

From: [Marshall Hughes](#)
To: [Plainsandeantern](#)
Subject: 1222 Comment
Date: Monday, July 13, 2015 11:43:10 PM

I want to request public hearings on the 1222 Process similar to those that the DOE had for the EIS process.

It's not right for the affected parties (landowners and residents) to only be given the opportunity to publicly be informed and involved on one portion of the process rather than the entire process. That, coupled with the fact that TetraTech, who produced the EIS, has clear financial incentives to see that the project takes place, smacks of collusion and corruption of the process.

I urge the DOE to deny this Project. If you don't do that immediately, at a minimum, hold hearings and public meetings on the 1222 application. The general public needs more time to digest 1222 for numerous reasons, just two of the most obvious being:

1. The general public knew nothing of this "extra" 1222 comment period. Truthfully, I'm not sure that the DOE anticipated even having this comment period. With no promulgated rules it's hard to know what the "steps" are for 1222.
2. We aren't attorneys. We are landowners and citizens that have fought tooth and nail for what is "ours". It's difficult for us to provide substantive comments in such a short amount of time. Those landowners with the resources to hire counsel did so, but what of the other 90%? 95%? Do they not have a voice in this process that could take their land, and in many situations, their future financial stability, family legacy, and current financial stability? Should we sacrifice to benefit a "maybe" that has deeper pockets?

Please deny this project. The next one may cross your land, front yard, ancestor's cemetery, parents front yard, children's school all in the name of potential, pursued, possible profit.

No. No to Clean Line and 1222.

Marshall Hughes
Searcy, AR

Sent from my iPhone