

Environmental Review Form for Argonne National Laboratory

Form: ANL-985

Version: 4

Your Form ID: ANL-985-639 Form Status: Approved

Date: 12/23/2015 11:05:07 AM **Created By:** Woodford, John B.

Creator

Badge: 51790 Name: Woodford, John B.

Cost Center: 115 Division: NE

Job Title: Manager, ESH/QA Operations Employee Type: Regular Full-Time Exempt

Building: 208 Lab Extension: 2-0910

General Information

Project/Activity Hands-on Operation of Countercurrent Centrifugal Contactors with In-Line/On-Line

Title: Instrumentation Measurements

ASO NEPA
Tracking No.:

Type of Funding:

B & R Code: NN2001000 Identifying Number: NN2001000

SPP Proposal CRADA Proposal Number:

Work Project (Item 3a in Field Work

Number: ANL Accounting Number: (Refriction of the Number)

Other (explain):

List appropriate NEPA Owners:
Division: NE NEPA Owner:

Cost Code

Task: 67720 Center: 115 Project: Activity:

Description of Proposed Action

This is research on spent fuel reprocessing via solvent extraction using centrifugal contactors, to measure the status of the contactors and concentration of species of interest using in-line/on-line instrumentation. In-line UV-vis (ultraviolet-visible) fiber optic probes are used to measure the concentration of U and Pu during operation of the UREX and PUREX solvent extraction flowsheets. The purpose of the experiments is to collect data to validate modeling results calculated by Argonne's solvent extraction code AMUSE (Argonne Model for Universal Solvent Extraction). In addition, data from such experiments has been and continues to be provided to other groups at Argonne and at other national laboratories for the purposes of developing/studying the safeguards aspects of primarily non-PUREX solvent extraction flowsheets. Countercurrent centrifugal contactors experiments include work in both uncontrolled and radiologically controlled laboratories. Most of the contactor work (and all of the radiological contactor work) is small in scale. However, two single contactors used for nonradiological studies can use up to 100 L aqueous (dilute mineral acid) and organic (generally an alkane with added tributyl phosphate) phases.

Description of Affected Environment

The work takes place in Bldg. 205, Rooms H-101 (radiological) and X-158 (nonradiological). Both of these areas are laboratories with adequate ventilation, and the work takes place in vac-frame hoods. The contactors are in secondary containment in case of spills.

Potential Environmental Effects

- Attach explanation for each "yes" response near bottom of form.
- See Instructions for Completing Environmental Review Form.

Section A (Complete For All Projects)	Yes	No	Explanation

1.	Wa pro	ject evaluated for Pollution Prevention and ste Minimization opportunities and details vided under items 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 16, and 20 ow, as applicable	•	С	See below for details.	
2.	Air	Pollutant Emissions	0	\odot		
3.	Noi	se	0	⊙		
4.	Chemical/Oil Storage/Use		•	0	Up to 100 L dilute mineral acid (most often nitric) and up to 100 L solvent are used in the largest contactors.	
5.	Pes	sticide Use	0	\odot		
6.		kic Substances Control Act (TSCA) ostances				
	6a.	Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	0	⊙		
	6b.	Asbestos or Asbestos Containing Materials	0	•		
	6c.	Other TSCA Regulated Substances	•	0	Nitric acid, kerosene, and dodecane are all regulated under TSCA.	
	6d.	Import or Export of Chemical Substances	0	•		
7.	Bio	hazards	0	•		
8.	Effluent/Wastewater (If yes, see question #12 and contact Peter Lynch (FMS-SEP) at 2-4582 or lynch@anl.gov)		c	•		
9.	Wa	ste Management				
	9a.	Construction or Demolition Waste	0	⊙		
	9b.	Hazardous Waste	•	0	At the end of the process, dilute nitric acid and organic solvent must be disposed of.	
	9c.	Radioactive Mixed Waste	•	0	In the radiological extraction, some radioactive species are left in the organic phase and in the aqueous acid phase.	
	9d.	Radioactive Waste	•	0	This work studies the extraction of plutonium and uranium, so all of the hardware involved will have to be disposed of as low-level waste once the project has been completed, in addition to contaminated personal protective equipment and other items.	
	9e.	PCB or Asbestos Waste	0	⊙		
	9f.	Biological Waste	0	⊙		
	9g.	No Path to Disposal Waste	0	⊚		
	_	Nano-material Waste	0	•		
10.	Radiation		•	0	The subject of this research is the extraction of uranium and plutonium, so there is a small direct radiation hazard during the wo	
11.		eatened Violation of ES&H Regulations or mit Requirement	0	•		
12.	Nev	w or Modified Federal or State Permits	0	⊚		
13.		ng, Construction, or Major Modification of cility to Recover, Treat, Store, or Dispose of ste	0	•		
14.	Puk	olic Controversy	0	•		
	5. Historic Structures and Objects		0	•		
-	6. Disturbance of Pre-existing Contamination		0	•		
17.	Energy Efficiency, Resource Conserving, and		•	c	The work performed in the large contactors does not include chemical extraction, so the solvent and aqueous phases are pumped through the contactor in a continuous loop. This serves to minimize the total amount of material used.	
S	Section B (For Projects that Occur Outdoors)		Yes	No		
18.	Threatened or Endangered Species, Critical		0	•		
19.	We	tlands	0	⊙		

20.	Floodplain			О	\odot				
21.	Landscaping			О	⊙				
22.	Navigable Air S	Space		0	⊙				
23.	Clearing or Exc	cavation		О	⊙				
24.	Archaeological	Resources		О	⊙				
25.	Underground I	njection		О	⊙				
26.	Underground S	Storage Tanks		0	⊙				
27.	Public Utilities	or Services		\circ	\odot				
28.	Depletion of a	Non-Renewable Resou	ırce	О	\odot				
	Section C (Fo	r Projects Outside of	ANL)	Yes	No				
29.	Prime, Unique,	or Locally Important F	armland	О	⊙				
30.	Special Source source aquifer	es of Groundwater (suc	h as sole	0	•				
31.	Coastal Zones			О	\odot				
32.		ecial National Designati nal Forests, Parks, or 1		0	•				
33.	Action of a Sta NEPA-type Lav	te Agency in a State w w	ith	0	•				
34.	Class I Air Qua	ality Control Region		0	\odot				
O N ⊙ T	This form require	wer Use Only ne final approval neces es additional approval f	•						
! !	File Description: Cover memo to J. Livengood from P. Kearns View Attachment File Description: File Description:								
Comments Please review attached cover memo to J. Livengood from P. Kearns above. Add Approver									
	prover Name	Approver Badge R	eason			Delete			
Ë	el, Roberta T. 30889 Division NEPA Owner (unlisted)								
The		cation email will be cop	ied to the p	peopl	e lis	ted below.			

ASO-CX Number

ASO-CX-

Comments:

Approval

• •					
<u>Approver</u>	<u>Action</u>	Date Routed	Action Date	Approval Reason / Comments	<u>Approval</u> <u>Type</u>
Woodford, John B.	APPROVED	2015-12-23	2015-12-23 11:05:55.0	Creator:	PRIMARY
Woodford, John B.	APPROVED	2015-12-23	2015-12-23 11:05:55.0	Project Manager :	PRIMARY
Riel, Roberta T.	APPROVED	2015-12-23	2015-12-23 11:06:46.0	Division NEPA Owner (unlisted) :	PRIMARY
Brocker, William A.	APPROVED	2015-12-23	2015-12-23 13:40:48.0	NEPA Owner Approval for Argonne Environmental Review :	PRIMARY
Stauber, Joel V.	APPROVED	2015-12-23	2016-01-06 10:39:24.0	ANL NEPA Reviewer:	PRIMARY
Hellman, Karen B.	APPROVED	2016-01-06	2016-01-06 15:09:23.0	ANL-985 Review and Approval :	PRIMARY
Stine, Gail Y.	APPROVED	2016-01-06	2016-01-06 15:14:24.0	ANL-985 Review and Approval :	PRIMARY
Kearns, Paul K.	APPROVED	2016-01-06	2016-01-11 14:53:18.0	ANL-985 ANL COO Review and Approval:	PRIMARY
Joshi, Kaushik N.	APPROVED	2016-01-11	2016-01-28 12:06:57.0	ANL-985 DOE-ASO Review and Approval: Approval Number is ASO-CX-322.	PRIMARY
Siebach, Peter R.	APPROVED	2016-01-28	2016-01-28 15:45:45.0	ANL-985 DOE NEPA Compliance Officer Review and Approval: Appendix B 3.6 Small-scale research and development, laboratory operations, and pilot projects.	PRIMARY