Revision 0 September 2015

# LOW-LEVEL WASTE DISPOSAL FACILITY FEDERAL REVIEW GROUP EXECUTION PLAN



This LFRG Execution Plan is approved for use.

10/1/15

Robert Seifert LFRG Co-Chairperson

19/24/15

Mark Senderling LFRG Co-Chairperson

# Contents

Acronyms	5
1.0 Introduction	6
2.0 Purpose	6
3.0 Background	6
4.0 Goal and Objectives	7
5.0 Membership	
5.1 Co-Chairs	
5.1.1. Responsibilities	
5.2 Members	9
5.2.1 Responsibilities	9
5.3 Qualifications, Training Requirements, and Appointment	11
6.0 LFRG Executive Secretariat	
7.0 Support Personnel	
8.0 Interacting Organizations	13
9.0 LFRG Management Processes	
9.1 Self-Regulatory Oversight Process	
9.1.1 Disposal Authorization Statement/Tier I closure authorization	13
9.1.2 LFRG Technical Review Team	14
9.1.3 LFRG Evaluation	15
9.1.4 LFRG Site Member Oversight	15
9.1.5 Annual Summary Report	17
9.1.6 DAS Implementation Onsite Reviews	
9.1.7 LFRG Meetings	
9.2 Task Management	19
9.2.1 Schedule	19
9.2.2 Action Item Tracking Data Base	19
9.2.3 Issue Tracking Data Base	19
9.3 Document Review and Request Process	
9.4 LFRG Notifications	
9.5 Meeting and Conference Call Process	
9.5.1 SEMI-Annual Meetings	
9.5.2 Monthly Meetings	

9.5.3 Voting Process	. 24
9.6 Administrative Record	
10.0 References	. 26
11.0 Attachments	. 26
Attachment 1 - LFRG Document Matrix	. 27
Attachment 2 –Issue Closure Documentation	. 29
Attachment 3 - Mentoring Program and Succession Planning	. 30
Attachment – 4 - Recommendation Memo from Field Element Manager to Deputy Assistant Secretary Example	
Attachment 5 – LFRG Technical Competencies Assessment	. 38

# Acronyms

AEA	Atomic Energy Act
ALARA	As Low As Reasonably Achievable
ASR	Annual Summary Report
AU	Office mail stop designation for all Environment, Health, Safety, and Security
	(EHSS) Offices
CA	Composite Analysis
CE	Compliance Evaluation
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act
СР	Closure Plan
DAS	Disposal Authorization Statement
DNFSB	Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
EM	Office of Environmental Management
EP	Execution Plan
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
FE	Field Element
G	Guide
HQ	Headquarters
EHSS	Office of Environmental, Health, Safety and Security
LFRG	Low-Level Waste Disposal Facility Federal Review Group
LLW	Low-level waste
М	Manual
MonP	Monitoring Plan
MP	Maintenance Plan
NE	Nuclear Energy
NNSA	National Nuclear Security Administration
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
0	Order
PA	Performance Assessment
PMP	Program Management Plan
PSO	Program Secretarial Office
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RWMB	Radioactive Waste Management Basis
SA	Special Analysis
SC	Office of Science
TRU	Transuranic waste
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
UDQE	Unreviewed Disposal Question Evaluation

# **1.0 Introduction**

Section 161 of the *Atomic Energy Act of 1954*, as amended, authorizes the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) to promulgate rules for governing the possession and use of special nuclear material, source material, and byproduct material. DOE Order (O) 435.1, *Radioactive Waste Management*, and DOE Manual (M) 435.1-1, provide the requirements for design, construction, operations, closure and oversight of radioactive waste disposal facilities. DOE utilizes the Low-Level Waste Disposal Facility Federal Review Group (LFRG) as well as other groups at Headquarters and at the Sites to fulfill the self-regulatory oversight requirement.

The LFRG is comprised of federal employees from DOE-Headquarters (HQ), the National Nuclear Safety Administration (NNSA) and Field Elements (FE) with radioactive waste disposal facility responsibilities. The LFRG organization is led by Co-Chairs from the Office of Environmental Compliance<sup>1</sup> and the Office of Disposition Planning and Policy, within the Office of Environmental Management.

# 2.0 Purpose

This Execution Plan (EP) provides guidance for performing LFRG member duties and responsibilities supporting DOE M435.1-1, and subsequent revisions and in fulfilling DOE's Atomic Energy Act (AEA) authority for self-regulation. DOE M435.1-1 and this EP details the framework within which the LFRG performs its regulatory oversight responsibilities. The EP identifies: the qualifications and responsibilities of LFRG members and support personnel; the LFRG regulatory oversight; and that LFRG business is properly conducted and documented. The EP documents and updates the processes and workings of the LFRG to reflect lessons learned and best practices.

This EP will be evaluated annually and be updated, if necessary, by the LFRG Co-Chairs. The LFRG members may also provide input to ensure the responsibilities, duties, qualifications and processes are up-to-date with DOE Orders and direction from HQ management.

# **3.0 Background**

In July 1999, the DOE O435.1, *Radioactive Waste Management*, and associated Manual (M) and Guide (G) were issued<sup>2</sup>. The DOE M435.1-1 establishes the process and roles relevant to the self-regulatory oversight provided by the LFRG for radioactive waste disposal. Prior to construction, a low-level radioactive waste (LLW) disposal facility must obtain a disposal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This office falls within the Office of Site Restoration, the successor holding the responsibilities conferred on the Office of Environmental Remediation in the existing order and manual.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> DOE O435.1 replaced DOE O5820.2A (issued September 1988). Existing disposal facilities, at the time of DOE O435.1 issuance, were given one year to comply with DOE M435.1-1 requirements.

authorization statement (DAS) issued by EM HQ management<sup>3</sup> or other Program Secretarial Offices as appropriate. The manual designates a review panel, LFRG, as the body responsible to recommend whether or not compliance has been demonstrated by a disposal facility and, therefore, whether a DAS should be issued based on a review of the underlying technical basis.<sup>4</sup> The technical basis may include, for example: disposal facility performance assessments (PAs) and composite analyses (CAs), appropriate Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) documentation, and other technical basis documentation (e.g. monitoring plan and closure plan). The LFRG also assesses the continuing compliance of operating DOE LLW disposal facilities with the DAS and the closure of liquid tanks through Tier I Closure Authorizations.

This document replaces and updates portions of existing LFRG guidance and supporting documents. The LFRG Document Matrix, Attachment 1, provides a crosswalk on topics in common between existing LFRG documents and this EP. The matrix shows which portions of existing guidance on LFRG process and administration are superseded by the EP. The technical aspects of the LFRG function covered in existing documents are not affected by this EP.

DOE O435.1 is currently under revision. A new Technical Standard, *Radioactive Waste Management Disposal Authorization Statement Technical Basis Documentation* is also under development. The Technical Standard will update the format, content and review criteria for technical information and analyses needed for compliance with the Manual. This EP will be revised to align with the new requirements of a revised DOE O435.1 and the new Technical Standard when they are updated and approved. When issued, the new Technical Standard and this EP will fully replace and supersede the existing LFRG charter and guidance documents.

# 4.0 Goal and Objectives

Goal: The LFRG provides regulatory oversight, identified in DOE M435.1-1, to confirm that the disposal of low-level radioactive waste in DOE facilities is conducted in a manner that is protective of public health and safety and the environment.

#### **Objectives:**

- Provide site and HQ management with expert technical and regulatory oversight recommendations concerning the design, construction, operation, and closure (e.g. DAS) of low-level radioactive waste disposal facilities, in terms of compliance with the requirements of DOE M435.1-1.
- Establish well trained and experienced LFRG members responsible for the oversight of radioactive waste disposal facility design, construction, operations and closure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A DAS is issued under the joint signatures of the Deputy Assistant Secretaries for the Office of Site Restoration (approve) and the Office of Waste Management (concur). The LFRG confirms the final facility design and the PA design assumption are in agreement prior to issuance of the DAS. See Section 9.1 Self-Regulatory Oversight Process

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See DOE M435.1-1, Section I.2.E(1)(a)

- Establish experienced, qualified, independent review teams, with the necessary technical expertise, to provide high quality peer reviews, recommendations, and reports of DAS technical basis documentation.
- Provide reviews, findings, and recommendations on Annual Summary Reports from DOE radioactive waste disposal facilities regarding continued compliance with the requirements of DOE M435.1-1.
- Confirm the consistent approach and application of DOE M435.1-1 requirements through monthly and semi-annual LFRG meetings for discussing disposal facility status, lessons learned, issues, and action items.

# **5.0 Membership**

# 5.1 Co-Chairs

The LFRG Co-Chairs are federal employees that are appointed by and report directly to the Deputy Assistant Secretaries for Site Restoration and Waste Management or their designees. The appointment documentation will be filed as an LFRG record and contain the individual's biography or resume.

#### 5.1.1. RESPONSIBILITIES

The Co-Chairs are responsible for managing and leading the overall LFRG operation. Organizational responsibilities include:

- establishing and maintaining LFRG membership
- establishing operating procedures and data bases
- conducting meetings
- promoting timely completion of LFRG activities
- informing management of LFRG activities

Maintaining membership includes identifying and recommending prospective LFRG members to site/HQ management as appropriate, regularly reviewing LFRG affiliations to ensure representation of the major affected organizations, and encouraging active participation by members.

In addition, the Co-Chairs are responsible for:

- communicating results of LFRG deliberations to affected sites and to upper-level managers
- determining if issues require full LFRG review
- guiding the preparation of LFRG documentation

- planning and scheduling LFRG meetings and conference calls
- selecting review team leaders
- approving review team members nominated by the review team leader
- approving and recommending documents on behalf of the LFRG
- ensuring that the DAS and technical basis document review schedule is maintained through coordination with LFRG site members
- ensuring that action items, identified during LFRG meetings, are tracked and resolved
- ensuring that key and secondary issues identified during DAS technical documentation reviews are tracked and properly closed

LFRG Co-Chairs are responsible for working with management at HQ and Sites to establish a succession plan to ensure the LFRG continues to have qualified individuals for regulatory oversight. In addition, the LFRG Co-Chairs are responsible for ensuring that training opportunities and mentoring are accessible to support the progression of technical staff and experts in order to ensure that a cadre of qualified and trained support personnel are available to perform various technical reviews across the complex. The LFRG Co-Chairs have established a "mentor" program (Attachment 3) where inexperienced personnel (federal and support personnel) are teamed with experienced personnel to gain the necessary experience to meet LFRG member responsibilities.

# **5.2 Members**

The LFRG members (including alternates) are federal employees from HQ and Field Element organizations with one or more of the following disposal facility responsibilities: low-level radioactive (includes mixed waste), transuranic waste (TRU) and/or CERCLA. Members may also have waste tank closure responsibilities.

The LFRG membership is made up of the following organizations that have radioactive waste disposal responsibilities: Environmental Management (EM), Environment, Health, Safety, and Security (AU), National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA), Office of Science (SC) and Office of Nuclear Energy (NE). Each LFRG member will have an alternate who will assume the member's responsibilities and duties in their absence.

#### **5.2.1 RESPONSIBILITIES**

The LFRG members are responsible for participating in LFRG meetings and other activities as directed by the Co-Chairs. LFRG members are responsible for bringing issues, documents, etc. before the LFRG for discussion, consideration, or voting (see Section 9.5.3, Voting Process). Members should be adequately prepared through document reviews or subject matter expert inquiries for these discussions and to participate when a vote is scheduled. If the LFRG member, because of a highly unusual circumstance, has not adequately prepared for a vote, they will either recuse themselves or request a delay until able to review the necessary information.

A responsibility of the LFRG member, at the request of the LFRG Co-Chairs, is to participate in radioactive waste disposal facility's DAS technical basis document reviews as a review team member. In addition, LFRG members will participate in developing reports and recommendations to management concerning these reviews.

Members are responsible for obtaining commitments from their management to support LFRG time and travel requirements including attending, in person, the annual meeting. LFRG members should notify the LFRG Co-Chairs of any problems or issues in obtaining this commitment.

LFRG members as a body have the responsibility to identify, develop, and implement procedures and documents, as necessary, to carry out their regulatory responsibilities (e.g. LFRG EP). The LFRG approves the following types of documents:

- LFRG procedures/plans
- LFRG Review Team Plans
- LFRG meeting minutes
- Recommendations to the Deputy Assistant Secretaries for Site Restoration (draft DAS, compliance evaluation (CE), Tier I Closure Authorizations, & LFRG candidates)
- LFRG Review Team Reports (only that the report satisfies LFRG review scope)

LFRG members are responsible for alerting management of the responsibility for establishing a succession plan to ensure the LFRG continues to have qualified individuals for regulatory oversight (see Attachment 3).

#### 5.2.1.1 LFRG Site Members

The LFRG site member is responsible for ensuring oversight of disposal operations at their site are being conducted in accordance with Radioactive Waste Management Basis (RWMB). This includes the approved DAS and any other compliance document that may affect the performance of the disposal facility. Site members perform this responsibility by: direct observation, reviews, surveillances, audits, and meeting attendance. They will review the disposal facility's RWMB, including change control processes (e.g. any positive<sup>5</sup> unreviewed disposal question evaluation (UDQE)) to determine if LFRG notification is required (see section 9.4, LFRG Notification). Site members will also review and concur with the site Annual Summary Report prior to Field Element Manager (FEM) or delegated authority submittal of the document to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Site Restoration with a copy to the LFRG Co-Chairs and the LFRG Secretariat. The LFRG site member will notify site management and the LFRG of any issues or discoveries associated with the facility's performance that may significantly impact the DAS and/or associated technical basis documentation (see section 9.4, LFRG Notifications). In this capacity, the LFRG site member serves as part of the regulating body in the field. An LFRG site member may use other resources (federal or contractor not associated with the site) in the performance of their duties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> A positive UDQE is an identified change that results in additional evaluations or LFRG notification.

LFRG site members will ensure that management is aware of the applicable radioactive disposal requirements and conversely ensure that they (LFRG members) are well-informed on the activities and positions of their respective site management and can effectively articulate them in LFRG venues.

LFRG site members are responsible for formally identifying any site related LFRG review schedule need with the LFRG Co-Chairs.

LFRG site members are involved in the internal review of their own site PA/CA and technical basis documents and in presenting the results to the LFRG. However, they cannot participate as a review team member in the LFRG review of their own site. In this case, they will act as a liaison to assist the review team in obtaining the necessary information, facility accessibility, and in interfacing with site technical personnel during the review.

#### 5.2.1.2 LFRG HQ Members

LFRG HQ members perform their DOE M435.1-1 regulatory oversight responsibilities by participating in LFRG reviews, utilizing their particular expertise in: evaluating regulatory issues; participating in monthly conference calls and semi-annual business meetings; and developing recommendations and reports for and to management. LFRG HQ members may use other resources (federal or contractors not associated with the review site) to assist them in the performance of their duties. EM is the office of primary interest in the administration of DOE O435.1 and the lead LFRG organization. However, other organizations have responsibility for radioactive waste disposal management or oversight and are included as LFRG members. Other organizations that have radioactive disposal facilities include: NNSA, SC, and NE. These organizations should have LFRG members representing their respective organizations.

AU is responsible for providing assistance in the review of DOE radioactive waste management facilities, operations, and activities to determine compliance with DOE radioactive waste management, health, safety, environmental, and security requirements. An AU representative serves as an LFRG member.

# **5.3 Qualifications, Training Requirements, and Appointment**

The LFRG Co-Chairs and members will meet the following minimum criteria:

- DOE federal employee
- Bachelor of Arts or Bachelor of Science degree in science, engineering or closely related field or equivalent
- Minimum of 5 years working in the waste management or related field with oversight and/or implementation of DOE M435.1-1 requirements
- Completion of the Technical Qualification Program for Waste Management, Environmental Compliance or Environmental Restoration or an LFRG Technical Competencies Assessment in Attachment 5 within one year of nomination letter acceptance

- Completion of the LFRG Specific Proficiency Checklist in Attachment 3 within one year of nomination letter acceptance
- Completion of a formal DOE M435.1-1 training course within one year of nomination letter acceptance

Note: Members of the LFRG prior to the approval of this EP are not required to meet the qualification, training and appointment criteria.

The respective HQ or FE Manager will formally nominate (see Attachment 4 example memo) a candidate for LFRG membership (includes LFRG member and alternate) to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Site Restoration. The Deputy Assistant Secretary will forward the nomination to the LFRG Co-Chairs requesting a recommendation to approve or not approve the candidate. The approval documentation will be filed as an LFRG record and contain the individual's biography or resume.

LFRG members participating in PA/CA or other technical basis reviews will meet the following requirements:

LFRG Review Team Lead

- LFRG Member
- Meet the LFRG Member Qualifications and Training requirements and
- Participated in at least 2 PA/CA reviews one of which has to be assisting the review team leader

LFRG Review Team Member

- Meet the LFRG Member Qualifications and Training requirements and
- Participated in at least 1 PA/CA review as a trainee

Note: other federal experts, contractors and/or subcontractors not associated with the review site may participate as an LFRG Review Team Member if they have the requisite education, training and experience and are approved by the LFRG Review Team Lead.

# 6.0 LFRG Executive Secretariat

The LFRG Executive Secretariat is appointed by the appropriate HQ manager and is responsible for:

- maintaining the LFRG administrative record including maintaining complete, upto-date files of LFRG meetings, conference calls, and other deliberations provided by the LFRG Co-Chairs
- maintaining the membership roster and review schedule
- making arrangements for meetings, conference calls, and other activities
- maintaining the action item and issues data base provided by the LFRG Co-Chairs
- performing accounting and contracting activities

# **7.0 Support Personnel**

The LFRG may request or contract with federal or contractor personnel not associated with the site under review to support performance of LFRG duties. Training and experience needs will be determined by the LFRG Co-Chairs or LFRG members, as appropriate, on an individual basis.

# **8.0 Interacting Organizations**

When a disposal facility is constructed and operated as an on-site disposal cell for radioactive mixed waste under the provisions of the AEA and other requirements (CERCLA, Resource Conversation and Recovery Act (RCRA), Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA)), there may be a need for coordination between the LFRG and other regulatory groups (e.g. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), cognizant host state agencies and Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)) and the site to confirm all regulatory requirements are met. This coordination is <u>normally</u> by the LFRG site member; however, the LFRG Co-Chairs may provide this coordination as required. The LFRG is only responsible for evaluating compliance with DOE M435.1-1 disposal/closure requirements.

Closure of decommissioned liquid waste tanks requires a performance assessment reviewed by the LFRG and approved by the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Site Restoration with concurrence from the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Waste Management. In addition, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) in consultation with DOE, may review and comment on the PA. In this case, the LFRG site member will participate and have cognizance of any reviews or activities between the NRC and DOE.

# 9.0 LFRG Management Processes

# 9.1 Self-Regulatory Oversight Process

Radioactive waste is generated and disposed at various facilities across the DOE complex. Each site is responsible for establishing and planning the life-cycle (cradle to grave) strategy of waste streams generated at their site. Some sites have the capability of disposing waste onsite while other sites will send their waste to other DOE or commercially operated radioactive waste disposal facilities. Waste that is disposed of at DOE facilities must meet the requirements of DOE M435.1-1, *Radioactive Waste Management Manual*. This Directive implements DOE's self-regulatory authority for managing radioactive waste identified in the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 as amended.

#### 9.1.1 DISPOSAL AUTHORIZATION STATEMENT/TIER I CLOSURE AUTHORIZATION

The DAS is a similar document to the "license" issued by NRC or the "permit" issued by EPA/state regulators from the standpoint that the facility cannot operate until it receives the DAS (including any conditions or limitations). The DAS is approved by the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Site Restoration with concurrence from the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Waste

Management, appropriate PSO, or NNSA, and becomes an integral part of the RWMB. If the facility fails to comply with the DAS requirements, operations may be suspended. The DAS review and approval process is detailed in the LFRG Manual<sup>6</sup>. It needs to be noted that DOE O435.1 is currently under revision. A new Technical Standard, *Radioactive Waste Management Disposal Authorization Statement Technical Basis Documentation* is also under development. The Technical Standard will update the format, content and review criteria for technical information and analyses needed for compliance with the Order. This EP will be revised to align with the new requirements of the revised DOE O435.1 and the new Technical Standard when they are updated and approved. When issued, the new Technical Standard and updated EP will fully replace and supersede the existing LFRG charter and guidance documents.

The DAS is based upon the following approved technical basis documents:

- performance assessment (PA)
- composite analysis (CA)
- closure plan (CP)
- monitoring plan (MonP)
- waste acceptance criteria (WAC)
- maintenance plan (MP)
- disposal change management process (e.g. unreviewed disposal question evaluation (UDQE))

The Tier I Closure Authorization for liquid tanks is similar to the DAS process mentioned above except a WAC is not required and either a waste incidental to reprocessing (WIR) for DOE M435.1-1 activities or a Waste Determination (WD) for activities under the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108-375) (Section 3116) must be approved.

The disposal facility contractor is responsible for developing, implementing and controlling the above DAS/Tier I Closure Authorization documents. The LFRG site member is responsible for reviewing these documents, as appropriate, using available resources to assist in the review, and declaring these documents ready for LFRG review. The sites should follow protocols for correspondence transmittals to DOE-HQ.

#### 9.1.2 LFRG TECHNICAL REVIEW TEAM

The LFRG establishes a review team when:

- the site is seeking approval to construct and operate a new low-level radioactive waste disposal facility or close existing liquid waste tanks
  - o an initial PA, CA and change control process has been developed and
  - the site requests LFRG review
- the site requests LFRG review of a revision to the PA or CA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The <u>LFRG Manual</u> provides guidance for conducting reviews of DOE LLW and TRU disposal facilities' PA and CA in accordance with DOE M435.1-1 and 40 CFR 191.

The LFRG establishes a team of technical experts to review the technical basis documents and present a formal report with any issues and/or recommendations to the full LFRG. This review normally takes approximately 3-4 months to complete including about a week long onsite review. The LFRG Review Team will complete an "Issue Closure Documentation" form (attachment 2) for each key/secondary issue identified. The site will document the corrective action and send a copy of the forms to the LFRG Co-Chairs and Executive Secretariat (see section 9.2.3, Issue Tracking Data Base for details). If possible, the LFRG Review Team will leave a draft report for a site accuracy review at the conclusion of the onsite review. There should generally be an expectation that there will be a period of time following the on-site review where the site addresses and closes as many of the issues as possible. However, if that is not possible, the report will be sent to the LFRG site member as soon as possible after the onsite review for the factual accuracy review. The LFRG site member acts as a liaison to the LFRG review team during this process.

#### 9.1.3 LFRG EVALUATION

The LFRG considers the review team's report, site responses to direct inquiries or to the LFRG review report and any other information necessary to make their recommendation in a Compliance Evaluation (CE) report to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Site Restoration to approve, disapprove, or approve with conditions the award of a disposal facility DAS or Tier I Closure Authorization. To support its recommendation, the LFRG Co-Chairs present the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Site Restoration with the LFRG Review Team Report, the CE, and a draft DAS/ Tier I Closure Authorization. The Deputy Assistant Secretary for Site Restoration decides to either issue the DAS/ Tier I Closure Authorization or require the site to resolve issues that impact the documents. If NNSA or a PSO other than EM is responsible for the disposal facility, the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Site Restoration will forward their recommendation, along with the LFRG Review Report and the draft DAS, for their consideration. The PSO will then approve, approve with conditions, or disapprove the DAS/ Tier I Closure Authorization.

#### 9.1.4 LFRG SITE MEMBER OVERSIGHT

The RWMB, required by DOE M435.1-1, comprises information and documents that define the physical and administrative controls for proper operation of the disposal facility to protect the workers, the public, and the environment. The RWMB consists of both short and long term controls and analyses such as a facility DAS, waste certification programs, facility waste acceptance requirements, low-level waste disposal facility or liquid tank closure plans, performance assessments, composite analyses, safety basis documents, radiological control documents and other facility-specific processes, procedures, and analyses made to comply with DOE M435.1-1. The RWMB is developed by the facility contractor, reviewed by the LFRG site member to confirm compliance with the DAS/ Tier I Closure Authorization and technical basis documents, reviewed by other DOE staff as appropriate, and approved by the DOE FE Manager or designee. Once the RWMB has been approved, the contractor must operate/close the facility within these bounds or constraints<sup>7</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> This assumes that all other DOE requirements have been met.

The LFRG site member is responsible for the regulatory oversight of the contractor meeting the DAS/ Tier I Closure Authorization. Specifically, the LFRG site member is responsible for being aware of any issues that may affect the DAS/ Tier I Closure Authorization including the PA, CA, or other technical basis documents and ensuring these issues are formally evaluated and resolved, as necessary. The LFRG site member performs these regulatory oversight duties by:

- direct observation
- reviews
- surveillance
- attendance at operational meetings

The LFRG site member is responsible for notifying the LFRG when issues arise that either directly affect the DAS/ Tier I Closure Authorization or technical basis documents or have a high potential of affecting the validity, assumptions, or conclusions of these documents.

The facility contractor develops and implements a UDQE or change management process procedure to evaluate any changes or discoveries to facility operations that may affect the DAS/ Tier I Closure Authorization and/or technical basis documents (PA, CA, MP, CP, WAC, and MonP). The contractor informs and/or provides the documentation of the need for additional evaluations (completed positive UDQE or its equivalent) to the LFRG site member for review. The LFRG site member evaluates positive<sup>8</sup> UDQEs or its equivalent results to determine if any notification thresholds (see section 9.4, LFRG Notification) have been exceeded. The LFRG site member is encouraged to utilize other members of the LFRG as "consultants" in making this decision. The LFRG site member then makes a decision to:

- inform the LFRG immediately
- inform the LFRG of the situation at the next monthly conference call, or
- inform the LFRG of the situation during the semi-annual business meeting depending upon the urgency of the situation.

The LFRG site member informs site management and one or both of the LFRG Co-Chairs explaining:

- the current situation
- immediate corrective actions (e.g. suspension of operations) that have been or will be instituted in the short term
- long-term corrective actions (e.g. increasing monitoring activities or frequencies) if appropriate.

The LFRG Co-Chairs will decide if the situation warrants involvement by the full LFRG and/or Deputy Assistant Secretary for Site Restoration. The LFRG Co-Chairs may recommend additional actions for the LFRG site member. The LFRG site member should be prepared to explain to the LFRG the details of the situation or request resources be available to answer any questions that might arise during the discussion. The LFRG may decide:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Positive UDQE is an identified change that results in additional evaluations or LFRG notification.

- that no further action is warranted
- request additional information
- establish a team, not associated with the site, to further investigate, or
- recommend to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Site Restoration that:
  - FEM should be contacted immediately and,
  - Operation/closure at the facility should be limited or cease until the issue is resolved.

#### 9.1.5 ANNUAL SUMMARY REPORT

The disposal site operations contractor has the responsibility to develop an Annual Summary Report (ASR) of disposal facility operations that documents facility compliance with the DAS and DOE M435.1-1 requirements using the format and content provided by the LFRG Co-Chairs. The ASR assessment period corresponds to the government fiscal year (October 1 – September 30) and will be submitted to the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Site Restoration by the Field Element Manager or designee no later than January 31 of the subsequent fiscal year unless an extension is requested by the site and approved by the Co-Chairs in advance.

The contractor will identify any changes to facility design, PA/CA assumptions and conclusions, operations, etc. in the report. The LFRG site member will review and concur with the ASR before the FE Manager or delegated authority sends the ASR to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Site Restoration with a copy to the LFRG Co-Chairs and the Executive Secretariat for review.

The LFRG site member, working with the facility contractor, is responsible for developing and presenting the salient points of the ASR to the LFRG at one of the semi-annual business meetings. The summary level presentation shall follow the standard format provided by the LFRG Co-Chairs. The LFRG site member shall present the information to the LFRG with the assistance of contractor personnel as necessary. The LFRG is responsible for confirming the site is meeting the requirements of the DOE M435.1-1 and other requirement documents (technical standards) based upon the information provided by the LFRG site member. This presentation and discussion will serve as the LFRG ASR review unless the LFRG elects to review the details of the sites ASR or have a subgroup of the LFRG review the site's ASR. These reviews will be documented and may result in the LFRG requesting additional information or resolution of specific issues identified through these reviews.

HQ personnel, with disposal facility responsibility, may also review the ASR as directed by management. This review ideally should occur before the LFRG site member's presentation to the LFRG. The LFRG Co-Chairs consider the input from these individuals and the full LFRG in making a recommendation for continued operations of the facility, imposing limitations/conditions on the facility, or to cease operations at the facility. The LFRG Co-Chairs make their recommendation to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Site Restoration through the drafting of a memo to the respective EM disposal facility FE Manager (or delegated authority) or appropriate PSO. If the Deputy Assistant Secretary agrees with the recommendation, they will approve the memo and send it to the appropriate EM disposal facilities or to NNSA, SC, and NE for facilities under their cognizance for a final decision.

#### 9.1.6 DAS IMPLEMENTATION ONSITE REVIEWS

The LFRG and/or the LFRG site member have the regulatory duty to perform onsite facility reviews of DAS and technical basis documentation implementation<sup>9</sup>.

The LFRG site member may:

- perform this regulatory oversight function
- request other LFRG members to assist, or
- request that the LFRG Co-Chairs sanction a review team of technical experts, not associated with the site, perform this review

These reviews, including any findings and recommendation, shall be documented. The LFRG site member shall include the results of these reviews in the Annual Summary Report.

#### 9.1.7 LFRG MEETINGS

The LFRG normally meets on a monthly basis utilizing conference calls, webinars, and/or video conferencing to facilitate communication. These meetings serve to:

- communicate changes or potential changes to DOE orders
- confirm consistent application of requirements
- inform members of programmatic or administrative issues
- review scheduled events (e.g. PA/CA reviews)
- provide DAS and technical basis documentation review results
- provide action item status
- provide key and secondary issue status
- vote on various DAS technical basis documents or other issues
- discuss any issues or topics of concern of the Co-Chairs or members

The LFRG also has face to face meetings periodically (normally semi-annually) to:

- review and discuss ASR presentations
- address specific topics of interest
- vote on DAS technical basis documentation
- network with other LFRG members
- provide training on various topics to the LFRG members, contractors and subcontractors that support the LFRG

Telephone, video, and/or webinar conferencing are also made available for LFRG members unable to attend face to face meetings although having the LFRG member or alternate from each site attending in person is strongly recommended.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> DOE is currently developing a procedure for performing onsite reviews

### 9.2 Task Management

Three basic tools are used in LFRG operations:

- 1. schedule of upcoming events/reviews
- 2. action item tracking data base to ensure action items identified during LFRG meetings are tracked to closure
- 3. issue tracking data base to ensure issues identified during LFRG DAS technical basis document reviews are tracked to closure

#### 9.2.1 SCHEDULE

A rolling two year schedule for upcoming DAS/ Tier I Closure Authorization technical basis documentation reviews will be maintained by the Executive Secretariat. LFRG site members will provide schedule review dates as soon as possible for proper resource planning. If schedule changes occur, the LFRG site member will notify the Executive Secretariat to make the appropriate changes to the LFRG Schedule.

#### 9.2.2 ACTION ITEM TRACKING DATA BASE

Action items are issues, questions or comments identified during LFRG meetings that are identified as needing a formal response. These items are tracked in the action item tracking data base maintained by the Executive Secretariat. The Co-Chairs will identify the responsible person for completing each action item, assign a completion due date, and ensure the item is included in the action item data base. Action items status will be discussed during the LFRG monthly and semi-annual business meetings. Action items can be closed through discussion as documented in meeting minutes or other documentation as determined by the LFRG Co-Chairs. The action item data base will document the closure response and completion date. LFRG Co-Chairs are responsible for determining if an action item can be closed.

#### 9.2.3 ISSUE TRACKING DATA BASE

DAS/ Tier I Closure Authorization technical basis documentation reviews may result in key and secondary issues<sup>10</sup> that will be tracked and closed to meet the DOE M435.1-1 radioactive waste disposal requirements. These issues and their status are delineated in the site ASR. The LFRG Co-Chairs are responsible for ensuring these issues are tracked in the issue tracking data base until closed and in determining who has access to the data base. Discussion and documentation reviews can be used by the LFRG Co-Chairs and members for determining if an issue can be closed. The Executive Secretariat is responsible for updating the data base including the referenced issue closure documentation.

The FEM or delegated authority will send a formal letter to the Deputy Assistant Secretary documenting resolution of key issues that remain outstanding after issuance of the DAS. Key and secondary issues are closed by formal documentation (Issue Closure Documentation, Attachment 2) from the site to the LFRG Co-Chair(s) and discussed by the LFRG membership. Key/secondary issue closure documentation will include the following:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Key and secondary issues are defined in the LFRG Manual.

- Site name
- Issue number from LFRG Review Report
- Issue Date LFRG Review Report Date
- Owner name of LFRG Site Member
- Title review criteria name (e.g. conceptual model)
- Statement description of the issue
- Basis description of the basis used to classify as a key/secondary issue (e.g. definition of secondary issue plus any additional information)
- Corrective action/resolution detailed description of corrective actions including references of all back-up information and supporting reports and analyses (e.g. revised wording in the PA Section 3.3, Institutional Control, to support the use of 200 yrs. vs 100 yrs.)
- Name of contractor submitting closure documentation
- LFRG Site Member concurrence
- A list of completed corrective action documentation (attach to closure document form)
- Independent verification of closure by the LFRG Review Team Lead, if required
- Approval/disapproval of LFRG Co-Chairs

LFRG Co-Chairs may assign LFRG members or support personnel to evaluate the closure response to key or secondary issues. The responsible individual(s) will provide the LFRG Co-Chairs the evaluation results in a formal document. The evaluation results will be discussed during LFRG meetings, documented in the meeting minutes, and referenced in the issues data base.

# 9.3 Document Review and Request Process

A critical LFRG activity is to develop and implement a formal process for reviewing DAS/ Tier I Closure Authorization technical basis documentation (e.g. PA, CA, CP) and Annual Summary Reports. LFRG site members requesting a DAS/ Tier I Closure Authorization technical basis document review will submit a formal request to the LFRG Co-Chairs. The request will state what document(s) is being submitted for review and any commitments to DOE management or federal/state regulators regarding the document or schedule. Once the request has been received, the review will be placed on the LFRG schedule. The LFRG Co-Chairs will begin the process of selecting a review team leader and members as identified in the LFRG Manual. The review process typically takes approximately 3-4 months to complete.

# 9.4 LFRG Notifications

An important continuing LFRG activity is to review active disposal facilities operations or tank closure to determine whether the technical basis documentation supporting the DAS/ Tier I Closure Authorization, including the conclusions and assumptions of the PA/CA remain current

and valid. This activity is promoted by timely notification from the LFRG site member to the LFRG of changes in design, construction, operation, maintenance, and closure. The notification mechanisms used may include the ASR and presentations by LFRG members during meetings and conference calls. The summaries and presentations will serve as the formal notification documentation. If the LFRG site member determines that the LFRG Co-Chairs should be notified immediately, they should call the Co-Chairs and follow the notification up with an email documenting the notification. The LFRG will examine operational data, review documentation, and other evidence as necessary to determine if technical basis documentation remains current and valid.

Notification to the LFRG Co-Chairs is required if any of the following six review thresholds are triggered:

- 1. Any performance objective violation
- 2. If the new PA or Special Analysis (SA) forecasted dose is more than 50 percent of any DOE M435.1-1 performance objective/measure
- 3. Any fundamental change in the PA conceptual model
- 4. Any fundamental change in the disposal methodology (such as a moving from vault to trench disposal)
- 5. If the new CA or SA dose is now greater than the administrative all pathway dose limit of 30 mrem.
- 6. Disposal of a waste type (hazardous, mixed, transuranic or high level waste) that the facility is not authorized to dispose.

The LFRG site member will identify any review threshold that has been triggered and report the situation to the LFRG Co-Chairs as warranted by the significance of the information. The following are examples illustrating the application of the thresholds:

Notification Threshold Example #1: *LFRG* notification should be immediate if the groundwater monitoring of the radioactive disposal facility at the compliance point indicates a potential realized dose of 5 mrem/yr. beta gamma in the downstream monitoring well which exceeds the 4 mrem/yr. beta gamma groundwater dose performance objectives.

Notification Threshold Example #2: A site develops a Special Analysis on a new LLW stream a generator has requested to be disposed onsite. Once the analysis has been completed, the projected all pathway dose after closure of the facility is 15 mrem which is greater than 50% of the 25 mrem performance objective. The LFRG site member informs the LFRG of the basis for the change at the monthly LFRG call.

Notification Threshold Example #3: The site is revising the current PA and has decided to change the conceptual model by including all the disposal trenches as one footprint instead of using individual trench footprints. The LFRG site member informs the LFRG of the change at the monthly LFRG call and discusses why the site decided to take this approach and the predicted effect of the change.

Notification Threshold Example #4: An operating disposal site performs an SA that evaluates the protectiveness and cost of changing the current disposal containers from carbon steel to stainless steel. The site analysis indicates the change would be consistent with ALARA principles and cost effective. The LFRG site member informs the LFRG of the change at the monthly LFRG call.

Notification Threshold Example #5: The site discovers five additional sources that were not included in the original CA. An SA is performed and the results indicate that the projected dose to a member of the public is now 35 mrem. Since the dose is still below the 100 mrem limit, there is no reason for immediate LFRG notification. However, the new dose is above the 30 mrem administrative dose constraint requiring an ALARA analysis, the LFRG is informed at the monthly LFRG call.

Notification Threshold Example #6: The site discovers that several waste containers, which were disposed in the LLW disposal facility approximately one year ago, were misclassified. The initial characterization classified the waste as LLW; however, a thorough review of the characterization data showed the waste contained sufficient quantities of plutonium to be classified as TRU. Operations at the facility are suspended pending further investigation. The LFRG site member informs the LFRG immediately of the situation and suspension of operations. The LFRG member also informs the LFRG as new information is available and of any correction action that has been or will be completed.

The LFRG site member should provide the LFRG with supporting documentation, if available, when a notification threshold is exceeded. This may include a monitoring report, a positive UDQE, SA, stop work, etc. All DOE and contractor employees have the right and responsibility to stop work if conditions pose a risk to health and safety and/or the environment or if the condition may/does violate a regulation. This stop work does <u>not</u> have to be approved by site management. An example would be disposal of liquids in a facility not approved for that type of disposal.

The LFRG site member should be cognizant of the issue and be able to explain the situation to the LFRG and/or have supporting personnel available familiar with the details of the situation. The LFRG may decide that:

- the change or discovery is insignificant compared to the PA/CA conclusions and assumptions and no further action by the LFRG is necessary, other than documentation in the meeting minutes
- additional detail is needed from the site before a decision can be made
- a review team should be assembled to review the issue or discovery and provide the LFRG with a recommendation, or
- the situation warrants an operational suspension (or other controls initiated) until more information is provided. If the LFRG site member agrees, they will work through site management to suspend operations. If the LFRG site member disagrees, the LFRG will

work through the Deputy Assistant Secretaries for Site Restoration, Cognizant Associate Administrator of the NNSA, or appropriate NE, SC PSO to resolve the issue.

The sites should use the UDQE or change management process in evaluating the significance of the discovery or change. Most cases will probably result in a LFRG site level UDQE review or SA approval by the FE Manager or delegated authority. In these cases, the change will not affect the conclusions of the PA/CA or require a DAS/ Tier I Closure Authorization modification. Changes that trigger thresholds will be reviewed to determine whether revisions to the PA/CA or DAS/ Tier I Closure Authorization may be recommended to the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Site Restoration or Cognizant Associate Administrator of the NNSA or appropriate NE or SC PSO responsible for the facility.

### 9.5 Meeting and Conference Call Process

The proceedings used by the LFRG to conduct business are the semi-annual business meetings and monthly meetings. These meetings will use a combination of face to face, conference calls, video, or webinar communication techniques to ensure full LFRG membership participation. Special proceedings may be called by the LFRG Co-Chairs when significant, time-consuming actions such as discussion of a review team report are required. The procedures for conduct of meetings include:

#### 9.5.1 SEMI-ANNUAL MEETINGS

- 1. The LFRG Co-Chairs will convene at least two face to face LFRG meetings annually for deliberations on key documents such as Annual Summary Reports, DAS technical basis documentation reviews and for training. Meetings may also be conducted at the discretion of the Co-Chairs. These face to face meetings are normally held at a location identified by the Co-Chairs. Video, conference calls, or webinars can be used for individuals who cannot travel to the face to face meetings.
- 2. A meeting agenda, prepared by the Executive Secretariat and approved by the Co-Chairs, will be distributed approximately one month prior to the meeting date. Anyone requesting an agenda item should contact the Executive Secretariat prior to the agenda distribution date.
- 3. Roll call will be taken at each meeting to ensure enough LFRG members are present for a quorum if a vote is necessary. The voting process is detailed in section 9.5.3, Voting Process, if a vote by the membership is necessary.
- 4. The Executive Secretariat is responsible for obtaining a conferencing number, video, or webinar connections and distributing notification to the LFRG members.
- 5. Action items status will be discussed and any new action items will be documented in the minutes and added to the action item data base by the Executive Secretariat.
- 7. The final meeting minutes will be approved by the Co-Chairs and placed in the permanent records of the LFRG web based system where documents are shared and stored (e.g. LiveLink). The minutes are considered approved by the Co-

Chairs when they are placed on the web-based sharing site. LFRG Co-Chairs will determine who has access to meeting minutes.

### 9.5.2 MONTHLY MEETINGS

- 1. Monthly meetings by conference call or webinar are normally conducted for information exchanges, discussion of upcoming reviews, policy changes, review report results, etc. However, the LFRG Co-Chairs may call for ad hoc meetings to discuss specific topics or situations.
- 2. Roll call will be taken at each meeting to ensure enough LFRG members are present for a quorum if a vote is necessary. The voting process is detailed in section 9.5.3, Voting Process, if a vote by the membership is necessary.
- 3. A meeting agenda, prepared by the Executive Secretariat and approved by the Co-Chairs, will be distributed approximately one week prior to the meeting date. Agenda items should be submitted to the Executive Secretariat prior to the agenda distribution date.
- 4. The Executive Secretariat is responsible for obtaining a conferencing number, tele-video, or webinar connections and distributing notification to the LFRG members.
- 5. Action items status will be discussed and any new action items will be documented in the minutes and added to the action item data base by the Executive Secretariat.
- 6. The final meeting minutes will be approved by the Co-Chairs, and placed in the permanent records of the LFRG web-based record system where documents are shared and stored (e.g. LiveLink). The minutes are considered approved by the Co-Chairs when they are placed on the web-based sharing site. LFRG Co-Chairs will determine who has access to meeting minutes.

#### 9.5.3 VOTING PROCESS

LFRG normally votes on the following items with the results of the vote documented in the meeting minutes:

- LFRG Review Team Plans approval
- LFRG Review Team Reports (only that it satisfies LFRG review scope)
- Draft Compliance Evaluations approve draft to be sent to Deputy Assistant Secretaries for Site Restoration and Waste Management
  - Includes corrective actions for key and secondary issues
- Draft Disposal Authorization Statement approve draft to be sent to Deputy Assistant Secretaries for Site Restoration and Waste Management
  - Includes limits and conditions
- Draft Tier I Closure Authorization approve draft to be sent to Deputy Assistant Secretaries for Site Restoration and Waste Management
- LFRG administrative procedures (i.e. LFRG Execution Plan)

A quorum to conduct a vote shall be established by a simple majority of the LFRG voting members (e.g., LFRG has 14 voting members and 8 are available to vote). A voting member is the LFRG Co-Chairs, LFRG member or their designated alternate. LFRG Site members may not vote on LFRG documents pertaining to their site but will be counted in establishing a quorum. The alternate will assume the full duties and responsibilities in the member's absence.

If a quorum is not achieved, the LFRG Co-Chairs may jointly move an action forward by obtaining the votes of the members present and documenting the decision in the LFRG meeting minutes. The Co-Chairs will inform the LFRG members of the action.

A consensus of the membership (i.e. unanimous positive vote) is desired for all actions; however, if a consensus cannot be reached, a two thirds majority of the quorum can move the action forward. A minority position, if identified, will be included in the record. The Deputy Assistant Secretaries and/or Office Directors will be consulted if a two thirds majority cannot be reached.

Voting may be conducted during face to face meetings, via telephone, or video conferences. However, voting by email is acceptable at the discretion of the Co-Chairs if the LFRG members are familiar enough with the subject or issue to make an informed decision.

#### 9.6 Administrative Record

The LFRG will maintain a formal administrative record. The formal records are to be managed by the Executive Secretariat and include the following:

- Digital copies of LFRG correspondence
- Digital copies of DAS/ Tier I Closure Authorization and technical basis documentation including: DAS, CE, PA, CA, CERCLA crosswalk, SA, MP, CP, MonP, WAC, UDQE, Annual Summary Report, LFRG review team plans (including team member credentials) and reports, and other documents that demonstrate compliance with the DOE M435.1-1
- Digital copies of administrative documentation such as: LFRG Co-Chairs and LFRG member credentials and approvals, meeting minutes, LFRG membership list, issue tracking and action item tracking data bases, and work breakdown structure filing system

The LFRG business management process will include a web based platform for documentation storage. The website contains a folder for all LFRG documentation and is broken down into a work breakdown structure for ease of filing and for user access. The system requires individuals to acquire an access identification and password for entry. Contact the Executive Secretariat for more information in accessing the LFRG's documentation filing system. Other web sites that may contain information that could assist the LFRG membership are:

• EM Homepage – <u>http://energy.gov/em</u>. This site has links to directives, other references and requirements, RevCom, news and updates, etc.

• DOE Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment -<u>http://energy.gov/ehss/services/environment/radiation-protection-public-and-</u> <u>environment</u>. This site provides direct access to DOE O458.1, *Radiological Protection of the Public and the Environmental*, as well as access to other guides, tools (Residual Radiation family of codes, Clean Air Act Assessment Package-1988, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants, and Annual Site Environmental Reports) and training related to the radiation protection of the public and the environment from DOE activities.

AU Homepage – <u>http://energy.gov/ehss/environment-health-safety-security.</u> This site has links to environmental reports, sustainability, environmental compliance, worker health and safety, training, tools, National Environmental Policy Act, etc.

#### **10.0 References**.

DOE Order 435.1, Radioactive Waste Management DOE Manual 435.1-1, Radioactive Waste Management Manual DOE Guide 435.1-1, Radioactive Waste Management Low-Level Waste Requirements Guide Technical Standard XXX, Radioactive Waste Management Disposal Authorization Statement Technical Basis Documentation (Draft) LFRG Manual, Rev 3, June 2008 LFRG Program Management Plan, September 18, 2000 LFRG Charter, 2012

# **11.0 Attachments**

Attachment 1 – LFRG Document Matrix

Attachment 2 – Issue Closure Documentation

Attachment 3 – Mentoring Program and Succession Planning

Attachment 4 – Recommendation Memo from Field Element Manager to Deputy Assistant Secretary Example

Attachment 5 - LFRG Technical Competencies Assessment

# **Attachment 1 - LFRG Document Matrix**

This Execution Plan replaces and updates portions of existing LFRG guidance and supporting documents. The table below provides a crosswalk on topics in common between existing LFRG documents and this EP. The matrix shows which portions of existing guidance on LFRG process and administration are replaced and superseded by the EP.

EP Section	EP	LFRG Charter July 1, 2011	LFRG Manual June 2008	LFRG PMP Sept 18, 2000
beetion		July 1, 2011	3 une 2000	50pt 10, 2000
4.0	Goal & Objectives	Objectives		2.0 Objectives
5.1.1	Co-Chair	Membership:	1.1 LFRG	4.1 Co-Chairs
	Responsibilities	Co-Chairs		
5.2.1	Member	Membership:	1.1 LFRG	4.2 Members
	Responsibilities	Members		
5.3	Member		App E, LFRG	
	Qualifications,		Qualifications	
	Training			
	Requirements and			
	Appointment			
6.0	Executive Sec.	Membership:		
	~ ~ ~ 1	Executive Sec		
7.0	Support Personnel			4.3 Support
0.0	<b>-</b>			Personnel
8.0	Interacting			4.8 Interacting
0.0.1	Organizations			Organizations
9.2.1	Schedule			5.1 Mgmt.
9.2.2	A (* T)			Processes
9.2.2	Action Item			5.1 Mgmt.
9.2.3	Tracking Data Base			Processes 5.1 Manut
9.2.3	Issue Tracking Data Base			5.1 Mgmt. Processes
9.3	Doc. Review process		1.7 Rad	
9.5	Doc. Review process		Assessment	App B, Document
			Review Process	Review Process
9.4	LFRG Notification			6.3.1 PA & CA
9.5.1	Annual Meetings	Members,		App D, Meeting
7.5.1	i innuu mooningo	quorum, voting		& Conference
		quorum, voung		Call Process
9.5.2	Monthly Meetings	Members,		App D, Meeting
		quorum, voting		& Conference
		1		Call Process
9.5.3	Voting process	Members,		6.3.2 DAS

		quorum, voting		
9.6	Administrative		2.4 Review	2.6
	record		Administrative	Administrative
			Process	Record
			2.11.2 Final	
			Administrative	
			Record	

Notes:

This Matrix, identifies the information contained in the following documents that has been superseded by this EP: *Low-Level Waste Disposal Facility Federal Review Group Program Management Plan*, September 2000; the *Low-Level Waste Disposal Facility Federal Review Group Manual, Rev 3, June 2008*; and the *LFRG Charter, June 30*, 2011, and Addendum 11/19/12. If any conflict arises between this document and the previous listed documents, this document takes precedence. A new DAS Technical Standard is currently in draft and will supersede the remaining requirements of the above listed documents.

<u>LFRG Charter</u> identifies the purpose, objectives and membership requirements of the group. It was signed by the Director, Technology Innovation and Development, Office of Environmental Management and the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Technology and Regulatory Support.

<u>LFRG Manual</u> provides guidance for conducting reviews of DOE LLW and TRU disposal facilities PA and CA in accordance with DOE M435.1-1 and 40CFR191.

<u>Program Management Plan establishes the LFRG roles and responsibilities, LFRG management processes, and transition from DOE 05820A to DOE 0435.1</u>

# **Attachment 2 – Issue Closure Documentation**

ary
Issue Date:
I
Date:
Date:
and attach):
Date:
Date:
Date:

# **Attachment 3 - Mentoring Program and Succession Planning**

#### Purpose

The purpose of the mentoring program is to ensure that personnel performing LFRG functions are properly trained and equipped to perform those duties and responsibilities. The purpose of succession planning is to ensure resources are in place with the appropriate qualifications and experience to replace LFRG members as they move into other positions or retire.

#### Scope

The mentoring program consists of pairing experienced LFRG members with individuals' assigned LFRG member functions but who do not have all the requisite training and skills to perform all of the LFRG duties and responsibilities. The mentoring program is an important part of succession planning to ensure the LFRG has qualified resources to perform their regulatory oversight role.

The LFRG also utilizes contractors and subcontractors to support LFRG functions in specific areas (e.g. modeling). The mentoring program may be used to supplement the contractors/subcontractors expertise at the discretion of the LFRG Co-Chairs.

#### **Succession Planning**

The LFRG plays a vital role in DOE's self-regulation of LLW, TRU disposed onsite and CERCLA waste disposal. LFRG duties and responsibilities require a well-trained and qualified work force to confirm disposal facilities are meeting DOE M435.1-1 requirements and are protective of public health and the environment. Succession planning is a process to replace individuals, as they move to another position or retire, with another individual who can meet the qualifications to be an LFRG member in a relatively short period of time. The LFRG meets this requirement by having an LFRG member and an alternate. When the LFRG member is not present or has been reassigned, the alternate can perform the duties and responsibilities of the member. The mentoring program is used to ensure LFRG members and alternates possess the necessary training and experience.

#### Process

The mentoring process is a proven method to get individuals to a level of performance that is required to adequately perform the duties of an LFRG member. The mentoring program is designed to be completed within one year, however, past experience and training of the individual may shorten the timeframe at the discretion of the mentor.

The mentoring process consists of:

• An individual being assigned the responsibility to become an LFRG member by management at a specific site or from an HQ organization (e.g. Office of Science, Environmental Management). (See Attachment 4 for an example recommendation memo)

- Once the individual has been approved by a Deputy Assistant Secretary, the LFRG assigns an experienced LFRG member(s), with at least 5 year experience as an LFRG member, to mentor the individual.
- The LFRG Technical Competencies Checklist in Attachment 5, LFRG Technical Competencies Assessment, is used to assess the individual technical strengths and weaknesses if they have not been qualified to one of the following Technical Qualification: Waste Management, Environmental Compliance or Environmental Restoration. This process identifies areas where the mentee may need a training course, self-study or discussion with the Mentor to become proficient.
- The mentor and mentee communicate on a regular basis to discuss technical and LFRG membership activities, roles and responsibilities concentrating on areas that the mentee needs additional instruction.
- The LFRG Specific Proficiency Checklist in Attachment 3, Mentoring Program and Succession Planning, is used to assess the mentee knowledge of the LFRG's: activities, roles and responsibilities; role in DOE's self-regulation authority; management processes and DOE M435.1-1, *Radioactive Waste Management Manual*, disposal requirements.
- Once both checklist are completed and signed by the mentor, the mentee is presented to the LFRG and the checklists filed as an LFRG administrative record as applicable.

#### LFRG Specific Proficiency Checklist

The LFRG Specific Proficiency Checklist is used to document the competencies and tasks necessary to ensure the LFRG member has an understanding of the regulatory duties and responsibilities of the LFRG. The competencies include:

- 1. **Demonstrate a working level knowledge of the LFRG** this competency includes: a clear understanding of the roles and responsibilities of the LFRG at-large and individual LFRG members; qualification and training requirements for LFRG Co-Chairs, members, review team leads and review team members; management process such as PA/CA review schedules, action item tracking; notification requirements; and conduct of and participation in the monthly and annual meetings.
- 2. Demonstrate a working level knowledge of the LFRG's role in DOE's selfregulation under AEA – this competency includes: DOE's role and responsibilities under AEA of 1954, as amended and the implementation through DOE M435.1-1; HQ, Field Element and LFRGs role in the oversight and self-regulation of radioactive waste disposal facilities; and purpose, scope, content and review of:
  - a. Independent reviews of the DAS and technical basis documents
  - b. LFRG Review Team Plan and Report

- c. Disposal sites Annual Summary Report
- d. Independent reviews of DAS and technical basis documentation implementation
- 3. Demonstrate a working level knowledge of disposal requirements delineated in DOE M435.1-1, *Radioactive Waste Management Manual* this competency includes: purpose, scope, content and review criteria for: DAS, PA, CA, CP, MP, MonP, WAC, UDQE, ASR & RWMB.
- 4. **Demonstrate a working level knowledge of the site's disposal facility (site member )** - this competency includes: description and design of the facility; facility's DAS including any limitation and condition; specific technical basis documents requirements; latest Annual Summary Report including operational data versus PA conclusions and major assumptions; purpose, scope and content of the facility's RWMB.
- 5. **Demonstrate a working level knowledge of the following regulations** this competency includes the regulations outside of DOE M435.1-1 that the disposal/closure facilities are required to meet. They include: RCRA, CERCLA, NRC, Clean Air, Clean water, 40CFR191, as applicable

Note: Working level is defined as the knowledge required to monitor and assess operations/activities, to apply standards of acceptable performance, and to reference appropriate material and/or expert advice as required to confirm the safety of Departmental activities.

The LFRG will identify a primary mentor who is responsible for working with the mentee to ensure they have a working level knowledge of each competency and signing the checklist attesting to this fact. Other mentors may be assigned responsibility for working with the mentee on specific competencies or tasks. The primary mentor will coordinate with other mentors to discuss the mentees working level knowledge of the competency or task and initial and date the checklist. This will serve as a progress report for the mentor and mentee.

# LFRG SPECIFIC PROFICIENCY CHECKLIST

Primary Mentor: \_\_\_\_\_

Date Entering Mentor Program: \_\_\_\_\_

Mentee Competencies	Mentor	Primary Mentor Initial and
-		Date
1.0 Demonstrate a working level		
knowledge of the LFRG		
1.1 Discuss the responsibilities of		
the LFRG Co-Chairs		
1.2 Discuss the responsibilities of		
the LFRG Members		
1.3 Discuss the training and		
qualification requirements for: an		
LFRG member; LFRG Review		
Team leader and member		
1.4 Discuss the LFRG management		
process; schedule, action item		
tracking and issue tracking		
1.5 Discuss LFRG notifications		
1.6 Discuss the intent of the monthly		
and annual business meetings		
1.7 Discuss the LFRG voting		
process		
1.8 Participate in 6 LFRG monthly		
conference calls and 1 semi-annual		
meeting		
2.0 Demonstrate a working level		
knowledge of the LFRG's role in		
DOE's self-regulation under AEA		
2.1 Discuss DOE's responsibilities		
under the AEA of 1954 as amended		
and how DOE M435.1-1 implements		
those responsibilities for radioactive		
<ul><li>waste management</li><li>2.2 Discuss the self-regulatory role</li></ul>		
2.2 Discuss the sen-regulatory fole		

and responsibilities as defined in	
÷	
DOE M435.1-1 of: HQ, Field	
Element & LFRG	
2.3 Discuss the review of the DAS &	
associated Technical Basis	
Documents and establishment of	
review teams	
2.4 Discuss the purpose, scope and	
content of LFRG Review Team Plan	
and Report	
2.5 Discuss the oversight role of the	
LFRG site member for onsite	
disposal facilities; including the	
importance and implementation of	
the radioactive waste management	
basis (RWMB)	
2.6 Discuss the interface and	
notification requirements between	
the LFRG, LFRG site member and	
management	
2.7 Discuss the purpose and review	
of Annual summary Report	
· · ·	
2.8 Discuss the purpose and scope of	
DAS and technical basis document	
implementation reviews (after initial	
issuance or revision to DAS or	
technical basis documents)	
3.0 Demonstrate a working level	
knowledge of disposal requirements	
delineated in DOE M435.1-1,	
Radioactive Waste Management	
3.1 Discuss the purpose, scope and	
content of the Disposal	
Authorization Statement	
3.2 Discuss the purpose, scope and	
content requirements of a	
Performance Assessment	
3.3 Discuss the purpose, scope and	
content requirements of a Composite	
Analysis	
3.4 Discuss the purpose, scope and	
content requirements of a Closure	
Plan	
r Iali	

2.5 Discuss the purpose scope and	
3.5 Discuss the purpose, scope and	
content requirements of a	
Maintenance Plan	
3.6 Discuss the purpose, scope and	
content requirements of a	
Monitoring Plan	 
3.7 Discuss the purpose, scope and	
content requirements of an	
unreviewed disposal question	
evaluation or change management	
process	
3.8 Discuss the purpose, scope and	
content requirements of waste	
acceptance criteria	
3.9 Discuss the purpose, scope and	
content requirements of a radioactive	
waste management basis (RWMB)	
3.10 Visit one other disposal facility	
3.11 Participate or observe in one	
onsite PA/CA review	
4.0 Demonstrate a working level	
knowledge of the site's disposal	
Knowledge of the site subposal	
<b>U</b>	
facility (site member )	
facility (site member )	
facility (site member )         4.1 Discuss facility description,	
facility (site member )4.1 Discuss facility description, design and operations	
facility (site member )4.1 Discuss facility description, design and operations4.2 Discuss the disposal facility's	
<ul> <li>facility (site member )</li> <li>4.1 Discuss facility description, design and operations</li> <li>4.2 Discuss the disposal facility's DAS including the requirements,</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>facility (site member )</li> <li>4.1 Discuss facility description, design and operations</li> <li>4.2 Discuss the disposal facility's DAS including the requirements, conditions and limitations</li> </ul>	
facility (site member )4.1 Discuss facility description, design and operations4.2 Discuss the disposal facility's DAS including the requirements, conditions and limitations4.3 Discuss the disposal facility's	
<ul> <li>facility (site member )</li> <li>4.1 Discuss facility description, design and operations</li> <li>4.2 Discuss the disposal facility's DAS including the requirements, conditions and limitations</li> <li>4.3 Discuss the disposal facility's technical basis documents</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>facility (site member )</li> <li>4.1 Discuss facility description, design and operations</li> <li>4.2 Discuss the disposal facility's DAS including the requirements, conditions and limitations</li> <li>4.3 Discuss the disposal facility's technical basis documents</li> <li>4.4 Discuss the latest disposal</li> </ul>	
facility (site member )4.1 Discuss facility description, design and operations4.2 Discuss the disposal facility's DAS including the requirements, conditions and limitations4.3 Discuss the disposal facility's technical basis documents4.4 Discuss the latest disposal facility's Annual Summary Report	
<ul> <li>facility (site member )</li> <li>4.1 Discuss facility description, design and operations</li> <li>4.2 Discuss the disposal facility's DAS including the requirements, conditions and limitations</li> <li>4.3 Discuss the disposal facility's technical basis documents</li> <li>4.4 Discuss the latest disposal facility's Annual Summary Report</li> <li>4.5 Discuss disposal facility's</li> </ul>	
facility (site member )4.1 Discuss facility description, design and operations4.2 Discuss the disposal facility's DAS including the requirements, conditions and limitations4.3 Discuss the disposal facility's technical basis documents4.4 Discuss the latest disposal facility's Annual Summary Report	
<ul> <li>facility (site member )</li> <li>4.1 Discuss facility description, design and operations</li> <li>4.2 Discuss the disposal facility's DAS including the requirements, conditions and limitations</li> <li>4.3 Discuss the disposal facility's technical basis documents</li> <li>4.4 Discuss the latest disposal facility's Annual Summary Report</li> <li>4.5 Discuss disposal facility's</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>facility (site member )</li> <li>4.1 Discuss facility description, design and operations</li> <li>4.2 Discuss the disposal facility's DAS including the requirements, conditions and limitations</li> <li>4.3 Discuss the disposal facility's technical basis documents</li> <li>4.4 Discuss the latest disposal facility's Annual Summary Report</li> <li>4.5 Discuss disposal facility's</li> </ul>	
facility (site member )4.1 Discuss facility description, design and operations4.2 Discuss the disposal facility's DAS including the requirements, conditions and limitations4.3 Discuss the disposal facility's technical basis documents4.4 Discuss the latest disposal facility's Annual Summary Report4.5 Discuss disposal facility's RWMB	
<ul> <li>facility (site member )</li> <li>4.1 Discuss facility description, design and operations</li> <li>4.2 Discuss the disposal facility's DAS including the requirements, conditions and limitations</li> <li>4.3 Discuss the disposal facility's technical basis documents</li> <li>4.4 Discuss the latest disposal facility's Annual Summary Report</li> <li>4.5 Discuss disposal facility's RWMB</li> <li>5.0 Demonstrate a working level</li> </ul>	
facility (site member )4.1 Discuss facility description, design and operations4.2 Discuss the disposal facility's DAS including the requirements, conditions and limitations4.3 Discuss the disposal facility's technical basis documents4.4 Discuss the latest disposal facility's Annual Summary Report4.5 Discuss disposal facility's RWMB5.0 Demonstrate a working level knowledge of the following	
facility (site member )4.1 Discuss facility description, design and operations4.2 Discuss the disposal facility's DAS including the requirements, conditions and limitations4.3 Discuss the disposal facility's technical basis documents4.4 Discuss the latest disposal facility's Annual Summary Report4.5 Discuss disposal facility's RWMB5.0 Demonstrate a working level knowledge of the following	
facility (site member )4.1 Discuss facility description, design and operations4.2 Discuss the disposal facility's DAS including the requirements, conditions and limitations4.3 Discuss the disposal facility's 	
facility (site member )4.1 Discuss facility description, design and operations4.2 Discuss the disposal facility's DAS including the requirements, conditions and limitations4.3 Discuss the disposal facility's technical basis documents4.4 Discuss the latest disposal facility's Annual Summary Report4.5 Discuss disposal facility's RWMB5.0 Demonstrate a working level knowledge of the following Regulations:5.1 RCRA 5.2 CERCLA	
facility (site member )4.1 Discuss facility description, design and operations4.2 Discuss the disposal facility's DAS including the requirements, conditions and limitations4.3 Discuss the disposal facility's technical basis documents4.4 Discuss the latest disposal facility's Annual Summary Report4.5 Discuss disposal facility's RWMB5.0 Demonstrate a working level knowledge of the following Regulations:5.1 RCRA 5.2 CERCLA5.3 Clean Water Act	
facility (site member )4.1 Discuss facility description, design and operations4.2 Discuss the disposal facility's DAS including the requirements, conditions and limitations4.3 Discuss the disposal facility's technical basis documents4.4 Discuss the latest disposal facility's Annual Summary Report4.5 Discuss disposal facility's RWMB5.0 Demonstrate a working level knowledge of the following Regulations:5.1 RCRA 5.2 CERCLA	

(Mentees name) has successfully completed the LFRG mentor program.

Mentor's signature and date

## Attachment – 4 - Recommendation Memo from Field Element Manager to Deputy Assistant Secretary Example

To: Deputy Assistant Secretary for Site Restoration

From: Manager, Site X Operation Office

Subject: Low Level Waste Disposal Facility Federal Review Group (LFRG) Member Recommendation

I am nominating John Disposal as the Site X LFRG member for your consideration. Mr. Disposal meets or exceeds all the qualifications listed in the LFRG Execution Plan. His qualifications are:

- "X" years as a DOE federal employee
- A BS degree in Chemical Engineering
- "X" years of experience in low-level waste management with:
  - "X" years of experience implementing DOE M435.1-1 requirements
  - "X" years of experience developing, reviewing, or approving Disposal Authorization Statement technical basis documents under the direction of Mr. Waste an LFRG member
- Qualified for the past "X" years in Technical Qualification Program for Waste Management
- Completed a formal DOE M435.1-1 training course

Mr. Disposal has not completed the LFRG Mentor Program, however, with his background and experience he should complete the program in a minimum amount of time. Mr. Disposal will be a valuable asset to the Department and the LFRG.

Manager, Site X Operations Office

Cc: LFRG Co-Chairs Executive Secretariat

# **Attachment 5 – LFRG Technical Competencies Assessment**

#### Purpose

The purpose of assessing LFRG candidate (includes Co-Chair) technical competencies is to ensure that personnel performing LFRG functions possess the appropriate technical competencies to perform those duties and responsibilities.

#### Scope

This assessment applies to all LFRG candidates. All members of the LFRG are required to possess a minimum set of technical competencies in order to serve on the LFRG. It is also expected that the technical competencies of all LFRG candidates will be verified by appropriate personnel prior to being nominated to serve on the LFRG.

#### Process

LFRG candidates that have been qualified per any of the following technical qualification standards shall be considered qualified to serve on the LFRG:

- ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE FUNCTIONAL AREA QUALIFICATION STANDARD (DOE-STD-1156)
- ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION FUNCTIONAL AREA QUALIFICATION STANDARD (DOE-STD-1157)
- WASTE MANAGEMENT FUNCTIONAL AREA QUALIFICATION STANDARD (DOE-STD-1159)

LFRG candidates may also be considered qualified by completion of the LFRG Technical Competencies Checklist at the end of this attachment.

### LFRG Technical Competencies Assessment Checklist

In lieu of documented qualification to any of the following standards (DOE-STD-1156, DOE-STD-1157 or DOE-STD 1159), the LFRG Technical Competencies Assessment Checklist provides a list of the technical subject matter competencies required for LFRG membership and examples of the knowledge and skills associated with each competency. Some competencies may be considered complete by: verifying successful completion of a college level course identified on the individual's college transcript; or successful completion of a DOE approved training course.

LFRG members will have a familiarity level of competency in all technical subject matter areas, as a minimum, and at least a working level of competency in at least two technical subject matter areas from the LFRG Technical Competencies Assessment Checklist. Verification of the LFRG candidate competencies is required by one or more of the following:

- Immediate supervisor
- LFRG member
- Qualifying individual

The competencies are identified as: familiarity level; working level, or expert level of knowledge; or they require the individual to demonstrate the ability to perform a task or activity. These levels are defined as follows:

- Familiarity level is defined as basic knowledge of or exposure to the subject or process adequate to discuss the subject or process with individuals of greater knowledge.
- Working level is defined as the knowledge required to monitor and assess operations/activities, to apply standards of acceptable performance, and to reference appropriate material and/or expert advice as required to confirm the safety of Departmental activities.
- Expert level is defined as comprehensive, intensive knowledge of the subject or process sufficient to provide advice in the absence of procedural guidance.
- Demonstrate the ability is defined as the actual performance of a task or activity accordance with policy, procedures, guidelines, and/or accepted industry or Department practices.

### LFRG TECHNICAL COMPETENCIES

#### ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST

Mentee Name:		
wientee manie.		

Competencies verified by: \_\_\_\_\_

Check one: Immediate supervisor \_\_\_\_ LFRG member \_\_\_\_ Qualifying individual \_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Competencies		
Qualified in one of the following DOE		
Technical Qualifications		
Waste Management		
Environmental Compliance		
Environmental Restoration		
1.0 Chemistry fundamentals	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
1.1 Discuss the following types of		
chemical bonds: ionic, covalent,		
metallic		
1.2 Discuss how elements combine to		
form chemical compounds		
1.3 Define the following terms:		
mixture, solvent, solubility, solute,		
solution, equilibrium, density, molarity,		
parts per million (ppm), acid, base, salt,		
рН		
Note: this competency may be verified by a		
college transcript showing successful		
completion of a college level chemistry		
course.		
2.0 Probability and statistics	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
	Familianty/ working/Expert	vermeu by. (mitials)
2.1 Define the following terms: mean,		
variance, standard deviation of the		
mean, median, mode, standard		
deviation, nonparametric		
deviation, nonparametric		

2.2 Explain the structure and function		
of distributions		
2.3 Discuss how to calculate the		
mathematical mean of a data set		
2.4 Discuss how to calculate the		
standard deviation of a data set		
2.5 Discuss how to calculate the		
probability of an event		
2.6 Discuss how samples are used to		
estimate population parameters through		
statistical inference		
2.7 Discuss Type I and Type II decision		
errors and the relationship to sampling		
and confidence levels		
2.8 Discuss the similarities and		
differences between probabilistic and		
deterministic analyses		
2.9 Discuss uncertainty and sensitivity		
analyses		
Note: this competency may be verified by a		
college transcript showing successful		
completion of a college level statistic course.		
3.0 Basic principles and concepts of	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
3.0 Basic principles and concepts of hydrology, geology and soil science	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
hydrology, geology and soil science	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
hydrology, geology and soil science	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
hydrology, geology and soil science 3.1 Discuss the different soil textures	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
hydrology, geology and soil science 3.1 Discuss the different soil textures (compositions) and soil structures	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
hydrology, geology and soil science 3.1 Discuss the different soil textures (compositions) and soil structures 3.2 Discuss humus and its role in	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
<ul> <li>hydrology, geology and soil science</li> <li>3.1 Discuss the different soil textures (compositions) and soil structures</li> <li>3.2 Discuss humus and its role in chemical reactions in soil</li> </ul>	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
<ul> <li>hydrology, geology and soil science</li> <li>3.1 Discuss the different soil textures (compositions) and soil structures</li> <li>3.2 Discuss humus and its role in chemical reactions in soil</li> <li>3.3 Discuss erosion and describe the</li> </ul>	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
hydrology, geology and soil science 3.1 Discuss the different soil textures (compositions) and soil structures 3.2 Discuss humus and its role in chemical reactions in soil 3.3 Discuss erosion and describe the characteristics and effects of water and	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
hydrology, geology and soil science 3.1 Discuss the different soil textures (compositions) and soil structures 3.2 Discuss humus and its role in chemical reactions in soil 3.3 Discuss erosion and describe the characteristics and effects of water and wind erosion 3.4 Describe the following processes	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
<ul> <li>hydrology, geology and soil science</li> <li>3.1 Discuss the different soil textures (compositions) and soil structures</li> <li>3.2 Discuss humus and its role in chemical reactions in soil</li> <li>3.3 Discuss erosion and describe the characteristics and effects of water and wind erosion</li> <li>3.4 Describe the following processes and explain how water and soil interact</li> </ul>	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
<ul> <li>hydrology, geology and soil science</li> <li>3.1 Discuss the different soil textures (compositions) and soil structures</li> <li>3.2 Discuss humus and its role in chemical reactions in soil</li> <li>3.3 Discuss erosion and describe the characteristics and effects of water and wind erosion</li> <li>3.4 Describe the following processes and explain how water and soil interact in each: infiltration and percolation,</li> </ul>	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
hydrology, geology and soil science 3.1 Discuss the different soil textures (compositions) and soil structures 3.2 Discuss humus and its role in chemical reactions in soil 3.3 Discuss erosion and describe the characteristics and effects of water and wind erosion 3.4 Describe the following processes and explain how water and soil interact in each: infiltration and percolation, groundwater recharge, runoff,	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
<ul> <li>hydrology, geology and soil science</li> <li>3.1 Discuss the different soil textures (compositions) and soil structures</li> <li>3.2 Discuss humus and its role in chemical reactions in soil</li> <li>3.3 Discuss erosion and describe the characteristics and effects of water and wind erosion</li> <li>3.4 Describe the following processes and explain how water and soil interact in each: infiltration and percolation, groundwater recharge, runoff, evapotranspiration</li> </ul>	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
<ul> <li>hydrology, geology and soil science</li> <li>3.1 Discuss the different soil textures (compositions) and soil structures</li> <li>3.2 Discuss humus and its role in chemical reactions in soil</li> <li>3.3 Discuss erosion and describe the characteristics and effects of water and wind erosion</li> <li>3.4 Describe the following processes and explain how water and soil interact in each: infiltration and percolation, groundwater recharge, runoff, evapotranspiration</li> <li>3.5 Discuss how soil characteristics,</li> </ul>	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
<ul> <li>hydrology, geology and soil science</li> <li>3.1 Discuss the different soil textures (compositions) and soil structures</li> <li>3.2 Discuss humus and its role in chemical reactions in soil</li> <li>3.3 Discuss erosion and describe the characteristics and effects of water and wind erosion</li> <li>3.4 Describe the following processes and explain how water and soil interact in each: infiltration and percolation, groundwater recharge, runoff, evapotranspiration</li> <li>3.5 Discuss how soil characteristics, slope factors, and land cover conditions</li> </ul>	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
<ul> <li>hydrology, geology and soil science</li> <li>3.1 Discuss the different soil textures (compositions) and soil structures</li> <li>3.2 Discuss humus and its role in chemical reactions in soil</li> <li>3.3 Discuss erosion and describe the characteristics and effects of water and wind erosion</li> <li>3.4 Describe the following processes and explain how water and soil interact in each: infiltration and percolation, groundwater recharge, runoff, evapotranspiration</li> <li>3.5 Discuss how soil characteristics, slope factors, and land cover conditions impact the detachment and transport</li> </ul>	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
<ul> <li>hydrology, geology and soil science</li> <li>3.1 Discuss the different soil textures (compositions) and soil structures</li> <li>3.2 Discuss humus and its role in chemical reactions in soil</li> <li>3.3 Discuss erosion and describe the characteristics and effects of water and wind erosion</li> <li>3.4 Describe the following processes and explain how water and soil interact in each: infiltration and percolation, groundwater recharge, runoff, evapotranspiration</li> <li>3.5 Discuss how soil characteristics, slope factors, and land cover conditions impact the detachment and transport processes of pollution</li> </ul>	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
hydrology, geology and soil science3.1 Discuss the different soil textures(compositions) and soil structures3.2 Discuss humus and its role in chemical reactions in soil3.3 Discuss erosion and describe the characteristics and effects of water and wind erosion3.4 Describe the following processes and explain how water and soil interact in each: infiltration and percolation, groundwater recharge, runoff, evapotranspiration3.5 Discuss how soil characteristics, slope factors, and land cover conditions impact the detachment and transport processes of pollution3.6 Discuss pollutant loading and the	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
<ul> <li>hydrology, geology and soil science</li> <li>3.1 Discuss the different soil textures (compositions) and soil structures</li> <li>3.2 Discuss humus and its role in chemical reactions in soil</li> <li>3.3 Discuss erosion and describe the characteristics and effects of water and wind erosion</li> <li>3.4 Describe the following processes and explain how water and soil interact in each: infiltration and percolation, groundwater recharge, runoff, evapotranspiration</li> <li>3.5 Discuss how soil characteristics, slope factors, and land cover conditions impact the detachment and transport processes of pollution</li> </ul>	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)

3.8 Discuss the cation and anion		
exchange capacity of soils		
3.9 Describe the hydrologic cycle		
3.10 Define the following hydrologic		
terms and describe the relationships		
between them: stream flow,		
evaporation, transpiration,		
sedimentation, capillary water, zone of		
saturation, specific yield, hydraulic		
conductivity, transmissivity, vadose		
zone, mass curve, frequency analysis,		
watershed,		
3.11 Discuss the composition and		
identification of the following types of		
rocks and cite examples of each:		
igneous, sedimentary, metamorphic		
3.12 Describe the geometry and		
properties of the following rock		
structures or features: folds, faults,		
structural discontinuities, residual		
stress, sheet joints, structural		
discontinuities, shear strength of		
discontinuities		
3.13 Discuss the use of geological and		
geotechnical maps		
3.14 Describe the geologic		
considerations, criteria and procedures		
used to evaluate the following: relief,		
slope stability, flood plains, karst		
terrain		
3.15 Discuss weathering and its		
significance in geotechnical		
engineering		
3.16 Discuss tests that assess		
weatherability		
3.17 Discuss the process for		
interpreting rock cores		
3.18 Describe how different soil types		
can affect contaminant transport		
3.19 Describe the effect partition		
coefficients can have on contaminant		
transport		
Note: this competency may be verified by a		
college transcript showing successful		
completion of a college level hydrology,		
geology and soil science course.		
4.0 Basic principles of meteorology	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)

4.1 Discuss the metaorological		
4.1 Discuss the meteorological conditions associated with the		
occurrence of maximum ground level		
concentrations for elevated releases of		
pollution, and for ground releases		
4.2 Describe the classes of atmospheric		
stability in the atmospheric dispersion		
system developed by Pasquill, Gifford		
and Turner		
4.3 Describe the role of lapse rate in		
determining dispersion coefficients		
4.4 Describe how buildings and terrain		
_		
affect the diffusion of gases		
4.5 Describe the most important		
parameters that affect the calculation of		
dose from an airborne radioactive		
plume		
4.6 Describe the kind of information		
given by a wind rose		
Note: this competency may be verified by a		
college transcript showing successful		
completion of a college level meteorology		
course.		
5.0 Decis terms and concents of	Equilibrity/W/oulding/Expost	Varified has (initials)
5.0 Basic terms and concepts of	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
5.0 Basic terms and concepts of environmental biology	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
environmental biology	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
environmental biology 5.1 Define the following terms:	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
<ul><li>environmental biology</li><li>5.1 Define the following terms:</li><li>ecosystem, biota, community, habitat,</li></ul>	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
environmental biology 5.1 Define the following terms:	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
<ul><li>environmental biology</li><li>5.1 Define the following terms:</li><li>ecosystem, biota, community, habitat,</li></ul>	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
<ul> <li>environmental biology</li> <li>5.1 Define the following terms: ecosystem, biota, community, habitat, species, pathways analysis,</li> </ul>	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
environmental biology 5.1 Define the following terms: ecosystem, biota, community, habitat, species, pathways analysis, bioaccumulation, bioconcentration, biotoxicity, biodiversity, population,	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
environmental biology 5.1 Define the following terms: ecosystem, biota, community, habitat, species, pathways analysis, bioaccumulation, bioconcentration, biotoxicity, biodiversity, population, threatened and endangered species,	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
environmental biology 5.1 Define the following terms: ecosystem, biota, community, habitat, species, pathways analysis, bioaccumulation, bioconcentration, biotoxicity, biodiversity, population, threatened and endangered species, allotmetric relationships, dose rate,	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
environmental biology 5.1 Define the following terms: ecosystem, biota, community, habitat, species, pathways analysis, bioaccumulation, bioconcentration, biotoxicity, biodiversity, population, threatened and endangered species, allotmetric relationships, dose rate, radioecology, conceptual model,	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
environmental biology 5.1 Define the following terms: ecosystem, biota, community, habitat, species, pathways analysis, bioaccumulation, bioconcentration, biotoxicity, biodiversity, population, threatened and endangered species, allotmetric relationships, dose rate, radioecology, conceptual model, ecological risk assessment, radiation	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
environmental biology 5.1 Define the following terms: ecosystem, biota, community, habitat, species, pathways analysis, bioaccumulation, bioconcentration, biotoxicity, biodiversity, population, threatened and endangered species, allotmetric relationships, dose rate, radioecology, conceptual model, ecological risk assessment, radiation effects of biota, ecological benchmarks	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
environmental biology 5.1 Define the following terms: ecosystem, biota, community, habitat, species, pathways analysis, bioaccumulation, bioconcentration, biotoxicity, biodiversity, population, threatened and endangered species, allotmetric relationships, dose rate, radioecology, conceptual model, ecological risk assessment, radiation effects of biota, ecological benchmarks 5.2 Define synergism and discuss our	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
environmental biology 5.1 Define the following terms: ecosystem, biota, community, habitat, species, pathways analysis, bioaccumulation, bioconcentration, biotoxicity, biodiversity, population, threatened and endangered species, allotmetric relationships, dose rate, radioecology, conceptual model, ecological risk assessment, radiation effects of biota, ecological benchmarks 5.2 Define synergism and discuss our ability to quantify cause and effect	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
<ul> <li>environmental biology</li> <li>5.1 Define the following terms: ecosystem, biota, community, habitat, species, pathways analysis, bioaccumulation, bioconcentration, biotoxicity, biodiversity, population, threatened and endangered species, allotmetric relationships, dose rate, radioecology, conceptual model, ecological risk assessment, radiation effects of biota, ecological benchmarks</li> <li>5.2 Define synergism and discuss our ability to quantify cause and effect relationship for multiple chemical and</li> </ul>	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
<ul> <li>environmental biology</li> <li>5.1 Define the following terms: ecosystem, biota, community, habitat, species, pathways analysis, bioaccumulation, bioconcentration, biotoxicity, biodiversity, population, threatened and endangered species, allotmetric relationships, dose rate, radioecology, conceptual model, ecological risk assessment, radiation effects of biota, ecological benchmarks</li> <li>5.2 Define synergism and discuss our ability to quantify cause and effect relationship for multiple chemical and radiological stressors to biota</li> </ul>	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
environmental biology 5.1 Define the following terms: ecosystem, biota, community, habitat, species, pathways analysis, bioaccumulation, bioconcentration, biotoxicity, biodiversity, population, threatened and endangered species, allotmetric relationships, dose rate, radioecology, conceptual model, ecological risk assessment, radiation effects of biota, ecological benchmarks 5.2 Define synergism and discuss our ability to quantify cause and effect relationship for multiple chemical and radiological stressors to biota 5.3 Discuss spatial and temporal	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
<ul> <li>environmental biology</li> <li>5.1 Define the following terms: ecosystem, biota, community, habitat, species, pathways analysis, bioaccumulation, bioconcentration, biotoxicity, biodiversity, population, threatened and endangered species, allotmetric relationships, dose rate, radioecology, conceptual model, ecological risk assessment, radiation effects of biota, ecological benchmarks</li> <li>5.2 Define synergism and discuss our ability to quantify cause and effect relationship for multiple chemical and radiological stressors to biota</li> <li>5.3 Discuss spatial and temporal considerations in evaluating chemical</li> </ul>	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
<ul> <li>environmental biology</li> <li>5.1 Define the following terms: ecosystem, biota, community, habitat, species, pathways analysis, bioaccumulation, bioconcentration, biotoxicity, biodiversity, population, threatened and endangered species, allotmetric relationships, dose rate, radioecology, conceptual model, ecological risk assessment, radiation effects of biota, ecological benchmarks</li> <li>5.2 Define synergism and discuss our ability to quantify cause and effect relationship for multiple chemical and radiological stressors to biota</li> <li>5.3 Discuss spatial and temporal considerations in evaluating chemical and radiological impacts to biota</li> </ul>	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
<ul> <li>environmental biology</li> <li>5.1 Define the following terms: ecosystem, biota, community, habitat, species, pathways analysis, bioaccumulation, bioconcentration, biotoxicity, biodiversity, population, threatened and endangered species, allotmetric relationships, dose rate, radioecology, conceptual model, ecological risk assessment, radiation effects of biota, ecological benchmarks</li> <li>5.2 Define synergism and discuss our ability to quantify cause and effect relationship for multiple chemical and radiological stressors to biota</li> <li>5.3 Discuss spatial and temporal considerations in evaluating chemical</li> </ul>	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
environmental biology 5.1 Define the following terms: ecosystem, biota, community, habitat, species, pathways analysis, bioaccumulation, bioconcentration, biotoxicity, biodiversity, population, threatened and endangered species, allotmetric relationships, dose rate, radioecology, conceptual model, ecological risk assessment, radiation effects of biota, ecological benchmarks 5.2 Define synergism and discuss our ability to quantify cause and effect relationship for multiple chemical and radiological stressors to biota 5.3 Discuss spatial and temporal considerations in evaluating chemical and radiological impacts to biota	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)

evaluating chemical and radiological stressors		
Note: this competency may be verified by a college transcript showing successful completion of a college level environmental biology course.		
6.0 Monitoring techniques related to environmental compliance	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
<ul> <li>6.1 Describe the types of equipment used to monitor a site for the following: ambient air quality, emissions, groundwater contamination, meteorological factors, stream and river contamination, soil and sediment contamination, wildlife contamination</li> <li>6.2 Describe the standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater</li> <li>6.3 Given a sampling parameter/equipment, describe the standard sampling methods and protocols</li> <li>Note: this competency may be verified by a college transcript showing successful completion of a college level environmental monitoring course.</li> </ul>		
7.0 Purpose and usage of environmental sampling and measurement equipment	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
<ul> <li>7.1 Explain the reason for measuring emissions, meteorological factors and ambient air quality under various operation conditions (e.g., routine and emergency).</li> <li>7.2 Describe the purpose and limitations of the following air quality measurement instruments: high volume particulate sampler, liquid bubbler (e.g. sulfur dioxide), infrared spectrometer</li> <li>7.3 Describe the purpose and types of material collected by the following sampling media: high efficiency glass fiber filter, activated charcoal cartridge,</li> </ul>		

silica gel		
7.4 Describe the purpose for measuring		
each of the following parameters		
during field surveys of water quality:		
temperature, dissolved oxygen,		
conductivity, pH 7.5 Discuss the factors that can affect		
readings and the preservation methods		
for the field measurements listed above		
7.6 Describe how trace toxic organics		
in water are assayed by gas		
chromatography		
7.7 Describe how heavy metals in		
water are measured using atomic		
absorption spectrophotometry		
7.8 Describe how volatile organics are		
measured		
Note: this competency may be verified by a		
college transcript showing successful		
completion of a college level environmental sampling and measuring and test equipment		
course.		
8.0 Radiation protection concepts and	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
	I ammanly v UKing LADOR	v childu Uy. (iiiitiais)
dose assessment		venned by. (initials)
		venned by: (initials)
dose assessment		
dose assessment       8.1 Define the following radiation		
dose assessment         8.1 Define the following radiation protection related terms: absorbed dose,		
dose assessment8.1 Define the following radiation protection related terms: absorbed dose, collective dose equivalent, collective		
dose assessment 8.1 Define the following radiation protection related terms: absorbed dose, collective dose equivalent, collective effective dose equivalent, committed		
dose assessment8.1 Define the following radiation protection related terms: absorbed dose, collective dose equivalent, collective effective dose equivalent, committed dose equivalent, deep dose equivalent,		
dose assessment 8.1 Define the following radiation protection related terms: absorbed dose, collective dose equivalent, collective effective dose equivalent, committed dose equivalent, deep dose equivalent, dose equivalent, effective dose		
dose assessment8.1 Define the following radiation protection related terms: absorbed dose, collective dose equivalent, collective effective dose equivalent, committed dose equivalent, deep dose equivalent,		
dose assessment 8.1 Define the following radiation protection related terms: absorbed dose, collective dose equivalent, collective effective dose equivalent, committed dose equivalent, deep dose equivalent, dose equivalent, effective dose equivalent, weighting factor, reference		
dose assessment8.1 Define the following radiation protection related terms: absorbed dose, collective dose equivalent, collective effective dose equivalent, committed dose equivalent, deep dose equivalent, dose equivalent, effective dose equivalent, weighting factor, reference man8.2 Discuss the three basic elements of		
dose assessment8.1 Define the following radiation protection related terms: absorbed dose, collective dose equivalent, collective effective dose equivalent, committed dose equivalent, deep dose equivalent, dose equivalent, effective dose equivalent, weighting factor, reference 		
dose assessment8.1 Define the following radiation protection related terms: absorbed dose, collective dose equivalent, collective effective dose equivalent, committed dose equivalent, deep dose equivalent, dose equivalent, effective dose equivalent, weighting factor, reference man8.2 Discuss the three basic elements of radiation protection in context of DOE low-level waste disposal (justification,		
dose assessment8.1 Define the following radiation protection related terms: absorbed dose, collective dose equivalent, collective effective dose equivalent, committed dose equivalent, deep dose equivalent, dose equivalent, effective dose equivalent, weighting factor, reference man8.2 Discuss the three basic elements of radiation protection in context of DOE low-level waste disposal (justification, dose limitation and optimization)		
dose assessment8.1 Define the following radiation protection related terms: absorbed dose, collective dose equivalent, collective effective dose equivalent, committed dose equivalent, deep dose equivalent, dose equivalent, effective dose equivalent, weighting factor, reference man8.2 Discuss the three basic elements of radiation protection in context of DOE low-level waste disposal (justification, dose limitation and optimization)8.3 What information are contained in		
dose assessment8.1 Define the following radiation protection related terms: absorbed dose, collective dose equivalent, collective effective dose equivalent, committed dose equivalent, deep dose equivalent, dose equivalent, effective dose equivalent, weighting factor, reference man8.2 Discuss the three basic elements of radiation protection in context of DOE low-level waste disposal (justification, dose limitation and optimization)8.3 What information are contained in Federal Guidance Reports #11, #12,		
dose assessment8.1 Define the following radiation protection related terms: absorbed dose, collective dose equivalent, collective effective dose equivalent, committed dose equivalent, deep dose equivalent, dose equivalent, effective dose equivalent, weighting factor, reference man8.2 Discuss the three basic elements of radiation protection in context of DOE low-level waste disposal (justification, dose limitation and optimization)8.3 What information are contained in Federal Guidance Reports #11, #12, and #13 and their application to dose		
dose assessment8.1 Define the following radiation protection related terms: absorbed dose, collective dose equivalent, collective effective dose equivalent, committed dose equivalent, deep dose equivalent, dose equivalent, effective dose equivalent, weighting factor, reference man8.2 Discuss the three basic elements of radiation protection in context of DOE low-level waste disposal (justification, dose limitation and optimization)8.3 What information are contained in Federal Guidance Reports #11, #12, and #13 and their application to dose and risk assessment		
dose assessment8.1 Define the following radiation protection related terms: absorbed dose, collective dose equivalent, collective effective dose equivalent, committed dose equivalent, deep dose equivalent, dose equivalent, effective dose equivalent, weighting factor, reference man8.2 Discuss the three basic elements of radiation protection in context of DOE low-level waste disposal (justification, dose limitation and optimization)8.3 What information are contained in Federal Guidance Reports #11, #12, and #13 and their application to dose and risk assessment8.4 Discuss internal and external		
dose assessment8.1 Define the following radiation protection related terms: absorbed dose, collective dose equivalent, collective effective dose equivalent, committed dose equivalent, deep dose equivalent, dose equivalent, effective dose equivalent, weighting factor, reference man8.2 Discuss the three basic elements of radiation protection in context of DOE low-level waste disposal (justification, dose limitation and optimization)8.3 What information are contained in Federal Guidance Reports #11, #12, and #13 and their application to dose and risk assessment8.4 Discuss internal and external exposure and associated pathways		
dose assessment8.1 Define the following radiation protection related terms: absorbed dose, collective dose equivalent, collective effective dose equivalent, committed dose equivalent, deep dose equivalent, dose equivalent, effective dose equivalent, weighting factor, reference man8.2 Discuss the three basic elements of radiation protection in context of DOE low-level waste disposal (justification, dose limitation and optimization)8.3 What information are contained in Federal Guidance Reports #11, #12, and #13 and their application to dose and risk assessment8.4 Discuss internal and external exposure and associated pathways8.5 Discuss some of the factors that		
dose assessment8.1 Define the following radiation protection related terms: absorbed dose, collective dose equivalent, collective effective dose equivalent, committed dose equivalent, deep dose equivalent, dose equivalent, effective dose equivalent, weighting factor, reference man8.2 Discuss the three basic elements of radiation protection in context of DOE low-level waste disposal (justification, dose limitation and optimization)8.3 What information are contained in Federal Guidance Reports #11, #12, and #13 and their application to dose and risk assessment8.4 Discuss internal and external exposure and associated pathways		

regional/site-specific environmental		
parameter distributions and their		
application in Monte Carlo analysis to		
support probabilistic dose or risk		
assessments		
Note: this competency may be verified by		
successful completion of an approved DOE		
basic Radiation course.		
9.0 Principles, concepts and	Familiarity/Working/Expert	Verified by: (initials)
requirements of environmental risk		(initials)
assessment.		
9.1 Define risk assessment, risk		
management, and risk communication		
9.2 Describe the four steps of a risk		
assessment		
9.3 Describe how risk assessment helps		
in site decision-making		
9.4 Define the term "Baseline Risk		
Assessment"		
9.5 Describe the process for a Toxicity		
Assessment		
9.6 Describe the process for an		
Exposure Assessment		
9.7 Describe the process used to		
characterize risk		
Note: this competency may be verified by successful completion of an approved DOE		
basic risk course.		
10.0 PA/CA Development		
10.1 Contaminant Transport		
• Describe the Advection		
Process		
• Describe the Diffusion and		
<b>Dispersion Process</b>		
• Explain the utilization of one,		
two, and three dimensional		
modeling		
• Define the concept of		
sorption		
• Identify the factors		
influencing sorption and the		
effects on fate and transport		

of contaminants	
• Discuss the effects of pH on	
contaminant transport	
10.2 Flow and Transport	
Explain capillary action	
<ul> <li>Discuss soil-water characteristic</li> </ul>	
curves	
Discuss unsaturated hydraulic	
conductivity	
• Discuss the use of infiltration	
models	
• Explain the transport processes in the unsaturated zone	
Discuss the importance of accurate distributive coefficients	
10.3 Numerical modeling	
Describe the purpose of	
numerical modeling	
<ul> <li>Discuss the use of conceptual</li> </ul>	
models	
<ul> <li>Identify the source and types</li> </ul>	
of errors associated with	
modeling	
<ul> <li>Discuss the fundamental</li> </ul>	
differences between	
deterministic and	
probabilistic modeling	
• Discuss uncertainty analysis	
• Discuss sensitivity analysis	
10.4 Release of contaminants to the	
air phase	
• Describe the mechanisms for	
transport of radionuclides	
from disposed waste to the	
air phase	
10.5 atmospheric transport and	
dispersion	
• Describe atmospheric	
dispersion	
• Describe models utilized for	
atmospheric transport	
10.6 Radon emanation	
• Describe mechanisms that	
would hinder emanation of	

radon from disposed waste	
-	
• Discuss gaseous diffusion in	
porous media	
10.7 intruder scenarios	
• Describe the following	
intruder scenarios:	
agriculture, construction,	
drilling	
• Describe the performance	
measures for acute and	
chronic exposure	
44.0 5	
11.0 Demonstrate a working level	
knowledge of the following	
Regulations:	
11.1 RCRA	
11.2 CERCLA	
11.3 Clean Water Act	
11.4 Clean Air Act	
11.5 40 CFR 191	