RL-721	
REV 4	

NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM

Document ID Number:

DOE/CX-00051 Rev. 1

I. Project Title:

Washington River Protection Solutions LLC - Proposed Actions For CY 2013 Scheduled To Take Place Under CX B1.28, "Placing a Facility in an Environmentally Safe Condition"

II. Project Description and Location (including Time Period over which proposed action will occur and Project Dimensions - e.g., acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth, area/location/number of buildings, etc.):

Washington River Protection Solutions LLC (WRPS) will place facilities in a cold, dark, and environmentally safe condition during Calendar Year 2013. WRPS will perform all activities in accordance with the categorical exclusion (CX) limitations set forth in 10 CFR 1021, Appendices A & B to Subpart D, & CX B1.28. WRPS' facilities include all those identified in the Tank Operations Contract Sections J.13 and J.14. Activities would include, but are not limited to:

- · Minor activities required to place a facility in a cold, dark, and environmentally safe condition when there is no proposed use for the facility. Which includes reducing surface contamination, removing materials, equipment or waste.
- · Also includes work required to disconnect utility services such as water, communications, heating, cooling, fire protection, and power that are no longer needed and continuation of service is not needed for safety. As part of the utility disconnect, utility systems will be isolated as needed, drained and/or de-energized, and utilities, piping, network/communications systems will be disconnected.
- If needed, will engage in small-scale, localized repairs to buildings and structures as needed to seal cracks, cover or seal grates and openings to the facility exterior that results from removing utility system equipment and system components from the structure.
- · Actions required to implement the these actions will also take place; such as moving and the disposal of equipment and materials as needed.
- All locations are currently disturbed, industrial zones which are culturally exempt (see PNL-7264 & Battelle 9405630) &/or covered under NHPA Section 106 review, HCRC# 2003-200-044. The majority of the WRPS facilities are classified as historical non-contributing/exempt properties under DOE/RL-97-56, Revision 1. To ensure there will be no ecological/biological or cultural impacts, prior to work initiation, 1) any non-exempt facilities or work will have the appropriate cultural reviews obtained as needed, 2) ecological reviews will be obtained if needed, 3) all work activities (including associated staging &/or laydown areas) will be performed within or contiguous to an already developed area (where active site utilities & roads are readily accessible & no habitat/vegetation will be disturbed), 4) all equipment will be walked down for wildlife/migratory birds prior to any relocation or move, & 5) if any cultural or ecological issues are identified, the identified issue(s) will be appropriately dealt with as required by relevant company or Hanford Site procedures & regulations.

III. Reviews (if applicable):		The state of the s					
Biological Review Report #:	N/A						
Cultural Review Report #:	PNL-7264, Battelle Letter 9405630, HCRC#2003-200-044, & DOE/RL-9			-97-56	R1		
Additional Attachments:							
IV. Existing NEPA Docume	ntation					YES	NO
Is the proposed action evalu	ated in a previous	EA, EIS, or under CE	RCLA?				\boxtimes
If "NO," proceed to Section \	. If "YES," List E	A, EIS, or CERCLA D	ocument(s) Ti	tle and Number:			
							10
And then complete Section \ signature is not required.	I. Provide electro	onic copy of Initiator/E	CO signed NF	RSF to DOE NCO for inform	ation only. DC	DE NCO	

RL-721 REV 4 NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM (continued) DOE/CX-000			Document ID Number:				
			51 Rev	. 1			
V. Categorical Exclusion				YES	NO		
Does the proposed action fall within a class of actions that is listed in Appendixes A or B to Subpart D of 10 CFR Part 1021?							
Are there extraordinary circ of the proposal?	umstances related to the proposal that may aff	ect the significance of the envir	onmental effects				
Is the proposal connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or result in cumulatively significant impacts (not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211)?					\boxtimes		
List CX to be applied and cobest fits the proposed action	omplete Categorical Exclusion Integral Elemen n):	ts (where an action might fit wit	hin multiple CXs, ι	ise the C	X that		
B1.28, "Placing a	Facility in an Environmentally	Safe Condition"					
Categorical Exclusion Inte	egral Elements			YES	NO		
Does the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environmental, safety, or health, including DOE and/or Executive Orders?					\boxtimes		
Does the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities?					\boxtimes		
Does the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases?					\boxtimes		
Does the proposed action adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources?					\boxtimes		
Does the proposed action involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species such that the action is NOT contained or confined in a manner designed, operated, and conducted in accordance to applicable requirements to prevent unauthorized release into the environment?							
If "NO" to all Categorical Exclusion Integral Elements questions above, complete Section VI, and provide to DOE NCO for final Approval/Determination and signature in Section VII.							
If "YES" to any of the Categorical Exclusion Integral Elements questions above, contact DOE NCO for additional NEPA Review.							
VI. Responsible Contract	or Signatures						
	Name (Printed)	Signature		Date			
Initiator	Holly Bowers	Holly 4Bowers	//	2113/12	2		
Cognizant Environmental Compliance Officer	Steve Killoy	the Eh	consumer of the same of the sa	2-13.	12		
VII. Approval/Determinat	ion						
DOE NEPA Compliance Of	ficer: Woody Russell						
Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class of action:							
NCO Determination	on - X CX	IS	//				
Signature:	Wood Kussell	Date:/	14/12				