PMC-312a

24.02

US DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY EERE PROJECT MANAGEMENT CENTER NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT:University of Hawaii

STATE: HI

PROJECT

TITLE:

Subtask 2.2 MCBH Site: National Marine Renewable Energy Center in Hawaii

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number DE-PS36-08GO98030

Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number DE-FG36-08GO18180

GFO-09-013-002

GO18180

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

CX. EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

- A9 Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, audits), data analysis (including computer modeling), document preparation (such as conceptual design or feasibility studies, analytical energy supply and demand studies), and dissemination (including, but not limited to, document mailings, publication, and distribution; and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring.
- B3.1 Onsite and offsite site characterization and environmental monitoring, including siting, construction (or modification), operation, and dismantlement or closing (abandonment) of characterization and monitoring devices and siting, construction, and associated operation of a small-scale laboratory building or renovation of a room in an existing building for sample analysis. Activities covered include, but are not limited to, site characterization and environmental monitoring under CERCLA and RCRA. Specific activities include, but are not limited to:

Rational for determination:

The review if this project is being conducted in order to lift the NEPA condition on task 2.2 of the University of Hawaii's (University) approved SOPO. This task was originally held due to insufficient data available to conduct a NEPA review.

Under task 2.2, the University of Hawaii and the Hawaii National Marine Renewable Energy Center (HINMREC) are proposing to use federal funding to provide technical advice to Kaneohe Marine Corps Base Hawaii (MCBH) and OPT in assistance of their preliminary planning activities related to their proposed project to deploy and test a single 40 kWe buoy in the Marine base off-shore waters in off the island of Oahu in Kaneohe Bay. HINMREC's technical assistance would involve conducting High-Resolution-Multibeam oceanographic and soil surveys in the proposed testing area, evaluating the feasibility of the project, conducting wave climate analysis and ocean engineering work to define meteorological and oceanographic conditions at the test site. The site and the proposal to deploy the 30 kWe buoy has been reviewed under the US NAVY NEPA implementing regulations for the eventual testing of the OPT wave energy conversion devise. An Environmental Assessment and FONSI were issued for the deployment and testing up to six turbines on March 13, 2003.

The vessel, RV Huki Pono, would be used to conduct the surveys for the oceanic, meteorological, and soil surveys at the site. The surveys would be conducted during two separate cruises. The survey team would adhere to the NMFSrecommended BMPs (Annex 1) during all vessel operations, including the transits to and from the survey areas in order to avoid or reduce impacts on protected marine species and their habitats, particularly as they pertain to protected species awareness and avoidance. In addition, if whales are sighted the HINMREC would adhere to all guidelines as summarized in Annex 2.

The Multibeam Surveys would be conducted at a frequency of 455 kHz - sound levels beyond the hearing range of any marine mammals and endangered species known to occur in the project site and its vicinity. Survey work would take approximately two days to complete.

In compliance with the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) and Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA), DOE initiated consultation with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) Hawaii regional office. A Biological Evaluation and a letter initiating consultation were sent to NMFS on February 4th, 2011. In this letter, DOE made a determination of "not likely to adversely affect" listed marine species that may be affected by the project's activities. In response to this letter and sent via email on February 8, 2011, the NMFS agreed with this determination, thus concluding DOE consultation obligations for the MMPA and for Section 7 of the ESA.

As part of the project and in compliance with the ESA and MMPA, the University would adhere to the guidelines, as

stated in Annex 1 (Best Management Practices for General In-Water Work Including Boat and Diver Operations) and Annex 2 (Operational Guidelines when in Sight of Whales) of the attached biological evaluation. The University would also adhere to health and safety policies as established and implemented by their Environmental Health and Safety Office.

Project activities would only include technical assistance and environmental monitoring. No DOE funding would be used to build, construct or deploy any wave energy conversion technologies onto ocean environments. Based on the above discussion and the information provided by the NMFS and the recipient, DOE has determined that the impacts related to the proposed project are anticipated to have negligible or no affects on the human and natural environment, including marine mammals and ESA listed species The proposed project is consistent with actions outlined in A9 (information gathering), A11 (technical assistance) and B3.1 (offsite site characterization and environmental monitoring) and is, therefore, categorically excluded from further NEPA review

	nation gathering), A11 (technical assistance) and B3.1 (offsite site characterization and ring) and is, therefore, categorically excluded from further NEPA review	environmental
NEPA PROV DOE has	VISION s made a final NEPA determination for this award	
Insert the	e following language in the award:	
Insert the	e following language in the award:	
	required to: to all the guidelines, as listed in Annex 1 and Annex 2 of the Biological Evaluation	
reveals e previous or design	fficials must be notified and ESA Consultation must be reinitiated if: 1) a take occurs; 2) effects of the action that may affect listed species or designated critical habitat in a massly considered; 3) the identified action is subsequently modified in a manner causing efgnated critical habitat not previously considered; or 4) a new species is listed or critical laffected by the designated action.	nner or to an extent not fects to listed species
Note to S	Specialist:	
Laura M	Margason 2.17.2011	
	Diance Officer Signature: NEPA Compliance Officer	ate: 3/24/11
FIELD OFFI	ICE MANAGER DETERMINATION	
☐ Field Off	ffice Manager review required	
NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:		
Manager	ed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that er's attention. Ed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review	•
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:		
Field Office M	Manager's Signature: Do	ate:

Field Office Manager