## memorandum

**Bonneville Power Administration** 

DATE: July 30, 2012

REPLY TO

ATTN OF: KEPR-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

то: Rick Ross

Engineer – TELF-TPP-3

**Proposed Action:** BPA/DNR Access Road Improvements

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): Appendix B1.3,

Routine maintenance

Location: Jefferson, Clallam, King and Skamania counties, Washington

**Proposed by:** Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA is proposing to replace existing culverts at eight access road stream crossings that present barriers to fish passage. These improvements will be made on BPA easement access roads within Washington State Department of Natural Resources (DNR) owned and managed lands. BPA will make these improvements by installing new fish friendly culverts and/or bridges at each stream crossing. The current stream crossings do not meet DNR fish passage standards that will be in effect in 2015, thus BPA proposes to begin upgrading these eight structures this summer. Four structures will be replaced with fish friendly culverts and four structures will be replaced with fish friendly bridges. All culverts and bridges are designed meet the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife *Design of Road Culverts for Fish Passage* standards, as well as standards provided by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and were reviewed and approved by DNR engineers.

All stream crossings are located in mix-use temperate forests, on level or nearly level existing access roads. Each project area is located on land managed by DNR for recreation, timber sales and preservation. One crossing is located in Jefferson County, three are located in Clallam County, two are located in King County and two in Skamania County.

Culvert	Stream Name	Description	
SP-3	Coal Creek	Tributary to the South Fork Snoqualimie River	
SP-15	Unnamed Stream	Tributary to the Duwamish River	
Oly-1	Nelson Creek	Tributary to the Lyre River	
Oly-2	Dean Creek	Flows into Sequim Bay	

Culvert	Stream Name	Description	
Oly-3	Unnamed Stream	Flows into Leland Lake	
Oly-4	Unnamed Stream	Flows into Discovery Bay	
PC-1	Unnamed Stream	Tributary to West Fork Washougal River	
PC-2	Unnamed Stream	Tributary to Dougan Creek	

**Findings:** BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would not (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

This proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

/s/ Lisa MacLellan
Lisa MacLellan
Physical Scientist (Environmental)

Stacy Mason

Concur: /s/ Stacy Mason Date: July 30, 2012

NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment:

**Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions** 

## **Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions**

Work Order #: 299676, 299671, 299664, 299672  This project does <u>not</u> have the potential to cause sigenvironmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 10 descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorane	021, Subpart D, Appendi e used as a summary – fu	x B for complete
Environmental Resources	No Potential for Significance	No Potential, with Conditions (describe
1. Historic Properties and Cultural Resources No historic properties affected. Surveys conducted and SHF requested by Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe. Monitors will be		X 7/9/12. Cultural monitoring
2. T & E Species, or their habitat(s)  No T&E species or habitat present at project locations. Eros will be in place to prevent increased turbidity and turbid wa		
3. Floodplains or wetlands No floodplains or wetlands impacted. Receiving Clean Wat Engineers (Nationwide Permit # 27, with Clean Water Act S		
4. Areas of special designation None.	X	
5. Health & safety None.	X	
6. Prime or unique farmlands No prime or unique farmland impacted.	X	
7. Special sources of water None.	X	
8. Other (describe) None.	X	

Date: <u>July 30, 2012</u>

Signed: /s/Lisa MacLellan