Bonneville Power Administration

memorandum

DATE: April 3, 2014

REPLY TO

ATTN OF: KEPR-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

то: Ryan Brady

Lineman Foreman III – TFOK-CHEHALIS

Proposed Action: Chehalis-Mayfield No. 1 Line Impairment Structure Replacements

PP&A Project No.: 2,914

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B1.3 Routine maintenance

<u>Location</u>: Lewis County, Washington; Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) Chehalis

District

Proposed by: BPA

Description of the Proposed Action: BPA proposes to address clearance impairments at two locations on the 230-kilovolt Chehalis-Mayfield No. 1 transmission line. The project involves replacing the wood poles of two existing H-frame wood pole structures, 13/8 and 20/5, in-kind at the same locations but with taller poles. Increasing the height of these structures and conductor by approximately 10 feet in the existing, cleared right-of-way (ROW) will remediate line clearance issues at these locations. Both structures are located on private property in the existing cleared ROW easement on flat to moderate slopes. To safely access the line structures and perform the work, the work may require routine road maintenance, such as adding rock on the existing access road bed. Where no road exists in the corridor, access for this project will be temporary only, and environmental matting may be used in wet areas, if needed. An impairment is a span or area where the distance from the conductor to the ground surface is inadequate, per National Electrical Safety Code (NESC) standards.

The proposed work is necessary to ensure ongoing safe and reliable operation of the transmission line to minimize risk of outages and maintain power delivery in the region. The proposed work is scheduled during an outage in May 2014. All work will be in accordance with the NESC and BPA standards.

Findings: BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)]

to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would <u>not</u> (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

The proposed project will not affect any listed threatened or endangered species or designated critical habitat under the Endangered Species Act, or historic properties under the National Historic Preservation Act. The project will not impact areas of great visual value and no project sites are within a governmentally designated scenic area.

This proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

/s/ Makary A. Hutson Makary A. Hutson Environmental Project Manager	
Concur: /s/ Katherine S. Pierce Katherine S. Pierce	DATE: <u>April 3, 2014</u>

NEPA Compliance Officer

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Name of Proposed Project: Chehalis-Mayfield No. 1 Impairment Structure Replacements				
Work Order #: TBD				
This project does <u>not</u> have the potential to cause significant impacts on the following environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.				
Environmental Res	ources	No Potential for Significance	No Potential, with Conditions (describ	
Historic Properties and Co	ultural Resources		X	
Proposed action will occur with will use existing access roads at poles are removed. New wood maintenance is required, rock w	nd landings. Wood poles w poles will be in-kind, cons	vill be installed reusing the sistent with existing poles an	ame holes, after the existin	
2. T & E Species, or their ha	abitat(s)	X		
Structure 13/8 site is over 1-mil WAT13NR1ESEC29). Above of habitat, project activities are sin and will take place during the d	canopy detection from 1992 nilar to adjacent rural agricu	2, project area is not near kn	own or suitable nesting	
3. Floodplains or wetlands			X	
Structure 20/5 is located in a gr. of the wetland shall be tempora. There was no standing water du vehicles to access at time of cor and duration of the impacts are sediment controls must be implessed.	ry, including the final 150-fining a site visit on 3/25/14. Instruction, environmental minimized, while allowing	feet of the approach to access If the site or access route is nats shall be installed prior to the BPA crew to safely per	ss the ROW and structure. too wet for rubber-tired o work to ensure the extent form the work. Erosion and	
4. Areas of special designati	on	X		
5. Health & safety		X		
6. Prime or unique farmland	S	X		
7. Special sources of water		X		
8. Other – Visual Impacts -	existing facilities, in-kind	d X		
List supporting documentation	on attached (if needed):			
Signed: /s/ Makary A. Hutson Makary A. Hutson, KEPR-4		Date: March 3	1, 2014	