

... for a brighter future







A U.S. Department of Energy laboratory managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC

### Non-Platinum Bimetallic Cathode Electrocatalysts

Debbie Myers – Argonne National Laboratory William A. Goddard, III – California Institute of Technology Clemens Heske – University of Nevada – Las Vegas Karren More – Oak Ridge National Laboratory John R. Regalbuto – University of Illinois – Chicago Piotr Zelenay – Los Alamos National Laboratory (beginning FY'08)

Hydrogen, Fuel Cells & Infrastructure Technologies New Project Kick-Off Meeting Washington, D.C., February 13-14, 2007

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information

#### **Objective and Technical Targets**

- Develop a non-platinum cathode electrocatalyst for polymer electrolyte fuel cells to meet DOE targets that:
  - Promotes the direct four-electron oxygen reduction reaction with high electrocatalytic activity

(0.44 A/mg<sub>PGM</sub>; 720 μA/cm<sup>2</sup> @0.9 V<sub>iR-free</sub>)

- O<sub>2</sub> reduction reaction (ORR) in acidic media
  - Two-electron transfer

 $O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- = H_2O_2$ 

Four-electron transfer

 $O_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^- = 2 H_2O$ 

- Is chemically compatible with the acidic electrolyte and resistant to dissolution (<40% electrochemical area loss over 5000 h@<80°C and 2000 h@>80°C)
- Is low cost (\$5/KW, 0.3 mg PGM/cm<sup>2</sup>)



#### **Approach and Technical Barriers Addressed**

- Bimetallic systems (base metal-noble metal)
  - Surface segregation of minor noble metal component to form protective layer
  - Base metal component chosen to modify d-band center of noble metal making it more "Pt-like"
  - Choice of bimetallic systems is based on surface segregation energies and d-band center shift
  - Examples: Bimetallics of palladium, iridium, and rhodium
- Technical barriers and how we are addressing them
  - A. Durability: altering oxophilicity of catalyst to prevent oxidation-related degradation
  - B. Cost: lowering PGM loading by replacing PGM in electrocatalyst particle core with base metal
  - C. Electrode performance: modifying surface electronic properties to enhance ORR activity



### Noble metals were chosen based on stability and tendency to form surface "skins"

- Noble metals are the most stable in acidic environment
  - Pd  $E^{o'}$  for dissolution = 0.987 V
  - Rh  $E^{o'}$  for dissolution = 0.76 V
  - Ir  $E^{o'}$  for dissolution = 1.156 V
  - Pt  $E^{o'}$  for dissolution = 1.188 V
- Base metals were chosen, in part, by the tendency of noble metal to form a protective skin
- Tendencies of noble metals to segregate to the surface of base metal hosts have been calculated by J. Nørskov and co-workers [A.V. Ruban, H.L. Skriver, J.K. Nørskov, Phys. Rev. B, 59 (1999)15990.]



### The d-band centers of candidate noble metals can be shifted towards desired values by alloying with base metals

- There is a relationship between the d-band center of the metal and its ORR activity Nørskov-Hammer theory and results of LBNL group
- Pt<sub>3</sub>Co has high ORR activity and, thus, a desirable d-band center (LBNL)





#### Base metal increased ORR activity of palladium





#### **Project tasks**

- Perform computational studies to guide choice of systems and compositions (Caltech)
- Fabricate and characterize model systems-bulk electrodes to guide choice of systems and compositions (UNLV, Argonne)
- Synthesize nano-particles on high-surface-area carbon support (Argonne, UIC)
- Characterize nano-particle ORR activity, composition, electronic structure, and morphology (Argonne, ORNL, UNLV, UIC)
- Determine stability via dissolution measurements, mechanisms of degradation, and predict lifetime via modeling (Argonne)
- Fabricate, test, and characterize membrane-electrode assemblies with newlydeveloped electrocatalyst (LANL, ORNL)
  - determine performance and durability using accelerated test protocol



### **Computational analyses will be used to guide the choice of bimetallic systems and compositions**

- Quantum mechanical calculations
  - Detailed reaction mechanisms and rate-limiting processes
  - Binding energies and structures for possible intermediates (i.e., O, H, O<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, OH, OOH, H<sub>2</sub>O)
  - How alloying and nano-structure affect the ORR rates
- Large-scale molecular dynamics simulations using ReaxFF
  - Trends in chemisorption energies of oxygen-containing species
  - Effect of nano-particle size, alloying elements, surface defects and segregations, step edges, and kinks on the barriers and rates of the ORR



Caltech computational analysis results: Rate determining step-OH formation, Ir worse then Pt



## Model systems (bulk electrodes) will be used to guide the choice of bimetallic systems

- Used to establish relationship between physicochemical properties and ORR activity
- Model systems
  - Fabrication by arc melting and sputtercleaning, e-beam evaporation
  - Surface composition verification by XPES
- Electronic characterization (UPS, STS, KPFM)
  - Energy of d-band
  - Density of occupied and unoccupied electronic states
- Oxygen reduction activity, reaction mechanism, and stability
  - Electrochemical measurements via hanging meniscus technique
  - Post-test spectroscopic and microscopic characterization to determine changes in composition, morphology, and electronic properties





### Synthesis of nano-particle bimetallic carbon-supported electrocatalysts

- Goals
  - Achieve noble metal-base metal bimetallic core with noble metal skin
  - Minimize particle size, maximize surface area/gram PGM
  - Achieve uniform and controllable particle size and composition
- Techniques
  - Colloidal synthesis
  - Strong electrostatic adsorption





20 nm



# Single-phase colloidal technique for bimetallic nano-particle formation and deposition

- Chemical reduction of metal precursors in the presence of organic capping molecules (e.g., oleylamine and oleic acid)
  - capping molecules stabilize small particles, limit particle growth
- Pre-formed particles loaded on carbon support
  - capping molecules maintain particle dispersion
- Removal of capping molecules through thermal or electrochemical decomposition
   ✓ capping molecules can be removed at moderate temperatures



**50 nm** Unsupported Pd-Base Metal



50 nm Pd-Base Metal/C



## Strong electrostatic adsorption technique for synthesis of core-shell bimetallic nano-particles



SEA technique has been demonstrated by UIC for Pt-Co bimetallics



Impregnate at pH between PZCs for selective adsorption and formation of bimetallics



#### Catalyst activity and structural characterization of carbonsupported nano-particle catalysts

- Determine oxygen reduction activity and reaction mechanism (4 e- or 2 e-)
  - Thin-film rotating ring-disk technique
- Verify that desired structures, compositions, and particles sizes are obtained
  - TEM, EDAX, XRD, XAS, XPS, IR of adsorbed CO
- Characterize nano-particle electronic structure
  - Soft X-ray and UV spectroscopies







#### Accelerated durability testing of carbon-supported nanoparticle catalyst

- Potentiostatic and potential cycling dissolution rates
- Equilibrium concentration of dissolved metallic components of electrocatalysts
- Mechanism of dissolution reaction via rotating ring-disk experiments
- Modeling of performance degradation (beginning with Pt/C commercial electrocatalyst)





### Electrocatalysts that pass activity and durability screening tests will tested in MEAs

Membrane-electrode assembly fabrication, testing, and characterization

- MEA fabrication
- MEA performance and durability testing
  - Pre- and post-test analyses using TEM, XRD, and SAXS



#### LANL H<sub>2</sub>-Air MEA Fabrication Procedure

#### **ORNL TEM analyses of LANL MEA**





#### **Project schedule**

#### Project Schedule/Milestones

### 1. Computational analyses

- 2. Model systems
- 3. Synthesis of nanoparticles
- 4. Characterization of nano-particles
- 5. Accelerated durability testing and modeling
- 6. MEA fabrication and testing

|                                     |    | Year 1 |    |    | Year 2 |    |    | Year 3 |    |    | Year 4 |    |    |    |    |    |
|-------------------------------------|----|--------|----|----|--------|----|----|--------|----|----|--------|----|----|----|----|----|
| Task                                | Q1 | Q2     | Q3 | Q4 | Q1     | Q2 | Q3 | Q4     | Q1 | Q2 | Q3     | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
|                                     |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Task 1. Computational analyses      |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Task 1.1 QM calculations on         |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| prototypes                          |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Task 1.2 New cathode catalyst       |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| materials                           |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Task 1.3 Development of the         |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| ReaxEE to reproduce OM results      |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Task 1.4. Large-scale ReaxEE MD     |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| simulations on binary alloys        |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Task 2. Model systems               |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
|                                     |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Task 2.1 Model system fabrication   |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Task 2.2 Model system electronic    |    |        |    |    |        |    | 1  |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    | 1  |
| characterization                    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Task 2.3 Model system ORP and       | +  |        |    | _  | _      |    | _  |        |    | _  | _      | _  | _  |    | -  | +  |
| stability                           |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Task 3 Synthesis of carbon-         |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| supported papaparticles             |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| supported hanoparticles             |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Table 0.4. On the interference      |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Task 3.1 Colloidal technique        |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Task 3.2 Strong electrostatic       |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| adsorption                          |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Task 4. Characterization of         |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| nanoparticle catalysts              |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Task 4.1 Structural and             |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| compositional analyses              |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Task 4.2 Characterization of        |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| electronic structure                |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Task 4.3 Oxygen reduction activity  |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| and reaction mechanism              |    |        |    |    |        | _  |    |        |    |    | 1      |    |    | _  |    |    |
| Task 5. Accelerated durability      |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| testing and modeling                |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Task 5.1 Potentiostatic dissolution |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| measurements                        |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Task 5.2 Potential step dissolution |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| rate measurements                   |    | 1      |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Task 5.3 Mechanism of the           |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| dissolution reaction                |    | 1      |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Task 5.4 Modeling of performance    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| degradation                         |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Task 6. MEA fabrication and         |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| testing                             | 1  | 1      | 1  |    |        | 1  |    | 1      | 1  | 1  | 1      | 1  | 1  | 1  |    |    |
| Task 6.1 Membrane-electrode         |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| assembly fabrication                |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| Task 6.2 MEA performance,           |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |        |    |    |    |    |    |
| durabilitv testing                  | 1  | 1      | 1  | 1  | 1      | 1  | 1  |        |    |    |        |    |    | 2  |    |    |



#### Go/No-Go decision points

- **#1**: Year 3, end of quarter 2 (June, 2009) decision criteria:
  - ORR activity of the carbon-supported nanoparticle catalysts
    720 μA/cm<sup>2</sup>, 0.44 A/mg<sub>PGM</sub> (@900 mV<sub>iR-free</sub>)
  - Stability of ORR activity with time
    Projected durability >5,000 h (at ≤80°C)
  - Cost: Projected PGM loading ≤0.3 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>
  - Catalysts passing these go/no-go criteria will be incorporated into and tested in 5-cm<sup>2</sup> and 50-cm<sup>2</sup> membrane-electrode assemblies
- **#**2: Year 4, end of quarter 1 (March, 2010) decision criteria:
  - Performance of ≥50-cm<sup>2</sup> MEAs with the newly-developed cathode catalyst 720 μA/cm<sup>2</sup>, 0.44 A/mg (@900 mV<sub>iR-free</sub>), 80°C, H<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub>, 2/9.5 stoichiometry, fully humidified, 150 kPa
  - Performance durability of ≥50-cm<sup>2</sup> MEAs containing newly-developed cathode catalyst

Projected to meet or exceed 5,000 h at ≤80°C



#### **Project budget and acknowledgements**

|                  | Funding in \$K |                |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Fiscal<br>Year   | DOE            | Cost-<br>Share | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007             | 920            | 29             | 949   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008             | 1,309          | 45             | 1,354 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009             | 1,409          | 43             | 1,452 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010             | 1,436          | 43             | 1,479 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011             | 359            | 13             | 372   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total<br>'07-'11 | 5,434          | 172            | 5,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Financial support from DOE, Hydrogen, Fuel Cells & Infrastructure Technologies and the universities
- Nancy Garland, DOE Project Manager

