RL-721 REV 3

NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM

Document ID Number:

DOE/CX-00050

I. Project Title:

Washington River Protection Solutions LLC - Proposed Actions For CY 2012 Scheduled To Take Place Under CX B1.27, "Disconnection of Utilities"

II. Project Description and Location (including Time Period over which proposed action will occur and Project Dimensions - e.g., acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth, etc.):

Washington River Protection Solutions LLC (WRPS) will disconnect utilities services no longer required for safety on & near the Hanford Site during Calendar Year 2012. WRPS will perform all activities in accordance with the categorical exclusion (CX) limitations set forth in 10 CFR 1021, Appendices A & B to Subpart D, & CX B1.27. WRPS' facilities include all those identified in the Tank Operations Contract Sections J.13 and J.14. Activities would include, but are not limited to:

• Disconnect utility services such as water, communications, heating, cooling, fire protection, and power that are no longer needed and continuation of service is not needed for safety.

• As part of the utility disconnect, utility systems will be isolated as needed, drained and/or de-energized, and utilities, piping, network/communications systems will be disconnected.

If needed, will engage in small-scale, localized repairs to buildings and structures as needed to seal cracks, cover or seal grates and openings to the facility exterior that results from removing utility system equipment and system components from the structure.
Actions required to implement the disconnection of utilities will also take place; such as moving of equipment and materials.

All locations are culturally exempt (see PNL-7264 & Battelle 9405630) &/or covered under NHPA Section 106 review, HCRC# 2003-200-044. The majority of the WRPS facilities are classified as historical non-contributing/exempt properties under DOE/RL-97-56, Revision 1. To ensure there will be no ecological/biological or cultural impacts, prior to work initiation, 1) any non-exempt facilities or work will have the appropriate cultural reviews obtained as needed, 2) ecological reviews will be obtained if needed, 3) all work activities (including associated staging &/or laydown areas) will be performed within or contiguous to an already developed area (where active site utilities & roads are readily accessible & no habitat/vegetation will be disturbed), & 4) if any cultural or ecological issues are identified, the identified issue(s) will be appropriately dealt with as required by relevant company or Hanford Site procedures & regulations.

in itoriono (n'appnoabio)	III.	Reviews	(if applicable):	
---------------------------	------	---------	------------------	--

Biological Review Report #: N/A

Cultural Review Report #: PNL-7264, Battelle Letter 9405630, HCRC# 2003-200-044, & DOE/RL-97-56 R

Additional Attachments:

YES NO

YES

Π

NO

 \boxtimes

If "NO," proceed to Section V. If "YES," List EA, EIS, or CERCLA Document(s) Title and Number:

And then complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initiator/ECO signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process).

V. Sitewide Categorical Exclusion (see Step 5 of Contractor Screening Process)

Is the proposed action evaluated in a previous EA, EIS, or under CERCLA?

Does the porposed action fit within the scope of actions identified in a DOE Hanford NCO-approved sitewide categorical exclusion?

If "NO," proceed to Section VI.

If "YES," list Sitewide Categorical Exclusion to be applied and complete Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria:

RL-721 REV 3			Document ID Number:						
REV 3 NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM (continued) DOE/CX									
Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria									
Does the action fail to meet the eligibility requirements for Appendix B categorical exclusion ("integral elements") of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, B(1) through B(4)?									
Is the action connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)) or result in cumulatively significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(2))?									
Are there extraordinary circ of the proposal?	umstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance	of the envir	onmental effects						
Does the action involve or disturb the Hanford Reach National Monument, Rattlesnake Mountain, Gable Mountain, Gable Butte or other Traditional Cultural Properties or properties of historic, archaeological or architectural significance, or occur within one-fourth mile of the Columbia River?									
Does the proposed action impact sensitive species or their habitats?									
If "NO" to all Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initia signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process).									
If "YES" to any of the Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, attach appropriate explanatory information and provi NRSF to DOE NCO; DOE initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1 by completing Section VI and VIII, as appropriat									
VI. Categorical Exclusion				YES	NO				
Does the proposed action fall within a class of actions that is listed in Appendixes A or B to Subpart D of 10 CFR Part 1021?									
List CX to be applied and c	omplete Categorical Exclusion Criteria (based on Eligibility Criteria	a of the NEP	A Determination	Procedure	e):				
CX B1.27, "Disconnection of Utilities"									
Categorical Exclusion Criteria									
Does the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environmental, safety, or health, including DOE and/or Executive Orders?									
Does the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities?									
Does the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases?									
Does the proposed action adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources?									
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?					\boxtimes				
Is the proposal connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or result in cumulatively significant impacts (not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211)?									
If "NO" to all Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO completes Section VIII, provides electronic copy of signed NRSF to contractor, and otherwise complies with Step 4 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1.									
If "YES" to any of the Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO complies with Step 5 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1, and initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 2.									
VII. Approvals/Determina									
		Signature		Date					
	Holly Bowers Holly	Bower	10	1/13/1	2				
Cognizant Environmental Compliance Officer	Stern Killog White	n		1-13-1	2				
VIII. Approval/Determination									
DOE NEPA Compliance Officer: Woody Russell									
Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class of action:									
NCO Determination - CX EA EIS									
Signature:									