

From: [Carl Daffron](#)
To: [Congestion Study Comments](#)
Subject: National Interest Energy Transmission Corridors
Date: Monday, September 22, 2014 12:47:15 PM

I am opposed to the establishment of National Interest Energy Transmission Corridors (NIETC's) for the following reasons.

First, the easements place an undue burden on landowners on and near the transmission lines. The compensation cannot begin to cover the all of the losses, tangible and intangible that landowners would suffer.

Second, I believe that condemning private property for transmission lines in one state to transport electricity to another is a violation of property rights since it would not significantly benefit the residents of the pass-through states.

Third, the eastern states should develop the utility scale wind resources conveniently located just a few miles off-shore near the load centers along the eastern seaboard, thus eliminating hundreds of miles of harmful and costly transmission lines through private, productive farmland and forest.

Fourth, renewable energy ought to be injected into the grid and used within the regions it is produced. The regional economies will benefit when the money is retained in the local economy rather than exporting it to other regions, eliminating the need for transmission lines.

I truly believe in the benefits of renewable energy, however; I do not believe wind energy is not a viable solution. My business sells to utility companies and many of them believe the same as I do. Facts support that most of the interested parties supporting this initiative are pursuing it for monetary reasons, not seeking a cleaner earth.

Finally, I believe that NIETC's are a gross violation of state's right to regulate transmission lines.

I urge you to consider these reasons and please do not establish any National Interest Energy Transmission Corridors.

Thank you,
Carl Daffron
Daffron & Associates
Vandalia And Bowling Green, MO