			Project Information				
Project Title: Restoration DOE Code: Project Lead: Jeff Jones		Restoration South	of 54-TPX-10 Date:	2-8-10			
			Contractor Code:				
		Jeff Jones					
1.	Project O What are the en impacts? What is the lega	vironmental	We will be digging contaminated soil out of the ground just to the south of 54-TPX-10. We contaminated soil to the landfarm and entering the totals in the log. We will backfill with cl Sec.20.				
3.		ation of the project?	The duration should be no longer than 4-6 days. T				
*	if any (work over etc.)?		The equipment to be used is as follows Backhoe, dump truck, Titler.				
			After all contaminated soil is removed and fresh fill has been placed we will till the area all grasses.	nd seed with native			

The table below is to be completed by the Project Lead and reviewed by the Environmental Specialist and the DOE NEPA Compliance Officer. NOTE: If Change of Scope occurs, Project Lead must submit a new NEPA Compliance Survey and contact the Technical Assurance Department.

		lmpac ticipa		If YES, then complete below
Water Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts on water resources or water quality?				
Does the project affect surface water quantity or quality under both normal operations and accident conditions?		Ø		
Does the proposed project affect groundwater quantity or quality under both normal operations and accident conditions?		⊠		
Will the project area include "Waters of the State?"		×		
Will the project area require a Corps of Engineers permit?	П	Ø		

1

	!	mpact ticipat		If YES, then complete below.
Geology & Solis	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts related to geology or soils?		⊠		
Does the proposed project alter, excavate or otherwise disturb land area consistent with other land use and habitat area?		⊠		·
Is the proposed project likely to impact local seismicity?		N		
If the project involved disturbance of surface soils, are erosion and storm water control measures addressed?		8		
Air Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on ambient air quality under both normal and accident conditions?		3		Ladari * : AnAnanaAA
Are potential emissions (gases and/or airbome particulates including dust) outside of the normal scope for oil field operations?		Ø	:	
Does the project present risk to human health and the environment from exposure to radiation and hazardous chemicals in emissions?		⊠		
Is the project subject to New Source Performance Standards?		X	 	
Is the project subject to National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants?		⊠		
Is the project subject to emissions limitations in an Air Quality Control Region?			· 🗀	

	lmpa Antic	cts ipated	! ?	if YES, then complete below.
Wildlife and Habitat	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on wildlife or habitat?		N N		
Does the project impact state or federally listed threatened and endangered species?		🛭		
Human Health Effects	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed project present potential for effects on human health?		□		
e.g.: Hanta virus, radiological exposure, or chemical exposure (must provide MSDS)		:		
Transportation	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed project involve transportation of radiological sources or hazardous materials (including explosives)?		; M		
Waste Management and Waste Minimization	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Are pollution prevention and waste minimization practices needed in the proposed project?	ļв		İ	Best Management Practices were followed to prevent erosion. The area will be reconditioned and seeded.
Does project plan establish procedures in compliance with local, state and/or federal laws and guidelines affecting the generation, transportation, treatment, storage or disposal of hazardous and other wastes?	3	· O		A USA will be performed prior to beginning of the work each day. SOPs will be reviewed for compliance to state and local regulations

				Impacts Anticipated?				If YES, then complete below.			
Cultural Impact					Yes	es No		If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:			
Is there pot	ential for imp	act on culti	ural (historic)			Ø					
Community Impact						No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigatio measures:			
	posed projec sual, or other		significantly adv	verse		Ø					
Will the proposed project adversely affect the community's use of public land/resources?				70		⊠					
Will the proposed project adversely affect the community's access to private land?						⊠					
NOTE: To	pography M	ap and Wetl						applica	ble SOPs fo	r Risk Assessment	
Are permits	s required? If	YES, list be	Level 2 & 3	and spe	ecine t	est proce	dures.		Yes 🗌	No x	
		Section bel	ow to be review	ved by En	vironr	nental Sp	eclalis	t and D	DE NCO.		
Adequate N	litigation Me						T source	8 2		easures Provided?	
		Yes	No				Yes		No		
Water Quality	Impacts	Ø		Transportation Impacts			Ø				
Air Quality Imp	acts			Waste Management Impacts			×				
"Wildlife and H	abitat Impacts	⊠		Cultural Imp	pacts		M				
Geology and S		×		Community Impact							
Human Health	Impacts			Categoric	ategorical Exclusion						
Comments and Conditions:	dollars in cost hazardous su storage, or dis are not limited spill areas tha actions would associated pig 280, subparts contamination groundwater in petroleum and impoundment diversions or but not expan example, run-CERCLA-excarea from other	and 5 years dubstance other ti sposal of waster to: (a) Excava t are not receiv reduce the spro- ping and under F and G if such to: (e) Capping of remediation and d natural gas pro- sif needed to in installing under sion, of berms, off or run-on di- duded petroleur er areas, (j) Se	tration, to reduce ris han high-level radio is at existing facilities at existing facilities at each of a direct con ying containment sy a action would reduce to other containment of if needed to reduce roducts into soil, ground pround barriers, if ne dikes, impoundment version) if needed to	nder RCRA sk to human lactive wast s currently if n of contarr urface wate had with, if ystems in oc e the likelif t of contami e migration undwater, s y of the stru eeded to re eeded to re nts, or caps or reduce off ducts or to s that may re	health te and shandling hinated are or was he conta omplian hood of inated sa of haza surface actures; after educe the if need fisite mig preventicat with the actual contact of the same	c Energy Ac or the envir pent nuclea g the type of soils or malt stewater, if s stewater, if s spillage, lea oils or sludg ardous subs water, or air (g) Confine e spread of, ed to mainta gration of ha precipitator h one anoth	onment if r fuel, inc waste ir fuel, inc waste ir waste ir fuel in fue	from the recluding treatively distributed in market and recluding treatively distributed in the special problem of	elease or three eatment (e.g., a the action. The channels, recoundwater wounderground start 265, sad of, or direct corcontainments, contanimants, contanimants, with, the contastructures; (i) tes, pollutants, ther sources from the eather sources from the contastructures; (ii) tes, pollutants, ther sources from the eather sources from the eat	incineration), recovery, these actions include, but atention basins, ponds, and build not collect and if such torage tank including its subpart J; and 40 CFR part contact with, a would not affect future s, or CERCLA-excluded made suface ng dikes, tranches, ditches, mination; (h) Stabilization, Drainage controls (for contaminants, or om entering the release	
Contractor ESS&H	Teri North	Leu	Meis	49					Date :	2/09/2010	
Comments and Conditions:	Compliance (review of infor Officer (as auth	mation conveyed to orized under DOE	o me and ir Order 451.	.1A), Ih	ave determ	ined tha	t the prop	xosed action fi	posed action, as NEPA ts within the specified by categorically excluded	

Revised on: 11/12/2008 6.1 Whichard Jog 6 2/9/10

	from further NEPA review.	
DOE NEPA Compliance Officer	Mahl of Tay	2/9/10









