA Coordinator Sandy Beranich	Signature 2010.07.27 08:06:32 Sandra Beranich -06'00'	Date			
Contractor Site Manager Linda Kaiser	Signature Linda L. Kaiser 2010.07.29 17:	1			
LM Site Manager Scott Surovchak	Signature Scott R. Sure 2010.08.10	Date ovchak 15:31:48 -06'00'			
LM NEPA Compliance Officer Tracy Ribeiro	Signature Riverio	Date 08/19/10			

Distribution upon signature:

- T. Ribeiro, LM NEPA Compliance Officer
- S. Surovchak, LM Site Manager
- S. Beranich, Stoller NEPA coordinator
- L. Bowdidge, Stoller NEPA coordinator
- L. Kaiser, Rocky Flats Site Manager
- R. DiSalvo, Stoller Compliance Lead
- K. Franzen, Project Manager
- S. Osborn, Stoller Manager
- rc-rocky.flats