NEPA COMPLIANCE SURVEY # 285

		Project Information						
Project Title: Repair flowline 100		feet North of 71-3-SX-3 Date:	2-16-2010					
DOE Code:		Contractor Code:						
Project Lead: Rick McLaughlin								
Project 1. What are the e impacts? 2. What is the lec		Emergency Repair for a flowline leak north of 71-3-SX-3. Dug up pipeline, repaired line ar clean fill dirt. Oil contaminated soil was hauled to the east side landfarm and clean dirt wa section 20.	nd backfilled wi s brought in fro					
	ration of the project?	North of 71-3-SX-3, N43 17'53.6" W 106 11'49.3"						
	uipment will be used er rig, drilling rig,	Approximately 4 hours Backhoe with operator and one worker.						

The table below is to be completed by the Project Lead and reviewed by the Environmental Specialist and the DOE NEPA Compliance Officer. NOTE: If Change of Scope occurs, Project Lead must submit a new NEPA Compliance Survey and contact the Technical Assurance Department.

	-		If YES, then complete below		
Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
		Ū			
	An Yes	Anticipation Yes No Image: Constraint of the second seco			

NEPA COMPLIANCE SURVEY

		mpact ticipat		If YES, then complete below.		
Geology & Soils	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts related to geology or soils?				Best Management Practices were used to prevent erosion.		
Does the proposed project alter, excavate or otherwise disturb land area consistent with other land use and habitat area?						
Is the proposed project likely to impact local seismicity?						
If the project involved disturbance of surface soils, are erosion and storm water control measures addressed?				Best Management Practices were used to prevent soil and silt erosion. The pit was dug adjacent to the flow line.		
Air Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on ambient air quality under both normal and accident conditions?						
Are potential emissions (gases and/or airborne particulates including dust) outside of the normal scope for oil field operations?						
Does the project present risk to human health and the environment from exposure to radiation and hazardous chemicals in emissions?						
Is the project subject to New Source Performance Standards?						
Is the project subject to National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants?						
Is the project subject to emissions limitations in an Air Quality Control Region?						

NEPA COMPLIANCE SURVEY

	Impa Antic	icts ipate	4?	If YES, then complete below.		
Wildlife and Habitat	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on wildlife or habitat?						
Does the project impact state or federally listed threatened and endangered species?						
Human Health Effects	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed project present potential for effects on human health? e.g.: Hanta virus, radiological exposure, or chemical exposure (must provide MSDS)						
Transportation	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Does the proposed project involve transportation of radiological sources or hazardous materials (including explosives)?						
Waste Management and Waste Minimization	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Are pollution prevention and waste minimization practices needed in the proposed project?				Best Management Practices with the followed.		
Does project plan establish procedures in compliance with local, state and/or federal laws and guidelines affecting the generation, transportation, treatment, storage or disposal of hazardous and other wastes?				A JSA was performed prior to beginning of work. SOPs were reviewed for compliance to state and local regulations.		

NEPA COMPLIANCE SURVEY

	Impacts Anticipated?					If YES, then complete below.					
Cultural Impact					Yes				If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:		
Is there pote resources?	ential for imp	pact on cult	tural (histori	c)							
Community Impact					Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:			
	oosed projec wal, or other		significantly	y adverse							
	oosed projec s use of publ	A contract of the second se									
	oosed projects access to p										
NOTE: To	pography M	ap and Wet		re required 2 & 3 and s				applicable	SOPs fo	or Risk Assessment	
Are permits	required? I	f YES, list b	elow:					Ye	s 🗌	No x	
		Section be	low to be re	viewed by	Environ	mental Sp	ecialis	t and DOE	NCO.		
Adequate M	itigation Me	asures Prov	vided?				Ade	quate Mitig	ation M	easures Provided?	
		Yes	No				Yes	N	0		
Water Quality I	ter Quality Impacts 🛛 🗆 Transportation				rtation Imp	pacts	\boxtimes	E]		
Air Quality Imp	acts	\boxtimes		Waste N	lanagement Impacts						
Wildlife and H	abitat Impacts			Cultural	Impacts			C]		
Geology and S	and the second			Commu	nity Impac	t			1		
Human Health						C					
					pprovals						
Comments and Conditions:	Army Corps of B5.2 Modifica	of Engineers to ation of oil, gas	be within the n	a crude oil, pro naintenance p al facility pum	oduced wa provisions p and pipir	ater, brine, o of a DOE pe	ermit und	ler Section 40	4 of the C	ons are determined by the lean Water Act. her instrumentation that	
Contractor ESS&H									Date : 2/16/2010		
Comments and Conditions:	Compliance	Officer (as aut ns, the other r	horized under	DOE Order 4	51.1A), 11	nave determ	nined that	t the propose	d action f	posed action, as NEPA its within the specified by categorically excluded	
DOE NEPA Compliance Officer	£	R	>_						Date	16/10	

1







71-3-SX-3 NEPA Cleanup Area

