

U.S. Department of Energy
Categorical Exclusion Determination
Office of Fossil Energy



CONOCOPHILLIPS ALASKA NATURAL GAS CORPORATION
FE DOCKET NO. 15-149-LNG

PROPOSED ACTION DESCRIPTION: ConocoPhillips Alaska Natural Gas Corporation (CPANGC), a Delaware corporation with its primary place of business in Anchorage, Alaska, filed an application with the Office of Fossil Energy (FE) on September 28, 2015, seeking blanket authorization to export domestically produced liquefied natural gas (LNG) in a volume equivalent to 40 Bcf from the existing Kenai LNG Facility, located in the Cook Inlet near Kenai, Alaska, to any country with which the United States does not have a free trade agreement requiring national treatment for trade in natural gas and with which trade is not prohibited by U.S. law or policy. CPANGC and its predecessors have exported LNG from the State of Alaska for nearly 50 years pursuant to several sequential export authorizations granted by DOE/FE or its predecessor agencies. CPANGC's current authorization expires on April 13, 2016.

CPANGC's application was submitted pursuant to section 3 of the Natural Gas Act and 10 CFR Part 590 of the Department of Energy's (DOE) regulations. No new facilities or modification to any existing facilities at the Kenai LNG Facility are required in order for CPANGC to export from the Kenai LNG Facility as proposed in its application. Therefore, DOE's proposed action is to authorize this export.

CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION APPLIED: B5.7 - Import or export natural gas, with operational changes

For the complete DOE National Environmental Policy Act regulations regarding categorical exclusions, including the full text of each categorical exclusion, see Subpart D of 10 CFR Part 1021.

Regulatory Requirements in 10 CFR 1021.410(b): (See full text in regulation)

The proposal fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D.


To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

[✓] There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

[✓] The proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

Based on my review of the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class(es) of action, the other regulatory requirements set forth above are met, and the proposed action is hereby categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Signature: _____



Date Determined: _____

2/4/16

Mark J. Matarrese, NEPA Compliance Officer, Office of Fossil Energy