



The Global Nuclear Energy Partnership

Status of Industry Engagement

Nuclear Energy Advisory Committee (NEAC)

Dan Stout

Director of Nuclear Fuel Recycling

Office of Nuclear Energy

April 21, 2008



Outline

- **Industry Engagement Activities**
- **Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA)**
 - Scope, Design Requirements, Selection Criteria
- **Industry Teams Awarded Cooperative Agreements**
- **DOE Evaluation of Industry Deliverables**
 - Overview, initial facilities, approaches, issues and summary
- **Next Steps**





GNEP Industry Engagement Activities

■ Expressions of Interest (2006)

- Requested August 2006
- Received responses in September 2006
- Description:
 - *Confidence that large facilities could be deployed by 2020 (using mature technologies)*
 - *Submittals were “proprietary”*

■ Siting studies (2007)

- Communities volunteer to host GNEP facilities
- Many communities “partnered” with industry teams

■ Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) (2007 – 2009)

- Issued May 2007 (Up to \$60M)
- Received applications June 2007
- Four teams selected for negotiations July 2007
- Four cooperative agreements awarded September 2007
 - *September 2007 – February 2008 (FY2007\$ -- approx. \$16M)*
 - *March 2008 – September 2008 (FY2008\$ -- approx. \$18M)*
 - *October 2008 – September 2009 (FY2009\$ -- up to \$26M)*





Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA)

■ Scope

– **Conceptual Design Studies**

- *Contains engineering design concepts and their associated scope, cost and schedule information for the initial nuclear fuel recycling center and initial advanced recycling reactor*

– **Business Plan**

- *Details how the marketplace will facilitate DOE in developing and commercializing the needed advanced fuel cycle technologies and facilities to meet GNEP goals*

– **Technology Development Roadmap**

- *Describes the state of readiness of the proposed technology and describes methods and plans to acquire needed technologies to support GNEP deployment*

– **Communications Plan**

- *Contains scientific, technical and practical information relating to nuclear energy and the closing of the nuclear fuel cycle packaged in such a manner that costs and benefits can be easily understood by the public and other key stakeholders*

■ Integrated applications sought

- Recipients should develop conceptual design studies for a nuclear fuel recycling center, an advanced recycling reactor or both
- The business plan, technology development roadmap and communications plan shall address the overall long-term GNEP goals
- An integrated technical and business approach will be given preferential consideration





FOA Design Requirements (Initial GNEP Facilities)

- **Commercial facilities will be licensed by the NRC and eligible for IAEA safeguards**
- **The nuclear fuel recycling center will not separate pure plutonium**
- **The advanced recycling reactor will be fast spectrum, sodium-cooled with ability to consume transuranics in fuel**
- **The advanced recycling reactor shall produce electricity**
- **The design effort shall identify products and their characteristics that are assumed to generate revenue in their business plan**
- **The nuclear fuel recycling center shall reduce the burden on the geologic repository**
- **All GNEP facilities shall meet applicable environmental and safety regulations**





FOA Selection Criteria

■ Merit Review Criteria

- Applicant's organization and technical expertise
- Commercial Experience
 - *Design, construction and operation of large-complex industrial facilities*
 - *Nuclear fuel design, qualification and fabrication*
 - *Generation and sale of electricity*
 - *Licensing and regulation of nuclear facilities*
 - *Obtaining internal and/or external financing for large capital projects*
- Business Modeling and Planning
- Technology Development
- Approach
- Schedule and Budget

■ Other Selection Factors

- Cost sharing
- Integrated technical and business approach
- Potential to enhance U.S. nuclear infrastructure





Industry Teams Awarded Cooperative Agreements

■ Energy Solutions, LLC

- Principles: The Shaw Group and Westinghouse Electric Company
- Additional members: AECL; BoozAllenHamilton; Nexia Solutions; NFS; Toshiba

■ GE-Hitachi Nuclear Americas, LLC

- Burns and Roe; Ernst and Young; Fluor Corporation; International Business Machines (IBM); KAERI; Lockheed Martin

■ General Atomics

- CH2M Hill; United Technologies Corporation – Hamilton-Sundstrand Rocketdyne Division; Russian consortium led by Kurchatov Institute; KAERI; Potomac Communications Group; LISTO

■ International Nuclear Recycling Alliance (INRA)

- Principle team members: AREVA and Mitsubishi Heavy Industries (MHI)
- Additional primary team members: Japan Nuclear Fuel Limited (JNFL); Battelle Memorial Institute; BWX Technologies Inc.; Washington Group International





Evaluation of Industry Deliverables – Overview

- **Expectations exceeded – information enormously beneficial**
 - Seven feet of reports
 - Built upon large prior investment and extensive experience

- **Fast Reactors**
 - Technology development is needed to demonstrate safety, reliability and economics
 - Government-funded demo reactor could be deployed in 2020-2025 timeframe

- **Separations**
 - Technologies exist that do not separate pure plutonium that can be deployed in the 2020-2025 timeframe producing fuel for existing light water reactors (LWRs)

- **Business Plans**
 - Integrated recycling and waste management approaches suggested
 - Utility waste fund pays, requiring minimal U.S. government investment
 - Requires substantial legislative and regulatory changes

- **Technology Development Plans require additional work**
 - Range of risk in proposed cost, schedule and projected performance vary significantly





Initial Advanced Recycling Reactor

- **Sodium-cooled fast reactors; one team included gas-cooled reactors**
- **Designs of fast reactors range in size from 300 MWe to 500 MWe**
- **Technology readiness levels vary broadly**
- **Deployment between 2020 and 2025**
- **Costs range from \$2 billion to \$4.5 billion**





Initial Nuclear Fuel Recycling Center

- Various separations technologies – aqueous and electro-chemical
- Two teams proposed the initial separations facility co-extract uranium with plutonium while two propose group transuranic separations
- Initial facility capacities range from 50 to 2000 MT/year
- Technology readiness levels vary broadly
- Deployment between 2020 to 2028
- Costs range from \$400M to \$20B (not an apples to apples comparison)





Approaches

■ Multiple paths to closing the fuel cycle described

- Some small-scale distributed system with integrated FR and separations
- Some large-scale centralized separations facilities
- All teams support two tier approaches using thermal reactors and fast reactors

■ Submissions suggested that government take a fresh look at nuclear waste management – an integrated approach including recycling and repositories

- All suggested that the establishment of a government corporation with access to the nuclear utility waste fund could result in effective management of the construction and operation of recycle facilities and repositories
- Would substantially reduce investment required by the U.S. government
- Actions would require significant changes to legislation and regulations





Potential Regulatory, Legislative and Programmatic Issues Presented by Industry

■ Legislation

- NWPA amendments for new disposal strategies, waste forms, and NWF modifications
- Enabling legislation to create a government entity similar to TVA

■ Regulation

- NRC updating of 10 CFR 70 to support one-step licensing of reprocessing facilities
- Revision of 10 CFR 50/52 for fast reactors
- Modifications to EPA standard 40 CFR 190
 - *Reconsideration of gaseous/aqueous emission standards for the entire fuel-cycle taking into account the impacts of commercial recycling*

■ Programmatic

- Recycle of U/Pu or U/Pu/Np in commercial LWRs
- Reconsideration of TRU limits for GTCC wastes following a risk-based approach
- Reevaluation of NRC categories and safeguards classification of actinide mixtures
- Export licensing for U and possibly Am/Cm targets in CANDU reactors





Summary

- **Meaningful incremental steps can be taken in the near-term to fully close the fuel cycle in the United States**

- **Fast Reactors**
 - Government-funded prototype reactor can be deployed in the 2020 – 2025 timeframe and is needed to demonstrate safety, reliability and economics before wide-spread commercial deployment of fast reactors is possible

- **Nuclear Fuel Recycling Centers**
 - Technologies exist that do not separate pure plutonium and can be deployed in 2020-2025 timeframe producing fuel for existing light water reactors (LWRs)

- **A business case has been made where recycling can begin with reduced cost to the taxpayer, using the nuclear waste fund**
 - All teams support establishing a new government entity with access to the nuclear waste fund to manage used nuclear fuel





Next Steps

■ Continuation 1 funding (March – September 2008)

- Energy Solutions - \$5.9 million (Total \$10.2M)
- General Electric-Hitachi - \$5.5 million (Total \$10.3M)
- General Atomics - \$1.3 million (Total \$2.9M)
- INRA - \$5.7 million (Total \$11.3M)

■ Received updated summaries April 11, 2008

- Will be posted on Web Site in late April or early May

■ Continuation #2 funding decision September 2008

- FY2009 total funding target for industry up to \$26 million
- Will focus on maturing conceptual designs and technology development roadmaps

■ DOE developing acquisition plans in 2008 and 2009

