#### FEDERAL UTILITY PARTNERSHIP WORKING GROUP SEMINAR

May 7 - 8, 2014 Virginia Beach, VA

## UESC Best Practices **Subcontractor Selection**

Presented by: Patricia Nardone





## Main Approaches

Utility brings ESCO partner to the table

-OR-

 Utility acts as GC and selects/manages primary or multiple subcontractors

## Typical Drivers in Utility Subcontracting Approach

- Breadth and Depth of UESC Experience
- Technical Expertise
- Number of Resources
- Availability of and Ability to Spend Budget Dollars at Risk
- Risk Profile & Appetite for Risk
- Program Motivation Customer Satisfaction or Financial Gain

## Typical Drivers – Appetite for Risk

#### Financial

Not All Inclusive

- Audit fees (budget dollars at risk)
- Price/margin stability (overall project financials)
- Payment

#### External community – Relationship & Reputation

- Business community (local contractors)
- Stakeholder perception (PSC, stockholders, etc.)

### Legal

- Contractual obligations
- Subcontractor performance
- Environmental

#### Other

- Setting precedence
- Technology



# Typical Drivers – UESC Program Motivation

#### **Customer Satisfaction**

Versus

**Financial Gain** 



Federal Utility Partnership Working Group May 7 - 8, 2014 Virginia Beach, VA

#### Other Considerations

- Competitive selection required
  - Utility methodology not Government
- Small or minority subcontracting goals
  - GSA/BOA broad vs. project specific
- Special security or clearance requirements
- Volume of work expected to be performed
  - Base/Facility wide or multiple buildings at a time

## Need to Know Upfront

- Customer and/or Contracting Officer level of involvement in selection
- What does Government want or need for their permanent files?
- If bonds will be required of all parties Utility typically makes that call on their own subs



#### **Full Service ESCO**

- Select ESCO
- ESCO develops project at full financial risk
- Can be higher cost structure –
   offset ideally thru economies of
   scale
- Project developed by ESCO with commitment for project to be done with them
- ESCO installs the project – typically responsible for PV



#### **Hiring Subs Directly**

- Audit fees contract if possible
- Engineering firms
- Develop biddable scopes of work
- Select installation subcontractors
- Utility manages project installation more like a general \_\_\_\_\_ contractor – responsible

for or subcontracts PV



- Typically done prior to initial project development
- Should begin with a competitive selection process
  - Clearly define responsibilities to include payment or nonpayment of initial audit fees
  - Elements of pricing to be clearly defined
- Section should include:
  - ESCO experience with customer and/or technologies
  - Elements of pricing clearly defined

#### Other Considerations:

- Customer or Utility territory
- Project on ongoing work?
- Timeframe for continued awards or renewed selection process
- Exclusivity
- Equipment/vendor neutrality
- ESCO affiliation

- Utility should always be at the table
- Review, be involved, & understand the project
  - Look closely at elements of ESCO pricing like project management, engineering, overheads & profit
  - Review documents for completeness
- Watch letting the contract look more like ESPC
  - Escalated savings in payment structure
  - Performance verification plans & language vs guarantee
  - Contract terms & conditions

## Hiring Major Subcontractors Directly

- Initial audit typically done before subs selection
- Requires solid engineering and clean divisions of scopes of work before contractors are involved
- Involves much more oversight & involvement by the Utility
- Process typically includes Utility adding local subs and contractors to the mix
- Can bring the better price/value overall to the Government but is considered *much* higher risk for Utility

#### Need to Know

- Don't always get major breakouts

   (i.e. sometimes only lump sum or material and labor totals for scope)
- Engineering is NOT typically compete
- Utility selects based on best value (not lowest price – past experience, expertise, & price)

### Results Expected - Either Process

- Open book pricing
- Final results of subcontractor selection
  - May be just sub and total dollar of bid
  - Some customers simply want final contractor selection info
  - Same info can typically be provided on 3<sup>rd</sup> party lender (selection process & results)
- Feedback on subcontractor performance

## At the End of the Day

- Utility still responsible for the work contractually
  - Through the end of the performance provisions
- Utility handling of the project during the entire process will affect relationship and reputation regardless of subcontracting process
- Customers repeatedly express desire for Utility to be an active participant...

Don't just sit on the sidelines!