PMC-EF2n

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY EERE PROJECT MANAGEMENT CENTER NEPA DETERMINATION



(2,04,02)

RECIPIENT:Texas Engineering Experiment Station

STATE: TX

PROJECT.

Development of mooring-anchor program in public domain for coupling with floater program for FOWTs

TITLE:

(Floating Offshore Wind Turbines)

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number DE-FOA-0000415

DE-EE0005479

Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number

EE5479 GFO-0005479-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

Rational for determination:

DOE is proposing to provide funding to Texas Engineering Experiment Station to develop a more efficient Formula Translating System program for floating offshore wind turbines using computer modeling. This study would include data analysis, and reporting.

Amount to be released in this determination

DOE: \$ 350,000 Cost share: \$ 50,000 Total: \$ 400,000

This project comprises data analysis and reporting; CX A9 applies.

NEPA PROVISION

Note to Specialist:

EF2A by Christopher Carusona

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION	ON.
NEPA Compliance Officer Signature: Kristin Kerwin NEPA Compliance Officer	Date:1/11/2012
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION	
☐ Field Office Manager review required	
NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWING R	REASON:
Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial Manager's attention.	
Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manag	gers review and determination.
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO :	
Field Office Manager's Signature:	Date:
Field Office Manager	