RL-721	
REV 0	

DO	Ē	lc	X	427	000	2	Î
	4	(					

## **NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM**

## I. Project Title:

LIMITED PRESCRIBED BURNING ON THE HANFORD SITE DURING CALENDAR YEAR 2010		
II. Project Description and Location (including Time Period over which proposed action will occur and Project Dim acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth, etc.):	nensions	; - e.g.,
The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) proposes to perform limited prescribed burning selected areas of the Hanford Site during calendar year 2010. Prescribed burning conducted by the Hanford Fire Department and only in previously disturbed areas.		e
The objectives of limited prescribed burning are to maintain safety at Hanford factory improving the reliability of pre-identified fire breaks and reducing the accumu potentially hazardous ignitable fuels in proximity to buildings, structures, right and infrastructures (such as roads).	ulation	n of
Action will be strictly limited to previously disturbed areas such as power poles, rights-of-way, site roadways, facility boundaries/fencelines, and highways that pathrough the Hanford Site.		road
The use of prescribed burning should not adversely impact cultural or biological mand should only be performed in previously disturbed areas or in areas reviewed and precleared by cultural and biological specialists and concurred by the DOE Native Cultural representative. Workers will be directed to contact a cultural specialist encountering any cultural materials (bones, artifacts, etc.). Similarly, a biological resource specialist will be contacted if encountering biological resources (such a birds).	nd Americ t if ical	can
III. Reviews (if applicable):	<u> Managana na kao</u> na minina minina managana minina minina minina minina minina minina minina minina minina minina Minina minina m	
Biological Review Report #: ACTION TO OCCUR IN PREVIOUSLY DISTURBED & BRR REVIEWED AREAS O	NLY	
Cultural Review Report #: ACTION TO OCCUR IN PREVIOUSLY DISTURBED & CRR REVIEWED AREAS O	NLY	
NPCE Determination: YES NO		
IV. Existing NEPA Documentation (see Steps 3 and 4 of Contractor Screening Process)	YES	NO
Is the proposed action evaluated in a previous EA, EIS, or under CERCLA?		$\boxtimes$
If "NO," proceed to Section V. If "YES," List EA, EIS, or CERCLA Document(s) Title and Number:	<b>1</b>	
And then complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Initiator/ECO signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Contractor Screening Process).	Step 6 of	f
V. Sitewide Categorical Exclusion (see Step 5 of Contractor Screening Process)	YES	NO
Does the proposed action fall within the scope of a Hanford Sitewide Categorical Exclusion?		$\boxtimes$
If "YES," list Sitewide Categorical Exclusion to be applied and complete Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria:		
Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria	YES	NO
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?		
Is the action connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)) or result in cumulatively significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(2))?		
Does the proposed action impact sensitive species or their habitats?		
Does the action involve or disturb the Hanford Reach National Monument, Rattlesnake Mountain, Gable Mountain, Gable Butte or other Traditional Cultural Properties or properties of historic, archaeological or architectural significance, or occur within one-fourth mile of the Columbia River?		
If "NO" to all Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of Ir signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process).	nitiator/EC	00
If "YES" to any of the Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, attach appropriate explanatory information at NRSF to DOE NCO; DOE initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1 by completing Section VI and VIII, as ap	nd provid	е

RL-721 REV 0	NEPA REVIEW SCREENIN	G FORM (continued)				
			YES NO			
VI. Categorical Exclusion Does the proposed action fall within a class of actions that is listed in Appendixes A or B to Subpart D of 10 CFR Part 1021?						
	complete Categorical Exclusion Criteria (based	on Eligibility Criteria of the NEPA Determination	 Procedure):			
	TENANCE- PREVENTATIVE & PREDICTI	- /	ED BURNING)			
Categorical Exclusion Cr	riteria		YES NO			
Does the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environmental, safety, or health, including DOE and/or Executive Orders?						
Does the proposed action treatment facilities?	require siting, construction, or major expansion	of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or				
Does the proposed action on natural gas products that p	disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, conta re-exist in the environment such that there wou	minants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and Id be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases?				
Does the proposed action a	adversely affect environmentally sensitive reso	urces?				
of the proposal?		ect the significance of the environmental effects				
(not precluded by 40 CFR	to other actions with potentially significant impa 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211)?	· · ·				
If "NO" to all Categorical Ex to contractor, and otherwise	xclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO co e complies with Step 4 of the DOE NEPA Revie	ompletes Section VIII, provides electronic copy o w Screening Process - Step 1.	of signed NRSF			
If "YES" to any of the Cate Screening Process - Step 1	gorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOB 1, and initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening P	E NCO complies with Step 5 of the DOE NEPA F rocess - Step 2.	Review			
VII. Approvals/Determina						
	Name (Printed)	Signature	Date			
Initiator						
Cognizant Environmental Compliance Officer	Jerry Cammann	J.W. Cammann	9/23/2010			
VIII. Approval/Determinat	tion					
DOE NEPA Compliance Officer: <u>Woody Russell</u> Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class of action:						
NCO Determinatio	on - 🖾 CX 🗍 EA 🗍 EI	S				
Signature:	ty Kassell	Date: <u>1/23/10</u>				
$\bigcirc$	1					