NEPA COMPLIANCE SURVEY # 274

		Project Information	
Project Title:	Glori Oil-Biotechnol	ogy EOR Date:	2-4-10 8067-757
DOE Code:	6730-020-51132	Contractor Code:	
Project Lead:	Mark Duletsky		
Project Overview 1. What are the environmental impacts? 2. What is the legal location? 3. What is the duration of the project? 4. What major equipment will be used if any (work over rig, drilling rig, etc.)?		This is a proposal to place a water line from the water haul tank to 21-16-sx-2. The waterlifollowing an existing right of way to the road and then turn and be place in the roadway to location. There will be a minor amount of surface disturbance involed in the project during trenching pipeline. The area will be reclaimed after the pipeline is completed. 12-SX-2 area; NW 1/4, NW 1/4, Sec2, T38N, R78W, Natrona County, Wyoming, USA The construction of the pipeline will last about a week, Backhoe, dozer, forklift, grader	the 21 16 sx-2

The table below is to be completed by the Project Lead and reviewed by the Environmental Specialist and the DOE NEPA Compliance Officer. NOTE: If Change of Scope occurs, Project Lead must submit a new NEPA Compliance Survey and contact the Technical Assurance Department.

All	ticipat	ted?	If YES, then complete below
Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
			The water transfer piping will not affect water resources or quality.
			No, the transport material will be native surface water.
			There will be water removed from the Little Teapot Creek and held in a 400 bbl tank, The water will be drawn from the mixing tank.
	⊠		

NEPA COMPLIANCE SURVEY

	Impacts Anticipated?			If YES, then complete below.		
Geology & Soils	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts related to geology or soils?				There will be some minor surface disturbance of the soil which will be reclaimed after the piping is layed.		
Does the proposed project alter, excavate or otherwise disturb land area consistent with other land use and habitat area?				It is consistant with other uses in the field.		
Is the proposed project likely to impact local seismicity?						
If the project involved disturbance of surface soils, are erosion and storm water control measures addressed?				The location is above the flood plain and will be reclaimed and sloped to minimize potential erosional effects.		
Air Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on ambient air quality under both normal and accident conditions?		⊠				
Are potential emissions (gases and/or airborne particulates including dust) outside of the normal scope for oil field operations?		⊠				
Does the project present risk to human health and the environment from exposure to radiation and hazardous chemicals in emissions?						
Is the project subject to New Source Performance Standards?						
Is the project subject to National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants?						
Is the project subject to emissions limitations in an Air Quality Control Region?						

2

NEPA COMPLIANCE SURVEY

	Impacts Anticipated?			If YES, then complete below.		
Wildlife and Habitat	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on wildlife or habitat?						
Does the project impact state or federally listed threatened and endangered species?						
Human Health Effects	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed project present potential for effects on human health? e.g.: Hanta virus, radiological exposure, or chemical exposure (must provide MSDS)						
Transportation	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed project involve transportation of radiological sources or hazardous materials (including explosives)?						
Waste Management and Waste Minimization	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Are pollution prevention and waste minimization practices needed in the proposed project?				Best Management Practices will be followed to prevent erosion. Hazardous materials will be secured on site and bermed to prevent contamination.		
Does project plan establish procedures in compliance with local, state and/or federal law ss and guidelines affecting the generation, transportation, treatment, storage or disposal of hazardous and other wastes?				A JSA will be performed before work daily. SOPs will be reviewed for compliance to		
Cultural Impact	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Is there potential for impact on cultural (historic) resources?		⊠		This area was reviewed by an archeologist in 2008 with no impact		

NEPA COMPLIANCE SURVEY

				71/2000	Impacts Anticipated?			If YES, then complete below.			
Community Impact				Yes	No	NA	A CONTRACTOR	If the anticipated impact n unacceptable, recommend n measures:			
	oosed projec		significantly adv	erse 🗆	×						
	oosed projec s use of publ										
And the Children of the Control	oosed projects access to										
NOTE: To	pography M	ap and Wet		quired to be and specific			applicable	SOPs fo	or Risk Assessment		
Are permits	required? If	YES, list b	elow:				Ye	s 🛛	No 🗌		
trenching											
		Section be	elow to be review	ed by Enviro	nmental S	pecialis	t and DOE	NCO.			
Adequate M	itigation Me	asures Pro	vided?			Ade	quate Mitig	ation M	easures Provided?		
		Yes	No			Yes	N	0			
Water Quality	mpacts	×		Transportation Impacts							
Air Quality Imp	acts		□ V	Waste Management Impacts							
"Wildlife and H	abitat Impacts			Cultural Impacts							
Geology and S	ioils Impacts	\boxtimes		community Imp	act	\boxtimes					
Human Health	Human Health Impacts			Categorical Exclusion							
				Approva	ls						
Comments and Conditions:	instrumentation B3.6 Siting/o	on that would onstruction/or	not change design pro	ocess flow rates	or affect pe	mitted air	emissions.		tering systems, and other		
Contractor ESS&H	Teri North Levi Yorkh					Date: 2-9-2010					
Comments and Conditions:	Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class of actions, the other regulatory requirements set forth above are met, and the proposed action is hereby categorically excluded from further NEPA review.										
DOE NEPA Compliance Officer								Date	2-11/10		

Glori Oil - Biotechnology EOR Waterline

