RL-721 REV 3

NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM

Document ID Number:

DOE/CX-00060

I. Project Title:

Washington River Protection Solutions LLC - Proposed Actions For CY 2012 Scheduled To Take Place Under CX B1.23, "Demolition and Disposal of Buildings"

II. Project Description and Location (including Time Period over which proposed action will occur and Project Dimensions - e.g., acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth, etc.):

Washington River Protection Solutions LLC (WRPS) will demolish and dispose of buildings on & near the Hanford Site during Calendar Year 2012. WRPS will perform all activities in accordance with the categorical exclusion (CX) limitations set forth in 10 CFR 1021, Appendices A & B to Subpart D, & CX B1.23. WRPS' facilities include all those identified in the Tank Operations Contract Sections J.13 and J.14. Activities would include, but are not limited to:

Demolition and subsequent disposal of buildings, equipment, support structures(including, but not limited to, smoke stacks and parking lot surfaces), and any associated construction or demolition debris/waste (including disposal of any related, incidental quantities of polychlorinated biphenyls and asbestos containing or contaminated materials), but for only those items or facilities where there will be no potential for release of substances at a level, or in a form, that could pose a threat to public health or the environment.
Demolition work includes the isolation, disconnection, and removal of utilities, machinery, equipment, or other items such as maintenance and custodial supplies or other materials.

In addition, buildings, structures, and materials would be decontaminated as necessary.Materials will be recycled, reused, or disposed of as appropriate.

All locations are culturally exempt (see PNL-7264 & Battelle 9405630) &/or covered under NHPA Section 106 review, HCRC# 2003-200-044. The majority of the WRPS facilities are classified as historical non-contributing/exempt properties under DOE/RL-97-56, Revision 1. To ensure there will be no ecological/biological or cultural impacts, prior to work initiation, 1) any non-exempt facilities or work will have the appropriate cultural reviews obtained as needed, 2) ecological reviews will be obtained if needed, 3) all work activities (including associated staging &/or laydown areas) will be performed within or contiguous to an already developed area (where active site utilities & roads are readily accessible & no habitat/vegetation will be disturbed), & 4) if any cultural or ecological issues are identified, the identified issue(s) will be appropriately dealt with as required by relevant company or Hanford Site procedures & regulations.

Ш.	Reviews	(if	applicable):

Biological Review Report #: N/A

Cultural Review Report #: PNL-7264, Battelle Letter 9405630, HCRC# 2003-200-044, & DOE/RL-97-56

Additional Attachments:

IV. Existing NEPA Documentation (see Steps 3 and 4 of Contractor Screening Process)

Is the proposed action evaluated in a previous EA, EIS, or under CERCLA?

YES NO

YES

NO |

R1

If "NO," proceed to Section V. If "YES," List EA, EIS, or CERCLA Document(s) Title and Number:

And then complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initiator/ECO signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process).

V.	Sitewide Categoric	al Exclusion	(see Step 5 d	of Contractor	Screening Process)
----	--------------------	--------------	---------------	---------------	--------------------

Does the porposed a	action fit within the scope of acti	ons identified in a DOE	Hanford NCO-approved	sitewide categorical
exclusion?				

If "NO," proceed to Section VI.

If "YES," list Sitewide Categorical Exclusion to be applied and complete Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria:

RL-721	·		Document ID Number:			
REV 3	NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM (continued) DOE/CX					
Sitewide Categorical Excl	usion Criteria			YES	NO	
Does the action fail to meet the eligibility requirements for Appendix B categorical exclusion ("integral elements") of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, B(1) through B(4)?						
	ther actions with potentially significant impacts acts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(2))?	(see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)) or	result in			
Are there extraordinary circ of the proposal?	umstances related to the proposal that may affe	ect the significance of the envir	onmental effects			
	tisturb the Hanford Reach National Monument, ultural Properties or properties of historic, archa columbia River?					
Does the proposed action in	npact sensitive species or their habitats?					
If "NO" to all Sitewide Cated signed NRSF to DOE NCO	gorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, com for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Scree	plete Section VII and provide e ning Process).	electronic copy of I	nitiator/E	0	
If "YES" to any of the Sitew NRSF to DOE NCO; DOE i	ide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions ab nitiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process	ove, attach appropriate explana - Step 1 by completing Section	atory information a n VI and VIII, as aj	nd provid	le	
VI. Categorical Exclusion				YES	NO	
Does the proposed action failed of the proposed of the propose	all within a class of actions that is listed in Appe	ndixes A or B to Subpart D of		\boxtimes		
List CX to be applied and c	omplete Categorical Exclusion Criteria (based o	on Eligibility Criteria of the NEP	A Determination F	rocedure):	
CX B1.23, "Demolit	ion and Disposal of Buildings"					
Categorical Exclusion Cri	teria	the second s		YES	NO	
Does the proposed action t safety, or health, including	hreaten a violation of applicable statutory, regul DOE and/or Executive Orders?	atory, or permit requirements f	or environmental,		\boxtimes	
Does the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities?					\boxtimes	
	listurb hazardous substances, pollutants, conta re-exist in the environment such that there woul				\boxtimes	
Does the proposed action adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources?					\boxtimes	
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?					\boxtimes	
(not precluded by 40 CFR 1	o other actions with potentially significant impacts (1997) 100 (1997) 100 (1997) 100 (1997) 100 (1997) 100 (19 19 (1997) 100 (1997) 100 (1997) 100 (1997) 100 (1997) 100 (1997) 100 (1997) 100 (1997) 100 (1997) 100 (1997) 100 (1997) 100 (1997) 100 (1997) 100 (1997) 100 (1997) 100 (1997) 100 (1 19 (1997) 100 (199				\square	
If "NO" to all Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO completes Section VIII, provides electronic copy of signed NRSF to contractor, and otherwise completes with Step 4 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1.						
If "YES" to any of the Cate Screening Process - Step 1	orical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE , and initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening P	NCO complies with Step 5 of rocess - Step 2.	the DOE NEPA R	eview		
VII. Approvals/Determina						
	Name (Printed) Signature		Date			
Initiator	Holly Bowers	Holly Baver	p-	1/S/12		
Cognizant Environmental Compliance Officer	Stern Killey	reci		1-5-	12	
VIII. Approval/Determinat	tion					
DOE NEPA Compliance Of	ficer:Woody Russell					
Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class of action:						
NCO Determination - CX EA EIS						
Signature:	Woody Kussell	Date: <i>01/1</i>	3/20/2			
	$\overline{\mathcal{A}}$		v			