### **Bonneville Power Administration**

# memorandum

DATE: July 23, 2014

REPLY TO

ATTN OF: KEC-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

то: James Clark

Right-of-Way Agent – TERR-3

**Proposed Action:** Approval of a Land Use Review Request (LURR) for Fencing in Right-of-

Way in Klickitat County

**Budget Information: 184006** 

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B 4.9 Multiple use

of powerline rights-of-way

**<u>Location</u>**: Klickitat County, Washington

**Proposed by:** Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to approve a LURR (Case No. 20120234) from a private landowner seeking to install fencing within BPA's fee-owned right-of-way (ROW) that is next to his property. The landowner would like to install the fencing between structures 40/1 and 40/2 of the North Bonneville–Midway 230-kV transmission line and structures 93/3 and 93/4 of the Wautoma–Ostrander No. 1 500-kV transmission line. Upon approval of the LURR, BPA would authorize the landowner to install two sections of new fencing and a gate to enclose an area of BPA's ROW for horse grazing.

The area of BPA's ROW that would be within the new fenced area consists of approximately six acres of open pasture and a pond. Grazing has continuously occurred in the surrounding pasture adjacent to BPA's ROW for about 20 years.

**Findings:** BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would not (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or

treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

Based on the provisions identified in the attachment, this proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

/s/ Jeffrey J. Maslow
Jeffrey J. Maslow
Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Katherine S. Pierce Date: July 23, 2014
Katherine S. Pierce

NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s):

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions and Provisions

#### **ATTACHMENT**

#### **PROVISIONS**

This categorical exclusion includes the following provisions:

#### **Natural Resources**

• No motorized vehicle use within waterbodies or wetlands located in the BPA ROW. Existing fence posts must be removed manually or with vehicles at upland locations.

#### **Cultural Resources**

In the event any archaeological or historical material is discovered during project activities, the following actions must be taken:

- Stop work in the vicinity and notify the BPA environmental lead and appropriate staff, who will notify interested tribes, Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation, and the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies as soon as possible.
- Implement reasonable measures to protect the discovery site, including any appropriate stabilization or covering.
- Take reasonable steps to ensure the confidentiality of the discovery site, including restricting access.

## **Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions**

Work Order #: 00184006			
This project does <u>not</u> have the potential to cause significant impacts on the following environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.			
Environmental Resou	ırces	No Potential for Significance	No Potential, with Conditions (describe
1. Historic Properties and Cultur	ral Resources		X
BPA determined that the proposed f State Department of Archaeology ar archaeological or historical material measures (listed in the Provisions A	nd Historic Preservati , however, work mus ttachment) must be ta	on. If the proposed project lead t stop in the project vicinity and	ls to the discovery of
No effect to threatened and endange			it County.
<ol> <li>Floodplains or wetlands</li> <li>No vehicles may be used within wet</li> </ol>	tlands and waterbodie	es located in the BPA ROW.	X
4. Areas of special designation		X	
5. Health & safety		X	
6. Prime or unique farmlands		X	
7 Smarial saymans of water		X	
7. Special sources of water			

Signed: /s/ Jeffrey J. Maslow Date: July 23, 2014