Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Grandview-Red Mountain #1 Wood Pole Replacement.

Project Manager: Cynthia Rounds

Location: Benton County, Washington; BPA's Wenatchee District

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B1.3 Routine maintenance activities

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to replace fourteen deteriorating wood pole structures and associated structural/electrical components (e.g. cross arms, insulators, guy anchors, etc.) on the Grandview-Red Mountain #1 transmission line.

See table below for structure names and locations on the transmission line.

Transmission Line/ROW	Structure #	Township	Range	Section	County, State
Grandview-Red Mountain #1	7/11	10N	25E	31	Benton, WA
	8/7	10N	25E	32	
	9/7	10N	25E	33	
	9/8	10N	25E	33	
	9/9	10N	25E	33	
	10/1	10N	25E	33	
	10/7	10N	25E	34	
	10/8	10N	25E	34	
	13/5	10N	26E	31	
	13/6	10N	26E	31	
	15/2	10N	26E	32	
	16/6	10N	26E	34	
	17/2	10N	26E	34	
	17/4	10N	26E	34	

Replacement would be in-kind and would utilize the existing holes to minimize ground disturbance. If necessary, an auger will be used to remove any loose soil from the existing hole prior to new wood pole placement.

Access road maintenance will be limited to minor blading, grading and rocking of access road segments that have become impassable. All road maintenance will take place in the existing road prism.

Structures being replaced are all in easements on privately-owned property. These structures are located within or adjacent to residential properties, orchards, pastures or similar type land uses.

The proposed action would allow safe and timely access to the transmission line which would help reduce outage times and maintain reliable power in the region. All work will be in accordance with the National Electrical Safety Code and BPA standards.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: *March 18, 2015*

/s/ Shawn Barndt

Shawn L. Barndt Environmental Scientist

Concur:

/s/ Stacy L. Mason
Stacy L. Mason
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

ecc: (w/ enclosures)
J. Lauer – TFWF-SCHULTZ

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Grandview-Red Mountain #1 Wood Pole Replacement

Project Site Description

The project area is located on private property, within or adjacent to residential properties, orchards, pastures or similar type land uses. The area has been previously disturbed by agricultural practices and road development.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions				
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		~				
	Explanation: WA SHPO concurrence on no adverse effect determination received March 17, 2015. <i>The Umatilla and Yakama Tribes</i> were consulted—no responses were received.						
	 In the event that archaeological or historic materials are discovered during project activities, work in the immediate vicinity must stop, the area will be secured and SHPO and the environmental project lead must be notified. 						
	Crews and equipment are to use existinLimit access road maintenance to the ex	~	from each work site.				
2.	Geology and Soils						
	Explanation: Minimal soil disturbance at each sused.	structure (100x100 foot a	areas), erosion control measures would be				
	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)						
	<u>Explanation</u> : No special status species prese agriculture operations.	ent. Area of disturban	ce is frequently disturbed by				
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)						
	Explanation: No special status species or de agriculture and road development.	esignated habitat prese	ent. Area is previously disturbed by				

5.	(including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	▼					
	Explanation: No water bodies present in project area.						
6.	Wetlands						
	Explanation: None present						
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers						
	Explanation: No wells or use of groundwater proposed.	Spill prevention measures would b	e present on site.				
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas						
	<u>Explanation</u> : Temporary agricultural impacts during construction; landowner will be compensation for damage, as needed.						
9.	Visual Quality						
Explanation: New wood-poles would not be noticeably different than existing poles.							
10.	Air Quality						
	<u>Explanation</u> : Small amount of dust and vehicle emission to the timing of construction.	s due to construction. Dust will be	of minor concern due				
11.	Noise	~					
	Explanation: Temporary construction noise. Operationa	I noise would not change.					
12.	Human Health and Safety						
Explanation: No known soil contamination or hazardous conditions.							
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements							
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:							
Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safe health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.							
	Explanation, if necessary:						
V	Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.						

Explanation, if necessary:

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description:

Coordination with property owner has occurred. No Concerns.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Date: March 18, 2015

Signed: /s/ Shawn L. Barndt

Shawn L. Barndt/KEPR-Pasco