

Commencement of Operations of the Idaho Accelerated Retrieval Project Phase II -  
February 06

**QUALITY ASSURANCE (QA)**

Objective

QA.1 Procurement Quality has been addressed for the subcontractor services and equipment related to drum venting and head space gas sampling.

CRITERIA:

QA. 1.1 A review for counterfeit parts has been conducted

QA. 1.2 The Quality Level Designation has been confirmed.

QA. 1.3 The vendor data was reviewed and found to be complete.

QA. 1.4 The required inspections were completed and all resulting non-conformance reports have been resolved or do not affect commencement of operations.

QA. 1.5 All non-conformance reports have been closed, or do not affect commencement of operations.

REVIEW APPROACH:

Document Reviews:

- Review documentation pertaining to counterfeit parts evaluations
- Review system documentation for Quality Level Determination
- Review approved suppliers list to determine if facility equipment is being procured from approved suppliers.
- Review selected vendor data records for completeness and incorporation into facility procedures.
- Review receipt inspection plans and results for facility equipment.
- Review non-conformance reports to determine if they have been properly resolved.

Interviews:

- Interview QA support personnel for their support in the preparations for the activity.
- Interview selected facility personnel to assess their level of knowledge of the impact of the facility changes on QA program implementation.

Shift Performance:

- None

OBJECTIVE

QA.2 A feedback and improvement process has been established to identify, evaluate, and resolve deficiencies and recommendations made by self assessments,

oversight groups, and review teams, associated with commencing the AR Project I1 activities, to meet the following criteria:

CRITERIA:

QA.2.1 Any open ICARE or Occurrence Reporting and Processing System (ORPS) corrective actions that may impact the activity have been closed prior to commencement of the activity or have been identified as pre-start findings.

QA.2.2 A review of lessons learned from similar activities has been conducted and incorporated into the activity, as appropriate.

QA.2.3 A feedback and improvement process has been implemented at RWMC to identify, evaluate, and resolve deficiencies and recommendations made by independent review groups, official review teams, audit organizations, and the operating contractor.

REVIEW APPROACH:

Document Reviews:

- Review the issues management tracking system, ICARE, ORPS, and NTS for open issues that could impact the safety of operations. Review pre-start finding closure packages from the Management Assessment for adequacy.
- Review lessons learned from similar activities.
- Review RWMC feedback and improvement process documents.

Interviews:

- Interview management and work planning personnel to ensure that lessons learned have been incorporated into the work control documents for the facility activities.

Shift Performance:

None