270

		Project Information	
Project Title: Emergency flowline DOE Code: Project Lead: Rick M.		repair 78-sx-34 & 68-66-sx-34 Date:	12-29-09
		Contractor Code:	
 What are the impacts? What is the le What is the data What is the data What major e 	Overview environmental gal location? uration of the project? quipment will be used ver rig, drilling rig,	We dug up the line where the oil was coming out of the ground and had to put 2 clamps of The contaminated dirt was hauled to the land farm and after the line was tested we backfi job took 5 hrs. to complete and required a backhoe and two hands. this leak was 100 yard 68-66-sx-34.	led the hole. this

The table below is to be completed by the Project Lead and reviewed by the Environmental Specialist and the DOE NEPA Compliance Officer. NOTE: If Change of Scope occurs, Project Lead must submit a new NEPA Compliance Survey and contact the Technical Assurance Department.

		mpac ticipat		If YES, then complete below		
Water Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigatio measures:		
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts on water resources or water quality?						
Does the project affect surface water quantity or quality under both normal operations and accident conditions?						
Does the proposed project affect groundwater quantity or quality under both normal operations and accident conditions?						
Will the project area include "Waters of the State?"						
Will the project area require a Corps of Engineers permit?						

	Impacts Anticipated?			If YES, then complete below.
Geology & Soils	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts related to geology or soils?				Emergency action that followed best management practies to prevent soil and silt erosion.
Does the proposed project alter, excavate or otherwise disturb land area consistent with other land use and habitat area?				
s the proposed project likely to impact local seismicity?				
If the project involved disturbance of surface soils, are erosion and storm water control measures addressed?			0	Contaminated soils were removed from the location and transferred to the landfarm. Clean soil was compacted and will be reseeded when the weather permits.
Air Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on ambient air quality under both normal and accident conditions?				
Are potential emissions (gases and/or airborne particulates including dust) outside of the normal scope for oil field operations?				
Does the project present risk to human health and the environment from exposure to radiation and hazardous chemicals in emissions?				
Is the project subject to New Source Performance Standards?				
ls the project subject to National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants?				

-

	Impa Antic	cts ipated	1?	If YES, then complete below.		
Wildlife and Habitat	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on wildlife or habitat?						
Does the project impact state or federally listed threatened and endangered species?						
Human Health Effects	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed project present potential for effects on human health? e.g.: Hanta virus, radiological exposure, or chemical exposure (must provide MSDS)						
Transportation	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed project involve transportation of radiological sources or hazardous materials (including explosives)?						
Waste Management and Waste Minimization	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Are pollution prevention and waste minimization practices needed in the proposed project?				Any hazardous materials on location were bermed to prevent spills.		
Does project plan establish procedures in compliance with local, state and/or federal laws and guidelines affecting the generation, transportation, treatment, storage or disposal of hazardous and other wastes?				A JSA was performed before the beginning of work each day. SOPs were reviewed for compliance to local, state and federal regulations		

		Impacts Anticipated?				If YES, then complete below.					
Cultural Impact					Yes No		- Constant	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:			
Is there potential for impact on cultural (historic) resources?							This area was reviewed by an archologist in 2008 with no impact to the job.				
Community Impact					No	NA	If the anticipated impact might b unacceptable, recommend mitigat measures:			and the second second second	
Will the proposed project introduce significantly adverse auditory, visual, or other impact?											
Will the prop community's	A CONTRACTOR OF										
Will the prop community's	and the second second second second	and the second second second second									
NOTE: To	pography M	ap and Wetl	ands Map are re Level 2 & 3	quired to be and specifi				able SOPs fo	r Risk As	ssessment	
Are permits	required? If	YES, list be	elow:					Yes 🛛	No		
trenchinhg								ĩ			
		Section bel	low to be review	ed by Enviro	onmental S	pecialis	t and D	OE NCO.			
Adequate Mi	itigation Me	asures Provi	ided?			Ade	quate N	Aitigation Me	easures	Provided?	
		Yes	No			Yes		No	No		
Water Quality In	npacts			Transportation I	mpacts						
Air Quality Impa	acts	\boxtimes		Waste Manage	ste Management Impacts						
"Wildlife and Habitat Impacts				Cultural Impacts	iral Impacts						
Geology and Se	oils Impacts			Community Imp	munity Impact						
Human Health	Impacts			Categorical	egorical Exclusion						
				Approv	als		_				
Comments and Conditions:	Hazardous S with the NCF B1.3 Routin and railroad resumed. Cu washing, lan preventive, a suitable for replacement replace outh function of th intended us Routine mai	Substances Po p. e maintenance s), vehicles an ustodial service wn mowing, tr and predictive, a facility to t t is in kind and moded compon ne facility. Rout eful life of a fa ntenance activi	contaminated mate ollution Contingency a activities and custo d equipment, and lo as are activities to pr rash collection, pain are required to ma be used for its des is not a substantial u thents if the replacem cility (for example, if the sinclude, but are 1); (h) Repair of road	Plan (NCP) and dial services for ocalized vegeta eserve facility a tring, and snow initian and pres- ignated purpos upgrade or impri- ent does not re- bes not include t does not inclu- not limited to:(k	d disposed of r buildings, stration and per- appearance, w v removal. R serve building se. Routine r rovement. In A sout in a sign replacement ude the repla) Erosion con	of in accorr ructures, r st control vorking co toutine r gs, structur naintenar kind repla of a majo cement co	rdance w ights-of-w onditions, maintenau ures, infra nce may cement ir ange in the r comport of a reaction	ith local conting way, infrastructur which operation and sanitation, nce activities, result in repla ncludes installa includes installa e expected use nent that signific or vessel near	gency plar ures (e.g., j is may be , such as o corrective d equipme cement to tition of new eful life, de cantly exter r the end	as in accordance pathways, roads, suspended and cleaning, window (that is, repair), nt in a condition the extent that w components to sign capacity, ou nds the originally of its useful life)	
Contractor ESS&H	S. Shire	NA	Fat)			Date: 12-30-09				
Comments and Conditions:	Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class of actions, the other regulatory requirements set forth above are met, and the proposed action is hereby categorically excluded from further NEPA review.								, the other		
DOE NEPA Compliance Officer		31.3 4 half		and norody	estogonob						

4

Revised on: 11/12/2008

