#266

		Project Information	
Project Title:	Geothermal Techno	ologies Program Date:	12/8/09
DOE Code: 6730.020.61041		Contractor Code:	8067-731
Project Lead:	Lyle Johnson		
 What are the e impacts? What is the leg What is the dur What major eq 		This work will consist of the laying of two pipelines to complete the construction of a low to geothermal testing facility at the NWF building in Section 21. The first line will who a 250 steel line from well 17 WX 21 to the building. This line will provide hot Madison water to the second line will be a 250 feet long 10 inch steel line to return the cooling water and excess Teapot Creek drainage. This line will terminate on a cement slab, a stone pile or a combin prevent erosion. There are produminimal environmental impacts expected. The 6 inch lind disturbed area. The 10 inch line is approximately 50% in a prior disturbed area, the remainarea where removal of some vegetation will be required.	eet long, 6 inch le facility. The Madison to the nation of both to ne is in a prior

The table below is to be completed by the Project Lead and reviewed by the Environmental Specialist and the DOE NEPA Compliance Officer. NOTE: If Change of Scope occurs, Project Lead must submit a new NEPA Compliance Survey and contact the Technical Assurance Department.

		mpac ticipat		If YES, then complete below
Water Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts on water resources or water quality?				
Does the project affect surface water quantity or quality under both normal operations and accident conditions?				
Does the proposed project affect groundwater quantity or quality under both normal operations and accident conditions?				
Will the project area include "Waters of the State?"		×		
Will the project area require a Corps of Engineers permit?				

	Impacts Anticipated?			If YES, then complete below.
Geology & Soils	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts related to geology or soils?				Soil will be removed for placement of the pipelines and then returned to the trench. Some vegetation will have to be removed for half on one of the lines.
Does the proposed project alter, excavate or otherwise disturb land area consistent with other land use and habitat area?				
Is the proposed project likely to impact local seismicity?				
If the project involved disturbance of surface soils, are erosion and storm water control measures addressed?				Best Management Practices will be followed to prevent erosion
Air Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on ambient air quality under both normal and accident conditions?				
Are potential emissions (gases and/or airborne particulates including dust) outside of the normal scope for oil field operations?				
Does the project present risk to human health and the environment from exposure to radiation and hazardous chemicals in emissions?				
Is the project subject to New Source Performance Standards?				
Is the project subject to National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants?				
Is the project subject to emissions limitations in an Air Quality Control Region?				

	Impacts Anticipated?			If YES, then complete below.	
Wildlife and Habitat	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on wildlife or habitat?					
Does the project impact state or federally listed threatened and endangered species?					
Human Health Effects	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Does the proposed project present potential for effects on human health? e.g.: Hanta virus, radiological exposure, or chemical exposure (must provide MSDS)					
Transportation	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Does the proposed project involve transportation of radiological sources or hazardous materials (including explosives)?					
Waste Management and Waste Minimization	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Are pollution prevention and waste minimization practices needed in the proposed project?				Best Management Practices will be followed to prevent erosion. Hazardous Materials will be segregated and bermed to prevent spills	
Does project plan establish procedures in compliance with local, state and/or federal laws and guidelines affecting the generation, transportation, treatment, storage or disposal of hazardous and other wastes?				A JSA will be performed each day before starting the work. SOPs will be reviewed for compliance to federals, state and local regulations.	

				1020	Impacts Anticipated?			If YES, then complete below.		
Cultural Impact			Y	es	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:			
Is there potential for impact on cultural (historic) resources?								An archeologist review has been implemented. The archeologist is required to be onsite during trenching.		
Community Impact				Ye	es	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Will the prop auditory, vis			significantly ad	verse	I	⊠				
Will the prop community's					l	⊠				
Will the prop					1	×				
NOTE: To	pography M	ap and Wet	lands Map are re Level 2 & 3					applicable SOPs for Risk Assessment		
Are permits	required? If	YES, list be	elow:					Yes 🛛 No 🗌		
trenching										
		Section be	low to be review	ved by Envi	ironr	nental Sp	ecialis	st and DOE NCO.		
Adequate Mi	itigation Me	asures Prov	ided?				Ade	equate Mitigation Measures Provided?		
		Yes	No				Yes	No		
Water Quality Ir	mpacts			Transportation Impacts						
Air Quality Impacts				Waste Manag	Management Impacts					
*Wildlife and Habitat Impacts			Cultural Impa	ral Impacts						
Geology and So	The conversion of the			Community Ir	mnac	8 -	×			
Human Health				Community Impact Categorical Exclusion		×				
numan nealth	irripacis			Categorica						
Comments and Conditions:	instrumentation B3.1Onsite are dismantlement a small-scale limited to, site	on that would not offsite site of the or closing (at laboratory build characterization)	not change design pro- haracterization and op- pandonment) of characterization of ding or renovation of on and environments	icility pump ar rocess flow ra environmenta racterization a f a room in an al monitoring	nd pip ites o al mor and m exist under	ing configur affect permitoring, inclu- onitoring de- ing building CERCLA a	nitted air uding siti vices ar for sam and RCF	manifolds, metering systems, and other remissions. ing, construction (or modification), operation, and nd siting, construction, and associated operation of the analysis. Activities covered include, but are not RA. Specific activities include, but are not limited to: R part 800 and 43 CFR part 7.		
Contractor ESS&H								Date: 12-23-09		
Comments and Conditions:			11							
	Compliance class of action	Officer (as aut	horized under DOE gulatory requirement	Order 451.1.	A), I	have determ	ined the	ed) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA at the proposed action fits within the specified roposed action is hereby categorically excluded		
DOE NEPA Compliance Officer	CX B5		26				Date 12/23/09			

North Water Flood Hot Lines

