



Industrial Feedstock Flexibility Workshop Results

December 2009

About This Report

This report summarizes the results of the August 19–20, 2009, Industrial Feedstock Flexibility Workshop, sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Industrial Technologies Program. The workshop brought together 34 industrial feedstock end users, technology manufacturers, university researchers, and national laboratory researchers. Participants were asked to identify high-priority research and development (R&D) opportunities, barriers to R&D in these areas, and R&D pathways for achieving industrial feedstock flexibility and facilitating the use of more cost-effective and energy-efficient conventional feedstocks.

Dr. Dickson Ozokwelu led the effort at the U.S. Department of Energy's Industrial Technologies Program. The workshop was facilitated by Nancy Margolis, Mauricio Justiniano, Joe Monfort, Sabine Brueske, and Ridah Sabouni of Energetics Incorporated.

Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government, nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees make any warranty, expressed or implied, or assume any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represent that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or any agency thereof.

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
1. Alternative Bio-Based Feedstocks	
2. Alternative Fossil-Based Feedstocks	
3. Conventional Feedstocks	
Appendix A. Workshop Agenda	A-1
Appendix B. Final Participant List	B-1
Appendix C. Contact Information	C-1

Industrial Feedstocks

Industrial feedstocks are raw materials used to make industrial products and thousands of consumer goods. Many industrial products are made from oil and natural gas feedstocks, which have a high energy content that could otherwise be used as fuel to heat homes, run vehicles, and power manufacturing processes.

Each year, over 30% of the energy end use in the U.S. manufacturing sector—seven quadrillion Btu—is consumed in the form of non-fuel feedstocks, as shown in Figure 1. This consumption is equivalent to the energy in almost 40% of the gasoline used by vehicles in the United States each year. Feedstocks can account for up to 70% of the production cost of intermediate and semi-finished goods, and fluctuations in the cost of natural gas and oil feedstocks directly affect the cost of producing goods. In recent years, the lack of competitively priced feedstocks has helped push large manufacturing operations overseas, where lower-cost feedstocks are available.

To date, government-sponsored research and development (R&D) has focused on developing alternatives to natural gas and petroleum fuels for the power and transportation sectors, and has not included opportunities for the manufacturing sector to make consumer goods. Additional R&D is needed to enable the sustainable and cost-effective substitution of alternative feedstocks for conventional feedstocks in the production of goods, such as basic chemicals, plastics and resins, steel, and aluminum, among others.

The substitution of alternative feedstocks for natural gas and crude oil in the production of goods would have the following benefits:

- Increase the competitiveness of the U.S. industrial sector by providing flexibility to hedge against energy price volatility
- Enhance national energy security
- Reduce environmental emissions
- Create "green" jobs



Introduction

On August 19–20, 2009, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE), Industrial Technologies Program hosted an invitation-only workshop on industrial feedstock flexibility in Atlanta, Georgia. The workshop focused on exploring the most promising opportunities for achieving industrial feedstock flexibility to help reduce industry's dependence on oil and gas, enhance energy security, increase competitiveness, and support climate goals.

The workshop brought together 34 industrial technology developers and end users, along with experts from government, national laboratories, and academia. The purpose of the workshop was to identify key industrial feedstock research and development (R&D) focus areas, barriers to R&D in these areas, and R&D pathways for achieving industrial feedstock flexibility and facilitating the use of more cost-effective and energy-efficient conventional feedstocks.

The workshop began with a welcome presentation from Dr. Dickson Ozokwelu of the DOE Industrial Technologies Program, followed by a plenary session that included the following presentations:

- David Graf, Dow Chemical Company: "Alternative Feedstocks: A Dow Perspective"
- Linda Beltz, Weyerhaeuser Company: "Bio-based Feedstocks"
- William Choate, BCS Incorporated: "Alternative, Renewable, and Novel Feedstocks for Producing Chemicals"

After the plenary presentations, workshop participants divided into three breakout groups to discuss the following topics:

- Alternative bio-based feedstocks
- Alternative fossil-based feedstocks
- Energy-efficient conventional feedstocks

Following the breakout group sessions, a closing plenary session was held, which consisted of reports from the three breakout groups.

The rest of this report is structured as follows:

- Chapters 1 through 3 summarize the results of the three breakout group sessions.
- Appendix A contains the workshop agenda.
- Appendix B is a list of the workshop participants.

Participating Companies:

- The Dow Chemical Company
- Weyerhaeuser
- BP Amoco
- Archer Daniels Midland
- Linde
- Eastman Chemical Company
- General Electric
- Shell Global Solutions
- RTI International
- Myriant Technologies
- Chart, Inc.
- One Planet Technologies, LLC
- Appendix C provides contact information for the workshop coordination team.

The plenary session presentations are available online at http://www.sentech.org/roadmap/Feedstock_Workshop.html.

Major Findings

Workshop participants identified a variety of high-impact R&D opportunities. The following were common findings across the breakout groups:

- *Improved separations*: All three groups identified the need for improvements in separations. This is a broad category applicable for both pre- and post-treatment, gases and liquids, low-intensity applications, and high-temperature applications. Participants agreed on the need for low-cost separation technologies and processes. Improved separation could improve carbon dioxide sequestration, improve process performance, and reduce capital expenses. Specific R&D opportunities include advances in membranes, resins, reactive extraction, and thermal separation processes, such as distillation.
- *Industrial reactions:* Participants also identified industrial reactions as a key R&D area for potential improvements. Participants recognized the need for low-cost, feed-flexible gasification for chemical feedstock production; specifically, the development of high-pressure gasifiers that can achieve high carbon conversions while minimizing tars and light hydrocarbons, with good heat integration and hot gas cleanup capabilities. Participants also identified catalysis as a major opportunity for industrial reaction improvements. Specific R&D opportunities include advances in catalyst selectivity and conversion performance to reduce manufacturing cost. Process intensification also presents another major opportunity to improve industrial reactions.
- **Direct conversion:** The bio-based and fossil-based feedstock groups identified direct conversions as a tremendous opportunity for industrial feedstock processing. The bio-based feedstock group identified the need for direct conversion of biomass to chemical feedstocks and materials, without further processing. The alternative fossil-based feedstocks group recognized that the ability to transform coal directly into products, thus avoiding the syngas route, would also offer significant benefits to industry. The group also identified an opportunity for stranded gas conversion, by liquefying it in situ and converting methane directly to aromatics via an improved process.

1. Alternative Bio-Based Feedstocks

During the brainstorming portion of the workshop, participants in the alternative bio-based feedstocks group identified high-impact R&D opportunities to advance the use of alternative bio-based feedstocks in industry. After finishing the exercise, the group selected the five highest-priority R&D opportunities, focusing on those that would have the strongest impact in terms of increased energy and carbon emissions savings, reduced production costs, increased energy security, and increased industrial competitiveness. Table 1 presents the prioritized list of high-impact R&D opportunities.

The group identified improvements in thermal-chemical conversion processes as a key R&D focus area. Specific opportunities that the group recognized include the development of low-capital and small-scale gasification and Fischer-Tropsch processes; carbon sequestration; and developing metallurgical coke, bio-based alternatives for iron/steel manufacturing.

The group also identified improvements in separation processes as an important R&D topic. Specific opportunities that the group recognized include the development of membranes, utilizing cleaner ways to extract lignin, and water purification management.

The group also identified the development of direct conversion processes as an important R&D opportunity. The group recognized two clear R&D routes: (1) direct conversion to materials that do not require further chemical or biochemical processing, and (2) direct conversion to chemical feedstocks.

The group also identified process integration as an important R&D area. Of particular interest are the on-site collection/densification of biomass and the development of "green chemical parks to process from biomass to chemicals/materials," also on site.

The group also recognized that biomass supply is a very important issue. Specific R&D opportunities that the group identified include the development of genetically modified crops for tailored processing, increasing the supply of bioderived fatty acids for use as chemical feedstocks, and partial substitutions with biomass.

In the second session of the workshop, participants identified the barriers to the five highest-priority R&D opportunities. Table 2 presents the list of identified barriers.

In the third session of the workshop, the group broke down into subgroups and conducted a "pathway analysis" for each of the top opportunities. To complete this exercise, the subgroups filled out two worksheets for each opportunity:

- The first worksheet describes the current state of the technology, the desired end state, and the potential impacts of achieving the desired end state.
- The second worksheet identifies the necessary R&D pathways to achieve the desired end state, the timeframe, and DOE's role.

The completed pathway analyses are shown after Table 2.

TABLE 1 - BIO-BASED FEEDSTOCK GROUP

HIGH-IMPACT R&D OPPORTUNITIES

INTEGRATION AND LOCALIZATION	PRE-TREATMENT AND FEED PREPARATION	BIOMASS SUPPLY
 Collection/densification of biomass on site Development of "green-chemical parks"; from biomass to chemicals/materials on the same site Ability to locally process biomass to product stream Processes that handle various feedstock compositions Utilize local bio-resource for industry or required application 	 New methods for biomass preparation for conversion (grinding, etc.) • Elemental analysis of bio-feedstocks • Compacting of woody biomass into pellets Supercritical CO₂ pretreatment/saccharification of biomass 	 Genetically modified crops for tailored processing Increase supply of bioderived fatty acids for use as chemical feedstock Partial substitution with biomass Use vegetable oils for chemicals instead of using them for fuel use Sewage sludge to syngas fractionization; also municipal solid waste (MSW) Advanced/intensive silviculture/agriculture methods and tools

DIRECT CONVERSION PROCESSES	SEPARATIONS	BIOCHEMICAL CONVERSION PROCESSES	THERMAL-CHEMICAL CONVERSION PROCESS
 Direct conversion to materials that don't require further chemical or biochemical processing Direct conversion to chemical feedstocks 	 Separation processes and technologies Membrane processes for separation Cleaner way to extract lignin Water purification management Non-enzymatic pathways for fractionation and depolymerization of bio-based feedstocks Synergy to sequester heavy metals 	 Glycerol fermentation to chemicals •• Sugar-to-propanol to propylene via fermentation and dehydration Sugar-to-ethanol to ethylene via fermentation and dehydration on the U.S. Gulf Coast 	 Develop low-capital and small-scale gasification and Fischer-Tropsch processes Metallurgical coke bio-based alternative for iron/steel Carbon sequestration Develop better catalysts for converting syngas that are robust and cost effective Conversion of lignin to benzene and aromatics + C₃ Production of process hydrogen from biomass Syngas conditioning and cleanup Biomass gasification; high-pressure mechanism Synthetic natural gas and methane activation Use of CO₂ as oxidant Bio-alternative to graphite for iron/steel/ceramics

TABLE 2 - BIO-BASED FEEDSTOCK GROUP

R&D BARRIERS

SEPARATION PROCESSES AND TECHNOLOGIES	ECONOMIC AND MARKET	DEVELOP LOW-CAPITAL GASIFICATION AND F-T PROCESSES
 Ionic liquids – very expensive. Need to lower costs Membranes that work under process conditions – pH, temperature, etc. Variability of feedstocks – process streams (waste materials) Low-cost, high-throughput separation of dissolved/difficult components (that is reliable) More commercial membrane suppliers for separations 	 Requires strong cooperative effort between industry and thought leaders – requires right intellectual property and commercial incentives DOE-funded R&D for bio-based products comparable to fuels-funded technology programs Size of market – introducing new supply will lower demand and price Capital for scaling from bench to commercial Access to capital for small businesses Cost per ton of biomass may make collection/densification too expensive R&D/pilot funding for biochemical material process development Land use requirements (public perception, cost, availability) No clear economic reasons to use bio-based feedstock 	 Feedstock flexibility adds capital expenditures for gasification Need to understand how much conditioning and cleaning of syngas is necessary prior to catalytic processing Need to understand how content and composition affect gasification behavior Catalyst poisoning by alkali, nitrogen, sulfur, acids Lack of commercially demonstrated design Need to understand how to build cost-effective, flexible, regional gasifiers Improved heat recovery for gasification Feeding systems for pressurized gasification

TABLE 2 - BIO-BASED FEEDSTOCK GROUP

R&D BARRIERS (CONT'D)

COLLECTION/DENSIFICATION OF BIOMASS ON SOURCE SITE	DIRECT CONVERSION TO CHEMICAL/FEEDSTOCKS
 Year-round biomass feedstock availability at commercial-scale quantities Equipment development Integrate biomass with existing infrastructure (grain elevators, silos, truck, etc.) Chemical stability of bio-feedstocks Pyrolysis oil refining infrastructure Biomass already expensive; densification adds costs Removing moisture from biomass without too much energy input Lack of agreed-upon, demonstrated analysis protocols Need for small-scale, efficient process to minimize transportation costs Pelletization of biomass to prepare it as feed for processing 	 Lack of demonstrated science Separations and direct conversion – technical ideas and new approaches, e.g., ionic liquids membranes Systems analysis and economics to determine best product mix Selectivity of processes Need to produce a chemical or material exactly like existing chemicals (to fit infrastructure) Difficult to displace existing technology Strong bonds between cellulose and lignin Understand how to lose the "O" in biomass with little economic penalty Cost of process to reduce C_xH_yO_z Industrial acceptance Direct conversion to chemicals – very technically challenging, e.g., consolidated bioprocessing (CBP) Capture/use of waste heat (low temperature)

R&D Opportunity: Develop Low-Capital Biomass Gasification for Fischer-Tropsch Processes

Forest residues and agricultural waste can be best utilized through gasification.

Current State of Technology or Process

Although coal gasification technology is relatively well developed and exists at a commercial scale, biomass gasification is not understood as well.



End-State Specifications of Technology or Process

- A flexible fuel (high-pressure) gasifier, capable of achieving high carbon conversion while resulting in low tars, low small hydrocarbons
- Heat integration is utilized to achieve energy efficiency
- Low cost scale gasifiers for 50–1,000 tons/day
- Dry feeder for pressurized gasifiers
- Gas conditioning at high temperature to remove tars, C_1 - C_4 hydrocarbons, desired CO/ H_2 ratio
- Cleanup of alkali, Cl, S
- Highly selective catalysts to produce a variety of chemicals for syngas

	LOW				
Energy Consumption	1	2	3	4	5
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	1	2	3	4	5
Input Costs	1	2	3	4	5
Products and Markets	1	2	3	4	5
Energy Security	1	2	3	4	5

R&D Opportunity: Develop Low-Capital Biomass Gasification for Fischer-Tropsch Processes **Current State End-State** of Technology Technology or Process or Process Key Knowledge and/or Technology Advancements **Time Frame** DOE Role (Required to get from the current state to an effective technology solution) (Near, Mid, Long, or Unknown) New technology for feeding solid biomass at pressurized conditions Funding Near ٠ Funding Fundamental understanding of the kinetics of biomass gasification for optimum design Near ٠ Funding Understanding of the role of ash composition on slagging characteristics Near Funding Determining contaminant thresholds for materials and catalysts Near - Mid . Funding High-temperature gas conditioning (removal of tars, smaller hydrocarbons, etc.) Mid ٠ Funding Cleanup of alkali, sulfur, and chlorine from high-temperature gas Near - Mid Highly selective catalysts, capable of producing a variety of chemicals from syngas ٠ Funding Mid - Long

Other Guidance Risk mitigation would go a long way to bring industry into the arena. Tax incentives and cost-sharing would help as well.

R&D Opportunity: Separation Processes and Technology

This is a broad area that is being subdivided into two main areas: (1) pretreatment of biomass feedstock and (2) post-treatment of intermediates, products, and co-products, along with air/O₂ separation units (ASU) needed for gasifier operation.

Current State of Technology or Process

Pretreatment

1. Acid

2. Enzymatic hydrolysis of biomass-sugar; followed by subsequent reductions to chemicals

- 3. Liquefaction
- 4. Washing, drying, and grinding

5. Fatty acids (products and alcohols), and triglycerides (fuels, glycerine, and surfactants)

Post-treatment

1. Membranes – e.g., increase alcohol yield, separate salts, and purify solvents and H_2O

2. Ion exchange -removal of salts

3. Reactive extractions – remove impurities or products of interest

4. Distillation

5. Crystallization

6. Cryogenic $-O_2/N_2$ separation

End-State Specifications of Technology or Process

Pretreatment

1. Combine unit operations. Simplify things. One pot for pretreatment/ separation/conversion products – end state ideally continuous process.

2. Liquid-liquid equilibrium (LLE) using ionic liquids – efficient/no loss/easy regeneration of ionic liquid/cheap lonic liquid, nontoxic.

3. Enzymes – no loss or degradation, significant reduction in costs.

4. Bacteria, fungi – robust, survive pH changes.

Post-treatment

1. Scalable, low-cost, multi-suppliers of commercial membranes.

2. High-capacity ion exchange resins, high-selectivity, low-cost resistant to fouling, easy to regenerate, long-lived, not-friable.

3. Reactive extractions – solvent long-lived, easy to regenerate, low-cost, low-toxicity, low-losses.

4. Distillation – maximize thermal efficiency, design process flow to minimize amount of distillation.

	LOW					
Energy Consumption	1	2	3	4	5	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	1	2	3	4	5	
Input Costs	1	2	3	4	5	
Products and Markets	1	2	3	4	5	
Energy Security	1	2	3	4	5	

R&D Opportunity: <u>Separation Processes and Technology</u>

Current State of Technology or Process	End-State Technology or Process	
Key Knowledge and/or Technology Advancements	Time Frame	DOE Role
(Required to get from the current state to an effective technology solution)	(Near, Mid, Long, or Unknown)	
Research funding, federal/state tax incentives, and loan guarantees:		All research activities
 Genetic research: Genetic modification of feedstocks or organisms that have enzymes in their DNA that will act during metabolism to fractionate biomass to sugar and convert sugar to chemicals, all in one step. 	Long	Solicitations, interagency cooperation with USDA/NIH/national labs/universities
 Incentives: Loan guarantees and carbon tax credit for renewable chemicals. 	Near, Sustained	Reproduce incentives seen in production of ethanol and other liquid transportation fuels; for chemicals and other biofuels
 Improve regulatory environment: Genetic modification research, new solvents such as ionic liquids, and international standardization. 	Sustained	Interagency and international collaboration
 Build multidisciplinary pilot-scale separation lab: Convert lab-scale processes to industrial scale at dedicated national laboratory facility. Demonstrate separation processes at close to commercial scale. 	Mid, Sustained	DOE support, Congressional support, protection of intellectual property
Other Guidance Pretreatment and post-treatment separations would benefit from all o would be to set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of expertise) to define the set up a lab (or a group of labs/center of exp		

national laboratories) easier and more transparent.

methods and unit operations. Need to make answering solicitation/funding appropriate partners (universities, industries,

R&D Opportunity: <u>Direct Conversion of Biomass to Chemical Feedstocks</u>

No additional guidance was provided.

Current State of Technology or Process

- Gasification and Fischer-Tropsch
- Fermentation and separation
- Fermentation and chemical conversion
 EtOH →C₂H₄



End-State Specifications of Technology or Process

- Capable of being performed on distribution basis
- Can be stopped at any stage or different degree of conversion
- Product at each stage has value to an existing customer or market (with little or no manipulation)
- New intellectual property
- Simplified process, fewer unit operations than alternatives
- All inputs can be renewable
- No waste, or waste such as CO₂ easily sequestered
- Operating costs lower than alternatives, capex ≤ alternatives
- Compatible with existing infrastructure

E.g., supercritical H_2O reaction process. Reactions in plants, polynumerization in plants

	LOW					
Energy Consumption	1	2	3	4	5	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	1	2	3	4	5	
Input Costs	1	2	3	4	5	
Products and Markets	1	2	3	4	5	
Energy Security	1	2	3	4	5	



Current State of Technology or Process	End-State Technology or Process	
Key Knowledge and/or Technology Advancements	Time Frame	DOE Role
(Required to get from the current state to an effective technology solution)	(Near, Mid, Long, or Unknown)	
 Transfer technology from the laboratory scale to a meaningful pilot scale 	Near	Fund construction of pilot plant. Brokering/teaming across value chain.
 For some technologies, such as green plant-based, need bio- engineering 	Near	Funding
 Fundamental research to find the range of products that can be produced 	Mid	Funding
Other Guidance		

R&D Opportunity: Direct Conversion to Materials

Replacing fossil fuels with renewable energy virtually eliminates CO₂ footprint for ironmaking/steelmaking process/carbon black.

Current State of Technology or Process

- All of these materials are fossil fuel based (coal & oil)
- Large amounts of CO₂ generated in current technologies
- Air combustion results in sensible heat losses (N₂, CO₂ superheated)
- Historically, charcoal basis for C products before coal/oil



End-State Specifications of Technology or Process

Produce material without further processing – replacing nonrenewable materials

- Metallurgical coke steelmaking/ironmaking
- Carbon fibers aerospace/automobiles transportation composites
- Carbon black rubber tires (carbides blades, graphite refractories)
- Graphite aluminum, ceramics (carbon anodes)
- Capture CO₂/all CO₂ sequestered (goal net-zero CO₂)

LOW						
Energy Consumption	1	2	3	4	5	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	1	2	3	4	5	
Input Costs	1	2	3	4	5	
Products and Markets	1	2	3	4	5	
Energy Security	1	2	3	4	5	

R&D Opportunity: Direct Conversion to Materials

Current State of Technology or Process	End-State Technolog or Process	У
Key Knowledge and/or Technology Advancements	Time Frame	DOE Role
equired to get from the current state to an effective technology solution)	(Near, Mid, Long, or Unknown)	
 Pyrolysis/and other technologies to convert biomass into acceptable products 	Long	 Funding of opportunities (cost-sharing with industry)
 Characterization of current products (needs to allow for alternatives) 	Short	Oversight of development
 Comparison with biomass based products 	Short	 Policy to encourage indus use of biomass based materials
Partnerships (academic/engineering/producers/users) intellectual properties	Mid	
Scale-up of facilities	Mid	

R&D Opportunity: Collection and Densification of Biomass on Source Site

Biomass supply that is economical and practical is a prerequisite for enabling bioproducts/biofuels production. This goes beyond "supply" studies to the practical development required for implementation.

Current State of Technology or Process

- Wide range of biomass types that will be utilized (forest residuals, agricultural residuals, etc.).
- Transporting biomass to the user is expensive.
- Forest: wood chips, logs, bulk truck energy crops bulk or bale.
- Agricultural: bulk or bale, silo.
- Algae: slurry.
- Developmental baling of forest/ agricultural residuals. Needs development for non-uniform sites.
- Most development by large private land owners/equipment suppliers.

End-State Specifications of Technology or Process

- Densified: pelletizing
- Optimized:
 - Torrefaction
 - Dried
 - Physical form ready-to-convert (ground, etc.)
 - Pyrolysis oil
 - Liquefaction product
 - Removal of contaminants (metals, macro)
 - Defoliated
- Sustainable biomass eco-system removal of more biomass, residual change, nutrient/soil profile
- Applicable/expandable to small land owners as well as large land owners – lease basis available for those not able to purchase
- Truck/rail transport
- Distributed, decentralized, preprocessing depots
- Downstream use infrastructure for biomass

	LOW					
Energy Consumption	1	2	3	4	5	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	1	2	3	4	5	
Input Costs	1	2	3	4	5	
Products and Markets	1	2	3	4	5	
Energy Security	1	2	3	4	5	

R&D Opportunity: Collection and Densification of Biomass on Source Site

Current State of Technology or Process	End-State Technolog or Proces	AY .
Key Knowledge and/or Technology Advancements	Time Frame	DOE Role
Required to get from the current state to an effective technology solution)	(Near, Mid, Long, or Unknown)	
 Harvest/densification equipment development 	Mid	Fund/tax benefits encouraged
Defoliation equipment	Unknown	Fund/tax benefits encouraged
 Demonstration of approaches at pilot/demo scale 	Mid	Fund
 Development/optimization of mobile/distributed-scale units for processing "optimized" biomass 	Long	Fund
 Building/using downstream refining infrastructure for receiving/utilizing compacted biomass – create the demand 	Long	Fund pilot and fundamental R&D required for downstream. Inform policymakers
 Analysis, characterization, and standards for biomass 	Mid	Facilitate knowledge transfer: NIST/national labs
 Minimization of variability in a flexible feedstock – control uniformity (e.g., blending) 	Long	Fund uniformity from varied feedstocks (mixed sources) (agriculture, forestry, urban)
 Life-cycle assessment of biomass supply – collection, densification on source site vs. transported in non-optimized state 	Near	Fund study

R&D Opportunity: Develop "Green Chemical Parks" That Integrate Biomass, Chemicals, and Energy Operations

No additional guidance was provided.

Current State of Technology or Process

- Isolated biomass processing facilities; e.g., corn to ethanol.
- Chemical plants near oil refineries. Pulp mills near forests.
- Exists in other parts of the world, e.g., China.
- CHP power and heat systems exist in pulp and paper mills and chemical plants – combines energy and product.
- Benefits of integration demonstrated in some cases – e.g., Flambeau River demonstration plant claims energy and cost benefits of combining gasification/ biodiesel plant with pulp and paper mill.
- Closest in pulp and paper industry is onsite precipitated calcium carbonate plants. Also, power boilers and turbine generators have been sold to power utilities.

End-State Specifications of Technology or Process

- Integrated production facilities for processing biomass to a range of product streams, including biofuels, chemicals, and others:
 - Benefits of scale: larger plant size, common overhead and support functions, shared infrastructure, common waste treatment
 - Benefits of integrated heat and power systems
- Integration with facilities making traditional products
- Combination of industries: chemicals, pulp, paper, power, fuels, wood products, etc.

	LOW					
Energy Consumption	1	2	3	4	5	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	1	2	3	4	5	
Input Costs	1	2	3	4	5	
Products and Markets	1	2	3	4	5	
Energy Security	1	2	3	4	5	

R&D Opportunity: <u>Develop "Green Chemical Parks" That Integrate Biomass, Chemicals, and Energy Operations</u>



R&D Opportunity: Biochemical Conversion as the Prime Example of a Direct Conversion Process

Has the potential for a transformational change in technology (i.e., one-pot synthesis). Represents a unique opportunity for the American chemical industry.

Current State of Technology or Process

- Currently take pretreatment biomass, fractionation, purification - C₅-C₆ sugar. This goes to a fermenter, which produces mixture of products/byproducts.
- Challenge to find organism that can handle C_5 - C_6 sugars.
- Organism has to be able to survive the product, pH change.
- Byproducts ~ 10%, but takes several batches to make products.
- Fermentation makes alcohols and acids that can be dehydrated and used.

End-State Specifications of Technology or Process

- One-pot synthesis throw "raw" feedstocks into vat and produce desired chemical:
 - Needs biomass pretreatment
 - Needs organism to do synthesis
- Target:
 - One pot to handle C₅-C₆ sugars to transform 65% product
 - Ideally have organism that can also handle ~35% lignin
 - Products include olefins (C₂, C₃), adipic acid
 - Use a variety of feedstock: algae, forest products, and specially designed crops

						_
	LOW					
Energy Consumption	1	2	3	4	5	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	1	2	3	4	5	
Input Costs	1	2	3	4	5	
Products and Markets	1	2	3	4	5	
Energy Security	1	2	3	4	5	

R&D Opportunity: Biochemical Conversion as the Prime Example of a Direct Conversion Process

Current State of Technology or Process	End-State Technolog or Proces	У
Key Knowledge and/or Technology Advancements	Time Frame	DOE Role
(Required to get from the current state to an effective technology solution)	(Near, Mid, Long, or Unknown)	
 Organisms – genetic engineering to produce chemical of choice plus enzymes 	Long	Funding from government for genetic engineering
 Finding organisms that can work on all components of biomass simultaneously (carbohydrates and liquids) 	Long	Microbiological research
 Developing biomass that is very low in liquid content 	Long	Plant biology
 Developing reaction where multiphase reactions can be carried out (heterogeneous systems) 	Long	
 Development of better organisms to produce chemical other than C₂ products 	Near	Reactions using heterogeneous substrates/ nanocatalysis
Funding to develop meaningful-scale pilot facilities	Near – Mid	Solicitation/loan guarantees, shared facility (e.g., at national laboratories)
Other Guidance		·

R&D Opportunity: Pretreatment and Feed Preparation of Biomass

Biomass needs to be sized into a form (such as pellets) where it can be easily fed into the processing units on a continuous basis.

Current State of Technology or Process

The current state was not defined.



End-State Specifications of Technology or Process

- Technologies exist to reduce the biomass feed to a desirable size, at the lowest cost, with scalable capability, and in modular form.
- A good basic understanding of the role of various pretreatment methods (solvents, acid/base, supercritical water) exists. The role of particle size (effect of surface/volume ratio, transport rates) is well understood. The role of moisture content is defined.

	LOW					
Energy Consumption	1	2	3	4	5	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	1	2	3	4	5	
Input Costs	1	2	3	4	5	
Products and Markets	1	2	3	4	5	
Energy Security	1	2	3	4	5	

R&D Opportunity: Pretreatment and Feed Preparation of Biomass

Current State of Technology or Process	End-State Technology or Process	
Key Knowledge and/or Technology Advancements	Time Frame	DOE Role
(Required to get from the current state to an effective technology solution)	(Near, Mid, Long, or Unknown)	
 An understanding of various technologies for size reduction has been established. The amount of energy consumed per unit of weight of biomass versus size has been established. The effect of moisture needs to be quantified. 	Near - Mid	Funding
 The size reduction technologies are scalable, and are also available in modular form. 	Mid - Long	Funding
 To understand the effect of particle size, severity of pretreatment, and moisture content on the amount of chemicals, impurities, biomass fractionation, and degradation. Several pretreatments can be studied: Supercritical water Acid/base Organic solvents (acetone, methanol) 	Near	Funding
Other Guidance <i>Risk mitigation, cost-sharing, partnering with the corporation.</i>	1	1

R&D Opportunity: Carbon Sequestration

Maximize the carbon sequestration net benefit of biomass.

Current State of Technology or Process

- Slow-growing (minimum harvests could be years)
- Current waste of feedstock:
 - Corn use small % of plants
 - Trees waste
- Currently, dry feedstocks use substantial energy to convert

End-State Specifications of Technology or Process

- Maximum sequestration of CO₂ in biomass
- Fast growth
- Complete use of feedstock/land
- Minimize energy/losses in use of biomass conversion

	LOW	/				
Energy Consumption	1	2	3	4	5	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	1	2	3	4	5	
Input Costs	1	2	3	4	5	
Products and Markets	1	2	3	4	5	
Energy Security	1	2	3	4	5	

R&D Opportunity: Carbon Sequestration

Kov Knowledge endler Teehnelegy Advenserie		
(Required to get from the current state to an effective technology solution) (N	Time Frame Near, Mid, Long, or Unknown)	DOE Role
 Best practice and genetic engineering to maximize CO₂ sequestration 	Near	RFP – Funding
• Land-use studies to optimize efficiency: policies for land use and multiple crops	Near	Policy help from DOE
A study of the partial oxidation of biomass – exothermic to syngas	Mid	Policy help from DOE
Direct-fired biomass versus syngas for biomass efficiency	Mid	Policy help from DOE
 Water removal practices with minimal energy (technologies): Passive solar Solvent extraction Waste heat from process 	Mid	Policy help from DOE
Small mobile biomass converters	Mid	Policy help from DOE

2. Alternative Fossil-Based Feedstocks

Participants in the alternative fossil-based feedstocks group identified high-impact R&D opportunities to advance the use of alternative fossil-based feedstocks in industry. The group then selected the five highest-priority R&D opportunities, focusing on those that would have the strongest impact in terms of increased energy and carbon emissions savings, reduced production costs, increased energy security, and increased industrial competitiveness. Table 3 presents the prioritized list of high-impact R&D opportunities.

The group identified gasification as a key R&D focus area. In particular, the group recognized R&D opportunities for the development of gasifiers that accept more than one feedstock, such as coal/biomass co-fired gasifiers; reducing the costs associated with gasification; and high-temperature gas cleanup.

The group also identified the alternative acquisition of fossil-based feedstocks as a second key R&D focus area. Specific opportunities that the group recognized include oil shale in situ production, followed by stranded gas technology to liquefy it in situ, and in situ coal-to-syngas conversion at the point of extraction.

The group identified the development of new processes as a third key R&D activity. Of particular interest is the direct transformation of coals to products by avoiding the syngas route. A specific opportunity for stranded gas use is to liquefy it in situ and convert methane (CH₄) directly to aromatics, without syngas, via improved methods over those known today. Another novel process of interest is the use of solar fuels for the direct production of CH₄ and other feedstocks.

The group identified separations as another key R&D opportunity for alternative fossil-based feedstocks. Of interest are improvements in high-temperature filtration, carbon dioxide separation when using coal as feedstock, and air separation units.

In the second session of the workshop, participants identified the barriers to the five highest-priority R&D opportunities. Table 4 presents the list of identified barriers.

In the third session of the workshop, the group broke down into subgroups and conducted a "pathway analysis" of each of the top opportunities. To complete this exercise, the subgroups filled out two worksheets for each opportunity:

- The first worksheet describes the current state of the technology, the desired end state, and the potential impacts of achieving the desired end state.
- The second worksheet identifies the necessary R&D pathways to achieve the desired end state, the timeframe, and DOE's role.

The completed pathway analyses are shown after Table 4.

TABLE 3 - ALTERNATIVE FOSSIL-BASED FEEDSTOCKS

HIGH-IMPACT R&D OPPORTUNITIES

ALTERNATIVE ACQUISITION OF	GASIFICATION AND RELATED		IEW
FEEDSTOCKS	PROCESSES		CESSES
 Oil shale in situ production (better than tar sands) •••• Stranded gas technology to liquefy in situ ••• In situ coal-to-syngas at point of extraction •• Better in situ water cracking for tar sands (Canadian Process) Efficient sorting of MSW – pull out high-value carbon products Better catalysts for tar sands/shale Harvesting gas hydrates 	 Gasifiers that accept more than one feedstock Coal/biomass co-fired gasifier Gasification (lower cost) High-temperature (warm or hot) gas cleanup Detailed cost assessments of gasification process Differences made with separation and purification options Stable market price for carbon Gasification – macro-efficient, better control and measurement and quality of feedstocks Measure quality/quantity of feedstocks up front New fluidized beds for coal Economical scale-down of gasifiers for chemical applications Impurities in feedstock during gasification Impact catalyst downstream; materials of construction for the gasifier Operate gasifier with carbon separation in order to produce H-rich syngas Solid carbon is separated out 	 Transform coal directly to product Catalyst – when you change the temperature of operation, you change the selectivity ••• Solar fuels direct production of CH₄, other feedstocks ••• Distributed or centralized solar fuel capabilities "Reverse photosynthesis" catalysis, electrochemical – produce materials from CO₂ and H₂O Improved process for direct methane to aromatics without syngas ••• Liquefy natural gas aromatics to a useful feedstock Bioprocessing of alternative fossil fuels (coal beds) •• H₂ supplies for reaction (other than syngas) •• Water splitting Photocatalysis Fischer-Tropsch alkane and reform to aromatics (technology needed) • Technology to convert syngas to chemical product CO to chemicals directly (no Fischer-Tropsch) 	 Selective conversion of feedstock we already have (alkanes) to products without the olefins. Waste-heat recovery – convert it to a productive use of energy – use to process feedstocks. Low-conversion (10%–20% of feedstock) processes – use feedstock we currently can't use, e.g., methane/ethylene separation (commercially viable) Direct coal to aromatics Combining nuclear and fossil (cogeneration of electric and H₂ with next-generation reactor) Integration of different industries to optimize waste streams as feedstocks Integration of solar furnaces into chemical processes Hydrogen storage Plasma gasification Rocket hydrogeneration

TABLE 3- ALTERNATIVE FOSSIL-BASED FEEDSTOCKS

HIGH-IMPACT R&D OPPORTUNITIES (CONT'D)

MEASUREMENTS & CONTROLS (ENVIRONMENTAL)	SEPARATION
 S, Hg control – heterogeneity of coal, oil, tar sands Lower NO_x, SO_x emissions 	 High-temperature filtration – separation materials CO₂ separation when using coal as feedstock Air separation units – improved efficiency • Cryogenic or membrane Separations efficiency Olefin and paraffin

TABLE 4 - ALTERNATIVE FOSSIL-BASED FEEDSTOCKS

R&D BARRIERS

LOWER COST GASIFICATION	GASIFIERS THAT ACCEPT MORE	HIGH TEMPERATURE FILTRATION	TRANSFORM COAL
HIGH-T GAS CLEANUP	THAN ONE FEEDSTOCK	SEPARATION MATERIALS	TO DIRECT PRODUCT
 Oxygen for gasification Gas cleanup Generation of high temperature Many of the unit operations are well established High-temperature structural material Separation methods for impurities in various feedstock, to reduce impact to downstream catalysis 	 Materials issues change temperatures Gasifier construction material/liner Needs an integrator (owner) Economic materials of construction that can withstand impurities, corrosion, etc. Characterization of feedstock Maintaining consistent temperature, slag characteristics 	 Catalyst for generation Increasing lifetime of unit Developing tool to assess performance when does it need to be replaced? Reducing poisoning of material Maintenance (self-cleaning) Low recovery Efficiency of separations Filter manufacturer Materials that can be operated in high- temperature separation environments High-temperature materials Poor selectivity, poor yield Achieving mechanical or structural integrity in harsh environments 	 Availability of H₂ Need reductant (cheap) or way to handle CO₂ Products from coal must be scrubbed of mercury and sulfur Bio-transformation – reaction rate and capacity of bugs takes forever New catalyst kinetics Difficulty in solids processing Cracking technology is unknown (except liquification) Pathways not clear Basic coal chemistry – unreactive, cross-linked polyaromatics

TABLE 4 - ALTERNATIVE FOSSIL-BASED FEEDSTOCKS

BARRIERS TO ACHIEVE TOP OPPORTUNITIES (CONT'D)

OIL SHALE – IN SITU PRODUCTION	SOLAR FUELS – DIRECT PRODUCTION OF CH4 AND OTHER FEEDSTOCKS H2 SUPPLIES FOR RXN (WATER SPLIT/PHOTOCATALYSIS)	STRANDED GAS (LIQUEFY IN SITU) DIRECT CH₄ TO AROMATICS
 Economical process for generation and recovery of syngas Precise control of gases produced Cost to recover product Energy-intense electrical heating Insufficient water Environmental issues Byproducts – how do you deal with them? Risks related to safety 	 Finding alternative catalyst chemistries other than expensive noble metals Achieving high surface area of collector/active device components Low energy Big footprint for viable quantity of product Efficiency of solar capture needs to increase Rates of chemical reaction Reducing recombination rates of e⁻ and p⁺ so that only useful materials are produced New catalyst (PV) – VIS 	 Self-propelled, GTL small scale Stranded gas could pose problem with kinetics CH₄ to aromatics will require catalyst discovery Catalyst improvement Separations need with known system Hard to compete with LNG Regulations/environmental impact in utilizing stranded gas The inability to process in confined space Remote locations to do chemistry Disbursed source vs. capital cost

R&D Opportunity: Lower-Cost, Feed-Flexible Gasification for Chemical Feedstock Production

Gasification is an established technology for creating chemicals from carbon feedstocks. However, it is not currently cost-competitive for most chemicals vs. petroleum. Achieving lower cost would enable the use of coal, biomass, etc. instead of petroleum.

Current State of Technology or Process

- Established technology, but too expensive
- Air separation unit (ASU) is the most expensive item
- Syngas is cleaned cold, losing efficiency
- Most entrained-flow gasifiers run on one feedstock and are optimized for that
- Circulating fluidized bed gasifiers are more flexible but have poorer quality syngas for chemicals (too much CH₄, tar)

End-State Specifications of Technology or Process

- Significantly lower cost for syngas higher efficiency, lower capital expenditure
- Operational robustness for varying feedstocks (different rank coals, waste, biomass)
- Lower GHG emissions
- Minimal impact on downstream chemical processes

* could be cheaper than >\$100/bbl oil

Potential Impacts						
	LOW					
Energy Consumption	1	2	3	4	5	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	1	2	3	4	5	
Input Costs*	1	2	3	4	5	
Products and Markets	1	2	3	4	5	
Energy Security	1	2	3	4	5	



1

Current State

of Technology

or Process

Key Knowledge and/or Technology Advancements	Time Frame	DOE Role
(Required to get from the current state to an effective technology solution)	(Near, Mid, Long, or Unknown)	
 Dry-feed technology for pressurized (high-efficiency) gasifiers: Low-rank coals, biomass – maintain HHV, temperature 	Mid	R&D demonstration funding
 ASU improvement or replacement (with post-gasifier N₂ separation) 	Long	R&D demonstration funding
 Process control to maintain temperature, slag performance, and operability for varying feedstocks 	Mid	R&D demonstration funding
 Better analytical tools for coal (and other feedstock) properties Real-time monitoring of feed properties 	Mid	R&D demonstration funding
Studies of slag properties as a function of feedstock properties	Mid	R&D demonstration funding
 Materials of construction robust to temperature swings and corrosion 	Long	R&D demonstration
 Hot gas cleanup – remove S₁ metals, etc., from hot syngas 	Mid - Long	R&D demonstration funding
 Demonstration (pilot) facilities for high-efficiency (pressurized) gasifiers (with R&D facilities for producing chemicals from syngas) 	Mid	Funding and demonstration

End-State

Technology

or Process

R&D Opportunity: Cost-Effective Extraction of Oil Shale for Use as Chemical Feedstock

Provides an existing infrastructure – compatible fossil feedstock with domestic supply.

Current State of Technology or Process

Two options:

- Mining, then upgrading via heat
- In situ electrical heating underground

End-State Specifications of Technology or Process

- Low-cost, secure feedstock
- Lower energy use than current recovery process
- Minimal new infrastructure needed
- Minimal impact on downstream chemicals

Comments on Potential Impacts

- Little change in existing products/markets (applicable to all)
- More expensive than \$60/bbl crude (potentially less expensive than biomass at \$150/bbl crude)
- More GHGs and more energy required vs. crude oil; possibly less energy than biomass; more energy than crude
- Energy security rating is based on using domestic sources

	LOW					
Energy Consumption	1	2	3	4	5	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	1	2	3	4	5	
Input Costs	1	2	3	4	5	
Products and Markets	1	2	3	4	5	
Energy Security	1	2	3	4	5	
R&D Opportunity: <u>Cost-Effective Extraction of Oil Shale for Use as Chemical Feedstock</u>



R&D Opportunity: <u>Transform Coal Directly to Products</u>

No additional guidance provided.



Energy Security

1

2

3

4

5

R&D Opportunity: <u>Transform Coal Directly to Products</u>

1000

Current State	End-State
of Technology	Technology
or Process	or Process

Key Knowledge and/or Technology Advancements	Time Frame	DOE Role
(Required to get from the current state to an effective technology solution)	(Near, Mid, Long, or Unknown)	
 Fundamentals on coal conversion to chemicals by catalysis: Hydrogenation, cracking, oxidation (selective) Catalyst and process technology development 	Mid - Long	High-risk, high-reward, but government support needed to minimize industrial risk.
 Develop source of cheap hydrogen donors for reduction: Catalysis to complement Other sources to consider: Methane? Other alkanes? Biomass with water gas shift (WGS)? 	Mid - Long	Broad impact across fuel and chemicals industries. Government leadership will foster industrial collaborations.
 Solids processing and use within the process: Handle in the process as a slurry Handle byproducts and slags/salts 	Mid	Limited but some potential collaborations.
 Basic fundamentals of coal and impurity characteristics: Reaction rates, impurity effects 	Mid	Very basic research that is best fostered by DOE or government.
 Catalyst discovery to work with coal – Hetcat? Spray-on salts? Recovery? Lifetimes? Entrainment? Potential to adapt high-throughput research (HTR) screening Selectivity to desired products 	Mid	High-risk, high-reward, but government support needed to minimize industrial risk.
Other Guidance	1	

Other Guidance

R&D Opportunity: Stranded Gas - Liquefy In Situ or to Aromatics

Stranded gas is gas that is currently uneconomical to recover or ship. Associated gas is natural gas from oil wells that may be useful.

Current State of Technology or Process

- Price pyramid, with lowest price on top:
 - \$3–\$4 MMBtu large: world-scale used for LNG
 - \$6-\$8 MMBtu mid-size: beginning to be used for LNG
 - \$10-\$12 MMBtu small-scale: distributed
- Stranded gas is only stranded until it can be economically shipped
- Large fields are LNG sources
- Smaller fields are potential for chemicals:
 - Chemicals compete with LNG
 - Need on small to mid scale
- GTL or MeOH (syngas) chemistry
- MeOH has low Btu

End-State Specifications of Technology or Process

- Cost-effective recovery of methane as value-added product
- Non-syngas route to minimize cost and capital, plus scale of syngas too high
- Process that is selective to desired value-added product not a fuel
- Mth aromatic plus H₂ value
- Capital is key cost effect
- Infrastructure to ship products

Comments on Potential Impacts

- Higher numbers indicate a more beneficial impact in terms of national goals
- Energy consumption and GHG emissions are similar to current fuels

LOW						
Energy Consumption	1	2	3	4	5	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	1	2	3	4	5	
Input Costs	1	2	3	4	5	
Products and Markets	1	2	3	4	5	
Energy Security	1	2	3	4	5	

R&D Opportunity: <u>Stranded Gas – Liquefy In Situ or to Aromatics</u>

Current State of Technology or Process	End-St Techno or Proc	logy
Key Knowledge and/or Technology Advancements	Time Frame	DOE Role
Required to get from the current state to an effective technology solution)	(Near, Mid, Long, or Unknown)	
 Conceptual designs and economics on novel process designs: Catalyst Process schemes Gas purification of "natural gas" Separation improvement/definition 	Mid	Coordination of project across multiple interested industrial partners. Support demonstration of concepts.
 Modular plant designs (movable) 	Mid	Funding to support new idea.
 Relative economic studies of comparative routes and uses 	Near	Coordination of project across multiple interested industrial partners. Support demonstration of concepts.
 Catalyst breakthroughs: Methane to benzene Methane selective oxidation Methane to olefins 	Long	Support long-range, high-risk, high- reward projects that industry needs governmental support to pursue.
 Improve gas cleanup/separations (CH₄/CO₂/N₂/H₂S) 	Mid - Long	Support long-range research with multip impacts.

R&D Opportunity: <u>CO₂ Conversion to Feedstock</u>

Zero environmental footprint. Removes CO₂ from environment (via artificial photosynthesis or other methods). Could produce hydrogen from various feedstocks. Catalyst for other feedstocks. Increase efficiencies. Scale up and lower cost of the catalysts. A very green technology area.

Current State of Technology or Process

- Proof-of-concept state. Low-grade efficiencies have been achieved. This technology is in its infancy.
- Limitations: materials, low efficiency, capturing efficiency of sun/solar energy is low.
- Critical gaps: light absorption, good conductivity in materials.
- Catalysts: integration of PV devices.

Current efficiency is 1% for solar-fueled methods.

End-State Specifications of Technology or Process

- Couple to an exhaust waste stream to remove CO₂; need concentrated CO₂ source
- Operational requirement: 10% efficiency
- Broad range of UV/VIS (visible light) capture capabilities

Comments on Potential Impacts

• Values reflect coupling input to other electricity sources, i.e., wind

	LOW					
Energy Consumption	1	2	3	4	5	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	1	2	3	4	5	
Input Costs	1	2	3	4	5	
Products and Markets	1	2	3	4	5	
Energy Security	1	2	3	4	5	

R&D Opportunity: <u>CO₂ Conversion to Feedstock</u>

	Time Frame	DOE Role
Materials – catalysts, coating	(Near, Mid, Long, or Unknown)	
 Materials – catalysis, coating Electrical conduction Photocatalysts (PV) Integration to produce higher efficiencies: processes, materials, devices Exploration of long-term reliability: failure studies, efficiencies, degradations Cost/economics: raw materials, manufacturing Scale-up issues Trade-off reduction of footprint by development of higher efficiency PV/wind power Smart solar cell, wind turbines, year-long operations 	Long (all activities)	 Funding Formation of technical teams Industrial, academic, and DOE laboratory collaborations

R&D Opportunity: <u>High-Temperature Filtration – Separation Materials</u>

Feedstock synthesis and separation processes often require temperature reductions to achieve filtration/separation because of the limitations of low-temperature materials currently used. Would achieve energy savings required to cool and reheat processing gases through the filtration/separation devices.



Potential Impacts						
	LOW					
Energy Consumption	1	2	3	4	5	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	1	2	3	4	5	
Input Costs	1	2	3	4	5	
Products and Markets	1	2	3	4	5	
Energy Security	1	2	3	4	5	

R&D Opportunity: <u>High-Temperature Filtration – Separation Materials</u>



3. Conventional Feedstocks

Participants in the conventional feedstocks group identified high-impact R&D opportunities to improve conventional feedstock processing. The group then selected the five highest-priority R&D opportunities, focusing on those that would have the strongest impact in terms of increased energy and carbon emissions savings, reduced production costs, increased energy security, and increased industrial competitiveness. Table 5 presents the prioritized list of high-impact R&D opportunities.

The group identified low-intensity separations, to replace conventional distillation, as the top R&D opportunity to improve the energy efficiency of feedstock processing. Improved gas separation would allow carbon dioxide (CO₂) sequestration, improve process performance, and also reduce capital expenses in manufacturing. Among others, improvements in gas separations include sulfur removal from natural gas, removal/separation of oxygen from air, nitrogen purification, separation of CO₂ from various gases for sequestration, and separation of olefins from other olefins and/or alkanes.

The group also identified improvements in the performance of industrial reactions as key R&D opportunities. Specifically, the group recognized the following R&D opportunities for reactions: improvements in catalysis conversion and selectivities; process intensification through better mixing and heat transfer; and the use of new technologies, such as microreactors, to process heavy molecules.

The group identified the reduction of greenhouse gases in feedstock processing as another important R&D area. Opportunities include carbon capture and storage technology with lower capital and operating costs, the use of alternative energy sources, improved understanding of chemistry, and the development of commercial carboxylation processes.

Participants also identified a need to utilize lower-cost (heavier and/or dirtier) feedstocks to improve operating margins. The replacement of alkenes with alkanes would be one example of this kind of substitution.

In the second session of the workshop, participants identified the barriers to the five highest-priority R&D opportunities. Table 6 presents the list of identified barriers.

In the third session of the workshop, the group broke down into subgroups and conducted a "pathway analysis" for each of the top opportunities. To complete this exercise, the subgroups filled out two worksheets for each opportunity:

- The first worksheet describes the current state of the technology, the desired end state, and the potential impacts of achieving the desired end state.
- The second worksheet identifies the necessary R&D pathways to achieve the desired end state, the timeframe, and DOE's role.

The completed pathway analyses are shown after Table 6.

TABLE 5 – ENERGY-EFFICIENT CONVENTIONAL FEEDSTOCKS GROUP

HIGH-IMPACT R&D OPPORTUNITIES

H ₂ PRODUCTION	CARBON FOOTPRINT/ ENVIRONMENTAL	REACTION PERFORMANCE	PARTNERING	IN SITU TECHNOLOGIES
 Low-cost H₂ production (e.g., more efficient hydrolysis) in petroleum refining 	 GHG emissions reduction to lower carbon footprint ••• Improved CO₂ capture/use 	 Improved catalyst performance – conversion and selectivity, sulfur- tolerable catalysts ••• Encourage process intensification reactions – better mixing and heat transfer leading to reduced feedstock consumption ••• Apply new and better technologies to existing processes (e.g., microreactors for cracking heavy chemicals) ••• Target selection – new reactions to convert feedstocks to more easily separable mixtures Field-enhanced processes (e.g., focused heating versus bulk heating, microwave and catalysts) 	 Partnerships with electric/power industry – technology collaboration between chemical industry and utilities (e.g., IGCC to produce chemicals and power) ••• Enterprise modeling or solutions, tax incentives for partnering processes • 	 In situ processing to transportable forms from remote locations ••• Distributed production, microtechnology plants for stranded gas conversion to products

TABLE 5 – ENERGY-EFFICIENT CONVENTIONAL FEEDSTOCKS GROUP

HIGH-IMPACT R&D OPPORTUNITIES (CONT'D)

PRODUCT RECYCLING	LOWER COST CONVENTIONAL FEEDSTOCKS	IMPROVED SEPARATION	POLICY
 Recycled products Waste stream recycling requirement 	 Upgrade less-valuable feedstock (heavier, "dirtier") (e.g., greater use of alkanes for chemicals production) ••• Improve current process selectivities to higher-valued products/feedstock (e.g., FCC technology to strengthen C₃ supply chain, FCC to produce diesel and light olefins) Decentralized collection of off-spec feedstocks for processing. 	 Low-intensity separations (e.g., to replace distillation) Improved gas separations CO₂ O₂/N₂ H₂S CH₄ Contaminants Separate olefins from hydrocarbon streams easily and at low cost Introduction of pretreatment process to extract/separate high-value products 	 Legislation to subsidize and encourage production ••• Education of policymakers (and vice versa) Tax incentives

TABLE 6 – ENERGY-EFFICIENT CONVENTIONAL FEEDSTOCKS GROUP

R&D BARRIERS

LOW-INTENSITY SEPARATIONS (REPLACE DISTILLATION)	UPGRADE LESS VALUABLE FEEDSTOCK	IMPROVED CATALYST PERFORMANCE (CONVERSION/SELECTIVITY)	IMPROVED GAS SEPARATION	ENCOURAGE PROCESS INTENSIFICATION REACTIONS
 Finding one approach Cost performance level No one wants to be guinea pig Large-scale separations have large investments (barrier to adopting alternatives) Need to demonstrate new separating agents/processes Distillation works and has huge experience base (need demonstrations of alternatives, at scale) 	 More energy needed to process "dirty" feedstock 	 Expensive, risky, long-term research needed Limited number of companies in technology development and commercialization and often with different priorities 	 Membranes – need better properties (permeance, selectivity, cost) 	 Competing priorities for limited capital and budget Need to prove process improvement using microchannel reactors at large scale Need to demonstrate energy, economic, etc. benefits

GHG EMISSIONS REDUCTION	PARTNERSHIPS WITH ELECTRIC AND POWER INDUSTRY
 Unknown and uneven tax/credit on emissions Need new technology (applies to multiple opportunities) Need to reduce capital costs (applies to multiple opportunities) Identify useful (valuable) byproducts from CO₂ 	 No incentive to work together, no drivers Utilities' concern for liability/reliability with alternatives Reluctance to change (applies to multiple opportunities) Partnering – confidentiality, secrecy in chemical industry Not playing with the same rules

R&D Opportunity: Low-Intensity Separations (Replace Distillation)

Often separations are a high-capital and energy-intensive portion of chemical processes. A major goal in chemicals production is to lower capital requirements for the separation process.

Current State of Technology or Process

- Current separation processes, such as distillation, are mature and well established
- There is little risk to applying new separation technologies

End-State Specifications of Technology or Process

- Lower capital costs with greater or equal performance
- Lower energy use with greater or equal performance
- Reliable, low-cost, robust technology to allow the use of lowercost, impure feedstocks
- 240 TBtu/yr may be gained due to improved separations of the top 100 chemicals

	LOW					
Energy Consumption	1	2	3	4	5	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	1	2	3	4	5	
Input Costs (Savings)	1	2	3	4	5	
Products and Markets	1	2	3	4	5	
Energy Security (Chemicals)	1	2	3	4	5	
Energy Security (Fuels)	1	2	3	4	5	

R&D Opportunity: Low-Intensity Separations (Replace Distillation)

Current State of Technology or Process	End-State Technology or Process	
Key Knowledge and/or Technology Advancements	Time Frame	DOE Role
(Required to get from the current state to an effective technology solution)	(Near, Mid, Long, or Unknown)	
Use of membranes requires high-performance (permeance and selectivity) at attractive cost	Timeframe is separation specific	 Funding to help research, development, and demonstration
Demonstration of the technology at a commercial or near-commercial scale	Mid to Long (<5 to >5 yrs)	Consider acquisition of closed/available plant for demonstration
Better distillation column performance (packing, divided wall designs)	Mid	
Other Guidance		

R&D Opportunity: Upgrade Less-Valuable Feedstocks (Heavier and Dirtier Feedstocks) That Are Less Costly

Less-costly feedstocks provide opportunity for higher margins, e.g., replace alkenes with alkanes.

Current State of Technology or Process

- Less expensive feedstocks are more difficult to process.
- Selectivity is typically lower for alkane conversions.
- Existing catalyst systems were invented for current processes. Completely new catalyst systems will be needed to process lowervalue feedstocks.

End-State Specifications of Technology or Process

- Same conversion per pass at same selectivity
- Same compounds with same performance properties
- Drop in technology replacement
- High-selectivity processes
- Lower-cost products

	LOW					
Energy Consumption	1	2	3	4	5	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	1	2	3	4	5	
Input Costs	1	2	3	4	5	
Products and Markets	1	2	3	4	5	
Energy Security	1	2	3	4	5	

R&D Opportunity: Upgrade Less-Valuable Feedstocks (Heavier and Dirtier Feedstocks) That Are Less Costly

Current State of Technology or Process	End-State Technolog or Process	У
Key Knowledge and/or Technology Advancements	Time Frame	DOE Role
(Required to get from the current state to an effective technology solution)	(Near, Mid, Long, or Unknown)	
 New catalysts Improved process technologies Demonstrations at near-commercial scale 	Mid (< 5 year)	Help fund high-risk, expensive RD&D
Other Guidance		

R&D Opportunity: Improve Catalyst Performance in Selectivity and Conversion

There is a need to further improve the gains that have been achieved in catalyst performance over the years. This will allow utilization of lowerquality feedstock, improved efficiency of utilization of feedstock, and reduced energy consumption during conversion, and will drive the overall costs of production. This may lead to smaller, more-efficient plants with a lower carbon footprint.

Current State of Technology or Process

- Limited number of companies in catalyst development
- Many catalysts with low selectivity and performance leading to high energy consumption and use of more feedstock than is necessary

End-State Specifications of Technology or Process

- Improved efficiency of feedstock utilization
- Reduced energy consumption
- Improved tolerance of impurities
- Improved catalyst tenability

	LOW					
Energy Consumption	1	2	3	4	5	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	1	2	3	4	5	
Input Costs	1	2	3	4	5	
Products and Markets	1	2	3	4	5	
Energy Security	1	2	3	4	5	

R&D Opportunity: Improve Catalyst Performance in Selectivity and Conversion

Current State of Technology or Process	End-State Technolog or Process	У
Key Knowledge and/or Technology Advancements	Time Frame	DOE Role
(Required to get from the current state to an effective technology solution)	(Near, Mid, Long, or Unknown)	
 More basic R&D on catalyst development Pilot plants for process development (a national catalyst testing center): Service center with reactor flexibility 	Near (<5 year)	Funding national lab to mitigate risk and perform screening
Other Guidance		

R&D Opportunity: Improved Gas Separations

- Sulfur removal from natural gas, removal/separation of O₂ from air, nitrogen, separation of CO₂ from various gases for sequestration
- Improved gas separation will allow for CO₂ sequestration, improve process performance, and reduce capital expense
- Separate olefins from olefin streams or other streams (olefin/alkane mixture)

Current State of Technology or Process

- Membranes are limited by cost and performance. Need to reduce membrane size, improve chemical resistance, and prove performance at scale.
- Adsorption technology is known, but improved gas separations are not commercialized.
- Need to reduce cost.
- Small- to pilot-scale demonstrations only.
- Different membranes are required for different separations.

End-State Specifications of Technology or Process

- Need a commercially available system
- Need to be economically viable for a given process
- Need a smaller footprint
- Need higher performance
- Increase natural gas availability by improving the separations process
- Upgrade the value of formerly waste streams

	LOW					
Energy Consumption	1	2	3	4	5	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	1	2	3	4	5	
Input Costs	1	2	3	4	5	
Products and Markets	1	2	3	4	5	
Energy Security	1	2	3	4	5	

R&D Opportunity: Improved Gas Separations

Current State of Technology or Process	End-State Technology or Process	
Key Knowledge and/or Technology Advancements	Time Frame	DOE Role
(Required to get from the current state to an effective technology solution)	(Near, Mid, Long, or Unknown)	
 Need better understanding of materials and their performance (materials science). Need to have defect-free membranes at large scale – development in manufacturing process or membrane design efforts. Improve temperature stability, improve pressure stability – ceramics work here but have adsorption issues. Need new studies and better understanding of adsorption of organics onto ceramics. If we solve this, will have good membrane performance. 	Long (> 5 years)	Funding for high- risk research
Other Guidance		

R&D Opportunity: Encourage Process Intensification Reactions

This will improve control of endothermic and/or exothermic reactions, save energy, reduce capital cost, reduce waste, improve the process, and reduce footprint.

Current State of Technology or Process

- State of the art is an immature science
- This also includes processes other than microchannel reactors and screening
- Microchannel reactors are being developed

End-State Specifications of Technology or Process

Robust modeling tools for rapid screening development

	LOW					
Energy Consumption	1	2	3	4	5	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	1	2	3	4	5	
Input Costs	1	2	3	4	5	
Products and Markets	1	2	3	4	5	
Energy Security	1	2	3	4	5	

R&D Opportunity: Encourage Process Intensification Reactions

Current State of Technology or Process	End-State Technology or Process	
Key Knowledge and/or Technology Advancements	Time Frame	DOE Role
(Required to get from the current state to an effective technology solution)	(Near, Mid, Long, or Unknown)	
 Better understanding of cost savings and impact A need for better understanding of basic science behind process change 	Long (> 5 years)	 Support U.S. concerns to foster technology domestically and commercialize these technologies in the United States.
Other Guidance		

R&D Opportunity: Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reductions

Greenhouse gas emissions may be taxed or regulated in the future. Caps may be imposed and global regulations may influence production. Global climate change may result from excessive GHG emissions.

Current State of Technology or Process

Cost of capture and sequestration of CO₂ is high

End-State Specifications of Technology or Process

- CO₂ is a feedstock
- CO₂ is captured and sequestered safely and economically
- No CO₂ is produced

	LOW					
Energy Consumption	1	2	3	4	5	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	1	2	3	4	5	
Input Costs (unknown)	1	2	3	4	5	
Products and Markets	1	2	3	4	5	
Energy Security	1	2	3	4	5	

R&D Opportunity: Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reductions

Current State of Technology or Process	End-State Technology or Process	
Key Knowledge and/or Technology Advancements	Time Frame	DOE Role
(Required to get from the current state to an effective technology solution)	(Near, Mid, Long, or Unknown)	
 Low-cost CO₂ capture and sequestration needed 	Long (> 5 years)	Encourage, sponsor, fund R&D
 Use of alternative energy sources to power energy plants – may be driven by future legislation 		and demonstrations
 Need to understand chemistry of CO₂ 		
Commercial, improved carboxylation processes		
Other Guidance		

R&D Opportunity: Partnerships with Electric/Power Industry

Large amount of wasted energy is produced and not used to produce electricity.

Current State of Technology or Process

- Utilities and chemical industry do not work together
- Scale imbalance at times between electricity and chemical plants
- Utilities concerned over reliable supply to the grid from alternative supply

End-State Specifications of Technology or Process

- Combined electric and chemical production from same sites
- Economic incentives industrial zones
- Low-temperature (<150 °C) energy utilized more
- Cost-sharing on new chemical/power plants

	LOW					
Energy Consumption	1	2	3	4	5	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	1	2	3	4	5	
Input Costs	1	2	3	4	5	
Products and Markets	1	2	3	4	5	
Energy Security	1	2	3	4	5	

R&D Opportunity: Partnerships with Electric/Power Industry

Current State of Technology or Process	End-State Technology or Process	
Key Knowledge and/or Technology Advancements	Time Frame	DOE Role
(Required to get from the current state to an effective technology solution)	(Near, Mid, Long, or Unknown)	
Zoning requirements	Mid (< 5 years)	Fund information and modeling
State utility commissions		
 Modeling to understand reliability for grid distribution when electricity is derived from alternative sources 		
Gathering and applying knowledge from successful implementations in Europe (Denmark)	Long (> 5 years), for demonstration of chemical power production site	Support information gathering and publication
Cost-sharing on new chemical power production sites for demonstration site		Support demonstration
Other Guidance		

Appendix A. Workshop Agenda

Day 1

Day 2

8:00 am	Registration and breakfast
9:00 am	Opening Remarks Dr. Dickson Ozokwelu, U.S. Department of Energy, Industrial Technologies Program
9:30 am	Alternative Feedstocks – A Dow Perspective David Graf, Dow Chemicals Company
10:00 am	Bio-based Feedstocks Linda Beltz, Weyerhaeuser
10:30 am	Vision 2020 Alternative, Renewable and Novel Feedstocks for Producing Chemicals <i>Bill Choate, BCS Incorporated</i>
11:00 am	Break and proceed to breakouts Group 1 – Alternative bio-based feedstocks Group 2 – Alternative fossil-based feedstocks Group 3 – Energy-efficient conventional feedstocks
11:15 am	Breakout Topic 1: Identify high-impact opportunities to save energy, reduce feedstock costs, improve energy security, and increase competitiveness.
12:00 pm	Lunch
1:30 pm	Continue Breakout Topic 1
2:30pm	Breakout Topic 2: Identify the barriers of the top 5-10 opportunities, and prioritize top barriers.
3:30 pm	Break
3:45 pm	Breakout Topic 3: Define highest priority R&D pathways to facilitate the use of low-cost feedstocks and alternative feedstocks in industry.
4:45 pm	Adjourn for the day
8:00 am	Breakfast

8:00 am	Breakfast
8:30 am	Continue Breakout Topic 3: Finish R&D pathways and prepare presentation for plenary
10:20 am	Coffee break
10:35 am	Reconvene in plenary and present group reports
12:00 pm	Adjourn

Appendix B. Final Participant List

Pradeep Agrawal

Georgia Institute of Technology School of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering Atlanta GA 30332-0100 Phone: 404-894-2826 Fax: 404-894-2866 pradeep.agrawal@chbe.gatech.edu

Uthamalingam Balachandran

Argonne National Laboratory 9700 S. Cass Avenue Argonne IL 60439 Phone: 630-252-4250 Fax: 630-252-3604 balu@anl.gov

Linda Beltz

Weyerhaeuser Company P.O. Box 9777, WTC 1K5 Federal Way WA 98063-9777 Phone: 253-924-6638 Fax: 253-924-6603 terry.cunningham@weyerhaeuser.com

Scott Boyce

Dow Chemical Company 727 Norristown Road, P.O. Box 904 Spring House PA 19477-0904 Phone: 215-641-7518 Fax: 215-619-1667 sboyce@rohmhaas.com

Ron Brown

Agenda 2020 Technology Alliance/AF&PA 1111 19th Street NW, Suite 800 Washington DC 20036 Phone: 202-463-2742 Fax: 202-463-4711 ron_brown@afandpa.org

Sabine Brueske

Energetics Incorporated 3020 Hayward Street Bellingham WA 98226 Phone: 360-752-2494 sbrueske@energetics.com

Steven Burton

MWV Corporation 1021 Main Campus drive Raleigh NC 27606 Phone: 919-334-3307 Fax: 919-334-3314 steven.burton@mwv.com

William Choate

BCS, Incorporated 8920 Stephens Road Laurel MD 20723 Phone: 410-997-7778 Fax: 410-997-7669 bchoate@bcs-hq.com

David DePaoli

Oak Ridge National Laboratory P. O. Box 2008 Oak Ridge TN 37831-6181 Phone: 865-574-6817 Fax: 865-241-4829 depaolidw@ornl.gov

Emory Ford

MTI 364 Spring Street Floreence MA 01062 Phone: 413-320-2425 Fax: 413-586-3831 eaford@comcast.net

Daniel Friend

National Institute of Standards and Technology MC 838, 325 Broadway Boulder CO 80305-3337 Phone: 303-497-5424 Fax: 303-497-5044 dfriend@boulder.nist.gov

William Gong

BP Amoco 150 W. Warrenville Road, E-1F Naperville IL 60563 Phone: 630-414-7094 Fax: 630-420-4567 gongwh@bp.com

David Graf

Dow Chemical Company 2301 Brazosport Blvd., B-251 Freeport TX 77541 Phone: 979-238-7559 Fax: 979-238-0028 ddgraf@dow.com

Keith Gustafson

Chart Inc. 2200 Airport Industrial Blvd, Suite 500 Canton GA 30107 Phone: 770-479-6531 zhijun.jia@chart-ind.com

Dean Harding

Idaho National Laboratory P.O. Box 1625, MS 2210 Idaho Falls ID 83415 Phone: 208-526-6111 Fax: 208-526-0690 dean.harding@inl.gov

Zhijun Jia

Chart Energy & Chemicals 2502 Losey Blvd La Crosse WI 54601 Phone: 608-787-6714 Fax: 608-787-2141 zhijun.jia@chart-ind.com

Mauricio Justiniano

Energetics Incorporated 7067 Columbia Gateway Dr. Suite 200 Columbia MD 21046 Phone: 410-953-6205 mjustiniano@energetics.com

Gregory Knott

General Electric - Global Research 1 Research Circle Niskayuna NY 12309 Phone: 518-387-5083 Fax: 518-387-7258 knott@ge.com

Krish Krishnamurthy

Linde North America, Inc. 575 Mountain Avenue Murray Hill NJ 07974 Phone: 908-771-6361 Fax: 908-771-4803 krish.krishnamurthy@linde.com

Sidney Lin

Lamar University Chemical Engineering Department Beaumont TX 77710 Phone: 409-880-2314 Fax: 409-880-2197 sidney.lin@lamar.edu

Frank Lipiecki

Dow Chemical Company 3100 State Road Croydon PA 19021 Phone: 215-785-7040 Fax: 215-785-7080 flipiecki@rohmhaas.com

Michael Manella

Archer Daniels Midland Company 1401 New York Ave NW Suite 520 Washington DC 20005 Phone: 202-572-0598 Fax: 202-572-0588 mike.manella@adm.com

Nancy Margolis

Energetics Incorporated 7067 Gateway Drive Columbia MD 20854 Phone: 410-953-6236 nmargolis@energetics.com

Joanna McFarlane

Oak Ridge National Laboratory 1 Bethel Valley Rd, Bldg 4500N, MS6181 Oak Ridge TN 37831-6181 Phone: 865-574-4941 Fax: 865-241-4829 mcfarlanej@ornl.gov

Joe Monfort

Energetics 1021 Arlington Blvd Unit 617 VA 22209 Phone: 202-586-8431 jmonfort@energetics.com

Brendan Murray

Shell Global Solutions-CRI US 3333 Highway 6 South Houston TX 77082 Phone: 281-544-8051 Brendan.Murray@Shell.com

Greg O'Neil

GE Global Research One Research Circle, CEB 412 Niskayuna NY 12309 Phone: 518-387-5254 oneil@crd.ge.com

Paul Orentas

One Planet Technologies, LLC 408 Crosman Ct. Purcellville VA 20132 Phone: 540-338-8572 Fax: 540-338-8572 rporentas@1ptech.com

Michele Ostraat

RTI International 3040 Cornwallis Road Research Triangle Park NC 27709 Phone: 919-541-5830 Fax: 919-541-6936 mostraat@rti.org

Dr. Dickson Ozokwelu

DOE-ITP 1000 Independence Ave. SW Washington DC 20585 Phone: 202-586-8501 Fax: 202-586-9234 dickson.ozokwelu@ee.doe.gov

Kent Peaslee

Missouri University of Science and Technology 218 McNutt Hall, 1400 N. Bishop Rolla MO 65401-0340 Phone: 573-341-4714 Fax: 573-341-6934 kpeaslee@mst.edu

Sharon Robinson

Oak Ridge National Laboratory P.O. Box 2008 Oak Ridge TN 37831-6186 Phone: 865-574-6779 Fax: 865-241-0600 robinsonsm@ornl.gov

Jim Rock

One Planet Technologies, LLC 4999 Enka Highway Lowland TN 37778 Phone: 423-307-2001 jrock@1ptech.com

Ridah Sabouni

Energetics Inc. 7067 Columbia Gateway Drive Suite 200 Columbia MD 21046 Phone: 410-953-6288 Fax: 410-290-0377 rsabouni@energetics.com

Bhima Sastri

DOE- Industrial Technologies Program 1000 Independence Ave SW Washington DC DC 20585 Phone: 202-586-2561 Fax: 202-586-9234 bhima.sastri@ee.doe.gov

Mike Shelton

Eastman Chemical Company P.O. Box 1972 Kingsport TN 37662-5150 Phone: 423-229-3542 Fax: 423-229-4558 mcshelton@eastman.com

Carsten Sievers

Georgia Institute of Technology 311 Ferst Dr NW Atlanta GA 30332-0100 Phone: 404-385-7685 Fax: 404-894-2866 carsten.sievers@chbe.gatech.edu

Scott Sinquefield

Institute of Paper Science and Technology at GA Tech 500 Tenth Street Atlanta GA 30332-0620 Phone: 404-385-0241 Fax: 404-894-4778 scott.sinquefield@ipst.gatech.edu

Cary Veith

Myriant Technologies 66 Cummings Park Woburn MA 01801 Phone: 781-569-6251 Fax: 781-569-6248 cveith@myriant.com

Appendix C. Contact Information

DOE Workshop Coordination:

Dr. Dickson Ozokwelu U.S. Department of Energy Industrial Technologies Program <u>Dickson.ozokwelu@ee.doe.gov</u>

Workshop Facilitation Team:

Nancy Margolis nmargolis@energetics.com

Mauricio Justiniano mjustiniano@energetics.com

Sabine Brueske sbrueske@energetics.com

Ridah Sabouni rsabouni@energetics.com

Joe Monfort jmonfort@energetics.com