PMC-EF2a

(2,04,02)

## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY EERE PROJECT MANAGEMENT CENTER NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: Hyundai America Technical Center, Inc.

STATE: MI

TITLE:

Hyundai FCEV Testing, Data Collection and Validation Fleet

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number Procurement Instrument Number DE-FOA-0000625

DE-EE0005970

NEPA Control Number CID Number GFO-0005970-001

GO5970

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

## CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

## Rational for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to Hyundai American Technical Center, Inc. (Hyundai) to track the progress of fuel cell electric vehicles (FCEVs) for up to five years. The proposed objective is to collect and submit real-world vehicle performance data and, if available and plausible, provide data to the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) for analysis and aggregation into composite data products, which would be utilized to validate the performance of FCEVs. DOE funding would be used for information gathering, analysis and dissemination activities only.

Hyundai would provide DOE with engineering data from Generation III and Generation IV vehicles. The Gen III and Gen IV vehicles would be subjected to real-world operation in Southern and Northern California. These vehicles would maintain customer operation and would be utilized in day-to-day operation with a variety of driving conditions, terrain and temperatures. All of the vehicles would be equipped with a real time data acquisition system that automatically collects data over the cellular phone network and transmits it to Hyundai engineering databases for analysis.

Based on review of the project information and above analysis, DOE has determined the activities would not have a significant individual or cumulative impact to human health and/or environment. DOE has determined the proposed project is consistent with the actions contained in DOE categorical exclusion A9 "information gathering, analysis, and dissemination," and is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

## NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award

Insert the following language in the award:

If you intend to make changes to the scope or objective of your project you are required to contact the Project Officer identified in Block 11 of the Notice of Financial Assistance Award before proceeding. You must receive notification of approval from the DOE Contracting Officer prior to commencing with work beyond that currently approved.

Note to Specialist:

Kelly Daigle 12/19/2012

DOE Funds: \$1,097,255 Cost Share: \$1,097,255

Total Project Cost: \$2,194,510

| NEPA Compliance Officer  NEPA Compliance Officer  Field Office Manager review required  CO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:  Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Offi. Manager's attention.  Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination assed On MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:  and Office Manager's Signature:  Field Office Manager  Date:  Field Office Manager   | NEDA Compliana Office Ci-            | @ Electronically   | Date: 12/19/2012           |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| Field Office Manager review required  CO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:  Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office Manager's attention.  Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination as ED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:  In the did Office Manager's Signature:  Field Office Manager  Date:  Field Office Manager   | NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:   | NEPA Compliance Officer  | Date: 12/19/2012           |
| Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office Manager's attention.  Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination assed on MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:  Beld Office Manager's Signature:  Field Office Manager  Date:  | IELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINA        | ATION  |                            |
| Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office Manager's attention.  Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination assed on MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:  Beld Office Manager's Signature:  Field Office Manager  Date:  | Field Office Manager review required |  |                            |
| Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office Manager's attention.  Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination as ED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:  Indeed office Manager's Signature:  Field Office Manager  Date:  |                                      | ANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLO  | WING REASON:               |
| Manager's attention.  Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination ASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:    Office Manager's Signature:   | DATE OF THE OWNER OF THE             |  |                            |
| eld Office Manager's Signature: Field Office Manager  Field Office Manager  Date:  | Manager's attention.                 |  |                            |
| eld Office Manager's Signature: Field Office Manager  Field Office Manager  Date:  |                                      | #  | ADMINIOPERA CIGIPATTA ALLA |
| Field Office Manager   | ASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WIT       | TH THE DETERMINATION OF THE N  | NCO:                       |
| continuence of the property of property of expension in the property in the property of the pr | ield Office Manager's Signature:     | Field Office Manager   | Date:                      |
|  |                                      | ricia Office Manager   |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
| The following states of the company  |                                      |  |                            |
| The following states of the company  |                                      |  |                            |
| And the first terminal formation and the property of the prope |                                      |  |                            |
| And the first terminal formation and the property of the prope |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      | the state of the s |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |
|  |                                      |  |                            |