### **Bonneville Power Administration**

# memorandum

DATE: June 3, 2013

REPLY TO ATTN OF: KEC-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

то: Rudiger Krohn

Project Manager - TESF-CSB-2

**Proposed Action:** Tri-Cities Maintenance Headquarters Project

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.15 Support Buildings

Location: Pasco, Franklin County, Washington T9N, R30E, Section 21

**Proposed by:** Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

**Description of the Proposed Action:** BPA is proposing to build a maintenance headquarters facility (MHQ facility) on 18 acres in Franklin County, Washington. The site is located in Pasco, WA, in a fallow agricultural field that is zoned light industrial by the City of Pasco. The vegetation at the site consists of invasive weed species such as Russian thistle (*Salsola tragus*), tumble mustard (*Sisymbrium altissimum*), cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*) and tarweed (*Madia* spp.). The site is located on the edge of the city in an industrial area at the junction of Interstate Highway 182 and State Highway 395.

The MHQ facility and storage yard would support the transmission services field maintenance and operations responsibilities in the Tri-Cities Area. The MHQ facility would consist of an office building (13,214 square feet) and two maintenance buildings (19,650 and 11,285 square feet). The buildings would be one story tall, with a 4-foot-deep foundation. There would also be four paved parking areas. Altogether, the buildings would take up 1 acre, while the paved area around the buildings—including parking spaces—would take up 4 acres. The storage yard would cover most of the remaining site, which would have a compacted rock surface. A small amount of landscaping would be located within and adjacent to the buildings, and a swale (for on-site water treatment and dispersal) would be located in the northeast corner. A new paved road would be built from Commercial Avenue to the facility across property owned by the City of Pasco, and a paved approach would be added to connect the site to Capital Avenue. The new road would be approximately 480 feet long by 30 feet wide (0.3 acre). The facility would include outdoor lighting, and utilities would be buried underground and connected to existing infrastructure along Commercial Avenue.

The facility would support approximately 72 maintenance employees, who would travel in and out of the site daily. The existing road system was designed for and would accommodate traffic associated with the facility. The storage yard would store equipment and supplies used for transmission line maintenance, such as wood poles for transmission line structures, machinery, and transformer heatlines. There would be no storage of radioactive materials or hazardous waste.

#### **Analysis**

Neither the MHQ facility nor the storage yard would impact federally listed threatened or endangered (listed) species under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), federally designated critical habitat, or essential fish habitat (TriCitiesMHQ\_NoEffectMemo\_2013). Although the proposed site is located within the historic range of Washington ground squirrel (*Urocitellus washingtoni*, ESA federal candidate species) and habitat for burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia*, federal species of concern), field surveys of the site did not find evidence for either species—including individual animals or burrows (BPA Tri-CitiesMHQ WildlifeSurvey 2013).

#### **Findings**

BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would not (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Actexcluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

Based on the provisions identified on the attachment, this proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

Date: June 3, 2013

/s/ Kara Hempy-Mayer Kara Hempy-Mayer Environmental Project Manager

Concur:

/s/ Katherine S. Pierce
Katherine S. Pierce
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachments:
Provisions
Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

#### **ATTACHMENT**

#### **PROVISIONS**

This categorical exclusion would meet the following provisions:

- 1. If Washington ground squirrel or burrowing owl are found on site prior to or during construction, the following actions should be taken:
  - Stop work in the immediate vicinity and immediately notify the KEP environmental lead (Shawn Barndt, 503-880-4822) and the WDFW Area Habitat Biologist for Yakima, Benton, and Franklin counties (Eric Bartrand, 509-457-9310).
  - Implement reasonable and prudent measures to protect the species found, if needed.
- 2. In the event any archaeological or historic materials are encountered during project activities, the following actions should be taken:
  - Stop work in the immediate vicinity and immediately notify the BPA environmental lead (Shawn Barndt, 503-880-4822). The BPA cultural resource specialist (Brian O'Donnchadha, 503-230-5960), interested Tribes, and the appropriate county, state, and federal agencies should also be notified.
  - Implement reasonable measures to protect the discovery site, including any appropriate stabilization or covering.
  - Take reasonable steps to ensure the confidentiality of the discovery site and restrict access to the site of discovery.

## **Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions**

Name of Proposed Project: Tri-Cities Maintenar	nce Headquarters Project	
Work Order #: 283512		
This project does <u>not</u> have the potential to cause significant impacts on the following environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.		
Environmental Resources	No Potential for Significance	No Potential, with Conditions (describe)
1. Historic Properties and Cultural Resources No effect determination based on review and survey: receive concerns were received from the tribes.	ved concurrence from DAHP	on May 22, 2013. No
2. T & E Species, or their habitat(s)  None present	X	
3. Floodplains or wetlands None present	X	
4. Areas of special designation  None present	X	
5. Health & safety Workers would follow BPA standards for health and safety	during construction	
6. Prime or unique farmlands Eighteen acres of Farmland of Statewide/Unique Importance (buildings and storage yard). This is a small amount relative which is Farmland of Statewide/Unique Importance (320,000 In addition, the site is not irrigated and is zoned for light inceplanning.	re to the total amount in Frank 00 acres) or Prime Farmland i dustrial, so the project is cons	clin County – most of f Irrigated (220,000 acres).
7. Special sources of water None present	X	
8. Other (describe) Migratory Bird Act: the site is located in potential burrowin found. Potential habitat is poor quality.	X ng owl habitat, but no evidence	_
<b>Supporting Documentation:</b>		
TriCitiesMHQ_NoEffectMemo_2013 BPA_Tri-CitiesMHQ_WildlifeSurvey_2013		

Signed: /s/ Kara Hempy-Mayer Date: June 3, 2013