

Status Update on the TMIST-3 In-Reactor Experiment

Tritium Release and Speciation from LiAlO_2 and LiAlO_2/Zr Cermets

DJ SENOR¹, WG LUSCHER¹, AND KK CLAYTON²

¹Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, ²Idaho National Laboratory

Tritium Focus Group Meeting, Princeton, NJ

Tritium Production Enterprise: Background

- ▶ Tritium is required for US nuclear weapons stockpile
- ▶ Tritium has a 12.3 year half-life and must be replenished
- ▶ 1988: DOE ceased production of tritium at SRS
- ▶ 1988-1992: The US considered the use of dedicated reactors for tritium production
 - Heavy water reactors (HWRs)
 - High temperature gas-cooled reactors (HTGRs)
 - Light water reactors (LWRs)
- ▶ 1995-1998: The US considered dual-use facilities
 - Commercial LWRs
 - Accelerators
- ▶ 1995: PNNL selected by DOE to be Design Authority for Commercial Light Water Reactor irradiation demonstration



L Reactor at SRS

Tritium Production Enterprise: Background



- ▶ 1995 – 1997: Lead Test Assembly (32 Tritium-Producing Burnable Absorber Rods, TPBARs) designed and built at PNNL for irradiation in TVA Watts Bar Nuclear Unit 1
- ▶ 1999: Post-irradiation examination of LTA
- ▶ 2000: The current Commercial Light Water Reactor tritium program was selected by DOE over accelerators for production
- ▶ 2001 – 2003: Design and manufacturing scale-up for production TPBARs
- ▶ 2003: First production core (240 TPBARs) irradiated at WBN1
- ▶ 2005 – 2008: TPBAR design modifications
- ▶ 2008: Modified TPBARs (Mark 9.2) first irradiated at WBN1
- ▶ 2008 – Present: Production irradiation continues – Currently irradiating 704 TPBARs



Watts Bar Nuclear Plant
Spring City, TN

Tritium Target Current Technology

- ▶ TPBARs substitute for burnable absorber rods sometimes used in Westinghouse PWRs (WABAs)
 - WABA reaction:
 - $^{10}\text{B} + ^1n_{\text{th}} \rightarrow ^4\text{He} + ^7\text{Li}$
 - TPBAR reaction:
 - $^6\text{Li} + ^1n_{\text{th}} \rightarrow ^3\text{H} + ^4\text{He}$
- ▶ Reactivity worth of TPBARs is slightly greater than WABAs
- ▶ Because TPBARs provide reactivity hold-down, they are considered a safety-related component by the NRC
 - All irradiation testing work governed by QA requirements in 10 CFR 50, Appendix B so results can be applied to TPBAR modeling and design




Not to scale

TPBAR Irradiation Performance

- ▶ In 2004, during the first production cycle at WBN1, it was determined that TPBAR tritium permeation was higher than predicted by performance models
 - Predicted ≈ 0.4 Ci/TPBAR/cycle
 - Actual ≈ 4 Ci/TPBAR/cycle
- ▶ Even 4 Ci/TPBAR/cycle represents only about 0.04% of the tritium produced
- ▶ An irradiation testing program was implemented in 2006 to provide a scientific basis for improving performance models and providing systematic, long-term TPBAR design evolution

Irradiation Testing Program Objectives

- ▶ The irradiation testing program is one aspect of a comprehensive Tritium Science and Technology R&D Plan that supports the tritium production enterprise
- ▶ Overall goal is risk reduction through fundamental understanding of TPBAR performance
 - Accurately explain and predict existing permeation performance
 - Provide confidence in performance predictions to support
 - Operating condition changes
 - Supplier changes
 - Manufacturing process changes
 - Provide basis for evolutionary design changes



Irradiation Testing Program


Cladding Permeation
TMIST-2
2006-2012

Getter Performance
TMED-4
2008-2010

Pellet Performance
TMIST-3
2009-2020

Advanced Pellet Mfg
TMED-3
2008-2011


Liner Oxidation
TMIST-1
TMED-1
2006-2010





Data from the Testing Program Has Improved TPBAR Performance Predictions


- ▶ TROD performance prediction code models updated with data from TMIST-1, TMED-1, TMIST-2, and TMED-4
- ▶ Discrepancy between predicted and observed permeation decreased by ~28%
- ▶ Time dependence still not correctly modeled
 - Will be improved by TMIST-3 data




Pellet Performance Irradiation Experiment TMIST-3

- ▶ Data from TMIST-3 will
 - Explain time dependence of pellet tritium release and its relationship to TPBAR permeation
 - Evaluate the speciation of tritium release as a function of burnup, burnup rate, and time (T_2O versus T_2)
 - Define relationships between pellet burnup, burnup rate, and tritium release to help define an acceptable TPBAR operational envelope
 - Improve fundamental understanding of pellet microstructure and its effects on performance
 - Provide a better definition of the pellet burnup limit
 - Determine whether modifications to the pellets could improve TPBAR performance
 - Increased tritium retention
 - Increased TPBAR void volume

ATR Irradiation Positions TMIST-3



ATR Core Map



Location for the TMIST-3A
low-burnup test train (I-13)

Location for the TMIST-3B
high-burnup test train (I-9)

Test Specimens

TMIST-3

▶ Test specimens

■ Standard TPBAR LiAlO_2 pellets

- 2 μm grain size
- 97-98% TD
- 1 mm wall thickness

■ Large grain LiAlO_2 pellets

- 10 μm grain size

■ Porous LiAlO_2 pellets


- Small pores (~90% TD)
- Large pores (~85% TD)

■ Thin-wall LiAlO_2 pellets


- 0.76 mm wall

■ Cermet pellets


- LiAlO_2 particles in Zr matrix
- Four ceramic particle loadings from 10-40 v/o



Standard LiAlO_2 pellet microstructure





Cermet pellet with 40 v/o LiAlO_2



Capsule Design

TMIST-3


- ▶ **Flow-through capsules**
 - Used for time, burnup, burnup rate, and temperature dependent tritium release measurements
 - Tritium released from pellets is carried to ex-reactor measurement system for analysis
 - Total tritium measurement only
- ▶ **Closed capsules**
 - Used for speciation measurements and pellet integrity/retention tests
 - Tritium released from pellets as T_2 and T_2O is spatially segregated and gettered in-situ
 - Speciation data inferred from post-irradiation examination tritium assays




Test Train Design

TMIST-3

- ▶ Two test trains
 - TMIST-3A – Irradiate for ~1.5 yr
 - TMIST-3B – Irradiate for ~2.5 yr
- ▶ Two capsule types in each test train (41 total)
 - Flow-through – 15 total
 - Closed – 26 total
- ▶ All capsules have active He-Ne temperature control gas
 - One capsule designed to operate over a wide temperature range to evaluate temperature effects
- ▶ Flow-through capsules have He sweep gas to remove tritium for ex-reactor sampling
- ▶ 106 total leads for both test trains




TMIST-3 Flow-Through Capsule



TMIST-3 Closed Capsule

Capsule Fabrication and Assembly

TMIST-3




Some capsule components were challenging to machine, such as this Ni200 spacer designed to allow gas flow through and around the pellet



Double closed capsule assembly undergoing fit-up inspection after laser tack welding the end plugs, but before final end plug crossover closure welding via electron beam and TIG



Capsule Fabrication and Assembly TMIST-3





Closed capsule showing completed inner capsule with electron beam closure welds and t/c guide tube attached via laser weld, outer capsule with bottom closure weld, and end plug with temperature control gas inlet flow tube attached via laser weld

Completed flow-through capsules with and without Al6061 heat sinks



Test Train Arrangement TMIST-3A

UNCLASSIFIED





Toward ATR Fuel

Leadout Arrangement TMIST-3A



Pacific Northwest
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Proudly Operated by **Battelle** Since 1965




In-Reactor Leads

TMIST-3A



Pacific Northwest
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Proudly Operated by **Battelle** Since 1965



Gas Panel Fabrication and Assembly



TMIST-3A



Ametek ProLine Quadrupole Mass Spec



Overhoff 10cc Ion Chambers



Temperature Control Gas Mass Flow Controller Cabinet

Getter Bed Cabinet

Path Forward

TMIST-3

- ▶ Test train assembly proceeding at INL
- ▶ TMIST-3A test train scheduled for insertion in ATR in fall 2015
 - ATR Cycle 158B
- ▶ TMIST-3B test train scheduled for insertion in ATR in summer 2017
 - ATR Cycle 164A
- ▶ Post-irradiation examination to be completed at PNNL
 - Optical, scanning, transmission electron microscopy with EDS/WDS
 - XRD for phase identification
 - He pycnometry, Hg porosimetry (determine density/swelling)
 - $^6\text{Li}/^7\text{Li}$ isotopic analysis and flux wire dosimetry to confirm burnup
 - Closed capsule puncture, pressure measurement, gas analysis
 - Retained ^3H , ^3He , ^4He , O assays
 - ^3H assays in closed capsule getter and cracker components
- ▶ Comparison of data to production TPBARs irradiated in WBN1
- ▶ Improvement of pellet and TPBAR performance models