Dr. Eric Miller

Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE) Fuel Cells Technology Office (FTCO)

DOE and DoD Multi-topic Workshop Advanced Materials Manufacturing (AMM) Session Fort Worth, TX October 9, 2014

Advanced Materials Manufacturing (AMM) Institute Stakeholders Workshop

WELCOME & THANK YOU!

from your friendly support staff: Eric Miller, David Forrest, Fred Crowson, Jessica Savell...



BRAINSTORMING RULES APPLY IN OUR EXPLORATIONS (adding 'brutal honesty tempered by kindness and mutual respect')

Context: The First Manufacturing Innovation Institute Additive Manufacturing/3D Printing – Youngstown OH

Prime Awardee: National Center for Defense Manufacturing and Machining

- Initial \$30M federal investment matched by \$40M industry, state/local
- Strong leveraging of equipment, existing resources
- Strong business development
- Tiered membership-based model, low cost to small business and nonprofits





Now at \$50M federal, \$60M co-invested
OVER 100 Participating partners!





Why America Makes?



A Self Sustainable Institute Model

America Makes creates mechanisms for collaboration...

Cooperative Development of

- Training
- Assessments
- Case Studies

Solving Problems Collaboratively



Work Shops, Working Groups, Projects

Pooling Resources / Pooling Risks

Public/Private Funded Projects Crowd Funded Projects

Cooperative Development of

- Material Specs
- Process Specs
- Material Databases
- Design Rules
- Application Guides

Leveraging Community Knowledge



Groups, Knowledge Base, Online Collaboration Tools, Databases, Specifications, Application Guides, Curriculum

Why an Advanced Materials Manufacturing (AMM) Institute? for Accelerating Clean Energy Technology Development



are:

SPOILER ALERT! USE YOUR YELLOW CARD TO RESPOND

Q5: Does an AMM Central-Institute and/or Distributed Consortium Make Sense, and if so, What Does it Look Like?

Include, for example:

Your name(s), affiliation and expected AMM role*

>Model for <u>CORE CAPABILITIES</u> and leveraged partnerships (with initial government investment)

> Benefits to clean energy technologies, <u>US MANUFACTURING (and Mankind)</u>

Orchestration of <u>INDUSTRY PULL</u> with <u>SCIENTIFIC PUSH</u> in new RD&D paradigm

>Benefits in leveraging <u>SYNERGIES</u> among computation, experiments and informatics

>Advantages in exploiting <u>COMMONALITIES</u> among different materials classes

<u>METRICS</u> to gauge success in accelerated development time, <u>COST SAVINGS</u>, etc.

>Emphasis on <u>COMMUNITY BUILDING (instead of empire building)</u>

>Emphasis on key role of <u>HUMAN EXPERTISE</u> in tandem with <u>TECHNOLOGY RESOURCES</u>

Long-term <u>SUSTAINABILITY</u> of institute/consortium

*Roles Including: Clean Energy Technology Developers/ Integrators/ Users/ Companies; Materials Tool Developers / Integrators/ Users/ Companies; and Others....

Other Cards for Today's Festivities:

PINK: Your "Dance Card"

Keeping track of colleagues you'd like to network with during our 'working lunch' (and possibly beyond!)

BLUE : General Answer-Board Cards

Archiving your thoughtful responses to our session's four focus questions



(Feel free to use 'regular' pen; Please write legibly)

DOE's Clean Energy Materials R&D Challenges: Spanning Numerous Materials Classes

Can an AMM Approach Integrating MGI* Toolsets and Expertise Facilitate Acceleration of Clean Energy Product Development?

Structural Materials Katerials	ht bosites turbines S efficient	Optical Materials
high temp materials CSP, combustion, geothermal energy storage material thermal. thermocher	advanced membranes batteries, fuel cells, purifiers electrode materials batteries, fuel cells, electrolyzers	miconductors electronics, ntegration
Materials	alternative fuels advanced combustion, bio/synthetic fuels	Electronic Materials
Materials Genome Initiative	Chemical Materials	

AMM is a Pillar in the DOE Clean Energy Manufacturing Initiative: Independent of the "Institute/Consortium" Implementation

Serves as a strategic resource in DOE's broader <u>Materials Genome Initiative for Clean Energy</u>

Fosters public/private partnerships that:

- Identify and develop advanced materials and processes for clean energy product development
- Provide common resources for high throughput computational, experimental and 'big data' activities emphasizing applied, energy materials challenges
- Provide accessible and standardized archives of materials models and complementary experimental/computational materials data
- Provide an accessible brain trust of professionals highly skilled in computational materials techniques, such as *Integrated Computational Materials Engineering*
- Provide access to expert scientists and engineers able to leverage high throughput experimental methods for solving industry-relevant materials problems
- Provide access to expertise in clean-energy-application materials classes

Materials Genome Initiative for Clean Energy: Foundation for a Potential AMM Institute/Consortium

Expands on the *Materials Genome Initiative (MGI)* approach, combining multi-scale, multi-physics computational methods with high-throughput synthesis and characterization for intelligent, focused development of improved *MATERIALS, PROCESSES & PRODUCTS* for clean energy technologies

Accelerates materials to market through a focus on process and end-use manufacturing



Materials, devices and PRODUCTS by design integrating and expanding the best experimental and computational tools Utilizes multi-physics/multi-scale methodologies and models relevant to accelerated materials-tomarket development

Why an AMM Institute/Consortium Could Make Sense..

> Bridges *INDUSTRY PULL* with the *SCIENTIFIC PUSH*

- OSTP has embraced this as a vital and under-represented component of MGI.

> Builds and maintains foundational resources and expertise

 Individual companies/universities have developed their own data, tools, models, and expertise—but these resources fall into disuse without ongoing support and development. It is difficult for most institutions to support permanent in-house staff with the highly specialized skills, laboratory instruments, and computational power needed.

Serves as a resource where manufacturers can focus on advancing their own applications and businesses

 There's insufficient payoff for most individual companies to make the investments necessary for advancing the Materials Genome infrastructure. AMM-CEMGI would focus on shared resources and take a custodial role of customized experimental and computational tools, models, and hard-won data.

An AMM Institute/Consortium Approach Offers...

a better way to do what we are already doing in the materials development space



- **Computational/Experimental/Big Data Synergies:** The AMM consortium's combination of computational and experimental methods is ideally suited for accelerated materials discovery and development.
- **Cross-Cutting Technology Impact:** Applying AEMGI techniques in solving specific clean energy materials challenges produces large volumes of "remnant" data and information which could prove extremely valuable to solving materials challenges in other energy technology development efforts.
- Significant Cost Savings in Shared Resources: The cost of AMM resources needed to cover the broad spectrum of materials R&D critical to DOE and EERE would be prohibitive if built-up separately.

Doing it the "Right" Way, with Clearly Defined:

Mission Priorities

- **Emphasizing clean-energy benefits**, including identification of key technologies in the DOE's clean energy portfolio that could be advanced through the AMM material-to-market paradigm, and how application of AMM to cross-cutting technology thrusts with common materials R&D needs could broadly accelerate progress across the DOE Offices.
- **Formulating a high-impact strategy**, determining the most productive balance of application-specific materials design and broadly enabling material discovery, and emphasizing the benefit of different integrated computational/experimental/synthesis approaches towards addressing clean energy technology needs.
- <u>**Targeting early stage success**</u>, identifying the technology thrusts offering the 'lowest hanging fruit' (i.e. highest potential for early product-to-market successes) that should be targeted for initial implementation of the AMM R&D approach.

Operational Parameters

- **Delineating the core capabilities** in computation & modeling, experimental synthesis & characterization, data management & informatics, and product development & intellectual property management that would best define the scope and boundaries of an effective AEMGI consortium.
- **Identifying an optimal consortium/partnership model** for the AMM public/private partnership, including specification of constructs for managing resources and funding activities involving the core capabilities, and clear definition of DOE's role in consortium support.
- **Determining a critical mass for early deployment** in the core capabilities needed to start up the AMM Institute/Consortium (including resources, facilities, expertise, staffing, etc.); and identifying mechanisms needed to ensure growth and sustainability.

What an AMM Institute/Consortium Might Look Like

extreme environment materials thrust

structural lightweight materials thrust functional materials interfaces thrust

leveraging unique capabilities for fast-tracking materials to market, while expanding and enhancing the tools & methods in the core

AMM CORE INSTITUTE/CONSORTIUM

unique set of in-house capabilities in accelerated energy-materials development

feedback pathway

Advanced Modeling, Computing, and Simulation Capabilities

leveraging and expanding on the current MGI multi-physics, multiscale computational base

High Throughput Synthesis, Characterization & Analysis Capabilities

high productivity combinatorial discovery & development tailored to specific energy end uses

Computational Materials Qualification Acceleration



linkages in methods / data / intellectual property

Combines multi-physics, multi-scale computation with high-throughput synthesis and characterization for intelligent, focused materials RD&D in different clean energy technology thrusts



Leveraging Existing MGI-related Activities (to be updated): *Building Appropriate Bridges*



Leveraging Existing Combinatorial Resources (to be updated): *Building Appropriate Bridges*



Roadmap for Today's AMM Workshop Session

Vigorous Discussions: Industry-Pull and Scientific-Push Stakeholders

- What <u>EXPERIENCES</u> (good and bad) have you had with materials development for clean energy (or other) applications and products?
- What <u>GAPS</u> in resources (equipment and expertise) and resource accessibility have inhibited your efforts at materials development for specific end-uses?
- What <u>EXISTING RESOURCES</u> in computation, experimentation, and 'big data' (including MGI and other) can be leveraged by AMM for accelerating the materials-to-market process?
- What AMM INSTITUTE/CONSORTIUM FRAMEWORK could serve to build and maintain foundational resources and expertise while best leveraging synergistic existing resources for accelerating materials-to-market in clean energy applications; and where would you fit into such a framework?

Today's Objective: Constructing a model AMM Framework with Core Capabilites and Leveraged Partnerships **CORE:** Management Tools & Expertise **PARTNERS: PARTNERS**: (including scientific, manufacturing, intellectual Toolset Clean Energy property, etc.) Application **Developers** and **Developers** and Integrators 4RManufacturers **CORE:** Experimental CORE: **Tools & Expertise** Computational and **4**A **4C** Modeling Tools & (including combinatorial Expertise (including and high-throughput high-throughput) methods) **CORE:** Materials Expertise in Clean Energy Materials Classes **4F CORE:** Data and Informatics Tools & Expertise **4G**

Bridges to External Resources: Broader MGI and Other Materials R&D Efforts

4H

Breakout Session 4b: Experiences/Lessons-Learned in Applied Materials Development

QUESTION 1:

The application-driven approach aims to accelerate the material design/advanced materials manufacturing process. What are your experiences, good and bad, using computational/experimental tools (including 'high-throughput' methods) in application-specific materials development efforts?

Please provide quantitative metrics for success in terms of performance and development time, when appropriate.

Question 1:Include on card:Application/Material(s);Experiences in Applied Materials Development (50 min)Include on card:Application/Material(s); Toolset(s) and Expertise Employed; Resource Requirements;(include name, affiliation, & AMM role, along with any elaborating details on back of card)					
	Structural / Environmental Materials		Other	Functional Materials/ Interfaces	
positive	computational 1A	experimental 1B	1C	computational 1D	experimental 1E
mixed	1F	1 G	1H	11	1J
less positive	1K	1L	1M	1N	10

Breakout Session 4c: Gaps and Access Limitations in Current Tool Sets and Expert Resources

QUESTION 2:

What are the gaps and access-limited challenges in the advanced experimental tools (e.g. synthesis and characterization tools) or computational/ modeling tools (including high-throughput methods) that are available for solving application-specific materials development problems, and what additional development work, validation work, or integration/interoperability work, if any, would be most beneficial to accelerating the development process?

Question 2: Gaps in Tools an Available Resou (50 min)	nd Include on card: relevant sector 2A, 2B, etc.	Application/Material(s); Gaps in Toolset(s) and Expertis Resource Needs and Limitation Expected Improvements in Res	e; (include name, affiliation, & AMM role, along ns; with any elaborating details on back of card) sults;
	Structural / Environ Materials	mental Other	Functional Materials/ Interfaces
Computational tools and expertise: multi- scale, ICME, etc.	2 A	2B	2C
Information and data (deficits & surplus): informatics tools and expertise	2D	2 E	2F
Experimental tools and expertise: synthesis, characterization (including high- throughput, combinatorial, etc.)	2G	2Н	21

Breakout Session 4d: Existing Resources and Leveraging Opportunities

QUESTION 3:

What are the pros and cons of existing efforts at multiple U.S. institutions developing fundamental material data, property data, advanced materials computational tools, high throughput characterization methods, and deep knowledge about the relationships between composition, processing, structure, and properties and where are there gaps that may be addressed with the proposed DOE approach? Question 3: Existing Resources & Leveraging Opportunities (50 min)

Include on card:Existing Resource Type/Scope/Sponsorrelevant sectorToolset(s) and Expertise;3A, 3B, etc.Accessibility & Bridging Opportunities

(include name, affiliation, & AMM role, along with any elaborating details on back of card)

	Structural / Environmental Materials	Other	Functional Materials/ Interfaces
Application- driven manufacture d materials	<i>3A</i>	3 B	ЗС
Cross-cutting; multi-scale, multi-physics	3D	3 E	3 F
Fundamental; atomic to molecular scale	3G	ЗН	31

Breakout Session 4e: AMM Core Infrastructure and Leveraged Partnerships

QUESTION 4:

What core infrastructure components and specific relevant capabilities/tools would be required for a central facility or institute to support an AMM-based approach to various materials challenges versus distributed model leveraging or expanding on existing capabilities; and what is the availability and ease of access of advanced computing/experimental tools from specific institutions relevant to application-driven problem? What AMM framework (potentially including central core capabilities and expertise, leveraged partner resources, and coordinated linkages with MGI and other materials R&D efforts) would be best suited to build a versatile and expandable R&D community in accelerated materials development for clean energy applications, uniting, curating, and coordinating resources in a way that preserves intellectual property and maximizes the benefits for U.S. industrial competitiveness?

Question 4: Inc Core Infrastructure and Leveraged Partnerships (60 min) 4/	<i>clude on card:</i> Capability Type with <i>evant sector</i> <i>A, 4B, etc.</i> Proposed Entity wit Expected Inputs-to a Accessibility & Bridg	n Toolset(s) and Expertise; h Resource Requirements;(include name, and Outputs-from AMM; with any elabo ging Opportunities	affiliation, & AMM role, along prating details on back of card)
PARTNERS: Toolset Developers and Integrators	CORE: Management Tools manufacturing, int	PARTNERS: Clean Energy Application Developers and Manufacturers	
4 A	CORE: Computational and Modeling Tools & Expertise (including high- throughput)	CORE: Experimental Tools & Expertise (including combinatorial and high- throughput methods) 4E	4 C
	CORE: Materials Expertise in	Clean Energy Materials Classes 4F	
	CORE: Data and Informatics Tools & Expertise 4G		

Bridges to External Resources: Broader MGI and Other Materials R&D Efforts

BACKUP

Integrated Computational Materials Engineering (ICME) Materials Genome Initiative (MGI)



ICME: A growing discipline in materials science and engineering

Replace and/or augment conventional experimental techniques with computational and high throughput techniques to generate material properties used for engineering analysis



MGI: A White House Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) Initiative

Advance and integrate experimental tools, computational tools, and data to reduce the time from discovery to deployment for new materials

The Materials Genome Initiative (MGI)

Integrating experimentation, modeling, and theory

- Developing new models
- Implementing models to develop
 new tools
- Integrating tools to develop new frameworks

Building the foundation for a materials data infrastructure

- Developing best practices and standards for materials data
- Enabling and supporting data infrastructure

Driving a fundamental shift in materials research culture

- Emphasizing cross-discipline, cross-agency, and industryacademic research
 - Promoting data sharing, distribution, and citation

Equipping the next-generation materials workforce

- Connecting early-career
 researchers with industry
- Developing curricular and training programs
 - Establishing co-op/intern/detail opportunities

Integrated Computational Materials Engineering (ICME)



"We want to reduce weight of a shock tower by 15% using Mg alloys while costing no more than \$18.50 to produce and integrate into the vehicle"



Combinatorial Chemistry with High-Throughput Screening



- Advanced manufacturing and materials are a <u>very complex</u> phase space
- Combinatorial chemistry revolutionized industries with complex materials challenges (e.g. drug discovery and catalysts)
- Extensions of the approach could do the same for advanced manufacturing



- Combinatorial strategies can be applied to <u>multi-dimensional</u> systems
- Appropriate for the complexity of
 - advanced materials/device/ manufacturing
- Can impact and accelerate multiple advanced materials programs

