

# Next Generation Materials: Technology Assessment

---

## Contents

1.	Introduction to the Technology/System.....	1
1.1	Overview .....	1
1.2	Public and private roles and activities .....	3
2.	Technology Assessment and Potential .....	3
2.1	Performance advances.....	3
2.2	Potential Impacts .....	4
2.2.1	Functional surface technologies .....	4
2.2.2	Higher performance materials.....	5
2.2.3	New paradigm manufacturing processes .....	6
3.	Program Considerations to Support R&D .....	6
4.	Risk and Uncertainty, and Other Considerations.....	9
5.	Case Studies .....	10
6.	References .....	11

## 1. Introduction to the Technology/System

### 1.1 Overview

The term “next generation materials” refers to major innovations in the properties, manufacturing processes, and market applications of many materials vital to the U.S. economy, including metals, polymers, ceramics, composites, and coatings. In particular, next generation materials can be thought of as those that lead to step changes in the economic, engineering, and environmental performance of materials across their entire life cycles (i.e., manufacturing, use and reuse, and end of life) as compared to historical performance improvement rates within specific materials classes. Furthermore, materials innovations can occur at many different scales, including improved structural properties at the nanometer scale, novel surface geometries at the micrometer scale, and creation of new materials markets and applications at the global scale. Key examples include new catalysts for more profitable and sustainable fuels and chemicals, advanced surface coatings and geometries for improving materials durability and reducing friction, lightweight metal alloys and composites for more fuel efficient vehicles, and near net shape techniques for less wasteful and more profitable materials processing. A recent in-depth report estimated that 54 such “breakthrough” materials innovations could save the United States over 2.8 quadrillion British thermal units (Quads) at cost savings of \$65 billion across the U.S.

34 economy.[1] In other words, innovations in materials hold great potential for improving the nation’s  
 35 energy security and economic competitiveness.

36  
 37 Given the vast landscape of different materials, markets, and end use sector applications (e.g.,  
 38 infrastructure, consumer products, transportation, appliances, and so on), it is helpful to discuss next  
 39 generation materials in terms of specific innovation opportunity areas. Table 1 summarizes the  
 40 innovation opportunity categories used in this chapter, which were identified by a panel of experts on  
 41 breakthrough materials innovation areas convened by The Minerals, Metals & Materials Society (TMS)  
 42 on behalf of the Advanced Manufacturing Office (AMO) as part of a 2011 *Innovation Impact Report*.[2]  
 43 The representative materials innovations listed under each category were further informed by expert  
 44 elicitation data obtained by Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). Finally, Table 1 summarizes the  
 45 potential segments of the U.S. energy-economic system that could be impacted by innovations in each  
 46 category.

47  
 48 Quantifying the potential energy, emissions, and economic benefits of next generation materials is  
 49 difficult for several reasons. First, the rapid pace of innovation and the myriad classes and applications  
 50 of materials targeted by such innovations create an enormous opportunity space that is intractable to  
 51 analyze as a whole. Second, the nascent state of many materials innovations means that credible data  
 52 on their performance are not yet available. Third, when such data are available, they are often at the  
 53 lab or pilot scale, and therefore difficult to extrapolate to industrial scale conditions. Fourth, materials  
 54 innovations can have significant life-cycle effects—including changes in the types and structures of raw  
 55 materials supply chains, changes in application product performance, and changes in viable end of life  
 56 options—which makes benefits analysis an uncertain and analytically challenging exercise. Therefore,  
 57 this chapter focuses on providing quantitative data for specific case studies within materials innovation  
 58 categories drawn from the literature rather than attempting to derive (highly uncertain) estimates of the  
 59 potential societal benefits of innovation categories as a whole. However, the qualitative summary of  
 60 impacted economy segments in Table 1 underscores the broad reach and importance of next generation  
 61 materials for improving the economic and environmental performance of the U.S. economy.

62  
 63 **Table 1: Materials innovation categories and sectors of likely impact**

Category	Subcategories	Energy Generation						Energy Storage		Energy Use	
		Solar	Wind	Biomass	Nuclear	Oil & Gas	Coal	Batteries	Fuel Cells	Industry	Transport
Functional surface technologies	Catalysts			X		X	X	X	X	X	X
	Separations			X		X	X	X	X	X	X
	Coatings	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Higher-performance materials	Thermoelectrics	X		X			X			X	X
	Phase-stable metals			X	X	X	X				
	Surface treatments	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	Bio-based materials			X						X	X
	Lightweight high-strength materials	X	X			X	X	X		X	X
New paradigm manufacturing processes	Net-shape processing		X		X	X	X			X	X
	Additive manufacturing	X								X	X
	Composite materials		X							X	X
	Magnetic field processing									X	X
	Low-carbon cements									X	
	Energy-efficient metals processes									X	X

64

65 **1.2 Public and private roles and activities**

66 Two high profile public and private partnerships in the United States related to next generation  
67 materials are the Materials Genome Initiative (MGI) and the Integrated Computational Materials  
68 Engineering (ICME) movement. The MGI is a U.S. Federal government multi-stakeholder initiative  
69 designed to develop an infrastructure to accelerate and sustain domestic materials discovery and  
70 deployment, primarily through funding of research in the areas of computational tools, experimental  
71 tools, data management, and collaborative networks.[3] The MGI has invested over \$250 million in  
72 research to date. ICME refers to the development of multi-scale modeling of materials systems to  
73 capture the nexuses between structure, properties, processes, and performance. The need for ICME to  
74 accelerate the development and deployment of next generation materials was stressed in a 2008 U.S.  
75 National Research Council report, and further underscored in a 2013 study led by the TMS on ICME  
76 implementation in key U.S. industries.[4, 5] The European Commission is aggressively funding capacity  
77 and network building for ICME, while in the United States the TMS has been a key convener of  
78 conferences and roadmaps to promote ICME leadership domestically.[6, 7] In addition to these  
79 partnerships, there are many funding programs and collaboration networks that have been established  
80 to facilitate progress in particular materials and/or process domains, including the public-private U.S.  
81 Manufacturing Innovation Institutes focused on additive manufacturing, battery materials, and  
82 composites.[8] A key to the success of all of these initiatives is a sharp focus on the materials innovation  
83 opportunities with high impact potential, including efforts to overcome development and adoption  
84 barriers as discussed in the previous section.

85 **2. Technology Assessment and Potential**

86 **2.1 Performance advances**

87 Ongoing innovation and focused research in each materials innovation category are leading to steady  
88 improvements in their engineering, economic, and market performance in various applications. In  
89 particular, to realize the greatest benefits from next generation materials and build competitive  
90 advantage, the United States should encourage and invest in next generation materials initiatives that  
91 accelerate performance gains beyond historical improvement rates, and/or usher in new eras of  
92 performance through disruptive materials innovations. Table 2 summarizes key “stretch” performance  
93 summaries and targets for each materials innovation category that would lead to substantial national  
94 energy and economic benefits, as identified by the blue ribbon panel of experts within the 2011  
95 *Innovation Impact Report*. [2] Reaching these targets will require significant financial investments,  
96 extensive research across the public and private sectors, and favorable policy incentives and conditions  
97 as discussed further in Section 4.

98 **Table 2: Target performance advances for next generation materials [2]**

Category	Subcategories	Next generation performance targets
Functional surface technologies	Catalysts	Greater than 91% selectivity and expanded feedstock capabilities by 2020, leading to more efficient conversions and greater applications to bioprocesses.
	Separations	5x-10x improvements in scale and flux of ceramic, metallic, polymeric, and composite membranes by 2020.
	Coatings	Greater thermal stabilities for higher temperature applications; higher wear and more repairable coatings.
Higher-performance materials	Thermoelectrics	Future figure of merit (ZT) of 1.8, compared to 1 in 2011, leading to greater conversion efficiencies; thermal stability up to 1000 C.
	Phase-stable metals	Thermal stability up to 1200 F by 2020 (next generation steels) and up to 1425 F (nickel-cobalt); irradiation-resistant steels up to 80 years lifespan by 2020.
	Surface treatments	5x improvement in material durability by 2020.
	Bio-based materials	Increased use of bio-based feedstocks for fuels and chemicals to offset fossil fuel use
	Lightweight high-strength materials	25% weight reduction by 2020
New paradigm manufacturing processes	Net-shape processing	20% improvement in energy efficiency of powder metallurgy by 2020; 8% reduction in energy intensity of casting by 2016.
	Additive manufacturing	Buy-to-fly ratio of 2 to 1 for additive manufacturing by 2020;
	Composite materials	Fiber processing costs reduce by one-half by 2026; 6x improvement in tooling cycles for composite matrix manufacturing by 2020.
	Low-carbon cements	Net-zero carbon emissions or closed carbon cycles for elimination of calcining emissions during thermal processing of clinker.
	Energy-efficient metals processes	33% reduction in energy intensity of aluminum production by 2020; 66% reduction in energy intensity of metals recycling by 2020; 70% reduction in titanium powder costs by 2020.

99

## 100 2.2 Potential Impacts

101 The potential positive impacts of next generation materials on the U.S. economy are vast, and can be  
 102 realized across different stages of the materials life cycle. As discussed in Section 1, analyses of the life-  
 103 cycle benefits of next generation materials are complex and quantitative data to support these analyses  
 104 for the myriad innovations underway in each materials innovation category are scarce. However, the  
 105 following examples underscore the potential benefits of next generation materials based on the  
 106 availability of credible quantitative data from the literature.

### 107 2.2.1 Functional surface technologies

108 Functional surface technologies refer to advances in the interactions of materials with their  
 109 environments and service conditions, and include catalysts, functional surface geometries, and coatings.  
 110 These technologies influence the performance of every sector of the U.S. economy, from catalysts  
 111 producing fuels and chemicals, to coating protecting structural steel in buildings and bridges, to the  
 112 surface geometries of drives, pistons, and bearings in vehicles and machinery. Examples of benefits of  
 113 next generation materials in this category include:

- 114 • Next generation catalysts and process pathways for production of olefins, ammonia, methanol,  
 115 and other commodity chemicals such as catalytic crackers, catalytic oxidative dehydrogenation,  
 116 and electrolysis could reduce the energy intensity of commodity chemicals production by 20%-  
 117 40%, leading to global savings of 13 exajoules (EJ) globally by 2050. [9]

118

- 119 • Improved coatings that reduce corrosion-related losses and costs in the US petroleum refining,  
120 chemicals, and pulp and paper industries by 10% would save these three industries about \$1.1  
121 billion each year. [2]  
122
- 123 • Surface treatments and coatings for reduced friction losses in the engine, drivetrain, and fuel  
124 systems enabled by advancements in tribology and computational design could reduce the fuel  
125 used by U.S. cars, light trucks, and heavy-duty vehicles by up to 12%, or 1.4 million barrels of  
126 petroleum per day. [10]  
127
- 128 • Novel surface geometries can influence the hydrophobicity of materials, thereby reducing drag  
129 in solid-liquid interactions. Applications of this new class of specially “wetable” materials  
130 include reducing aerodynamic friction in transport vehicles and drag friction in fluid transport  
131 pipes across the economy. Additionally, such materials hold promise for harvesting of water  
132 from fog, which might be used to as a source of water supply in various world regions. In one  
133 study, a wettable mesh harvested 3-10 liters per square meter of mesh per day from fog. [11,  
134 12]  
135
- 136 • According to the 2011 *Innovation Impact Report*[2], “An economically viable gas-to-liquids  
137 process enabled by the development and manufacturing of advanced catalyst materials can  
138 eliminate some of the 2.1 billion cubic meters (bcm) of natural gas that is flared and vented in  
139 the United States each year,<sup>8</sup> resulting in energy savings and reduced CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and fuel  
140 costs. For example, eliminating 10% of natural gas flaring (0.21 bcm) through the increased use  
141 of gas-to-liquids processing would save 8 Tbtu of energy, 0.4 MMT of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, and \$15  
142 million in fuel costs each year.”  
143

#### 144 2.2.2 Higher performance materials

145 Higher performance materials include a broad swath of opportunities across metals, polymers, ceramics,  
146 and composites that improve strength and engineering performance in various applications, leading to  
147 both energy and economic benefits across the US economy. Examples of benefits of high performance  
148 materials in this category include:

- 149 • Advancements in high-strength, lightweight materials for automotive applications such as  
150 magnesium alloys, aluminum alloys, high strength steels, and polymer composites can lead to  
151 massive energy savings in the U.S. transport sector through lighter weight bodies, chassis, and  
152 drivetrains and more efficient engines. For example, a 10% reduction in vehicle mass can lead  
153 to 6-8% improvement in vehicle fuel economy. Using lightweight components and high-  
154 efficiency engines enabled by advanced materials in one quarter of the U.S. fleet could save  
155 more than 5 billion gallons of fuel annually by 2030.[13]  
156
- 157 • High-ZT thermoelectric materials that convert waste heat into electricity at an efficiency of 15%  
158 could be applied to the estimated 1.5 Quads of unrecovered waste heat in the U.S. industrial  
159 sector each year could save U.S. manufacturers more than \$3.6 billion in annual energy costs.[2]  
160

- 161 • The calcining processes that occurs in cement kilns is a major contributor to the greenhouse gas  
162 (GHG) emissions footprint of the U.S. manufacturing sector. Novel substitute materials for  
163 producing cement, including magnesium silicates or carbonates, and/or carbon-cycling  
164 processes, such as the Calera or Calix process, can reduce or eliminate GHG emissions from  
165 calcining. Such an improvement would provide US cement plants with a competitive advantage  
166 as the world seeks lower-carbon alternatives to traditional cement (one of the world’s largest  
167 volume materials) while lending progress toward national GHG emissions reduction targets. [14]  
168
- 169 • According to the 2011 *Innovation Impact Report* [2], “Incorporating advanced phase-stable  
170 metallic materials capable of withstanding elevated inlet temperatures and harsh operating  
171 environments into the design of these turbines could greatly improve the efficiency of U.S.  
172 electricity generation. For example, a 1% reduction in fuel consumed by U.S. power-generating  
173 gas and steam turbines would save 348 TBtu of energy and \$400 million in fuel costs.”

174

### 175 2.2.3 New paradigm manufacturing processes

176 New paradigm manufacturing processes are a critical opportunity for several reasons. First, novel  
177 materials innovations may require entirely new production processes, such as a shift from sputtering-  
178 based coating applications to colloid-based applications for new electrochromic window coatings for  
179 energy efficiency [15]. Second, improvements to high-volume processes for producing metals,  
180 polymers, and other bulk materials in more energy- and materials-efficient fashion can reduce both the  
181 operating costs and the energy, resources, and waste footprints of US industry. Third, next generation  
182 manufacturing processes such as nanofabrication, synthetic biology, and additive manufacturing can  
183 lead to dramatic improvements in manufacturing flexibility, lead time, and productivity while also  
184 opening up opportunities for new materials innovations to support the processes (e.g., advanced  
185 powders for additive manufacturing).

186 In one example, next generation metals industry processes, including intelligent casting, improved  
187 controls, blank geometry optimization, inert atmosphere melting, and higher strength alloys can  
188 substantially reduce yield losses in the production of metal products. For example, in the steelmaking  
189 industry, advanced processes for minimizing yield loss could reduce the overall energy intensity of the  
190 U.S. steel industry by around 10%. [16]

## 191 3. Program Considerations to Support R&D

192 There are several key areas of research and deployment support needed to the aggressive performance  
193 improvements goals for next generation materials, such as those summarized in Section 2. The  
194 necessary support mechanisms span the entire technology life cycle, and involves significant  
195 investments of research funds, new forms of public-private collaborations, new tools and methods for  
196 materials discovery, greater policy incentives for high-risk, high-reward materials innovations, and pilot  
197 and demonstration projects to verify marketplace performance and reduce barriers related to perceived  
198 risk among early adopters.

199 Clearly, large investments in basic and applied science are needed to accelerate the availability and  
200 performance of next generation materials, given that performance advancements often rely on  
201 improved fundamental understanding of materials properties, chemistry, and physics, often at very  
202 small scales. Such research typically requires high-end equipment for materials imaging, synthesis,  
203 manipulation, and measurements, all of which can require substantial capital investments. Ongoing  
204 support is further needed for staffing research teams and the (sometimes) lengthy experimental and  
205 computational processes that lead to materials breakthroughs. Therefore, continued federal  
206 investment in basic and applied research will be critical. However, large scale collaborative public-  
207 private partnerships, such as the National Network for Manufacturing Innovation Institutes [8], and  
208 shared user facilities, such as Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory’s Advanced Light Source, can  
209 facilitate collaborations while maximizing the reach of public and private investments in capital  
210 equipment. Such initiatives require not only significant financial investments, but also strong  
211 commitments to collaboration and shared agendas for research priorities across private companies,  
212 universities and research labs, federal and local government agencies, and federal and local policy  
213 makers.

214 In addition to increased investments in physical laboratory facilities necessary to perform basic and  
215 applied science, substantial investment and new collaborations are also needed to further develop  
216 computational methods, tools, and databases that enable better discovery and prediction of materials  
217 properties and processing attributes. Such advancements include better collaborative databases of  
218 materials and process properties, manufacturing process simulations, and methods for predicting  
219 performance. Additionally, the Integrated Computational Materials Engineering (ICME) movement  
220 seeks to develop such computational capacity by developing and linking models and data for multi-scale  
221 simulation of materials performance. Because general ICME methods can apply to any materials  
222 innovation category, they can accelerate discovery and optimization of materials affecting every sector  
223 of the U.S. economy. Figure 1 summarizes the potential impacts of improved computational resources  
224 across materials innovation categories, as determined by the 2011 *Innovation Impact Report*. [2]

225 Materials breakthroughs at the laboratory scale can also face major barriers related to manufacturing  
226 process scale-up and eventual market acceptance and uptake, which can significantly limit a material’s  
227 potential for large-scale impact on the nation’s energy and economic systems. Continued and increased  
228 investments in market readiness programs, pilot and demonstration projects, and public outreach are  
229 needed to help usher such technologies through the so-called “valley of death” that exists between  
230 proof of concept and market adoption. For small businesses, the Small Business Research Innovation  
231 (SBIR) program was designed to address this need through several billion dollars in assistance each year,  
232 but increased investment will be critical to reach the stretch goals laid out in Section 2. Similarly, the  
233 U.S. DOE has funded numerous demonstration projects for materials innovations, energy technologies,  
234 and manufacturing processes as a way to test ideas, learn by doing, and, most importantly, to prove out  
235 technologies in controlled trials to help overcome the initial market reluctance and perceived risk that  
236 often inhibits the adoption of novel technologies.

237 Table 3 summarizes some major R&D opportunity areas for each materials innovation category, based  
238 on expert elicitation and conclusions in the 2011 *Innovation Impact Report*. [2] While not exhaustive of  
239 all needed areas of R&D support, Table 3 provides a comprehensive overview of targeted opportunities  
240 that could lead to significant national benefits.

241 **Table 3: Summary of R&D priority areas for next generation materials innovation categories [2]**

Category	Subcategories	R&D Priority Activities		
		0-2 years	2-5 years	5-10 years
Functional surface technologies	Catalysts	Lab scale integration of catalysts in membranes	Large-scale integration of catalysts in membranes;	Identify catalysts for alternate feedstocks
	Separations	Investigate tradeoffs between flux and stability in membrane systems; real-world degradation studies	Increase flux of dense ceramic membranes; identify selectivity issues in polymers to reduce thickness	
	Coatings	High-wear coatings; advanced research in in-situ defect monitoring	Non-vacuum coating applications; identify materials for high temperature, conductivity, and oxidation	
Higher-performance materials	Thermoelectrics	Develop a range of thermoelectric polymers for weight optimized components	Develop highly conductive materials compatible with additive manufacturing, polymer designs with integrated circuits	Develop capacitance materials compatible with additive manufacturing to enable structurally integrated energy storage; oxidation barrier
	Phase-stable metals	High-strength, low alloy steels for deep well drilling; corrosion resistant zirconium alloys with reduced hydrogen pickup	Stress, corrosion, and cracking resistant stainless steel; high pressure steels; irradiation resistant steels; physics-based models for lifetime prediction	Alternate fuel cladding materials; oxidation and corrosion resistant refractory alloys; materials databases for ICME
	Surface treatments	User facility for remanufactured parts testing; lower-cost coating materials	Low-cost laser processing; high accuracy non-planar surface treatments	Ceramics for gas turbines; ultra-high temperature thermal barrier coatings for oxy-combustion turbines
	Lightweight high-strength materials	Low-cost improved processing for metal-based composite casting; improved wear resistance; custom optimized hybrid/gradient metallic systems	New alloy designs with higher retention for better recyclability; improve damage detection techniques; increase corrosion resistance of aluminum or magnesium	
New paradigm manufacturing processes	Net-shape processing	Use innovative joining methods to improve dimensional control and enable forged and formed components to replace machined components	Increase closed-loop spring back controls and strain-distribution controls; improved room temperature formability for non-ferrous alloys; nanomaterial tooling; direct consolidation of titanium powder; high magnetic field metal casting; Use innovative joining methods to improve dimensional control and enable forged and formed components	Processes for simultaneous improvements of shape and materials properties; use innovative joining methods to improve dimensional control and enable forged and formed components to replace machined components
	Additive manufacturing	Automated spark plasma sintering; closed-loop hardware/software for improved yields; develop families of polymer nano-filled compounds; new inks and slurries for direct writing systems; regional additive manufacturing stations	Continuous process for production of titanium powder; increased process throughput; new inks and slurries for direct writing systems; new file formats; larger chambers for metals deposition; improved sensing and controlling of	Develop large-scale printed energy storage batteries; new inks and slurries for direct writing systems; develop additive system techniques to integrate additive manufacturing systems seamlessly
	Composite materials	New autoclave-free processes; develop automated panel lay-up forming to improve production rates	More energy efficient fiber manufacturing processes; high-volume production technologies	Develop low-cost fiber feedstocks
	Energy-efficient metals processes	Improve instrumentation for aluminum reduction cells	New electrode materials for aluminum reduction cells; continuous process for titanium powder; develop a titanium molten metal delivery system	Direct reduction of iron ore using electrolytic hydrogen; novel electrochemistry processes for aluminum or magnesium production; continuous casting processes for high-end alloys; advanced scaling of melt facilities; low-cost, high-property magnesium system for high-volume casting



		COLLABORATIVE DATABASES		PREDICTIVE MODELING OF MATERIAL PERFORMANCE			PROCESS MODELING CODES		INTEGRATED COMPUTATIONAL MATERIALS ENGINEERING
		Structural Materials Databases	Functional Materials Databases	Deformation and Texture	Fracture and Fatigue	Materials Degradation	Microstructural Evolution and Materials Performance	Materials/Compound Discovery Process Manufacturing and Component Performance	ICME Platforms
FUNCTIONAL SURFACE TECHNOLOGIES	Catalysts		●			●		●	●
	Solar Materials		●			●		●	
	Gas-Separating Membranes		●		●				
	Coatings	●				●	●		●
MATERIALS INTEGRATION IN CLEAN ENERGY SYSTEMS	Next-Generation Batteries and Fuel Cells		●			●	●	●	●
	Joining Processes for Multi-Material Structures	●		●	●	●	●	●	●
	Composites with Structural Capabilities	●		●	●	●	●	●	●
HIGHER-PERFORMANCE MATERIALS	Thermoelectric Materials		●				●	●	
	Phase-Stable Metallic Materials	●		●	●	●	●	●	●
	Surface Treatments	●		●	●	●	●	●	●
	Lightweight High-Strength Materials	●		●	●	●	●	●	●
NEW PARADIGM MATERIALS MANUFACTURING PROCESSES	Net-Shape Processing	●		●			●	●	●
	Additive Manufacturing	●	●		●		●	●	●
	Low-Cost Composites Manufacturing						●	●	
	Energy-Efficient Metals Production	●		●			●	●	●

243  
244 **Figure 1: The impact of computational tools and advances on next generation materials innovation [2]**

245 **4. Risk and Uncertainty, and Other Considerations**

246 As with any research area, investments in next generation materials developments are not with  
247 substantial risks and uncertainties. Given that many materials innovations are based on the discovery of  
248 fundamental new structures, interactions, and properties at the lab scale, there is always a significant  
249 risk that such discoveries may not ultimately translate into new materials that can be manufactured at  
250 levels of acceptable cost or meet other performance requirements dictated by their market application,  
251 such a strength, durability, resistance to corrosion, aesthetics, and non-toxicity, to name a few.

252 The development of integrated computational tools and models that can help predict materials and  
253 market performance can reduce these risks to some extent, but uncertainties are always present. The  
254 materials innovation process can also demand enormous investments in capital equipment for  
255 experiments, measurements, and testing, which represent a major up-front cost barrier to many  
256 research institutions. Moreover, even when such investments have been made, it can be very expensive

257 to maintain ongoing research activities, especially when the processes of discovering and engineering  
 258 new materials innovations can take many years. Therefore, there is a risk that the materials innovation  
 259 process is not sustainable or accessible to many capable researchers, which represents a bottleneck in  
 260 our research infrastructure as well as the potential for innovation directions being determined by a  
 261 relatively small population within the research community, which can limit the opportunity space.

262 Another significant risk is the strong coupling between energy prices and the market for new materials  
 263 across all materials innovation categories, such as high-strength, lightweight materials for transport  
 264 vehicles, materials for clean energy applications, and new processes for reducing manufacturing energy  
 265 use and waste in key materials industries such as iron and steel and chemicals. When energy prices  
 266 increase, as happened over roughly the last decade, continuous pressure is placed on U.S. businesses  
 267 and consumer to reduce energy costs through greater efficiency, which accelerates demand for novel,  
 268 more energy efficient, materials and processes. However, recent significant drops in the prices of US  
 269 natural gas and transport fuels may serve as disincentives for greater energy efficiency, and reduce the  
 270 market attractiveness economic viability of such technologies. Such vulnerability to energy market  
 271 fluctuations can be overcome through strong national policies and commitments to next generation  
 272 materials as part of local and national energy policies, in the interests of long-term energy and resource  
 273 securities, and as a means of bringing competitive advantage to the United States by manufacturing and  
 274 supplying next generation materials to global markets.

275 **5. Case Studies**

276 Table 4 summarizes several case studies within advanced materials research areas funded by the US  
 277 DOE and AMO, along with quantitative estimates of benefits. While the potential energy and economic  
 278 benefits of materials innovation can vary widely and are highly case specific, the quantitative data in  
 279 Table X underscore the life-cycle savings that might be realized across the US economy through next  
 280 generation materials.

281 **Table 4: Case studies of U.S. DOE advanced materials research benefits**

Category	Subcategory	Description	Benefits
Functional surface technologies	Catalysts/coatings	A novel catalytic coating is being developed for ethylene crackers, which greatly reducing coke formation in furnace coils. Less coke formation contributes to longer run times and lower decoking frequency, leading to savings in energy use and corresponding air pollutant emissions. [17]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 15-25% energy reduction (fuel savings) might be achieved in the ethylene cracking process.</li> <li>• Energy savings for the U.S. petrochemicals sector as a whole would range from 4%-6%.</li> </ul>
New paradigm manufacturing processes	Net-shape processing	An improved lost foam casting process has been engineered by General Motors, which more accurately measures the size and shape of sand used in casting and better characterizes rheological properties to reduce casting defects. [18]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Commercialized in 2004, this technology saved an estimated 2.3 Trillion Btus of cumulative energy in the United States as of 2009.</li> <li>• Significantly reduces aluminum and sand scrap rates during production of the complex General Motors L61 engine.</li> </ul>
Functional surface	Separations	A novel ceramic membrane technology has been developed to replace rubber-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prevents fuel vapor escape from a gasoline storage tank, thereby</li> </ul>

technologies		based polymer membranes for separating volatile organic compounds from air at fueling stations. [18]	potentially saving 180 million gallons of gasoline per year domestically.
Higher performance materials	Bio-based materials	New process technologies have been developed to yield semi-crystalline polylactide particles derived from biomass feedstocks that have improved physical properties, thereby offering a viable replacement to fossil-fuel derived polymers. [18]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consumes up to 68% less energy in the form of fossil resources compared with producing products from petroleum.</li> <li>• Competes in a market based on price and performance, with a better environmental profile than today's plastics.</li> <li>• Reduces the nation's dependence on foreign resources and oil for products such as clothing, food packaging, and carpets.</li> </ul>

282 **6. References**

283 1. TMS, *Innovation Impact Report: Key Findings*, 2011, The Minerals, Metals & Materials Society:  
284 Warrendale, Pennsylvania.

285 2. TMS, *Linking Transformational Materials and Processing for an Energy-Efficient and Low-Carbon*  
286 *Economy—Innovation Impact Report*, 2011, The Minerals, Metals, & Materials Society:  
287 Warrendale, Pennsylvania, USA.

288 3. *Materials Genome Initiative*. 2015 February 4, 2015]; Available from:  
289 <http://www.whitehouse.gov/mgi>.

290 4. TMS, *Implementing ICME in the Aerospace, Automotive, and Maritime Industries*, 2013, The  
291 Minerals, Metals, & Materials Society: Warrendale, Pennsylvania.

292 5. Engineering, C.o.I.C.M., *Integrated Computational Materials Engineering: A Transformational*  
293 *Discipline for Improved Competitiveness and National Security*, 2008: Washington, DC.

294 6. *Integrated Computational Materials Engineering Expert Group*. 2015 February 4, 2015]; Available  
295 from: <http://www.icmeg.euproject.info/>.

296 7. TMS. *3rd World Congress on Integrated Computational Materials Engineering (ICME 2015)* 2015  
297 February 4, 2015]; Available from: <http://www.tms.org/meetings/2015/icme2015/>.

298 8. Manufacturing.gov. *National Advanced Manufacturing Portal*. 2015 February 2, 2015]; Available  
299 from: <http://manufacturing.gov/welcome.html>.

300 9. IEA, *Technology Roadmap: Energy and GHG Reductions in the Chemical Industry via Catalytic*  
301 *Processes*, 2013, International Energy Agency: Paris, France.

302 10. Fenske, G., *Friction/Wear – Parasitic Energy Losses*, in *Presentation to the U.S. Department of*  
303 *Energy Vehicle Technologies Program Annual Merit Review* 2008, Argonne National Laboratory.

304 11. Klemm, O., et al., *Fog as a Fresh-Water Resource: Overview and Perspectives*. *AMBIO*, 2012. **41**(3):  
305 p. 221-234.

306 12. Kyoo-Chul Park, e.a., *Energy-Efficient Applications Using Surfaces with Special Wettabilities*.  
307 *Journal of Nanotechnology and Smart Materials*, 2014.

308 13. DOE, U. *Vehicle Technologies Office: Lightweight Materials for Cars and Trucks*. 2015; Available  
309 from: [http://energy.gov/eere/vehicles/vehicle-technologies-office-lightweight-materials-cars-](http://energy.gov/eere/vehicles/vehicle-technologies-office-lightweight-materials-cars-and-trucks)  
310 [and-trucks](http://energy.gov/eere/vehicles/vehicle-technologies-office-lightweight-materials-cars-and-trucks).

311 14. IEA, *Cement Technology Roadmap 2009: Carbon emissions reductions up to 2050*, 2009,  
312 International Energy Agency: Paris, France.

313 15. DeForest, N., et al., *Regional performance targets for transparent near-infrared switching*  
314 *electrochromic window glazings*. *Building and Environment*, 2013. **61**(0): p. 160-168.

- 315 16. al., A.e., *Going on a Metal Diet: Using Less Liquid Metal to Deliver the Same Services in Order to*  
316 *Save Energy and Carbon*, 2011, Cambridge University: Cambridge, England, UK.
- 317 17. Yao, Y., et al., *Greener pathways for energy-intensive commodity chemicals: opportunities and*  
318 *challenges*. *Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering*, 2014. **6**(0): p. 90-98.
- 319 18. PNNL, *IMPACTS: Industrial Technologies Program: Summary of Program Results for CY 2009, 2011:*  
320 *Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.*

321