		Project Information	
Project Title:	Casing Drilling Te	est Date:	5-17-2011
DOE Code: 6730-020-72000		Contractor Code:	8067-806
Project Lead:	Mark Duletsky		
Project (1. Brief project desanything that convironment) 2. Legal location 3. Duration of the 4. Major equipment	scription [include buld impact the project	The existing 13-1-SX-23 location and entry road will be reworked to accommodate a cont rig #3). The two existing wells on the location will be capped at ground level, and a new wasing water based mud. The existing rat / mouse hole on the site will be backfilled. A new pit [80' long by 30' wide by 4' deep allowing for 2' of freeboard] will be constructed on local liner will be installed. Pre-existing 13-X-23 location, NW ¼, Section 23, T38N, R78W Up to 26 days Scraper, loader, bulldozer, backhoe, water truck, dump truck, third party contract drilling rich.	vell will be drilled of 6700 ft3 reserve tion, and a 12 mm

The table below is to be completed by the Project Lead and reviewed by the Environmental Specialist and the DOE NEPA Compliance Officer. NOTE: If Change of Scope occurs, Project Lead must submit a new NEPA Compliance Survey and contact the Technical Assurance Department.

		lmpac ticipa		If YES, then complete below
Water Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts on water resources or water quality?		×		
Does the project affect surface water quantity or quality under both normal operations and accident conditions?		X		
Does the proposed project affect groundwater quantity or quality under both normal operations and accident conditions?		x		
Will the project area include "Waters of the State?"		x		
Will the project area require a Corps of Engineers permit?		х		

		mpact ticipat		If YES, then complete below.		
Geology & Soils	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts related to geology or soils?	X			While it is a pre-existing location, we will be removing the regrowth and doing some recontouring as well as excavating a new reserve pit. The road into the location will also be reworked, as it is in bad shape.		
Does the proposed project alter, excavate or otherwise disturb land area consistent with other land use and habitat area?		×		It is a pre-existing location described as above. The excavated/re-worked areas will be limited to the pre-existing road and location border limits.		
Is the proposed project likely to impact local seismicity?		x				
If the project involved disturbance of surface soils, are erosion and storm water control measures addressed?	×			The location will be graded to drain properly to reduce ponding on the location.		
Air Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on ambient air quality under both normal and accident conditions?		X				
Are potential emissions (gases and/or airborne particulates including dust) outside of the normal scope for oil field operations?		×				
Does the project present risk to human health and the environment from exposure to radiation and hazardous chemicals in emissions?		X				
Is the project subject to New Source Performance Standards?		×				
Is the project subject to National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants?		×				
Is the project subject to emissions limitations in an Air Quality Control Region?		X				

	Impa	cts	d?	If YES, then complete below.
Wildlife and Habitat	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on wildlife or habitat?		х		
Does the project impact state or federally listed threatened and endangered species?		х		
Human Health Effects	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed project present potential for effects on human health? e.g.: Hanta virus, radiological exposure, or chemical exposure (must provide MSDS)		Х		
Transportation	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed project involve transportation of radiological sources or hazardous materials (including explosives)?		х		
Waste Management and Waste Minimization	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Are pollution prevention and waste minimization practices needed in the proposed project?		X		
Does project plan establish procedures in compliance with local, state and/or federal laws and guidelines affecting the generation, transportation, treatment, storage or disposal of hazardous and other wastes?		х		

	Impacts If Anticipated?						If Y	If YES, then complete below.				
	Cul	Itural Impact			Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:				
Community Impact Vill the proposed project introduce significantly advenualitory, visual, or other impact? Vill the proposed project adversely affect the community's use of public land/resources? Vill the proposed project adversely affect the community's access to private land? NOTE: Topography Map and Wetlands Map are required? If YES, list below this NEPA Compliance Survey and WOGCC drilling and pit permits. Section below to be reviewed adequate Mitigation Measures Provided? Vater Quality Impacts						x						
Is there potential for impact on cultural (historic) resources?						No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigati measures:				
The state of the s			significantly ad	verse		х						
						Х						
	The state of the s			1		х						
NOTE: To	pography M	ap and Wetla						applicable	e SOPs fo	r Risk	Assessm	ent
Are environ	mental perm	nits required	? If YES, list be	low:				Y	es 🛛	No		
This NEPA Cor	mpliance Surve	y and WOGCC	drilling and pit pen	mits.								
		Section bel	ow to be reviev	wed by En	vironr	nental Sp	ecialis	t and DOE	NCO.	10		
Adequate M	itigation Me	asures Provi	ded?				Ade	quate Miti	gation Me	easure	s Provide	d?
		Yes	No			- E	Yes		No			
Water Quality In	mpacts			Transporta	ition Impacts							
Air Quality Impa	acts			Waste Management Impacts								
Control of the Contro				Cultural Impacts								
	2012/03/2012	Ø	П	Community	Impac			1				
		122.53		Categorical Exclusion								
()4()(4()		K.3			rovals				mad .			
Comments and Conditions:	(C-EA 6) Ma B1.3 Routine B3.7 Siting/c	intenance / gra e maintenance/ construction/op	well location (withing ading of existing reduction of existing reduction of new infinity oil/gas/geotherm	in 125' from loads and re s for building	n well boad dra	ore) inages (ba uctures, in	frastruct	ures, equipr		grading).	
Contractor ESS&H	An	56-	A						Date [5.1	8-20	5//
Comments and Conditions:			7									
	cumulatively h Part 1021. Bas Compliance O other regulator my review of in authorized und requirements so	ave a significant of sed on my review officer (as authorized y requirements see a formation conveiler DOE Order 45 et forth above are	A Compliance Survey effect on the human of of information converted under DOE Order to forth above are met eyed to me and in my \$1.1A). I have determent, and the propose \$7, \$4, \$85.16	environment. eyed to me at r 451.1A), 11 t, and the pro- possession (nined that the ed action is h	The act nd in my nave dete posed ac or attach propose	possession rmined that tion is hereb ed) concerni d action fits	or attache the proposity categori ing the pro- within the	of actions that ed) concerning sed action fits cally excluded oposed action, specified class	t is listed in a g the propose within the sp d from furthe as NEPA Co ss of actions,	appendix d action, becified con r NEPA of compliance	A or B to 10 as NEPA lass of action review. Bas e Officer (as	OCFR ns, the sed on

Drill Pad Location in Section 23



Drill Pad Location in Section 23



