			Project Information							
Project Title: Restoration of 61-36 DOE Code: Project Lead: Jeff Jones		Restoration of 61-3	6-SX-10 Date:	2-9-2010						
			Contractor Code:							
		Jeff Jones								
1. 2. 3. 4.		vironmental Il location? ation of the project? ipment will be used	We will be restoring this location 61-36-SX-10. We will be removing all oil contaminated soil from location to the landfarm and recording it will then back fill with clean fill dirt from sec.20. We will remove well head and place a dry Flush flowline and remove it. Then we will till the location and plant with native grasses. 3-4 days The equipment to be used will be a backhoe, tiller, dumptruck, and welder.							

The table below is to be completed by the Project Lead and reviewed by the Environmental Specialist and the DOE NEPA Compliance Officer. NOTE: If Change of Scope occurs, Project Lead must submit a new NEPA Compliance Survey and contact the Technical Assurance Department.

	100	lmpac ticipa		If YES, then complete below
Water Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts on water resources or water quality?				
Does the project affect surface water quantity or quality under both normal operations and accident conditions?				
Does the proposed project affect groundwater quantity or quality under both normal operations and accident conditions?				
Will the project area include "Waters of the State?"				
Will the project area require a Corps of Engineers permit?				

Revised on: 11/12/2008

	100	mpact ticipat		If YES, then complete below.	
Geology & Soils	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts related to geology or soils?				Best Managerial Practices will be followed to prevent erosion.	
Does the proposed project alter, excavate or otherwise disturb land area consistent with other land use and habitat area?					
Is the proposed project likely to impact local seismicity?					
If the project involved disturbance of surface soils, are erosion and storm water control measures addressed?				Best Management Practices will be used to prevent soil erosion. Remediation is limited to 25' on each side of the trenching.	
Air Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on ambient air quality under both normal and accident conditions?					
Are potential emissions (gases and/or airborne particulates including dust) outside of the normal scope for oil field operations?					
Does the project present risk to human health and the environment from exposure to radiation and hazardous chemicals in emissions?					
Is the project subject to New Source Performance Standards?					
Is the project subject to National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants?					
Is the project subject to emissions limitations in an Air Quality Control Region?					

	Impa	cts cipated	d?	If YES, then complete below.	
Wildlife and Habitat	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on wildlife or habitat?					
Does the project impact state or federally listed threatened and endangered species?					
Human Health Effects	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Does the proposed project present potential for effects on human health? e.g.: Hanta virus, radiological exposure, or chemical exposure (must provide MSDS)					
Transportation	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Does the proposed project involve transportation of radiological sources or hazardous materials (including explosives)?					
Waste Management and Waste Minimization	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Are pollution prevention and waste minimization practices needed in the proposed project?				Best Management Practices for Oil and Gas will be followed to prevent erosion. Any hazardous materials will be segregated and be med.	
Does project plan establish procedures in compliance with local, state and/or federal laws and guidelines affecting the generation, transportation, treatment, storage or disposal of hazardous and other wastes?				A JSA will be performed prior to beginning of the work. SOPs will be reviewed for compliance to state and local regulations.	

				Impacts Anticipated?				If YES, then complete below.			
	Cul	tural Impact			Yes	No	NA	The second second	table, re	ed impact might be ecommend mitigation easures:	
Is there pote	ential for imp	pact on cultu	ral (historic)				This area was reviewed by an archeologist with no impact.				
Community Impact						No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:			
Will the prop auditory, vis	The state of the s		significantly ad	lverse							
Will the proposed project adversely affect the community's use of public land/resources?						⊠					
Will the proposed project adversely affect the community's access to private land?											
NOTE: To	pography M	ap and Wetla	ands Map are re Level 2 &	-					SOPs fo	or Risk Assessment	
Are permits	required? If	YES, list be							s 🛛	No 🗌	
		Section belo	ow to be review	wed by	Environ	nental Sp	ecialis	t and DOE	NCO.		
Adequate M	itigation Me	asures Provi					T			easures Provided?	
		Yes	No				Yes		lo		
Water Quality In	mpacts			Transportation Impacts			\boxtimes				
Air Quality Impa	acts			Waste Management Impacts			\boxtimes				
'Wildlife and Habitat Impacts		⊠		Cultural Impacts			×				
Geology and S	oils Impacts			Community Impact							
Human Health	Impacts			Categorical Exclusion			\boxtimes]		
Comments and Conditions:	dollars in coshazardous s storage, or d are not limite (a) Excav that are not r would reduce (b) Remov contaminant state require the food cha (c) Remov RCRA, subti spillage, leak (d) Repair (e) Cappin remediation	st and 5 years d ubstance other i isposal of waste id to: areceiving contan e the spread of, val of bulk conta s, CERCLA-exc ments), if such a in; val of an underg itel; 40 CFR pa acage, or the spre or replacement ig or other conta and if needed to	uration, to reduce than high-level rades at existing facilit dation of contamin. In the contact was a first contact with the	under RC risk to hu dioactive v ies currer lated soils atter or wa vith, the c or natural luce the lik k including and 40 Ci ntact with lers; inated so of hazard	man healt waste and intly handli is or materi astewater, contaminat barrels) th gas produ- celihood of g its assoc FR part 28 n, contamii	nic Energy A h or the envi spent nucle ng the type of als from drai if surface wa ion; at contain or cts, or haza; spillage, lea siated piping 80, subparts nation; ges if the cap	ironmen ar fuel, i of waste inage ch ater or gi may co rdous wakage, fin and und F and G	t from the rele- ncluding treat involved in the annels, reten- roundwater w intain hazardo astes (design- re, explosion, derlying contai- if such action containment	ease or throment (e.g. e action. To tion basins ould not cours substanted in 40 or exposurinment system would not exposurinment system syst	, incineration), recovery, These actions include, but s, ponds, and spill areas ollect and if such actions	
Contractor ESS&H	Teri North	Seri	Marti	er					Date :	2/09/2010	
Comments and	Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified										

Conditions:	class of actions, the other regulatory requirements set forth above are met, and the proposed action is hereby categorically excluded from further NEPA review.							
DOE NEPA Compliance Officer		Date 2/10/10						









