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# TWENTIETH ANNUAL REPORT RADIATION EXPOSURES FOR DOE AND DOE CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEES 

## PREFACE

This report is one of a series of annual reports provided by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) summarizing occupational radiation exposures received by DOE and DOE contractor employees. These reports provide an overview of radiation exposures received each year, as well as identification of trends in exposures being experienced over the years.

In 1968, the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission (AEC) established a program for reporting certain occupational radiation exposure information to a central radiation records repository. At the same time, a contract was established with Union Carbide Corporation at Oak Ridge, Tennessee, to computerize the processing of the radiation exposure reporting system. Annual summary reports were published from 1969 through 1973 (WASH-1350-R1 through WASH-1350-R6), which included information on AEC contractor employees and visitors, as well as employees and visitors of companies in the private sector licensed by the AEC.

In January 1975, with the separation of the AEC into the Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA) and the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), each agency assumed responsibility for collecting and maintaining occupational radiation exposure information reported by the facilities under its jurisdiction. Former AEC licensees reported to the NRC while contractors reported to ERDA. At the same time, a contract was established with Union Carbide Corporation at Oak Ridge, Tennessee, to computerize the reporting and processing of both the ERDA and NRC radiation exposure reporting systems. On October 1, 1977, DOE was formed and assumed the responsibilities of ERDA. Processing and programming of exposure information continued at Oak Ridge until October 1978, when the management and further development of the DOE radiation exposure reporting system was assigned to the System Safety Development Center, EG\&G Idaho, Inc.; the NRC system remained at Oak Ridge.

Radiation exposure data for ERDA and ERDA contractor employees and visitors for 1974 through 1976 were reported in ERDA 76/119, ERDA 77-29, and DOE/EV-0011/9. The DOE and DOE contractor radiation exposure data for 1977-1979 were presented in DOE/EV-0066/10, 11, and 12, respectively. A revised version of the 1979 report was issued as DOE/EP-0039. The data for 1980-1982 were presented in DOE/EP-0040, DOE/EP-0040/1, and DOE/EP-0040/2. The data for 1983-1986 were presented in DOE/PE-0072, DOE/EH-0011, DOE/EH-0036, and DOE/EH-0069, respectively. This report contains 1987 radiation exposure data for DOE and DOE contractor employees and visitors.

Previous reports for AEC/ERDA/DOE government and contractor employees and visitors may be obtained from the DOE Technical Information Center, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37830.

## SUMMARY

All U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and DOE contractors are required by Order DOE 5484.1, Chg 3, Chapter IV, to submit occupational radiation exposure records to a central repository. Data are required to be submitted for all employees who were required to be monitored in accordance with Order DOE 5480.1A, Chapter XI, and for all visitors who had a positive exposure. The data required include the external penetrating whole-body dose equivalent, the shallow dose equivalent, and a summary of internal depositions of radioactive material above specified limits. This report is a summary of the external penetrating whole-body dose equivalents and the internal depositions of radioactive material reported by DOE and DOE contractors for the year 1987.

A total of 81,028 DOE and DOE contractor employees were reported to have been monitored for whole-body ionizing radiation exposures in 1987. This represents $48.7 \%$ of all DOE and DOE contractor employees and is a substantial decrease $(13,012)$ from the number of monitored employees reported for 1986. Much of this decrease is attributable to revised reporting requirements that took effect in 1987 and affected the reporting of the 1987 exposure data. In addition to the employees, 62,549 visitors were monitored.

Of all monitored employees reported, $57.4 \%$ received a dose equivalent that was less than measurable, $40.7 \%$ a measurable dose equivalent less than 1 rem, and $1.9 \%$ a dose equivalent greater than 1 rem. No employee received a dose equivalent greater than 4 rem. The dose equivalent received by $91.3 \%$ of the visitors to DOE facilities was less than measurable. Only $8.6 \%$ of the visitors received a measurable dose equivalent less than 1 rem, and $0.08 \%$ of the visitors received a dose equivalent greater than 1 rem. No visitor received a dose equivalent greater than 3 rem.

The collective dose equivalent for DOE and DOE contractor employees was 5,980 person-rem. The collective dose equivalent for visitors was 373 person-rem. The total dose equivalent for employees and visitors combined was 6,353 person-rem. The average dose equivalent for all monitored individuals (employees and visitors) reported was 44 mrem , and the average dose equivalent for all individuals reported who received a measurable exposure was 159 mrem . The highest average dose equivalent for all monitored individuals reported was observed at reactor facilities ( 167 mrem ), and the lowest was observed for visitors ( 6 mrem ) to DOE facilities. These averages are significantly less than the DOE 5-rem/year radiation protection standard for whole-body exposures.

Two cases of internal body depositions were reported in 1987 that exceeded $50 \%$ of the pertinent annual dose-equivalent standard as set forth in Order DOE 5480.1A, Chapter XI. Both occurred during 1987 and are considered new cases.
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## RADIATION EXPOSURES FOR DOE AND DOE CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEES

1987

## INTRODUCTION

One of the basic Department of Energy (DOE) radiation protection policy objectives is that radiation exposures be maintained as low as is reasonably achievable (ALARA) within the occupational exposure guidelines provided in Order DOE 5480.1A, Chapter XI (Table 1). Assurance that occupational exposures do not exceed the guidelines is not considered, in itself, sufficient for demonstrating achievement of this objective. All operations are to be conducted in a manner to assure that radiation exposures to employees and visitors are maintained at the lowest levels technically and economically practicable.

TABLE 1. Radiation Protection Standards for External and Internal Dose Equivalents for Individuals in Controlled Areas ${ }^{(\mathrm{a})}$

| Type of Exposure | Exposure Period | Dose Equivalent (Dose or Dose Commitment)(rem) ${ }^{\text {(b) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Whole body, head and trunk, gonads, lens of the eye, ${ }^{\text {(d) }}$ red bone marrow, active blood-forming organs | Year <br> Calendar quarter | $\begin{aligned} & 5^{(c)} \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| Unlimited areas of the skin (except hands and forearms), other organs, tissues, and organ systems (except bone) | Year Calendar quarter | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 5 \end{array}$ |
| Bone | Year Calendar quarter | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| Forearms ${ }^{(\mathrm{e})}$ | Year <br> Calendar quarter | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| Hands ${ }^{(\mathrm{e})}$ and feet | Year <br> Calendar quarter | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ |

(a) As of January 1, 1989, Order DOE 5480.1A, Chapter XI, was superseded by Order DOE 5480.11. However, because this report addresses 1987 exposure data, the requirements of the former Order are presented.
(b) To meet the dose commitment standards above, operations must be conducted in such a manner that it would be unlikely that an individual would assimilate in a critical organ, by inhalation, ingestion, or absorption, a quantity of radionuclide(s) that would commit the individual to an organ dose that exceeds the standards specified in this table.
(c) In special cases, with the approval of the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Safety, Health, and Quality Assurance, a worker may exceed 5 rem/year provided his/her average exposure per year since age 18 will not exceed $5 \mathrm{rem} /$ year. This does not apply to emergency situations.
(d) A beta exposure below a maximum energy of 700 keV will not penetrate the lens of the eye; therefore, the applicable standard for these energies would be that for the skin ( $15 \mathrm{rem} / \mathrm{year}$ ).
(e) All reasonable effort shall be made to limit exposure of forearms and hands to the standard for the skin.

To assist in the determination that doses to individuals are maintained at the lowest level reasonably achievable, DOE requires the submittal of occupational radiation exposure records to a central repository. The central data base also includes occupational radiation exposure information for the former Atomic Energy Commission (AEC) and former Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA).

This report includes a summary of the data submitted for 1987 by DOE and DOE contractor facilities. Data from previous years are also included so that trends can be analyzed. Appendixes A, B, and C present whole-body exposure data for employees and visitors in 1987.

## SUMMARY OF WHOLE-BODY IONIZING RADIATION DOSES

Monitoring is required by Order DOE 5480.1A, Chapter XI, where the potential exists for an individual to receive a dose or dose commitment in excess of $10 \%$ of the quarterly or annual occupational radiation exposure standards shown in Table 1. (As of January 1, 1989, Order DOE 5480.1A, Chapter XI, was superseded by Order DOE 5480.11. However, because this report addresses 1987 exposure data, the requirements of the former Order are presented.) Depending on the administrative policy of the contractor, monitoring may also be provided to individuals, such as clerical workers, for whom the exposure potential is extremely low.

On November 6, 1987, DOE promulgated revised reporting requirements in Order DOE 5484.1, Chg 3, which affected the reporting of occupational doses received during 1987. Before 1987, DOE contractors were required to report the number of individuals who received an occupational whole-body exposure in one of 16 dose-equivalent intervals ranging from "less than measurable" to "greater than 10 rem. " Contractors were also required to report separately any uptake of radioactive material that resulted in a dose commitment to the critical organ in excess of $50 \%$ of the pertinent annual dose equivalent standards set forth in Order DOE 5480.1A, Chapter XI.

Currently, however, contractors are required by the revised reporting requirements to submit exposure data for individual employees and visitors. Data required to be submitted include total effective dose equivalent, external penetrating whole-body dose equivalent, internal effective dose equivalent, shallow dose equivalent and extremity dose equivalent. However, because the revised Order specifying radiation protection requirements for workers (DOE 5480.11) did not become effective until January 1, 1989, reporting of total effective dose equivalents, internal effective dose equivalents and extremity dose equivalents were not required for 1987 and 1988 exposure data. Consequently, this report is a summary of external penetrating dose equivalents received by DOE and DOE contractor employees and visitors in 1987. This report also summarizes uptakes of radioactive material that were required to be reported as described in the preceding paragraph. These reporting requirements for uptakes of radioactive material will remain in effect for the 1988 exposure data but will be superseded by the new reporting requirements that will become effective beginning with the 1989 exposure data.

One benefit of the revised reporting requirements is that calculation of collective dose equivalents received by DOE and DOE contractor employees and visitors will be more accurate than in the past. In previous reports, collective dose equivalents were calculated by multiplying the number of individuals who received dose equivalents in various dose equivalent ranges by the midpoint of those ranges and summing the products. For this report, however, this calculational method was not necessary because the actual doses received by individuals were reported by the contractors. This allowed the actual collective dose equivalents received by individuals to be determined. Analysis of the 1987 data indicated that using the midpoints of the dose equivalent ranges rather than the actual dose equivalents reported would have resulted in an overestimate of the collective dose equivalent received by all DOE and DOE contractor employees and visitors by $15.5 \%$. Therefore, it is likely that the collective dose equivalents reported for previous years were overestimated by between $10 \%$ and $20 \%$.

Another important change resulting from the revised reporting requirements is that the specific employees required to be reported has changed. Although both the former and current reporting requirements state that annual reports shall be submitted for all monitored DOE and DOE contractor workers, the current requirements define the term "monitored worker" whereas the former requirements did not. Monitored workers are defined by the current requirements as those employees who work with or near ionizing radiation or radioactive material and who are monitored in accordance with Order DOE 5480.1A. Therefore, the term "monitored worker" is generally considered to be synonymous with the term "radiation worker." As a result, some contractors chose not to report data for individuals who were not required to be monitored, especially those who received no measurable dose. This probably accounts for the significant decrease in the number of monitored employees reported for 1987 compared to previous years.

## DISTRIBUTION BY DOSE INTERVAL

The number of employees and visitors who received a dose equivalent in each of 16 dose-equivalent ranges is presented in Table 2. No DOE or DOE contractor employee received a dose equivalent greater than the DOE radiation protection standard of 5 rem. A total of 81,028 DOE and DOE contractor employees were reported to have been monitored for whole-body ionizing radiation exposure in 1987. This represents $48.7 \%$ of all DOE and DOE contractor employees. In addition to the employees, 62,549 visitors were monitored at DOE facilities. Visitors may include radiation workers from another DOE facility present on a temporary basis.

For comparison, Table 2 lists both the actual collective dose equivalents reported for each doseequivalent interval and the collective dose equivalents that would have been calculated had the midpoints of the dose equivalent ranges been multiplied by the number of persons in those ranges. The latter calculational method was used in previous reports because individual exposure data were not submitted to the central repository. The data indicate that almost $70 \%$ of the overestimate of the total collective dose equivalent using this method is attributable to the "Measurable to 0.1 rem" dose equivalent interval.

TABLE 2. Distribution of Whole-Body lonizing Radiation Doses for DOE/DOE Contractor Employees and Visitors by Dose-Equivalent Interval, 1987

| Dose-Equivalent Interval (rem) | Number of Persons |  |  | Collective Person-rem |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employees | Visitors | Total | Employees | Visitors | Total |
| <Measurable | 46,512 | 57,116 | 103,628 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Measurable to 0.10 | 24,163 | 4,697 | 28,860 | 665 | 99 | $764(1,443)^{(a)}$ |
| 0.10 to 0.25 | 4,799 | 437 | 5,236 | 762 | 65 | 827 (916) |
| 0.25 to 0.50 | 2,376 | 121 | 2,497 | 846 | 45 | 891 (936) |
| 0.50 to 0.75 | 988 | 79 | 1,067 | 605 | 47 | 652 (667) |
| 0.75 to 1.00 | 613 | 46 | 659 | 532 | 40 | 573 (577) |
| 1 to 2 | 1,258 | 52 | 1,310 | 1,776 | 72 | 1,849 (1,965) |
| 2 to 3 | 283 | 1 | 284 | 672 | 3 | 675 (710) |
| 3 to 4 | 36 | 0 | 36 | 122 | 0 | 122 (126) |
| 4 to 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 to 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 to 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 to 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 to 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 to 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $>10$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 81,028 | 62,549 | 143,577 | 5,980 | 373 | 6,353 (7,340) |

(a) Numbers in parentheses indicate the collective dose equivalents that would have been calculated by multiplying the midpoints of the dose-equivalent ranges by the numbers of persons in those ranges.

A comparison of the number of DOE and DOE contractor employees, the number of monitored employees reported and the number of monitored employees reported who did not receive a measurable dose equivalent in the last eight years is presented in Figure 1. The number of monitored employees reported for 1987 decreased significantly from the number reported for previous years (Figure 1). ${ }^{\text {(a) }}$

Of the monitored employees reported for 1987, $57.4 \%$ received a dose equivalent that was less than measurable, $40.7 \%$ a measurable dose equivalent less than 1 rem , and $1.9 \%$ a dose equivalent greater than 1 rem (Figure 2). The dose equivalent received by $91.3 \%$ of the visitors to DOE facilities was less than measurable. Only $8.6 \%$ of the visitors received a dose equivalent between measurable and 1 rem, and $0.08 \%$ of the visitors received a dose equivalent greater than 1 rem (Figure 2).

[^0]

FIGURE 2. Percentage of Monitored Employees and Percentage of Monitored Visitors Who Received Dose Equivalents Less Than Measurable, Measurable to 1 rem, or Greater Than 1 rem, 1987

The collective whole-body dose equivalent was 5,980 person-rem for all DOE and DOE contractor employees, and 373 person-rem for visitors to DOE facilities, for a total collective dose equivalent of 6,353 person-rem. The contribution of the individuals in each dose-equivalent interval to the collective dose equivalent is shown in Figure 3. Individuals whose exposure was less than 1 rem contributed the greatest portion (58.4\%) of the total person-rem.

The distribution of whole-body doses for the years 1965-1987 is presented in Table 3. As indicated in Table 3, the fraction of all monitored workers who received a dose equivalent greater than 1 rem has gradually declined since 1965, starting at about $5 \%$ and leveling off at about $2 \%$ for the last ten years. This general downward trend in occupational radiation exposures can be observed in Figure 4, which shows the collective dose equivalent for all individuals from 1965 to 1987 who received a dose equivalent greater than 1 rem. The collective dose equivalent for individuals who received an exposure less than 1 rem was not included because before 1974, less-than-measurable exposures were not distinguished from measurable exposures in the reporting system. This decrease in collective dose equivalent has been achieved even though some work was performed in older facilities which were not constructed using current design criteria. This trend reflects both changes in the nature of the work performed at DOE facilities and the required application of ALARA practices throughout all DOE operations.


FIGURE 3. Contribution of Each Dose-Equivalent Interval to the Total Collective Dose Equivalent, 1987
TABLE 3. Distribution of Whole-Body lonizing Radiation Doses for DOE/DOE Contractor Employees, 1965-1987



FIGURE 4. Total Collective Dose Equivalent for all DOE/DOE Contractor Employees Who Received a Dose Equivalent Greater Than 1 rem, 1965-1987

## DISTRIBUTION BY FACILITY TYPE

The number of individuals and the distribution of the annual whole-body dose equivalents in each of 11 facility categories were reported to the central repository. The assignment of exposures to one of the 11 facility types (listed in Order DOE 5484.1, Chg 3) is a policy decision of each field organization. For this report, visitors and DOE offices were also considered a facility type. The contribution of each facility type to the collective dose equivalent is shown in Figure 5. The largest percentage of the total collective dose equivalent was in the category "Maintenance and Support." The smallest contribution was from DOE offices. A summary of the data is presented in Table 4.

The average dose equivalent by facility type per individual monitored and per individual monitored with a measurable dose equivalent is shown in Table 5. The average dose equivalent per individual monitored for all facilities combined was 44 mrem . The highest average dose equivalent per individual monitored was observed at reactor facilities ( 167 mrem ), and the lowest was observed for visitors to DOE facilities ( 6 mrem ). The average dose equivalent per individual monitored with a measurable dose equivalent was 159 mrem . The highest average dose equivalent for individuals monitored with a measurable dose equivalent was observed at fuel processing facilities ( 267 mrem ), and the lowest was observed at DOE offices ( 30 mrem ).


FIGURE 5. Contribution of Each Facility Type to the Total Collective Dose Equivalent, 1987

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{\text {Ste }}^{\text {Salily }}$ | chatious | Mhas ene | Somemememememi |  |  |
| Acterear | ${ }_{3}^{3868}$ | ${ }^{1,127}$ | ${ }^{19}$ | ${ }_{6}^{16}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{8}$ |
|  | 3, |  |  |  | \% |
|  | ${ }^{2785}$ | ${ }^{1,29}$ | ${ }^{21}$ | " | ${ }^{5} 5$ |
|  | ${ }^{3,488}$ | 1,58 | ${ }^{26}$ | ${ }^{38}$ | ${ }^{20}$ |
| cen | ${ }^{13,34}$ | 8.18 | ${ }^{1380}$ | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }^{18}$ |
| Recter |  |  | $\underset{\substack{1,07 \\ 720}}{10}$ | ${ }^{19}$ | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{29 \\ 40}}$ |
| Remers | ${ }_{136}$ | ${ }^{18}$ | , | 6 | 3 |
|  | ${ }_{3,284}$ | 2006 | ${ }_{48}$ | ${ }^{19}$ | ${ }^{21}$ |
|  | 10,51 | 56.4 | 1008 | " | ${ }^{18}$ |
|  | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { c, } \\ \text { 6, } 29 \\ 0.59}}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{23 \\ y 3}}$ | ${ }_{5}^{35}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| dototites | ${ }^{1295}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | \% | $\stackrel{6}{6}$ | - |
| torat | 17357 | 3,999 | ${ }_{6,38}$ | 4 | ${ }_{\text {s9 }}$ |

(a) Throughout this report there may be minor variations in collective dose-equivalent values because of rounding.

## DISTRIBUTION BY FIELD ORGANIZATION

For each field organization, the number of monitored individuals reported, the number of individuals having a measurable dose and the collective dose equivalent are shown in Table 6. Differences in the collective dose equivalent at each field organization reflect differences in the nature of the work performed and the administrative policy concerning whether the dose distribution is reported for all monitored employees or only for those for whom monitoring is required. Table 7 provides an indication of the work performed at each field organization by showing the fraction of the collective dose equivalent at each field organization attributed to each facility type.

Table 8 presents collective dose equivalents for each field organization from 1980 to 1987. As indicated by the 1987 data, the practice of using the midpoints of dose-equivalent ranges to calculate collective dose equivalent overestimates the actual collective dose equivalent. This practice was necessary for pre-1987 data because of the lack of a requirement to report individual exposure data. For 1987, this practice would have resulted in overestimates in collective dose equivalents ranging from $7 \%$ (Richland) to $68 \%$ (Pittsburgh). The collective dose equivalent for all DOE and DOE contractor employees and visitors would have been overestimated by $15.5 \%$. Therefore, it is likely that the collective dose equivalents reported for the years 1980 to 1986 were overestimated by between $10 \%$ and $20 \%$. Applying a value of $15.5 \%$ for the 1986 data, the actual collective dose equivalent would have been 7,327 rem. Comparing this value to the actual collective dose equivalent for 1987 ( 6,353 rem), the total collective dose equivalent for DOE and DOE contractor employees and visitors decreased by over $13 \%$ from 1986 to 1987.

TABLE 6. Collective Dose Equivalents for DOE/DOE Contractor Employees and Visitors by Field Organization, 1987

| Field Organization | No. Individuals Monitored | No. <br> Individuals <br> with <br> Measurable Doses | Collective Dose Equivalent (Person-rem) | Average Dose Equivalent (mrem) Per Individual Monitored | Average Dose Equivalent (mrem) Per Individual Monitored with a Measurable Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albuquerque | 21,601 | 8,647 | 1,363 | 63 | 158 |
| Chicago | 14,002 | 3,889 | 348 | 25 | 90 |
| Idaho | 7,317 | 1,972 | 318 | 43 | 161 |
| Nevada | 7,579 | 98 | 8 | 1 | 80 |
| Oak Ridge | 15,997 | 4,185 | 517 | 32 | 123 |
| Pittsburgh Naval Reactor | 2,203 | 1,726 | 78 | 36 | 45 |
| Richland | 23,734 | 6,843 | 2,477 | 104 | 362 |
| San Francisco | 29,630 | 1,078 | 78 | 3 | 73 |
| Savannah River | 18,454 | 9,245 | 945 | 51 | 102 |
| Schenectady Naval Reactor | 3,060 | 2,266 | 220 | 72 | 97 |
| TOTAL | 143,577 | 39,949 | 6,353 | 44 | 159 |

TABLE 7. Percent of Collective Dose Equivalent for DOE/DOE Contractor Employees and Visitors Attributed to a Facility Type Within
Each Field Organization, 1987 Each Field Organization, 1987
Facility Type

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Field } \\ \text { Organization } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Accel. | Fuel Enrich. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fuel } \\ & \text { Fab. } \end{aligned}$ | Fuel Proc. | Maint. Support | Reactor | Research, General | Research, Fusion | Waste Proc./Man. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weapon } \\ \text { F\&T } \end{gathered}$ | Other | Visitors | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DOE } \\ & \text { Offices } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albuquerque |  |  |  |  | 1.7 |  | 25.9 |  | $<0.1$ | 67.0 | 1.3 | 3.9 | 0.2 |
| Chicago | 38.8 |  | 0.1 |  | 8.2 | 11.2 | 19.6 | 1.6 | 1.2 |  | 0.5 | 18.7 | 0.2 |
| Idaho |  |  |  | 43.4 | 3.1 | 24.8 | 0.7 |  | 1.3 |  | 25.2 | 1.3 | 0.1 |
| Nevada |  |  |  |  | 9.1 |  | 0.4 |  | 1.3 | 86.2 |  | 3.0 |  |
| Oak Ridge |  | 7.7 | 38.6 | 1.5 |  |  | 28.3 |  | $<0.1$ | 17.9 |  | 6.0 |  |
| Pittsburgh N.R. |  |  |  |  |  | 42.6 | 56.8 |  |  |  |  | 0.5 |  |
| Richland | < 0.1 |  | 0.6 | 0.6 | 44.3 | 31.3 | 4.2 |  | 14.8 |  | 3.8 | 0.4 | <0.1 |
| San Francisco | 42.5 | 1.8 |  |  | 16.0 |  | 19.6 | 3.6 | < 0.1 | 5.8 | 0.5 | 10.0 | 0.2 |
| Savannah River |  |  | 6.0 | 28.2 | 38.9 | 5.3 | 3.2 |  | 11.9 | 1.2 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 0.3 |
| Schenectady N.R. |  |  |  |  |  | 14.0 | 2.8 |  |  |  | < 0.1 | 83.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 8. Collective Dose Equivalent for DOE/DOE Contractor Employees and Visitors by Field Organization,

| 1980-1987 (person-rem) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Field Organization | 1980 |
| Albuquerque | 1,700 |
| Chicago | 918 |
| Idaho | 593 |
| Nevada | 50 |
| Oak Ridge | 604 |
| Pittsburgh Naval Reactor | 186 |
| Richland | 2,256 |
| San Francisco | 240 |
| Savannah River | 1,391 |
| Schenectady Naval Reactor | 79 |
| TOTAL | $[8,024]^{(c)}$ |

(a) The data differ slightly from those listed in previous reports because of errors reported by individual contractors after publication of the annual report. (b) Numbers in parentheses indicate the collective dose equivalents that would have been calculated by using the midpoints of the dose equivalent ranges to calculate collective dose equivalent as was done for the 1980-1986 data. 1987 was the first year for which actual individual dose equivalents were reported. The data suggest that the actual previous years in this decade.
(c) Total collective dose equivalen

[^1]
## SUMMARY OF INTERNAL EXPOSURES

Internal body depositions of radioactive material result from accidental, not planned, exposures. A report of internal body deposition of radioactive materials is required when:

1. any uptake of radioactive material occurred during the reporting year that either independently or when added to a current burden was estimated to result in a dose commitment to the critical organ in excess of $50 \%$ of the pertinent annual dose-equivalent standard set forth in Order DOE 5480.1A, Chapter XI; or when
2. any previously unreported uptake of radioactive material was determined to have been reportable according to the above criteria by reason of the most recent dose-equivalent estimates.

Two cases of internal body depositions were reported in 1987 that exceeded $50 \%$ of the pertinent annual dose-equivalent standard as set forth in Order DOE 5480.1A, Chapter XI. Both occurred during 1987 and are considered new cases.

Table 9 lists only those cases occurring since 1980 and identifies each by the first year known in which the dose equivalent exceeded $50 \%$ of the annual standard. Also listed are the radionuclide(s) involved, the organ showing the highest percent of the annual standard, and the number of individuals in each dose-equivalent range. Revisions to previously reported cases are included.

TABLE 9. Dose Distributions for Cases of Internal Body Depositions, 1980-1987

| Year | Radionuclide | Critical Organ | Dose-Equivalent Interval (rem) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 7.5-10 | 10-15 | 15-25 | 25-50 | 50-100 | 100-200 |
| 1980 | ${ }^{238} \mathrm{Pu}$ | Bone |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{234} \mathrm{U},{ }^{235} \mathrm{U},{ }^{238} \mathrm{U}$ | Lung | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | ${ }^{238} \mathrm{Pu},{ }^{239} \mathrm{Pu},{ }^{240} \mathrm{Pu}$ | Bone |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{238} \mathrm{Pu},{ }^{239} \mathrm{Pu},{ }^{240} \mathrm{Pu}$ | Lung | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{234} \mathrm{U},{ }^{235} \mathrm{U},{ }^{238} \mathrm{U}$ | Lung | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982 | ${ }^{238} \mathrm{Pu}$ | Bone |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{238} \mathrm{Pu},{ }^{239} \mathrm{Pu},{ }^{240} \mathrm{Pu}$ | Bone |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 1983 | ${ }^{239} \mathrm{Pu},{ }^{240} \mathrm{Pu},{ }^{241} \mathrm{Am}$ | Bone |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{234} \mathrm{U},{ }^{235} \mathrm{U}$ | Lung | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | ${ }^{239} \mathrm{Pu},{ }^{241} \mathrm{Am}$ | Lung |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 1985 | ${ }^{234} \mathrm{U},{ }^{235} \mathrm{U},{ }^{238} \mathrm{U}$ | Lung | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{239} \mathrm{Pu},{ }^{241} \mathrm{Am}$ | Lung | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 | ${ }^{238 P u}$ | Liver | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX A

DISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL WHOLE-BODY DOSES BY FACILITY TYPE FOR EACH DOE FIELD ORGANIZATION, 1987
TABLE A. 1
DISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL WHOLE-BODY DOSES BY FACILITY TYPE
ALBUQUERQUE FIELD ORGANIZATION
1987

| Dose-Equivalent Ranges (rem) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Facility Type | Total Monitored | Meas. | Meas.- $\leq 0.10$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.10- \\ 0.25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.25- \\ 0.50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.50- \\ 0.75 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.75- \\ & 1.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1-2 | 2-3 | 3-4 | 45 | 5-6 | 6-7 | 7-8 | 8-9 | 9-10 | $>10$ | Total Person-rem |
| Accelerator |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel/Uran. Enrich. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel Fabrication |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel Processing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maint. \& Support | 1,701 | 693 | 990 | 11 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |
| Reactor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research, Gen. | 5,880 | 4,916 | 505 | 145 | 79 | 49 | 51 | 113 | 21 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 353 |
| Research, Fusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waste Proc./Mgmt. | 15 | 4 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Weapons Fab. \& Test. | 7,591 | 2,834 | 3,208 | 643 | 366 | 176 | 96 | 246 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 913 |
| Other | 1,520 | 871 | 629 | 16 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| Visitors | 4,335* | 3,155* | 1,037 | 112 | 22 | 7 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53 |
| DOE Offices | 559 | 481 | 72 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| TOTAL | 21,601* | 12,954* | 6,452 | 931 | 479 | 233 | 149 | 359 | 43 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL PERSON-REM |  |  | 160 | 150 | 169 | 145 | 130 | 508 | 98 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,363 |



|  |  |  |  |  |  | Dos | e-Equ | alen |  | S (rem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Facility Type | Total Monitored | Meas. | Meas.- $\leq 0.10$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.10- \\ 0.25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.25- \\ 0.50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.50- \\ 0.75 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.75- \\ 1.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1-2 | 2-3 | 3-4 | 45 | 5-6 | 6-7 | 7-8 | 8-9 | 9-10 | $>10$ | Total Person-rem |
| Accelerator |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel/Uran. Enrich. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel Fabrication |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel Processing | 1,841 | 1,259 | 317 | 105 | 74 | 30 | 24 | 28 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 138 |
| Maint. \& Support | 303 | 186 | 93 | 12 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| Reactor | 1,590 | 1,124 | 279 | 94 | 52 | 16 | 9 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 79 |
| Research, Gen. | 35 | 26 | 6 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Research, Fusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waste Proc./Mgmt. | 94 | 67 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Weapons Fab. \& Test. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 1,839 | 1,161 | 460 | 124 | 63 | 19 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80 |
| Visitors | 1,524* | 1,444* | 73 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| DOE Offices | 91 | 78 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 7,317* | 5,345* | 1,254 | 345 | 210 | 67 | 47 | 45 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL PERSON-REM |  |  | 42 | 56 | 72 | 41 | 40 | 58 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 318 |

distribution of annual whilitib

Dose-Equivalent Ranges (rem)

| Facility Type | Total Monitored | Meas. | Meas.- $\leq 0.10$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.10- \\ 0.25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.25- \\ 0.50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.50- \\ 0.75 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.75- \\ & 1.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1-2 | 2-3 | 3-4 | 4.5 | 5-6 | 6-7 | 7-8 | 8-9 | 9-10 | $>10$ | Total Person-rem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accelerator |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel/Uran. Enrich. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel Fabrication |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel Processing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maint. \& Support | 14 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Reactor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research, Gen. | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research, Fusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waste Proc./Mgmt. | 13 | 10 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weapons Fab. \& Test. | 303 | 225 | 60 | 12 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| Other | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visitors | 7,246* | 7,236* | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DOE Offices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 7,579* | 7,481* | 77 | 14 | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL PERSON-REM |  |  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |

* Includes 7,234 visitors reported separately.

| Facility Type | Total Monitored | Meas. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Meas.- } \\ & <0.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.10- \\ 0.25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.25- \\ 0.50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.50- \\ 0.75 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.75- \\ & 1.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1-2 | 2-3 | 3-4 | 4.5 | 5-6 | 6-7 | 7-8 | 8-9 | 9-10 | $>10$ | Total Person-rem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accelerator |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel/Uran. Enrich. | 2,608 | 1,502 | 1,020 | 68 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40 |
| Fuel Fabrication | 1,745 | 603 | 550 | 282 | 235 | 62 | 10 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 199 |
| Fuel Processing | 133 | 33 | 76 | 20 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Maint. \& Support |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reactor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research, Gen. | 5,560 | 5,031 | 172 | 179 | 91 | 35 | 28 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 146 |
| Research, Fusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waste Proc./Mgmt. | 103 | 98 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weapons Fab. \& Test. | 704 | 138 | 147 | 329 | 81 | 7 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 92 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visitors | 5,144* | 4,407* | 670 | 49 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 |
| DOE Offices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 15,997* | 11,812* | 2,640 | 927 | 436 | 110 | 41 | 30 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL PERSON-REM |  |  | 71 | 148 | 157 | 63 | 36 | 39 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 517 |

* Includes 1,250 visitors reported separately.
TABLE A. 6
DISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL WHOLE-BODY DOSES BY FACILITY TYPE PITTSBURGH NAVAL REACTOR FIELD ORGANIZATION

TABLE A. 7
DISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL WHOLE-BODY DOSES BY FACILITY TYPE $\underset{\text { RICHLAND FIED ORGANIZATION }}{1987}$
$965 \quad 561 \quad 119$
б

> TOTAL PERSON-REM
> * Includes 13,120 visitors reported separately.
DISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL WHOLE-BODY DOSES BY FACILITY TYPE SAN FRANCISCO $\underset{1987}{\text { FIELD ORGANIZATION }}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -Equ | le | ang | (re |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Facility Type | Total Monitored | Meas. | Meas.- $<0.10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.10- \\ & 0.25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.25- \\ & 0.50 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.50- \\ & 0.75 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.75- \\ & 1.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1-2 | 2-3 | 3-4 | $4-5$ | 5-6 | 6-7 | 7-8 | 8-9 | 9-10 | $>10$ | Total Person-rem |
| Accelerator | 870 | 393 | 400 | 53 | 17 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33 |
| Fuel/Uran. Enrich. | 804 | 789 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Fuel Fabrication |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel Processing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maint. \& Support | 4,428 | 4,319 | 87 | 12 | 5 |  | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |
| Reactor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research, Gen. | 1,970 | 1,705 | 230 | 17 | 15 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| Research, Fusion | 433 | 399 | 24 | 9 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Waste Proc./Mgmt. | 54 | 53 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weapons Fab. \& Test. | 1,614 | 1,542 | 59 | 11 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Other | 411 | 401 | 9 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visitors | 18,945* | 18,853* | 72 | 13 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| DOE Offices | 101 | 98 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 29,630* | 28,552* | 896 | 118 | 45 | 8 | 4 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL PERSON-REM |  |  | 28 | 18 | 15 | 5 | 4 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 78 |

TABLE A. 9
dISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL WHOLE-BODY DOSES BY FACILITY TYPE SAVANNAH RIVER FIELD ORGANIZATION

| Dose-Equivalent Ranges (rem) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Facility Type | Total Monitored | Meas. | Meas.- $\leq 0.10$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.10- \\ 0.25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.25- \\ 0.50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.50- \\ 0.75 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.75- \\ 1.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1-2 | 2-3 | 3-4 | 4.5 | 5-6 | 6-7 | 7-8 | 8-9 | 9-10 | $>10$ | Total Person-rem |
| Accelerator |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel/Uran. Enrich. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel Fabrication | 981 | 403 | 443 | 49 | 57 | 24 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57 |
| Fuel Processing | 1,088 | 199 | 394 | 183 | 120 | 67 | 56 | 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 267 |
| Maint. \& Support | 7,007 | 2,892 | 3,095 | 654 | 254 | 79 | 19 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 368 |
| Reactor | 989 | 245 | 577 | 149 | 16 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |
| Research, Gen. | 1,047 | 636 | 337 | 45 | 20 | 4 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |
| Research, Fusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waste Proc./Mgmt. | 593 | 195 | 178 | 88 | 51 | 38 | 16 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 112 |
| Weapons Fab. \& Test. | 349 | 198 | 122 | 19 | 7 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| Other | 1,780 | 831 | 902 | 42 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |
| Visitors | 4,339* | 3,432* | 889 | 13 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| DOE Offices | 281 | 178 | 100 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| TOTAL | 18,454* | 9,209* | 7,037 | 1,245 | 534 | 217 | 99 | 113 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL PERSON-REM |  |  | 195 | 196 | 191 | 132 | 85 | 146 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 945 |

distribution of annual while it iod doses by faclitr trpe

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -q |  | Ran | (rem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Facility Type | Total Monitored | Meas. | Meas.- $\leq 0.10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.10- \\ & 0.25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.25- \\ 0.50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.50- \\ & 0.75 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.75- \\ 1.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1-2 | 2-3 | 3-4 | 45 | 5-6 | 6-7 | 7-8 | 8-9 | 9-10 | $>10$ | Total <br> Person-rem |
| Accelerator | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel/Uran. Enrich. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel Fabrication |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel Processing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maint. \& Support |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reactor | 892 | 55 | 769 | 52 | 15 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 |
| Research, Gen. | 958 | 519 | 434 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Research, Fusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waste Proc./Mgmt. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weapons Fab. \& Test. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 29 | 18 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visitors | 1,180 | 201 | 670 | 106 | 61 | 59 | 37 | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 183 |
| DOE Offices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 3,060 | 794 | 1,884 | 163 | 76 | 60 | 37 | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL PERSON-REM |  |  | 33 | 25 | 29 | 36 | 32 | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 220 |

## APPENDIX B

DISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL WHOLE-BODY DOSES
TO PERSONNEL FOR EACH DOE FIELD ORGANIZATION, 1987
DISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL WHOLELE-B
dISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL WHOLE-BODY DOSES TO PERSONNEL 1987
Dose-Equivalent Ranges (rem)


| Contractor | $<$ <br> Meas. | Meas.- $<0.10$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.10- \\ 0.25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.25- \\ & 0.50 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.50- \\ & 0.75 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.75- \\ & 1.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1-2 | 2-3 | 3-4 | 45 | 5-6 | 6-7 | 7-8 | 8-9 | 9-10 | $\geq 10$ | Total Person-rem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mason \& Hanger (Amarillo, TX) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 938 | 95 | 45 | 29 | 8 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35 |
| Visitors | 30 | 14 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Total | 968 | 109 | 47 | 29 | 8 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |
| Mason \& Hanger (Los Alamos, NM) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 353 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visitors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 353 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pan-Am World Services, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 117 | 6 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Visitors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 117 | 6 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Rockwell International |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 1,679 | 2,136 | 589 | 333 | 167 | 94 | 241 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 858 |
| Visitors | 647 | 384 | 56 | 6 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |
| Total | 2,326 | 2,520 | 645 | 339 | 168 | 95 | 241 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 879 |
| Ross Aviation, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 88 | 9 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visitors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 88 | 9 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sandia National Laboratory |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 557 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visitors | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 568 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALALBUQUERQUE | 9,890 | 6,221 | 925 | 476 | 233 | 149 | 359 | 43 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,358 |


Dose-Equivalent Ranges (rem)

| Contractor | $<$ Meas. | Meas.- <br> $\leq 0.10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.10- \\ & 0.25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.2 <br> 0.5 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.50- \\ & 0.75 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.75- \\ 1.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1-2 | 2-3 | 3-4 | 45 | 5-6 | $\underline{6-7}$ | 7-8 | 8.9 | $\underline{9-10}>10$ | Total Person-rem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ames Laboratory-(lowa St.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees |  | 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Visitors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Argonne National Lab. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 1,884 | 334 | 138 | 50 | 16 |  | 17 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80 |
| Visitors | 1 | 66 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Total | 1,885 | 400 | 147 | 50 | 16 |  | 17 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 84 |
| Battelle Memorial Institute -Columbus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 77 | 20 | 4 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| Visitors |  | 10 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 77 | 30 | 6 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| Brookhaven National Lab. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 885 | 706 | 112 | 76 | 25 |  | 19 | 25 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 133 |
| Visitors | 284 | 588 | 49 | 8 | 4 |  | 3 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 |
| Total | 1,169 | 1,294 | 161 | 84 | 29 |  | 22 | 30 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 170 |
| Chicago Misc. Subcontractors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 18 | 35 | 10 | 3 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Visitors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 18 | 35 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Fermi National Lab. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 1,003 | 594 | 66 | 15 |  | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35 |
| Visitors | 404 | 452 | 67 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |
| Total | 1,407 | 1,046 | 133 | 18 |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58 |

TABLE B. 2 (Continued)
DISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL WHOLE-BODY DOSES TO PERSONNEL
CHICAGO FIELD ORGANIZATION
1987
Dose-Equivalent Ranges (rem)

| Contractor | Meas. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Meas.- } \\ & <0.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.10 \\ 0.25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.25- \\ 0.50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.50- \\ 0.75 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.75- \\ 1.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1-2 | 2-3 | 3-4 | $4-5$ | 5-6 | 6-7 | 7-8 | 8-9 | 9-10 | $\geq 10$ | Total Person-rem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Massachusets Institute of Technology |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 243 | 37 | 8 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Visitors |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 243 | 39 | 8 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Notre Dame Radiation Lab. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 32 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visitors | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 35 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Princeton Plasma Physics Lab. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 728 | 153 | 8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Visitors | 177 | 23 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Total | 905 | 176 | 10 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| Solar Energy Research Inst. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 15 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visitors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 15 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL CHICAGO | 5,754 | 3,094 | 476 | 163 | 53 | 44 | 41 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 348 |
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 1987
distribution of annual whole-body doses to personnel IDAHO FIELD ORGANIZATION

Catalytic Inc.
Employees
Visitors
Total
EG\&G Idaho, Inc.
Employees
Visitors
Total
Idaho Office Subcontractors Employees
Visitors Total MK-Ferguson Subcontractors Employees
Vision Protection Technology-INEL Employees
Total Ralph M. Parsons Co. Employees
TABLE B. 3 (Continued)
DISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL WHOLE-BODY DOSES TO PERSONNEL IDAHO FIELD ORGANIZATION


## DISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL WHOLE-BODY DOSES TO PERSONNEL

 1987Dose-Equivalent Ranges (rem)

| Contractor | Meas. | Meas.- $\leq 0.10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.10- \\ & 0.25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.25- \\ & 0.50 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.50- \\ & 0.75 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.75- \\ & 1.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1-2 | 2-3 | 3-4 | 4-5 | 5-6 | 6-7 | 7-8 | 8-9 | 9-10 | $\geq 10$ | Total <br> Person-rem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Computer Sciences Corp. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visitors |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EG\&G, Kirtland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 56 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visitors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 56 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EG\&G, Los Alamos |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 22 | 10 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Visitors | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 24 | 13 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Fenix \& Scisson, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 40 | 17 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Visitors |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 40 | 18 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Holmes \& Narver, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 15 | 9 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Visitors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 15 | 9 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Reynolds Elec. \& Eng. Co. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 82 | 22 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Visitors |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 82 | 23 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| TOTAL NEVADA | 217 | 72 | 13 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |

DISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL WHOLE-BODY DOSES TO PERSONNEL OAK RIDGE FIELD ORGANIZATION

Dose-Equivalent Ranges (rem)

| Contractor | Meas. | Meas.- $<0.10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.10- \\ & 0.25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.25- \\ & 0.50 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.50- \\ & 0.75 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.75- \\ & 1.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1-2 | 2-3 | 3-4 | 4-5 | 5-6 | 6-7 | 7-8 | 8-9 | 9-10 | $\geq 10$ | Total Person-rem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M. M. Portsmouth Subcontractors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visitors | 107 | 246 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Total | 107 | 246 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Martin Marietta/ORGDP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 368 | 45 | 5 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Visitors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 368 | 45 | 5 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Martin Marietta/ORNL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 5,026 | 142 | 178 | 91 | 35 | 28 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 146 |
| Visitors | 1,050 | 25 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Total | 6,076 | 167 | 184 | 92 | 35 | 28 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 148 |
| Martin Marietta/Paducah |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 18 | 8 | 30 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| Visitors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 18 | 8 | 30 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| Martin Marietta/Portsmouth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 1,116 | 967 | 33 | 5 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |
| Visitors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,116 | 967 | 33 | 5 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |
| Martin Marietta/Y-12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 138 | 147 | 329 | 81 | 7 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 92 |
| Visitors |  |  | 17 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| Total | 138 | 147 | 346 | 85 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 103 |

Dose-Equivalent Ranges (rem)

TABLE B. 6 DISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL WHOLE-BODY DOSES TO PERSONNEL PITTSBURGH NAVAL REACTOR FIELD ORGANIZATION

| Contractor | Meas. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Meas.- } \\ & \leq 0.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.10 \\ 0.25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.25- \\ 0.50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.50- \\ & 0.75 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.75- \\ & 1.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1-2 | 2-3 | 3-4 | 4.5 | 5-6 | 6-7 | 7-8 | 8-9 | 9-10 | $\geq 10$ | Total Person-rem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Westinghouse Electric/BAPL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 213 | 645 | 18 | 21 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |
| Visitors | 173 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 386 | 705 | 18 | 21 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |
| Westinghouse Electric/NRF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 38 | 758 | 158 | 26 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57 |
| Visitors |  | 9 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 38 | 767 | 159 | 26 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58 |
| Westinghouse Plant Apparatus Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visitors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL PITTSBURGH | 467 | 1,472 | 177 | 47 | 10 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 78 |

DISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL WHOLE-BODY DOSES TO PERSONNEL RICHLAND FIELD ORGANIZATION
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 Energy Tech. Engineering Center
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Total
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## LLNL Plant Services <br> LLNL Plan

 EmployeesVisitors Visitors LLNL Security Employees
Visitors
Total
LLNL Subcontractors
Employees
Visitors
Total Employees
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Total
LLNL Subcontractors
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Total Employees
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Total
LLNL Subcontractors
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Total Employees
Visitors
Total
LLNL Subcontractors
Employees
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Total Employees
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Total
LLNL Subcontractors
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Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
Employees
Visitors
Total Lawrence Livermore Nat'I Lab.
-Nevada
Employees
Visitors
Total Lawrence Livermore $\mathrm{Nat}^{\prime} \mathrm{I}$ Lab.
Employees Employees
Visitors
Total
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Dose-Equivalent Ranges (rem)
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TABLE B. 8 (Continued)
DISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL WHOLE-BODY DOSES TO PERSONNEL
SAN FRANCISCO FIELD ORGANIZATION
1987
Dose-Equivalent Ranges (rem)
$6-7 \quad 7-8 \quad 8-9$
if
45
$3-4$
2.3



> Rockwell International,
Atomics Int'l
Employees
Visitors
Total
Visitors
Total
203

Dose
$9-10 \geq 10$
$\infty$
فे
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2\end{array}$



U. of Cal. SAN - Lab of Radiobiology
Employees ab-LEHR
Employe
U. of Cal./Davis, Radiobiology
Lab - LEHR Employees
Visitors
Visitors
Total Employees
Visitors
Total
TOTAL SAN FRANCISCO



$\stackrel{1}{\wedge}$
$\div$
$\infty$
$\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$
$\hat{\oplus}$
5-6
DISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL WHOLE-BODY DOSES TO PERSONNEL
DISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL WHOLE-BODY DOSES TO PERSONNEL
SAVANNAH RIVER FIELD ORGANIZATION
1987
Dose-Equivalent Ranges (rem)
4.5
$\begin{array}{lllll}\overline{\sigma-\varepsilon} & \overline{\varepsilon-z} & \overline{z-L} & \overline{00 \cdot L} & \overline{S \angle O} \\ & -S \angle O L & -0 S^{\circ} 0\end{array}$




| Contractor |
| :--- |
| Diversco |
| Employees |
| Visitors |
| Total |
| E. I. Du Pont/Construction |
| Employees |
| Visitors |
| Total |
| E. I. Du Pont/Production |
| Employees |
| Visitors |
| Total |
| E. I. Du Pont/Research |
| Employees |
| Visitors |
| Total |
| E. I. Du Pont/Subcontractors |
| Employees |
| Visitors |
| Total |
| Industrial Phases-SR |
| Employees |
| Visitors |
| Total |

TABLE B. 9 (Continued)
DISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL WHOLE-BODY DOSES TO PERSONNEL
SAVANNAH RIVER FIELD ORGANIZATION
1987
 TOTAL SAVANNAH RIVER
DISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL WHOLE-BODY DOSES TO PERSONNEL
SCHENECTADY NAVAL REACTOR FIELD ORGANIZATION


## APPENDIX C

## DISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL WHOLE-BODY DOSES FOR DOE GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES AND VISITORS BY DOE FIELD ORGANIZATION, 1987

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { DISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL WHOLE-BODY DOSES FOR } \\
\text { DOE GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES AND VISITORS } \\
\text { BY DOE FIELD ORGANIZATION } \\
1987
\end{gathered}
$$




* Includes 51,408 visitors reported separately.



[^0]:    (a) Much of this decrease is attributable to the revised reporting requirements as discussed on page 3.

[^1]:    suggest that the calculational method used could have overestimated the actual total collective dose equivalents by approximately $15 \%$.

