## Department of Energy

## **Bonneville Power Administration**

## memorandum

DATE: July 21, 2011

REPLY TO

ATTN OF: KEP-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

то: Shelley Fenton

Realty Specialist – TERR-3

<u>Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to grant the Murray Hill Recreation Association permission to cross the BPA right-of-way on the Keeler-Oregon City No. 2, 115-kilovolt (kV) corridor between Tower 14/4 and Tower 14/6+250.

PP&A Project No.: PP&A 2023

**Budget Information:** N/A

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B4.9 Grant or denial of requests for multiple use of a transmission facility rights-of-way, such as grazing permits and crossing agreements, including electric lines, water lines, and drainage culverts.

<u>Location</u>: Section 32; Township 1 South; Range 1 West; Willamette Meridian; Washington County, Oregon

**Proposed by:** BPA

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: The Murray Hill Recreation Association has requested permission to cross the BPA right-of-way under the Keeler-Oregon City and Oregon City Stub corridor in order to access the rear of their sports complex to conduct periodic maintenance. The current project proposes to stockpile sand and transport it along an existing roadway beneath the lines to the athletic fields on their complex. The proposal further requests permission to access their complex two-to-three days a year for one-to-two days at a time using the existing road to conduct future maintenance as required.

Findings: BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, April 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R 1508.25(a) (1)] to other action with potentially significant impacts, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impact [40 C.F.R. 1508(a) (2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would not (i) threaten a violation of

applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act - excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted release, or (iv) adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources. The proposal will not affect any listed threatened or endangered species, or critical habitat under the Endangered Species Act, or Essential Fish Habitat under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, or historic properties under the National Historic Preservation Act.

This proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

/s/ Joseph C. Sharpe, for: Elaine Stratton Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur: /s/ Katherine S. Pierce Date: July 21, 2011

Katherine S. Pierce NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment:

**Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions** 

## **Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions**

| Name of Proposed Project: Permission to Cross ROW – Murray Hill Recreation Association   |                         |   |
|--|-------------------------|---|
| Work Order #: N/A  | PP&A Project No.: _     | 2023                                    |
| Prepared by: E. Stratton R   | Routing: KEP-AMPN-2     | <b>Date:</b> 7/19/2011                  |
| This project has been found to <u>not</u> adversely affect the following environmentally sensitive resources, laws, and regulations: |                         |   |
| <b>Environmental Resources</b>   | No<br>Adverse<br>Effect | No Adverse<br>Effect<br>with conditions |
| 1. Cultural Resources  | X                       |   |
| 2. T & E Species, or their habitat(s)  | X                       |   |
| 3. Floodplains or wetlands   | X                       |   |
| 4. Areas of special designation  | X                       |   |
| 5. Health & safety   | X                       |   |
| 6. Prime agricultural lands  | X                       |   |
| 7. Special sources of water  | X                       |   |
| 8. Consistency with state and local laws and   |                         |   |
| 9. Pollution control at Federal facilities   | X                       |   |
| 10. Other  | X                       |   |
| List supporting documentation attached (if ne  | eded):                  |   |