

### **Department of Energy**

Argonne Site Office 9800 South Cass Avenue Argonne, Illinois 60439

JUL 2 0 2015

Dr. Peter B. Littlewood Director, Argonne National Laboratory President, UChicago Argonne, LLC 9700 South Cass Avenue Argonne, IL 60439

Dear Dr. Littlewood:

SUBJECT: NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) DETERMINATION FOR ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORY (ARGONNE)

The Argonne Site Office (ASO) has approved the following as a categorical exclusion (CX) under Appendix B (to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Integrated DOE NEPA Implementing Procedures, December 1996), Category B 1.3 "Routine Maintenance."

---Building 200 Steam and Condensate Repairs, ASO-CX-318

Therefore, no further NEPA review is required. However, if any modification or an expansion of the scope is made to the above project, additional NEPA review will be necessary.

Enclosed please find a copy of the approved Environmental Review Form (ERF) for the project. If you have any questions, please contact Kaushik Joshi of my staff at (630) 252-4226.

Sincerely, meng

Joanna M. Livengood Manager

Enclosure: As Stated

cc: J. Stauber, ANL, w/encl. P. Apple, ANL, w/encl. M. Finder, ANL, w/encl. P. Matton, ANL, w/encl. K. Joshi, ASO, w/encl. M. McKown, SC-CH, w/encl. P. Siebach, SC-CH, w/encl.



## Environmental Review Form for Argonne National Laboratory

Project/Activity Title: Building 200 Steam/Condensate Repairs	
ASO NEPA Tracking No. 2216 A SO-CX-318 Type of Funding:	
B&R Code	
Identifying number: 01528 WFO proposal # CRADA proposal #	
Work Project # ANL accounting # (item 3a in Field Work Proposal)	1000 galantina analah salah
Other (explain)	
Project Manager: Paul D. Apple Signature: Date Date	1-1-15
NEPA Owner: Michael P. Finder Signature:D	- 7-1-2015
	7/1/15
<ul> <li>steam and condensate vault located in from of building 200. There is a single 18" tree in front of building 200 by the Bus stop. This tree is directly over the existing steam/condensate line vault and also sits over existing domestic water, lab water water lines. The excavation operations may damage the tree roots and the tree m removed and replaced with a new tree.</li> <li>II. Description of Affected Environment: Tree removal (see above).</li> <li>III. Potential Environmental Effects: (Attach explanation for each "yes" response.)</li> </ul>	and canal
A. Complete Section A for all projects.	
<ol> <li>Project evaluated for Pollution Prevention and Waste Minimization opportunities and details provided under items 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 16, and 20 below, as applicable</li> </ol>	es No_X
2. Air Pollutant Emissions	es <u> </u>
3. Noise	esNo_X
4. Chemical/Oil Storage/Use	es No_X
5. Pesticide Use	es No_X

1

1

	6.	Poly	chlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	Yes	No <u>X</u>
	7.	Bioh	azards	Yes	No <u>X</u>
	8. 9.	Greg	ent/Wastewater (If yes, see question #12 and contact gg Kulma (FMS-SEP) at 2-9147 or gkulma@anl.gov te Management	Yes	No <u>X</u>
		a)	Construction or Demolition Waste	Yes	No X
		b)	Hazardous Waste	Yes	No <u>X</u>
		c)	Radioactive Mixed Waste	Yes	No X
		d)	Radioactive Waste	Yes	No <u>X</u>
		e)	PCB or Asbestos Waste	Yes	No <u>X</u>
		f)	Biological Waste	Yes	No X
		g)	No Path to Disposal Waste	Yes	No_X
		h)	Nano-material Waste	Yes	No <u>X</u>
	10.	Radi	ation	Yes	No <u>X</u>
	11.	Thre	atened Violation of ES&H Regulations or Permit Requirements	Yes	No <u>X</u>
	12.	New	or Modified Federal or State Permits	Yes	No X
	13.		g, Construction, or Major Modification of Facility to Recover, t, Store, or Dispose of Waste	Yes	No_X
	14.	Publ	ic Controversy	Yes	No X
	15.	Histo	pric Structures and Objects	Yes	No X
	16.	Dist	urbance of Pre-existing Contamination	Yes	No. <u>X</u>
	17.		gy Efficiency, Resource Conserving, Sustainable Design Features	Yes	No. <u>X</u>
ł	3.	For	projects that will occur outdoors, complete Section B as well as Section	on A.	
	18.	Thre	atened or Endangered Species, Critical Habitats, and/or	Yes	No X

other Protected Species

2

1

19. Wetlands		Yes	No X
20. Floodplain	5	Yes	No X
21. Landscaping		Yes X	No

An existing 18" Honey Locust tree needs to be removed to gain access to the underground steam and condensate vault. A new tree will be planted as part of the construction project upon completion.

22. Navigable Air Space	Yes	No <u>X</u>
23. Clearing or Excavation	Yes X	No
Excavation to expose existing underground steam and condensate vault.		
24. Archaeological Resources	Yes	No X
25. Underground Injection	Yes	No X
26. Underground Storage Tanks	Yes	No X
27. Public Utilities or Services	Yes	No X
28. Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource	Yes	No_X
C. For projects occurring outside of ANL complete Section C as well as Section	ons A and	в.
29. Prime, Unique, or Locally Important Farmland	Yes	No
30. Special Sources of Groundwater (such as sole source aquifer)	Yes	No
31. Coastal Zones	Yes	No
32. Areas with Special National Designations (such as National Forests, Parks, or Trails)	Yes	No
33. Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type Law	Yes	No
34. Class   Air Quality Control Region	Yes	No
Subpart D Determination: (to be completed by DOE/ASO)		
Are there any extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?	Yes	No X

ANL-985 (12/06/2012)

IV.

3

Is the project connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or related to other proposed action with cumulatively significant impacts?

Yes\_\_\_\_ No X

If yes, is a categorical exclusion determination precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211?

Can the project or activity be categorically excluded from preparation of an Environment Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement under Subpart D of the DOE NEPA Regulations?

Yes X No \_\_\_\_\_

If yes, indicate the class or classes of action from Appendix A or B of Subpart D under which the project may be excluded. <u>Appendix B, B 1.3 Routine Maintenance</u>.

If no, indicate the NEPA recommendation and class(es) of action from Appendix C or D to Subpart D to Part 1021 of 10 CFR.

ASO NEPA Coordinator Review: Kaushik Joshi Signature:

# Date: 7-13-2015

### ASO NCO Approval of CX Determination:

The preceding pages are a record of documentation that an action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review under DOE NEPA Regulation 10 CFR Part 1021.400. I have determined that the proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion identified above.

Signature:

Peter R. Siebach Argonne Site Office NCO

Date: 7

Date:

#### ASO NCO EA or EIS Recommendation:

Class of Action:

Signature:

Peter R. Siebach Argonne Site Office NCO

ANL-985 (12/06/2012)

4

Concurrence with EA or EIS Recommendation:		
CH GLD:		
Signature:	Date:	
ASO Manager Approval of EA or EIS Recommendation:		
An EA EIS shall be prepared for the proposed	and	
Signature:	Date:	and the second secon
Dr. Joanna M. Livengood		
Manager		