RL-721 REV 3 NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM	Document ID Number:
I. Project Title:	DOE/CX 00040
Washington River Protection Solutions (WRPS) LLC -Proposed Actions Take Place Under CX B1.3, "Routine Maintenance"	For CY 2012 Scheduled To
II. Project Description and Location (including Time Period over which proposed action will acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth, etc.):	occur and Project Dimensions - e.g
WRPS will perform routine maintenance services on buildings, struc equipment on and near the Hanford Site during Calendar Year 2012. routine maintenance activities in accordance with the categorical limitations set forth in 10 CFR 1021, Appendices A & B to Subpart facilities include all those identified in the Tank Operations Con J.14. Routine maintenance activities would include, but are not li	WRPS will perform all exclusion (CX) D, and CX B1.3. WRPS' tract Sections J.13 and
· Custodial services to preserve facility appearance, working cond · Maintain buildings including structural repair, repair or replace and other associated outdoor structures, and repairing or replacem · Maintain equipment and vehicles.	ement of retaining walls
· Repair, remove and subsequently replace parts, equipment, and no related piping.	
 Undertake erosion control, landscaping, revegetation, and pest cadjacent to facilities. Perform surveillance and maintain surplus facilities. Repairing or replacing facility equipment, such as lathes, mills Servicing plumbing, electrical, communication, fire protection, 	, pumps, and presses.
adjacent to facilities. Replacing high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters. Inspecting & treating utility poles, repairing right-of-ways, ro Testing and calibrating facility components, subsystems or porta control valves, in-core monitoring devices, transformers, and capa Routine decontamination of the surfaces of equipment, rooms, hot surfaces of buildings.	ble equipment such as citors.
All work activities will be performed in currently disturbed, indu habitat or vegetation). All locations are culturally exempt (see P 9405630). The majority of the facilities are historical non-contri (see DOE/RL-97-56). Additional cultural reviews will be obtained a facilities prior to any facility modifications/renovations taking	NL-7264 & Battelle buting/exempt properties s needed for nonexempt
III. Reviews (if applicable):	
Biological Review Report #: N/A	
Cultural Review Report #: PNL-7264, Battelle Letter 9405630, HCRC# 2003-	200-044, & DOE/RL-97-56 R
Additional Attachments:	

IV. Existing NEPA Documentation (see Steps 3 and 4 of Contractor Screening Process) NO YES Is the proposed action evaluated in a previous EA, EIS, or under CERCLA? \boxtimes If "NO," proceed to Section V. If "YES," List EA, EIS, or CERCLA Document(s) Title and Number: And then complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initiator/ECO signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process). V. Sitewide Categorical Exclusion (see Step 5 of Contractor Screening Process) YES NO Does the porposed action fit within the scope of actions identified in a DOE Hanford NCO-approved sitewide categorical \boxtimes exclusion? If "NO," proceed to Section VI. If "YES," list Sitewide Categorical Exclusion to be applied and complete Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria:

RL-721		Document ID Number:		***************************************		
NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM (continued) DOE/CX			-00048			
Sitewide Categorical Excl	usion Criteria			YES	NO	
Does the action fail to meet the eligibility requirements for Appendix B categorical exclusion ("integral elements") of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, B(1) through B(4)?						
Is the action connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)) or result in cumulatively significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(2))?						
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?						
Does the action involve or disturb the Hanford Reach National Monument, Rattlesnake Mountain, Gable Mountain, Gable Butte or other Traditional Cultural Properties or properties of historic, archaeological or architectural significance, or occur within one-fourth mile of the Columbia River?						
Does the proposed action impact sensitive species or their habitats?						
If "NO" to all Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initiator/Ensigned NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process).						
If "YES" to any of the Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, attach appropriate explanatory information ar NRSF to DOE NCO; DOE initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1 by completing Section VI and VIII, as ap					de e.	
VI. Categorical Exclusion				YES	NO	
Does the proposed action fall within a class of actions that is listed in Appendixes A or B to Subpart D of 10 CFR Part 1021?			\boxtimes			
List CX to be applied and o	omplete Categorical Exclusion Criteria (based o	n Eligibility Criteria of the NEP	A Determination F	rocedure)):	
B1.3 "Routine Main	tenance"					
Categorical Exclusion Criteria				YES	NO	
Does the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environmental, safety, or health, including DOE and/or Executive Orders?					\boxtimes	
Does the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities?					\boxtimes	
Does the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases?						
Does the proposed action adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources?						
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?						
Is the proposal connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or result in cumulatively significant impacts (not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211)?						
If "NO" to all Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO completes Section VIII, provides electronic copy of signed NRSF to contractor, and otherwise complies with Step 4 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1.						
If "YES" to any of the Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO complies with Step 5 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1, and initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 2.						
VII. Approvals/Determination						
	Name (Printed)	Signature		Date		
Initiator Cognizant Environmental	Holly Bowers	Judy Bowers		1113112		
Compliance Officer	Steven Killey	mun		1-13-1	/2	
VIII. Approval/Determination						
DOE NEPA Compliance Officer: Woody Russell						
Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class of action:						
NCO Determination - X CX						
Signature: Date: 0//3/30/3						