## Environmental Review Form for Argonne National Laboratory

Click on the blue question marks (?) for instructions, contacts, and additional information on specific line items.

(?)Project/Activity Title: Operation of the 20 MeV Electron Linac Accelerator, including upgrade to 50 MeV (CSE060)

(?)ASO NEPA Tracking No.	AG0- CX - 259	(?) <b>Type of Fundin</b> B&R Code	g: Operation funds
(?)Identifying number: Work Project # Other (explain)	WFO proposal # CRADA proposal # ANL accounting # (item 3a in Field Work Proposal)		
(?)Project Manager: George V	andegrift_Signature:		Date: 12/9/09
(?)NEPA Owner: Roberta Riel	Signature:	esta fiil	Date: 12/9/09
ANL NEPA Reviewer: M. A. Ka	miya Signature:	1. John p	Date: 12/9/2009

I. (?)Description of Proposed Action: This review covers the operation and maintenance of the 20-MeV linac electron accelerator as it is currently authorized. In addition, the review will cover a planned upgrade program to increase the power to 50 MeV. The accelerator will be operated within approved and authorized limits as detailed in the governing Safety Assessment Document, Work Control Permit, Radioactive Work Permit or other applicable documents.

**II.** (?)**Description of Affected Environment:** The 20 MeV Linac electron accelerator is an existing facility that is used by CSE division to study radiation induced effects in solid, liquid and gaseous samples. An upgrade in energy up to 50 MeV is being planned, and is scheduled for completion during the second quarter of FY10. The Linac accelerator facility is located in Building 211, room D-076 and utilizes a closed loop cooling water system and a one pass air ventilation system. The energy of the generating electrons is high enough to induce radioactivity in accelerator components (beam pipes, magnets, and beam stops) but direct interaction of the high energy electrons with air does not effectively activate the air due to the small cross section. Activation of the air is possible only when high energy electrons strike a specific target and high energy x-rays are produced. Calculations of the radioactivity produced during the activation of air are detailed below.

III. (?)Potential Environmental Effects: (Attach explanation for each "yes" response. See Instructions for Completing Environmental Review Form)

A. Complete Section A for all projects.

1. (?)Project evaluated for Pollution Prevention and Waste Minimization opportunities and details provided under items 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 16, and 20 below, as applicable

Yes <u>X</u> No \_\_\_\_\_

2. (?)Air Pollutant Emissions

Yes X No \_\_\_\_\_

Per B. Micklach (PHY) The activity for three cases A: maximum beam energy and beam current per present SAD, B: Conditions that are planned to use for thermal load test of the Mo target and C: for planned upgrade of accelerator that will be completed in one year from now and will be go through NEPA evaluation later.

## Table 1. Operational parameters of the accelerator

		case	
	A	В	C
beam energy (MeV)	20	15	35
beam current (uA)	200	2000	700
accelerator power (kW)	4	30	24.5
assumed path length of brems in air (m)	1	1	1
target room volume (liters)	300000	300000	300000
run time (hr)	2000	2000	2000
wait time (min)	15	15	15
occupancy time (min)	5	5	5

Release (Table 2) is calculated based on room inventory (concentration) during operation plus exhaust of air after run stops. The run is this case is defined as 2000 hrs, the nominal amount of operating time in one year.

Table 2. Radioactive gases release at three different scenarios mentioned above. We are currently limited per linac Safety Assessment Document to case A. Activities are calculated for nominal amount of operation time in a calendar year. Realistic estimate of experimental (irradiation time) per year is 100 times less. The activity will be proportional to the irradiation time.

		activity released due to one run (Ci)		
nuclide	half life (s)	A	B	C
•				
He-3	3.89e+08			4.40E-05
Be-7	4.61e+06			7.97E-04
C-11	1223.1	7.56E-03		4.63E-02
	1223.1			2.78E+01
N-13	597.9	4.02E+02	3.01E+03	2.46E+03
0-15	122.24	1.36E+02		8.33E+02
N-16	7.13			5.82E-01
CI-38	2234.4			3.15E-01
CI-39	3336	2.33E-01	1.74E+00	1.42E+00

Radiological air emissions require annual submission of data to the Environmental Protection Manager for submission to the US EPA for their annual NESHAP report.

3. (?)Noise

5. (?)Pesticide Use

7. (?) Biohazards

4. (?)Chemical Storage/Use

6. (?) Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)

Small amount of chemicals are used in experiments (< 100 ml). Those samples are usually prepared elsewhere and are returned to the owner after irradiation. Small amount of common solvents are used for cleaning of vacuum equipment and stored on facility in flammable liquid cabinet.

Yes <u>No X</u> Yes <u>No X</u>

Yes <u>No X</u>

Yes <u>X</u> No \_

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No <u>x</u>\_\_\_\_

.

AS0-CX-259

8. (?)Liquid Effluent (wastewater)	Yes	No <u>X</u>
9. (?)Waste Management		
a) Construction or Demolition Waste	Yes	No <u>X</u>
b) Hazardous Waste	Yes	
c) Radioactive Mixed Waste	Yes	
d) Radioactive Waste	Yes	
e) PCB or Asbestos Waste	Yes	
f) Biological Waste	Yes	
<ul><li>g) No Path to Disposal Waste</li><li>h) Nano-material Waste (is any waste generated? If yes add text)</li></ul>	Yes Yes	
10. (?)Radiation	Yes <u>X</u>	No
20MeV linac accelerator can produce ionizing radiation (beta, and gamma energy up to 20 MeV. (I would put something her on how the radiation is e shielding, limited access etc). Rediction is controlled Thun SAD and other relevant Work and Rediction control	- anterallari	
11. (?)Threatened Violation of ES&H Regulations or Permit Requirements	Yes	No <u>x</u>
12. (?)New or Modified Federal or State Permits	Yes	No <u>X</u>
<ol> <li>(?)Siting, Construction, or Major Modification of Facility to Recover, Treat, Store, or Dispose of Waste</li> </ol>	Yes	No <u>X</u>
14. (?)Public Controversy	Yes	No <u>X</u>
15. (?)Historic Structures and Objects	Yes	No <u>X</u>
16. (?)Disturbance of Pre-existing Contamination	Yes	No <u>x</u>
17. (?)Energy Efficiency, Resource Conserving, and Sustainable Design Features	Yes	No_ <u>X</u>
B. For projects that will occur outdoors, complete Section B as well as Se	ction A.	NA
18. (?)Threatened or Endangered Species, Critical Habitats, and/or other Protected Species	Yes	No
19. (?)Wetlands	Yes	No
20. (?)Floodplain	Yes	No
21. (?)Landscaping	Ycs	No
22. (?)Navigable Air Space	Ycs	No
23. (?)Clearing or Excavation	Y <b>c</b> s	No
24. (?)Archaeological Resources	Yes	No
25. (?)Underground Injection	Yes	No

AS0 - CX - 259

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	26. (?)Underground Storage Tanks	Yes	No	
	27. (?)Public Utilities or Services	Yes	No	
	28. (?)Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource	Yes	No	
	C. For projects occurring outside of ANL complete Section C as well as	Sections A	and B.	NA
· . ·	29. (?)Prime, Unique, or Locally Important Farmland	Yes	No	
	30. (?)Special Sources of Groundwater (such as sole source aquifer)	Yes	No	
	31. (?)Coastal Zones	Yes	No	
	32. (?)Areas with Special National Designations (such as National Forests, Parks, or Trails)	Yes	No	
	33. (?) Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type Law	Yes	No	
	34. (?)Class I Air Quality Control Region	Yes	No	
ĮV.	(?)Subpart D Determination: (to be completed by DOE/ASO)			
	Are there any extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?	Yes	No_X_	
	Is the project connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or related to other proposed action with cumulatively significant impacts?	Yes	No <u>×</u>	
·	If yes, is a categorical exclusion determination precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211?	Yes	No	
	Can the project or activity be categorically excluded from preparation of an Environment Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement under Subpart D of the DOE NEPA Regulations?	Yes X	No	
	If yes, indicate the class or classes of action from Appendix A or B of Subpart I project may be excluded. <u>B. 3. 10</u> Openation / main tenant of project			us W,
•	primary beau energy of less than 100 mel.			
	If no, indicate the NEPA recommendation and class(es) of action from Appendi Subpart D to Part 1021 of 10 CFR.	ix C or D	to	
<u>ASO N</u>	EPA Coordinator Review: Ken Chiu			
Signati		109		•

<u>ASO NCO Approval of CX Determination</u>: The preceding pages are a record of documentation that an action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review under DOE NEPA Regulation 10 CFR Part 1021.400. I have determined that the proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion identified above.

Signature: <u>Vin K</u> Peter R. Siebach Acting Argonne Site Office NCO	Date: 12/16/2009
ASO NCO EA or EIS Recommendation: A/A	· · · · ·
Class of Action:	
Signature: Reter R. Siebach	Date:
Acting Argonne Site Office NCO	
Concurrence with EA or EIS Recommendation:	
CH GLD:	Deter
Signature:	Date:
ASO Manager Approval of EA or EIS Recommendation:	
AnEAEIS shall be prepared for the proposed	and
shall serve as the document manager.	$\sim$
Signature: Ronald J. Lutha	Date:

Site Manager