

U.S. Department of Energy Categorical Exclusion Determination Form



WE CO	O			WHO.	
Program or Field Office:	Energy E	fficiency and Cons	ervation Block Grant Progra	ım	
Project Title	MI-Count	MI-County-Livingston			
Location:	County	Livingston	MI		
Proposed Action or Proje	ct Description		American Recovery and F	Reinvestment Act:	
systems for the Administratic conditioning (HVAC) of Center, Judicial Center, a projects, 5) retrofit of the in the Administration Building	ration Building, Co control systems fo and County Jail; 4 HVAC systems fo ding, Jail, and Lav of energy controls	ourthouse, and Courthe Administration provision of proje the Jail and Anim Center and retrol	ategy (CX-8/14/09); 2) retroiunty Jail; 3) retrofit of heating in Building, Courthouse, Aninct management services for nal Shelter, 6) installation of fit of street lighting at the connes in municipal buildings, a	g, ventilating, and mal Shelter, Law EECBG-funded lighting controls unty West	
Conditions: Historic pres	servation clause a	pplies to this applic	cation (County Courthouse [1889])	
Categorical Exclusion(s)	Applied: A9, A1 B2.5, I	1, B1.32, B5.1			
This action would not: threate including DOE and/or Executi treatment facilities, but may in CERCLA-excluded petroleum	n a violation of application of application of application of a contraction of a violation of application of a violation of application of application of a violation of a	able statutory, regulatoring, construction, or ma ally excluded facilities; ucts that pre-exist in the	parding categorical exclusions, see ry, or permit requirements for enviruitor expansion of waste storage, di- disturb hazardous substances, pol e environment such that there wou ces (including but not limited to the	ronment, safety, and health sposal, recovery, or lutants, contaminants, or ild be uncontrolled or	
B.(4)) of Appendix B to Subpa may affect the significance of	art D of 10 CFR 1021) the environmental eff	. Furthermore, there ar fects of the action; this	e no extraordinary circumstances is action is not "connected" to other a ely significant impacts, and is not p	related to this action that actions with potentially	
Compliance Officer (as autho	rized under DOE Orde	er 451.1B), I have deter	(or attached) concerning the proportion of the proposed action fitter, and the proposed action is he	s within the specified	
DRO NEPA Compliance Officer	Gary	S. Hartman	Date Determined	d: 4/22/2010	
Comments:			Webmaster:		