



Advanced Reactor Technologies Program

Fast Reactor Structural Materials

Sam Sham Nuclear Engineering Division Argonne National Laboratory

DOE-NE Materials Crosscut Coordination Meeting

August 17, 2016



- Introduction of Advanced Reactor Technologies (ART) Advanced Materials R&D Program
- Highlight modeling activity of Fast Reactor Structural sub-area



DOE Quadrennial Technology Review, September 2015



- Higher thermal efficiency; lower operating pressure; passive safety features
- Technologies are at various readiness levels, some are quite mature while others are less so
- Various design and operating experience (concepts, test, demonstration, commercial reactors) from the 1940's to the present
 - High temperature gas-cooled reactors
 - Oak Ridge, Peach Bottom, Fort St. Vrain, GT-MHR, NGNP (USA); Dragon, Magnox, AGR (UK); UNGG, ANTARES (France); AVR, THR (Germany); HTTR (Japan); HTR-10, HTR-PM (China); PBMR (South Africa); GT-MHR (Russia)
 - Sodium-cooled fast reactors
 - BR-5/10, BN-350, BN-600, BN-800, BN-1200 (Russia); Fermi 1, S1G, S2G, EBR I, EBR II, FFTF, CRBR, PRISM (USA); Dourreay (UK); SNR-300 (Germany); Joyo, Monju, JSFR, 4S (Japan); Phenix, Superphenix, Rapsodie, Astrid (France); FBTR, PFBR (India); CEFR, CFR-600 (China); PGSFR (Korea)



- Development and qualification of advanced structural materials are critical to the <u>design</u> and <u>deployment</u> of the advanced nuclear reactor systems that DOE is developing
 - High and Very High Temperature Gas Cooled Reactors (HTGRs and VHTRs)
 - Sodium Cooled Fast Reactors (SFRs)
 - Salt Cooled Reactors
 - MSRs (dissolved fuel) & FHRs (solid fuel)
 - Lead and Lead-Bismuth Cooled Reactors (LFRs)
- Structural materials must perform over design lifetimes for pressure boundaries, reactor internals, heat transfer components, etc.



Advanced Materials R&D Activities under Advanced Reactor Technologies Program

Nuclear Energy

- A variety of research and development (R&D) activities in the Advanced Materials area are being conducted to significantly improve
 - Efficiency, safety, performance, and economics of advanced reactor systems
- In addition to the operating temperature range, selection of construction materials for an advanced reactor is critically dependent on the coolant system
 - Due to material compatibility and mass transfer issues
 - Particularly for the lengthy design lifetime desired to reduce the levelized capital cost
- Different construction materials are often required for different advanced reactor systems
- Quality assurance (QA) of data plays a vital role in establishing confidence in the R&D results developed by the ART Program
- Data are generated to the ASME NQA-1 quality level or its equivalent



HTR



Advanced Materials Program Elements Break Down Along Reactor Environments

Nuclear Energy

Advanced Materials R&D

High Temperature Materials

• Technical Lead: Richard Wright, INL

Graphite

Technical Lead: Will Windes, INL

Fast Reactor Structural

Technical Lead: Sam Sham, ANL

Significant Milestones of High Temperature Materials Program







Graphite Program





Fast Reactor Structural Program – Advanced Materials Development

2008 Established Alloy Development Priority List	2009-2012 Alloys Downselection	2013-2015 Intermediate Term Testing to Confirm Enhanced Properties
 Considered a large class of structural materials for further development. Involved 5 U.S. national Laboratories and 5 U.S. universities Considered experience from Fusion, Gen IV, Space Reactor, and development activities in Fossil Ener. Established allo priority list: Ferritic-Martensii Grade 92 (NF6 Grade 92 with th mechanical treatment (TMT) Austenitic stainless steels HT-UPS NF-709 	 Established comprehensive downselection metrics Considered tensile properties, creep, creep-fatigue, toughness, weldability, thermal aging, sodium compatibility, mechanical and TMT processes Integrated R&D activities builties builties and the processes Integrated R&D activities activities and the processes Integrated R&D activities at the processes Integrated activities at the processes Integrate at the proceses at the processes Integrate at the processes<td> Further optimize mechanical and TMT processes Procure larger heate Validate Code Case Gonation campaign planning Development of roadmap for ASME nuclear code cases </td>	 Further optimize mechanical and TMT processes Procure larger heate Validate Code Case Gonation campaign planning Development of roadmap for ASME nuclear code cases



Fast Reactor Structural Program – Materials Design Technology





NEUP Program research activity is an integral part of the R&D portfolio of the ART Materials Program

Active NEUP Projects

Project 12-3541, Accelerated irradiations for high dose microstructures in fast reactor alloys (University of Michigan)

Project 12-3882, Neutron irradiation damage in pure iron and Fe-Cr model alloys (University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign)

Project 13-4791, Mechanistic models of creep-fatigue crack growth interactions for advanced high temperature reactor components (Oregon State University)

Project 13-4900, Corrosion of structural materials for advanced supercritical carbon-dioxide Brayton cycle (University of Wisconsin-Madison)

Project 13-4948, Fundamental understanding of creep-fatigue interactions in 9Cr-1MoV steel welds (Ohio State University)

Project 13-5039, Multi-resolution testing for creep-fatigue damage analysis of Alloy 617 (Arizona State University)

Project 13-5252, Long-term prediction of emissivity of structural material for high temperature reactor systems (University of Missouri)

Integrated Research Project (IRP)

Project 13-5531, High Fidelity Ion Beam Simulation of High Dose Neutron Irradiation (University of Michigan)



Active NEUP Projects

Project 14-6346, Integrated computational and experimental study of radiation damage effects in Grade 92 Steel and Alloy 709 (University of Tennessee-Knoxville)

Project 14-6562, Development of novel functionally graded transition joints for improving the creep strength of dissimilar metal welds in nuclear applications (Lehigh University)

Project 14-6762, Microstructural evolution of advanced ferritic/martensitic alloys under ion irradiation (University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign)

Project 14-6803, Dissimilar joints between 800H alloy and 2¼Cr & 1Mo steel (Pennsylvania State University)

Project 15-8308, Creep and creep-fatigue crack growth mechanisms in Alloy 709 (North Carolina State University)

Project 15-8432, Multi-scale experimental study of creep-fatigue failure initiation in a 709 Stainless Steel alloy using high resolution digital image (University of Illinois, Urbana Champaign)

Project 15-8548, Assessment of Aging Degradation Mechanisms of Alloy 709 for Sodium Fast Reactors (Colorado School of Mines)

Project 15-8582, Mechanistic and Validated Creep/Fatigue Predictions for Alloy 709 from Accelerated Experiments and Simulations (North Carolina State University)

Project 15-8623, Characterization of Creep-Fatigue Crack Growth in Alloy 709 and Prediction of Service Lives in Nuclear Reactor Components (University of Idaho)



New NEUP Projects

NEUP Project 16-10578: Thermal Hydraulic & Structural Testing and Modeling of Compact Diffusion-Bonded Heat Exchangers for Supercritical CO2 Brayton Cycles (Georgia Institute of Technology)

PNEUP Project 16-10714: ASME Code Application of the Compact Heat Exchanger for High Temperature Nuclear Service (North Carolina State University)

NEUP Project 16-10324: Model Calibration-Based Design Methodologies for Structural Design of Supercritical CO2 Compact Heat Exchangers under Sustained Cyclic Temperature and Pressure Gradients (Oregon State University)

NEUP Project 16-10285: Tribological Damage Mechanisms from Experiments and Validated Simulations of Alloy 800H and Inconel 617 in a Simulated HTGR/VHTR Helium Environment (Purdue University)

NEUP Project 16-10732: High Temperature Tribological Performance of Ni Alloys Under Helium Environment for Very High Temperature Gas Cooled Reactors (VHTRs) (Texas A&M University)

NEUP Project 16-10210: Tribological Behavior of Structural Materials in High Temperature Helium Gas-Cooled Reactor Environments (University of Wisconsin, Madison)

FY 2017 New Calls

RC-1 Materials Compatibility for High-Temperature Liquid Cooled Reactor Systems

RC-3 SiC/SiC Composites

Integrated Research Project (IRP) RC-1: Codification of Compact Heat Exchanger Usage for Nuclear Systems

NEUP Project - \$800K over three years IRP on Compact Heat Exchangers - \$5M over three years



Creep Deformation and Fracture Modeling of Grade 91 Steel



Grain boundary and interior material boundary modeling

- Robert Dodds Jr., Emeritus M.T. Geoffrey Yeh Endowed Chair Professor
- Kristine Cochran, consultant

Crystal plasticity modeling

Tim Truster, University of Tennessee

Overall modeling framework

- David Parks, Massachusetts Institute of Technology



- Grade 91 steel is a creep-strength enhanced ferritic/martensitic steel that has been selected as a reference construction material for a number of sodium fast reactor (SFR) designs
 - AFR-100 being developed by DOE and designs from Japan, Korea and India
- Long design lifetime, typical 60 years, reduces the levelized cost of electricity and hence improves the economics of SFR plants
- Desirable to design pressure boundary and core support components that would operate for the entire design life of the plant, without replacement
- ASME Code design allowable stresses depend on design lifetime and operating temperature
- Extrapolation of creep rupture data using a factor of 3X on rupture time is permitted by ASME Code for creep strength enhanced ferritic/martensitic steels such as Grade 91
- For 60-year design life (500,000h assuming 95% plant availability), data with rupture times up to 167,000h are required
- Time-temperature engineering parameter such as Larson-Miller parameter is used by ASME Code to combine data from different temperatures and rupture times to perform extrapolation



Allowable Stresses for 60-year Design Life – Cont'd

- Whether adequate conservatism is retained when extrapolating allowable stress data is a long standing issue that has been considered by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and its Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) as one of the high priority issues that need to be resolved for high temperature reactor system designs.
- An R&D program to elucidate and to understand important features of creep deformation and fracture behaviors through material characterization and modeling was recommended by ANL
- Modeling involves the use of high-performance continuum mechanics simulation tools and the incorporation of mechanism-based constitutive models of deformation and microstructural evolution
- Objective is to corroborate the conservatism of the ASME time-dependent allowable stresses obtained by extrapolation, and to retire this issue before the license application of an SFR design.



Prior austenite

grain boundary

Dislocation

Microstructures and Creep Fracture Process of Grade 91 are Complex

Nuclear Energy



Block

boundary

boundary

- Martensite packets and blocks: grow larger with long exposure times thus increasing austenite grain size
- Laths: grow larger & fewer in number with long exposure as migration leads to absorption of their GBs
- Larger particles/precipitates concentrated on PAGBs and packet/block GBs
- Much smaller, uniformly distributed smaller ٠ particles within laths

Representative Physical Dimensions Before Loading

- PAGs > 20 um
- Packets/blocks 5-15 um
- Lath edge lengths 2-3 um, thickness < 0.5 um
- $M_{23}X_6$ up to several ums w/ elongated shape
- MX carbonitrides much less than a um



From Gupta et al. (2013)

- 3D visualization of reconstructed image of creep voids from synchrotron microtomography and serial sectioning (11%Cr)
- · Showing transition of transgranular to intergranular creep rupture failure and corresponding reduction in creep ductility due to creep voids



Finite Element Modeling Details - Prior Austenite Grain and Packet Boundaries

Nuclear Energy



Interior Boundaries:

- PAG boundaries and packet boundaries are explicitly modeled using cohesive finite elements
- Cavity nucleation, growth and coalescence
- GB Sliding

<u>Cavity growth model</u>: Based on results from coupled GB diffusion and creep deformation models of Rice and Needleman (1980) and Sham and Needleman (1983)



Cavity nucleation Model: Based on a synthesis of literature models. Nucleation rate is driven by a combination of normal traction to the boundary and neighboring creep rate



Finite Element Modeling Details - PAG and Block Boundaries (Cont'd)

Nuclear Energy

<u>**GB Sliding</u>**: Based on a model given by **Ashby (1972)** where the shear stress is proportional to the relative GB sliding:</u>

 $\tau = \eta_b \dot{\Delta}; \ \eta_b \equiv \frac{kT}{8bD_{\rm P}\delta}$

CONCEPTION OF CO

The GB sliding resistance is related to the GB misorientation angle

LAGBs have small diffusion coefficients and thus large η_b ; high angle grain boundaries (HAGBs) have high diffusion coefficients and thus small η_b

3D cell simulations demonstrated that $\eta_b > 10^{12}$ (MPa-hrmm⁻¹) effectively eliminates GB sliding for the grain property values of Grade 91. Values of $\eta_b < 10^{3 \text{ to } 4}$ effectively allow free sliding.

Simple dependence of η_h on misorientation angle adopted for Grade 91, guided by the Ashby η_b model (1972) 1012 $\eta_b = 10^{12} \cdot 10^{-\theta/2}$ 10° 107 $\eta_b = 10^7 \cdot 10^{-0.4(\theta - 10)}$ 20° $\eta_b = -12.86(\theta - 20) + 10^3$ 10³ 10^{2} 0 20 40 60 80

Misorientation angle, θ



Finite Element Modeling Details - Crystal Plasticity Models in PAGs

Nuclear Energy



Mobile dislocation



Grains:

- Dislocation density based crystal plasticity
- Glide and climb dislocation mechanisms
- Statistically stored and geometrically necessary dislocations modeled
- Back stress to account for loading path reversal (e.g., creep-fatigue loading)
- Different crystallographic orientations from PAG to PAG
- Model blocks within PAGs
- Model effects of MX and M₂₃C₆ carbide coarsening



- Grain boundary and interior boundary modeling:
- Develop cohesive elements incorporating GB cavitation and sliding
- Coupled with isotropic material model (power law creep) for the grains to test development

- Crystal plasticity (CP) development and implementation to model grain deformation
- Test (CP) development without introducing GB and interior boundaries

 Integrate both components to study creep deformation and fracture



Preliminary 3D Grain Boundary Model Results (Without Crystal Plasticity)

Nuclear Energy



Fixed properties for these simulations

E = 150,000 MPa $\nu = 0.285$ n = 5 $B = 4 \times 10^{-18} \text{ MPa}^{-n} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ $a_0 = 0.0005 \text{ mm} (0.5 \ \mu\text{m})$ $b_0 = 0.005 \text{ mm} (5 \ \mu\text{m})$ $a_0/b_0 = 0.1, \text{ Porosity} = 0.01$ $D = 1.6 \times 10^{-15}$ $\eta_b = 100 \text{ MPa} \cdot \text{hr} \cdot \text{mm}^{-1}$ Nucleation of new cavities: off

 Model shows a clear primary-like creep effect up to ~600 hours

Free GB sliding

 Strain rate decreases until grain-to-grain contact conditions and shear stress on GBs reach a steady state

Primary creep trend caused by stress re-distribution of high stresses at triple points caused by grain boundary sliding



3D Simulation Results – Video of Deformation







3D Simulation Results – Video of GB Porosity Evolution



- Only GBs become visible
- First shown when $(a/b_0)^2 > 0.5$
- Damaged GBs mostly normal to loading direction (Y)

time (hrs)	Cell strain	# failed GBs
4960	0.010	0
6960	0.015	3
8460	0.020	12
10460	0.030	39
12460	0.050	67
13460	0.080	90
14210	0.120	106

467 GBs in model



Parametric Study on Continuous Cavity Nucleation

Nuclear Energy



Eixed properties for these simulations E = 150,000 MPa $\nu = 0.285$ n = 5 $B = 4 \times 10^{-18} \text{ MPa}^{-n} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ $D = 1.6 \times 10^{-15} \text{ MPa}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} \cdot \text{mm}^{3}$ $\eta_b = 100 \text{ MPa} \cdot \text{hr} \cdot \text{mm}^{-1}$ $a_0 = 250 \text{ nm}$ $b_0 = 60 \ \mu\text{m}$ $(a_0/b_0)^2 = 0.000017$ $N_v(t = 0) = 5000 \text{ cavitites/mm}^3$

Tensile traction increased to 120 MPa on top (+Y) surfaces over 0.5 hrs. Held constant.

 $\dot{N} = F_N \left(\frac{T_n}{\Sigma}\right)^{\beta} \dot{\varepsilon}_e^C$ $\beta \equiv 0; \quad F_N \text{ varies}$ $N_I = 1/\pi/b_0/b_0 = 88$

 F_N/N_I : 0, 1131, 11310, 22619

Detailed results next slides



Cavity Density Measurements





- Measurements reveal clear evidence of cavity nucleation as function of increasing stress/strain levels from inside surface-to-notch root
- Cavity size distributions also measured
- Same order of magnitude of cavity density at location with same triaxiality as uniaxial creep rupture test (at lower temperature and shorter time)



- The interaction of cavity nucleation, growth and coalescence process with grain boundary sliding, and the effect of grain boundary orientation dependence have been extensively studied using the cell model
- Implementation of the crystal plasticity model and optimization of model parameters are ongoing
- The integration of the crystal plasticity model and grain boundary modeling has begun



THANK YOU